

United States Department of Agriculture  
Agricultural Marketing Service | National Organic Program  
Document Cover Sheet

<https://www.ams.usda.gov/rules-regulations/organic/petitioned-substances>

Document Type:

**National List Petition or Petition Update**

A petition is a request to amend the USDA National Organic Program's National List of Allowed and Prohibited Substances (National List).

Any person may submit a petition to have a substance evaluated by the National Organic Standards Board (7 CFR 205.607(a)).

Guidelines for submitting a petition are available in the NOP Handbook as NOP 3011, National List Petition Guidelines.

Petitions are posted for the public on the NOP website for Petitioned Substances.

**Technical Report**

A technical report is developed in response to a petition to amend the National List. Reports are also developed to assist in the review of substances that are already on the National List.

Technical reports are completed by third-party contractors and are available to the public on the NOP website for Petitioned Substances.

Contractor names and dates completed are available in the report.

# Iodine

## Livestock

### Identification of Petitioned Substance

**Chemical Names:**

Iodine (molecular)

**Other Names:**Diiodine; Iodine crystals; Iodine sublimed;  
Iodophor; Molecular iodine; Tincture iodine.**CAS Numbers:**

7553-56-2 (molecular iodine)

**Other Codes:**

EINECES, Iodine: 231-442-4

**Chemical Names:**2-(4-Nonylphenoxy)ethanol – iodine (1:1)  
(C<sub>17</sub>H<sub>28</sub>I<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>)**Other Names:**Losan; Nonoxinol and molecular iodine; Nonyl-  
phenoxy-poly-ethoxyethanol-iodine complex.**Trade Names:**Clean™ sanitizer; Dairyland Brand Cow Udder  
Wash; Dyne-o-might disinfectant; Udder-san  
Sanitizer and Udderwash.**CAS Numbers:**35860-86-7 [2-(4-Nonylphenoxy)ethanol – iodine  
(1:1)];  
104-35-8 [2-(4-nonylphenoxy)ethanol]**Other Codes:**EC 500-024-6  
NP's EINECS codes are 284-325-5 (branched) and  
246-672-0 (linear)  
NP's CAS codes are 84852-15-3 (branched) and  
25154-52-3 (linear)

*NOTE: Nonylphenol ethoxylates (NPEs) are the most  
commonly marketed alkylphenol polyethoxylates  
(APEs) CAS number is 26027-38-3*

### Summary of Petitioned Use

This limited scope technical report provides updated technical information to the National Organic Standards Board (NOSB), for the support of the sunset review of iodine, listed at 7 CFR 205.603(a)(16) and (b)(4). Iodine is allowed for use as a disinfectant, sanitizer, and medical treatment on livestock operations under § 205.603(a)(16), and as a topical treatment, external parasiticide, or local anesthetic under § 205.603(b)(4).

Iodine was originally recommended by the NOSB for use in organic livestock production in 1995 (NOSB, 2009). It was included on the National List of Allowed and Prohibited Substances (hereafter referred to as the "National List") with the first publication of the National Organic Program (NOP) Final Rule (65 FR 80548). The NOSB has continued to recommend its renewal in 2005, 2010, 2015, and 2019 (NOSB, 2005, 2010, 2015, 2019a). During the 2019 NOSB fall meeting, the NOSB received comments that indicated iodine products without nonylphenol ethoxylates (NPEs) may be available to organic livestock producers (NOSB, 2019b). Public commenters requested that an annotation be added to iodine, prohibiting iodophors containing alkylphenols or alkylphenol ethoxylates (types of nonylphenols and nonylphenol ethoxylates).

This technical report focuses on the availability of iodine products for livestock production without NPEs in the formulation. Furthermore, we report on the potential for NPEs in such products to have detrimental effects on agroecosystems, the environment, and human health.

**Focus Questions Requested by the NOSB****1. How frequently are nonylphenol ethoxylates (NPEs) used in iodine product formulations, used in livestock production?***Background*

At the Fall 2019 NOSB meeting, the NOSB discussed iodine products for use with livestock healthcare [7 CFR 205.603(a)(16) and (b)(4)], in particular as teat dips, during sunset review (NOSB, 2019b). The motion to remove iodine from the list of approved healthcare products did not pass. When discussing the motion to remove iodine, one NOSB member indicated that “iodine-based teat dips are the most commonly used in organic livestock production.” While farmers have indicated that iodine is an essential part of preventive healthcare in milk quality, consumer groups want the iodine to be free of nonylphenol ethoxylates (NPEs). In the oral comments, one dairy farmer indicated that “... we have not run into any issue with finding iodine [teat dipping products] without NPEs and I think it’s definitely become more of an industry standard for that to be the case” (NOSB, 2019b).

The 2015 technical report *Nonylphenol Ethoxylates* (crops) details the manufacturing process for NPEs as well as the persistence of NPEs and nonylphenols (NPs) in the environment (NOP, 2015a). The technical report authors indicate that there was limited information available at the time on the toxicity of NPEs and NPs to terrestrial animals other than rats. There was also limited information on toxicity to invertebrates and plants. The technical report authors did indicate, however, that concerns have been expressed regarding acute and chronic toxicity of NPE and NP to aquatic organisms (NOP, 2015a).

Large commercial dairy herd operations typically use iodine-based treatments pre-milking (66% of herds) and post-milking (84% of herds) (Lopez-Benavides et al., 2016). However, NPE use in the American dairy industry is a market barrier to exporting dairy products, especially to the European Union and China. As a result, there has been a movement to phase out the use of NPEs in the US dairy industry (Sullivan, 2017).

*Frequency/use of NPs and NPEs in iodine products*

Iodine products are available on the market that do not contain NPs or NPEs as surfactants. While most products that we considered in this section of the report do not formulate with NPEs, several may. Of the 251 teat dips considered in one data set, 19 are formulated with NPEs. Around 45% of the teat dips in this data set contain iodine. It is unclear what percentage of these products contained both iodine and NPEs.

The following information comes from an organization that certifies a large number of organic dairy operations (personal communication, November 13, 2023).

- They currently have 251 teat/udder care products in use by their clients.
- Of these, 163 are teat dips and 88 are udder care products.
- Of the 251 products, 115 contain iodine as an ingredient.
- Of the 251 products, 19 formulate with NPEs.
- Of the products that are formulated with NPEs, 16 are teat dips and 3 are udder washes.

Iodine is commonly used as an active ingredient in teat dips. We assume that many of the teat dips reported above by the certification organization include iodine.

The Organic Materials Review Institute (OMRI) has 14 products listed in the teat dip category (OMRI, 2023). Eleven of those products contain iodine. None of the teat dip products are formulated with NPEs. There are also two products listed in the udder care category and neither of these products is formulated with NPEs (or iodine).

The following sanitizing and udder wash products were listed in the 2015 technical report, *Iodine* (livestock) and contained NPEs (NOP, 2015b):

- FS-102 Sanitizer and Udderwash
- Udder-san Sanitizer and Udderwash.

120  
121 These products contain iodine, but the iodine is included in the products as NPE-iodine complexes.  
122 According to a notice in the Federal Register, the EPA registration for FS-102 Sanitizer and Udderwash  
123 (EPA Registration Number 8405-3) was cancelled for non-payment of the 2017 EPA maintenance fee  
124 (83 FR 16087). Of the brand name products identified in the 2015 *Iodine* technical report, only Udder-san  
125 Sanitizer and Udderwash is currently available (NOP, 2015b). Two additional brand name products are  
126 also now available, including Dairyland Brand Cow Udder Wash and Clean™ sanitizer (see [Identification of](#)  
127 [Petitioned Substance](#), above).

128  
129 Dyne-o-might, manufactured by Preserve International, is another iodine animal disinfectant product  
130 currently available on the market. It is also sold under the brand name Sanacide LF acid sanitizer.  
131 According to the product label, the primary use of this disinfectant is in poultry houses to control  
132 gangrenous dermatitis caused by *Clostridium perfringens* (US EPA, 2016). It is also used in footbaths placed  
133 at the entrance to poultry houses. The manufacturer recommends its use as “a course spray for the floors of  
134 poultry houses, cattle barns, swine facilities, ratite facilities, zoos, and other animal-raising facilities which  
135 require disinfecting in the presence of high levels of organic matter found in such areas including soil, dirt,  
136 litter, blood and feces.” The label indicates that the iodine in this product is not complexed with NPEs, but  
137 instead is in the form of a polyoxyethylene-polyoxypropylene block polymer iodine complex (US EPA,  
138 2016).

139  
140 The main reason to use complexing agents in iodine complexes is to keep the iodine in suspension  
141 (International Products Corporation, 2022). NPs and NPEs are also non-ionic surfactants. Surfactants are  
142 important for removing dirt from the surface. Surfactants have a hydrophobic (water-fearing) tail and a  
143 hydrophilic (water-loving) head. Since hydrophobic compounds avoid water, the tails of each surfactant  
144 surround the dirt. The water loving head is surrounded by water. The surfactant molecules combine to  
145 form micelles with the heads toward the water and the tails grouped together. The micelles work to  
146 remove the dirt. The hydrophilic head is electrically charged, and the surfactant is classified depending on  
147 the type of charge the head has. Non-ionic surfactants such as NP and NPE, have a neutral charge. They  
148 are good at emulsifying oils and removing organic soils (International Products Corporation, 2022).

149  
150 *Other sources of NPEs*

151 Udder washes and teat dips are not the only sources of NPEs on a dairy farm (Sullivan, 2017). Simply  
152 switching to NPE-free teat dips may not be enough to lower bulk tank NPE levels to below detectable  
153 limits. Household laundry detergent has been NPE-free for many years, but some commercial laundry  
154 detergent manufacturers may still be using NPEs. NPEs can persist in cloth for a long time. In addition,  
155 some textiles contain NPEs, so new towels may contain NPEs. Towels have been a major factor on farms  
156 trying to reduce NPE levels in the bulk tanks (Sullivan, 2017).

157  
158 There are other sources of NPEs on a dairy farm as well (Sullivan, 2017). If NPE-containing products have  
159 been previously used with equipment on the farm, these NPEs can leach back out of the rubber or plastic  
160 parts. These include parts used in teat-dip drums and totes, sprayer lines, and equipment. Gaskets,  
161 inflations, hoses, and anything rubber or plastic that could contact milk may retain NPE. Surveys of  
162 municipal drinking water supplies have shown trace levels of NPEs, and dairies with well water may also  
163 see high NPE levels (Sullivan, 2017).

164  
165 In a guidance document on the use of NPEs, Pennsylvania Certified Organic (PCO) lists several products  
166 that could include NPEs (Pennsylvania Certified Organic, 2020). In addition to teat dips and udder washes,  
167 they include footbath solutions, laundry detergent, surface cleaners, dish detergents, pesticides, and  
168 fertilizers. These products should be considered when trying to remove NPEs from a farm. The document  
169 does stress, however, that iodine teat dips are the main sources of NPE on dairy farms, and PCO  
170 recommends 23 alternatives to iodine teat dips (Pennsylvania Certified Organic, 2020).

171

172 **2. What is the potential for nonylphenol ethoxylates (NPEs), used in iodine product formulations, to have**  
173 **detrimental effects on agroecosystems and the environment? If there is potential, describe it.**  
174

175 *Background*

176 In April 2023, the FDA finalized the new criteria to be used for approval of teat antiseptic products (US  
177 FDA, 2023). In the revised guidelines, the manufacturers must address the potential environmental impact  
178 of a requested product. This involves:

- 179 • Estimating the mobility of the emitted chemicals in air, soil, and water.
- 180 • Researching the chemical degradation, biological transformation, or subsequent accumulation of  
181 the product or by-products.
- 182 • Determining the ecotoxicological effects resulting from exposure to those emitted chemicals.
- 183 • Predicting any potential effects on natural resources, endangered species, or historical places.

184  
185 The primary use of nonylphenols (NPs) is as an intermediate in the production of NPEs (US EPA, 2010).  
186 Given their polar and non-volatile nature, NPs are shown to be persistent in the aquatic environment. They  
187 are moderately bioaccumulative and extremely toxic to aquatic organisms. NPEs are less toxic than NPs,  
188 but still highly toxic to aquatic organisms. In the environment, NPEs degrade to the more environmentally  
189 persistent NPs. NPEs can come in different chain sizes. In general, shorter chains have increased toxicity  
190 (US EPA, 2010).

191  
192 The degradation products of nonylphenol polyethoxylates include the simplest forms of NPEs and  
193 nonylphenol carboxylic acids (Domene et al., 2009).<sup>1</sup> These are the most toxic end-products and have a  
194 higher environmental persistence. NPs have the highest toxicity in both plant and soil invertebrate  
195 bioassays. Although the apparent ecotoxicological risk is limited, the risks should not be disregarded since  
196 elevated levels can be found in some runoff events. Organic farms typically have runoff mitigation plans in  
197 place as part of their organic plan, reducing this concern (Domene et al., 2009).

198  
199 The 2015 *Nonylphenol Ethoxylates* technical report (crops) included a discussion of environmental effects  
200 (NOP, 2015a). In crop production, adjuvants, such as NPEs are used to break water surface tension,  
201 allowing active ingredients to be more evenly dispersed on a surface and able to reach their targets  
202 (Czarnota & Thomas, 2023). In answer to evaluation question 4, “Describe the persistence or concentration  
203 of the petitioned substance and/or its by-products in the environment,” the *Nonylphenol Ethoxylates*  
204 technical report describes the environmental fate and persistence of NPEs and their ultimate breakdown  
205 products, which are NPs (NOP, 2015a).

206  
207 *Regulation*

208 Due to the deleterious effects of NPs in the environment, the use and production of NPEs have been  
209 banned in European Union countries and is strictly monitored in many other countries, including Canada  
210 and Japan (Soares et al., 2008). NPs and NPEs are on the REACH annex XVII list of restricted substances in  
211 the European Union where their use has been almost eliminated (ChemSafety Pro, 2016).

212  
213 In 2014, the United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) proposed a Significant New Use Rule  
214 (SNUR) requiring the agency to review the use of fifteen NP and NPEs. In addition, NP and NPEs have  
215 been added to the list of chemicals included in the Toxic Substances Control Act since they “present or may  
216 present an unreasonable risk of injury to health or the environment.” They have also been added to the  
217 Toxics Release Inventory list. NP and NPEs have been found in environmental samples collected from  
218 freshwater, saltwater, and groundwater, and in sediment, soil, and aquatic biota (US EPA, 2023).

219  
220 In November of 2016, the EPA proposed adding a NPEs category to the list of toxic chemicals subject to  
221 reporting under section 313 of the Emergency Planning and Community Right-to-Know Act (EPCRA), and  
222 section 6607 of the Pollution Prevention Act (PPA) (81 FR 80624). The EPA determined that short-chain  
223 NPEs are highly toxic to aquatic organisms (US EPA, 2010). While long chain NPEs are not as toxic as

---

<sup>1</sup> The term polyethoxylate is defined as a polymer of monomer ethoxylates. It indicates that there is a chain of ethoxylates. Monoethoxylate is a single ethoxylate. Diethoxylate has two. Polyethoxylate indicates a long chain of ethoxylates.

224 short-chain NPEs, they can break down in the environment to short-chain NPEs and NP, both of which are  
225 highly toxic to aquatic organisms. These changes were finalized in 2018 (83 FR 27291).

226

227 *Effects on vertebrates*

228 The estrogenic nature of NPs may cause adverse effects on animals (Soares et al., 2008). Due to their  
229 structural similarity, NPs can mimic the natural hormone 17 $\beta$ -oestradiol, competing for the estrogen  
230 receptor binding sites. The adverse effects of NPs in the aquatic environment include feminization of  
231 aquatic organisms, a decrease in male fertility, and decreased survival of juveniles (Soares et al., 2008).

232

233 Early research showed that NP, nonylphenol monoethoxylate, and nonylphenol diethoxylate were found  
234 in tissues of freshwater organisms in Switzerland (Ahel et al., 1993). Higher concentrations were found in  
235 macrolytic algae, such as *Cladophora glomerta*, with the bioconcentration factors reaching up to 10,000x.  
236 Lower levels of NPs were found in some other algae species. The levels in fish and wild duck were lower,  
237 suggesting that biomagnification did not take place in these species (Ahel et al., 1993).

238

239 Uptake of NPs and NPEs in aquatic organisms occurs through two possible pathways – through the gills  
240 when breathing, and through the digestive tract when eating (Chokwe et al., 2017). Higher concentrations  
241 of NPs and NPEs are reported in those organisms with higher exposure to sediments. This suggests that  
242 water may not always be the main source of NPs and NPEs. In some species, however, uptake can occur  
243 via both pathways. Since NPs are the breakdown product of NPEs, they are the toxic substance of most  
244 concern. Among marine organisms, gastropods and bivalves are the most sensitive to the effects of NPs,  
245 followed by fish, other vertebrates, and algae (Chokwe et al., 2017; Oliveira-Filho et al., 2009).

246

247 An evaluation of the effects of NP and NPEs on adiposity, growth, and development of zebrafish (*Danio*  
248 *rerio*) demonstrated that NPEs promoted weight gain and increased fat deposition (Kassotis et al., 2022). In  
249 addition, evaluation of NP and NPEs on human mesenchymal stem cells demonstrated their ability to  
250 promote fat deposition (Kassotis et al., 2022).

251

252 NPs are common by-products of NPE biodegradation and known to be environmentally persistent and  
253 elicit an estrogenic response in both mammals and fish (Bin-Dohaish, 2008). In a bioassay study, tilapia  
254 (*Oreochromis spilurs*) were exposed to different concentrations of 4-NP for a month, and plasma samples  
255 were collected for hormonal determination. In addition, the researchers removed the gonads of the fish for  
256 histological examination. Exposure of tilapia to 4-NP significantly affected plasma estradiol-17 $\beta$  and  
257 testosterone concentrations in both males and females. Estradiol-17 $\beta$  levels were significantly increased in  
258 both males and females, while testosterone levels were decreased in the males. Male tilapia experienced  
259 testicular regression due to vitellogenin induction (an egg-yolk protein), a process that normally depends  
260 on endogenous estrogens. There was reduction in seminiferous lobules, disruption of cyst formation,  
261 decrease in spermatids and spermatozoa, and increase in interstitial fibrous connective tissue. There was  
262 also Leydige cell damage and the appearance of testis-ova. In the ovaries of the females there was  
263 malformation of the previtellogenic oocytes and degeneration of mature ova. The bioassay demonstrated  
264 the harmful estrogenic effects of environmentally-persistent NP on the reproduction of tilapia (Bin-  
265 Dohaish, 2008).

266

### 267 *Effects on invertebrates*

268 Researchers conducted bioassays with NPs and NPEs, focusing on the survival and reproduction of two  
269 plants and three animals (Domene et al., 2009):

- 270 • perennial ryegrass (*Lolium perenne*)
- 271 • field mustard (*Brassica rapa*)
- 272 • earthworm (*Eisenia andrei*)
- 273 • potworm (*Enchytraeus crypticus*)<sup>2</sup>
- 274 • springtail (*Folsomia candida*)<sup>3</sup>

275  
276 The researchers assessed the effect of different concentrations of the NPs and NPEs in three different soils  
277 on lethal and sublethal endpoints for the organisms (Domene et al., 2009). The researchers found  
278 contrasting toxicities between the plants and invertebrates, with clearly different toxicities in different soils.

- 279 • NPs were more toxic than NPEs, which is consistent with previously published studies.
- 280 • Plants were affected less by NPs and NPEs, compared with invertebrates. The effects on plants in  
281 the study were difficult to detect.
- 282 • For the soil invertebrates, reproduction was the most affected endpoint compared to growth or  
283 survival.
- 284 • Toxicity was the lowest in the OECD artificial soil (Organization of Economic Co-operation and  
285 Development) compared to the natural soils. The OECD soils have the highest organic matter  
286 content.

287 The bioassays used high levels of contamination, which the researchers indicated might be higher than  
288 expected in soils contaminated with sewage runoff as the source of the NPs (Domene et al., 2009).

289

### 290 *Effects on microorganisms*

291 There is limited research on the effect of NPs and NPEs on the microbial communities in soil. When soils  
292 are exposed to high levels of NP concentrations, which could represent worse case scenarios with  
293 accidental spills, the plants, fauna, and microorganisms have been negatively impacted (Mattana et al.,  
294 2019). The highest concentrations (270 mg NP/kg) negatively impacted both bacterial and fungal  
295 community structures (i.e. species richness and evenness). Fungal communities were negatively affected at  
296 all concentrations, with the impact increasing with concentrations. Bacterial communities, however, were  
297 resistant to lower concentrations ( $\leq 90$  mg NP/kg) (Mattana et al., 2019).

298

### 299 *Effects on plants*

300 Plants grown on contaminated soil can also take up and bioaccumulate NPs. For example, broad beans  
301 were able to take up NPs from soils treated with NP-contaminated sewage sludge. The NP concentrations  
302 were highest in the roots, compared to shoots and seeds. This may be relevant to dietary intake of root food  
303 crops (Sjöström et al., 2008).

304

305 Fruits and vegetables may contain NPs at levels twenty times higher than the 'no observable effect level'  
306 (NOEL) set for freshwater algae (de Bruin et al., 2019). NPs can burden the plant's natural defense system,  
307 which can lead to several possible growth disorders, depending on the plant involved. The result is growth  
308 reduction, changes in organelle structure, and oxidative damage to the cells (de Bruin et al., 2019).

309

310 Internationally, the production of algae is a valuable resource for feeding poultry with local ingredients. It  
311 is also used for human consumption, for example species in the genus *Chlorella*. *Chlorella vulgaris* can  
312 bioaccumulate NPs, making it potentially toxic to both poultry and humans (Sun et al., 2014).

313

314 NP and NPEs can be very toxic to some plants, such as wheat seedlings (Zhang et al., 2016). In one study,  
315 the level of toxicity was dependent on the NPE chain length, with longer chains resulting in less toxic

---

<sup>2</sup> Enchytraeid species thrive in moist containers filled with peaty, acidic soil, giving them the nickname "potworms" (Fountain & Hopkin, 2005). They are beneficial garden worms, similar to a smaller earthworm.

<sup>3</sup> Collembola species are colloquially referred to as "springtails" (Fountain & Hopkin, 2005). Specifically, *F. candida* is a common and widespread arthropod found in soils throughout the world. It has been used as a standard test organism for more than 40 years to estimate the effects of pesticides and environmental pollutants on nontarget soil arthropods.

316 effects. NPs caused 50% root and shoot growth inhibition at the lowest concentration studied (0.2 mg/L).  
317 The wheat seeds were soaked in beakers that contained the different concentrations of Hoagland's nutrient  
318 solutions, consisting of NP, NP4EO, and NP10EO (note: 4EO and 10EO refer to the average NPE chain  
319 length of the nonylphenol polyethoxylates). While NP10EO had limited effect on wheat seed germination,  
320 there was significant germination reduction with increasing concentrations of NP4EO and NP. The  
321 inhibition effect was highest for NP (Zhang et al., 2016).  
322

323 **3. What is the potential for nonylphenol ethoxylates (NPEs), used in iodine product formulations, to have**  
324 **detrimental effects on human health? If there is potential, describe it.**

325 As early as 1984, it was known that degradation of NPEs in the environment leads to high concentrations  
326 of NPs in sewage sludge (Giger et al., 1984). NPs have been shown to exhibit estrogenic properties in both  
327 *in vitro* and *in vivo* assays (US EPA, 2023). NPs are also associated with reproductive and developmental  
328 effects in rodents (Gu et al., 2018). NPs have been detected in human breast milk, blood, and urine (US  
329 EPA, 2023). NPs have also been shown to adversely affect human pregnancy (Bechi et al., 2010).  
330

331 The review by Acir and Guenther (2018) provides a detailed discussion of the environmental occurrences  
332 and toxicity of alkylphenol ethoxylates (including nonylphenol ethoxylates). They discuss the estrogenic  
333 potential of the compounds, as well as the effects on the nervous system and cognitive function, and the  
334 effects on the immune system. NPs are an alkylphenol of particular concern (Acir & Guenther, 2018).<sup>4</sup>  
335

336 The authors of the *Nonylphenol Ethoxylates* (crops) technical report also looked at the effects of NPEs and  
337 NPs on human health and the report includes references to many early research reports (NOP, 2015a).  
338 Human exposure to NPs, the final degradation production of NPEs, can occur through contaminated water  
339 and food products. NP has a lipophilic nature, so it can accumulate in animal tissues.  
340

341 There are a number of reported adverse effects of NPs on humans. It may have estrogenic properties that  
342 can affect the development of female reproductive tissues such as breasts, uterus, and ovaries, leading to  
343 increased tumor development (Noorimotlagh et al., 2020). NPs can also affect male reproduction (Kang et  
344 al., 2003). It also has the ability to downregulate insulin signaling in the liver of rats, which can lead to  
345 insulin-resistance (Jubendradass et al., 2012). There are, however, no reports on the effect of NPs on  
346 diabetics.  
347

348 Exposure to branched NP (4-nonylphenol) may adversely affect the intestinal lining of humans (Lepretti et  
349 al., 2015). Researchers have found NPs to be cytotoxic to cells, as indicated by a reduction in the number of  
350 cells and a decrement of mitochondrial functionality after 24 hours. It also had negative effects on cell  
351 survival. It was concluded that prolonged gastrointestinal exposure to 4-NP may result in damage to the  
352 lining of the digestive tract, impairing its normal functions (Lepretti et al., 2015).  
353

354 Studies of a human breast adenocarcinoma cell line clearly demonstrated that short-chain NPEs are potent  
355 tumor-initiators and promoters (Toyooka et al., 2012). Short-chain NPEs were shown to phosphorylate  
356 histone ( $\gamma$ -H2AX), which is regarded as a sensitive marker for DNA damage. Low doses of NPs may  
357 promote growth of colon cancer cells in mice (Xie et al., 2019).  
358

---

<sup>4</sup> Alkylphenol is defined as a phenol having one or more alkyl groups attached to the carbon ring. Alkylphenols are organic industrial chemicals used in the production of lubricating oil additives, laundry and dish detergents, emulsifiers, and solubilizers. They are also used in personal care products, especially hair products, as an active component of many spermicides, various laboratory detergents, and some pesticide formulations.

359  
360  
361  
362  
363  
364  
365  
366  
367  
368  
369  
370  
371  
372  
373  
374  
375  
376  
377  
378  
379  
380  
381  
382  
383  
384  
385  
386  
387  
388  
389  
390  
391  
392  
393  
394  
395  
396  
397  
398  
399  
400  
401  
402  
403  
404  
405  
406  
407  
408  
409  
410  
411  
412  
413  
414  
415  
416

## Report Authorship

The following individuals were involved in research, data collection, writing, editing, and/or final approval of this report:

- Dr. Jacqueline Jacob, Poultry Extension Project Manager, University of Kentucky
- Doug Currier, Technical Director, OMRI
- Peter O. Bungum, Research and Education Manager, OMRI
- Ashley Shaw, Technical Assistant, OMRI

All individuals are in compliance with Federal Acquisition Regulations (FAR) Subpart 3.11 – Preventing Personal Conflicts of Interest for Contractor Employees Performing Acquisition Functions.

## References

- Acir, I.-H., & Guenther, K. (2018). Endocrine-disrupting metabolites of alkylphenol ethoxylates – A critical review of analytical methods, environmental occurrences, toxicity, and regulation. *Science of The Total Environment*, 635, 1530–1546. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2018.04.079>
- Ahel, M., McEvoy, J., & Giger, W. (1993). Bioaccumulation of the lipophilic metabolites of nonionic surfactants in freshwater organisms. *Environmental Pollution*, 79(3), 243–248. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0269-7491\(93\)90096-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/0269-7491(93)90096-7)
- Bechi, N., Ietta, F., Romagnoli, R., Jantra, S., Cencini, M., Galassi, G., Serchi, T., Corsi, I., Focardi, S., & Paulesu, L. (2010). Environmental levels of *para*-nonylphenol are able to affect cytokine secretion in human placenta. *Environmental Health Perspectives*, 118(3), 427–431. <https://doi.org/10.1289/ehp.0900882>
- Bin-Dohaish, E.-G. A. (2008). Effects of environmental pollution with alkylphenol (4-nonyl phenol) on reproduction of tilapia, *oreochromus spilurs* (teleosts). *Egyptian Journal of Aquatic Research*, 34(2), 336–355.
- ChemSafety Pro. (2016, November 27). *Nonylphenol and Nonylphenol Ethoxylates*. ChemSafety Pro. [https://www.chemsafetypro.com/Topics/Restriction/REACH\\_annex\\_XVII\\_Nonylphenol\\_and\\_Nonylphenol\\_Ethoxylates.html](https://www.chemsafetypro.com/Topics/Restriction/REACH_annex_XVII_Nonylphenol_and_Nonylphenol_Ethoxylates.html)
- Chokwe, T. B., Okonkwo, J. O., & Sibali, L. L. (2017). Distribution, exposure pathways, sources and toxicity of nonylphenol and nonylphenol ethoxylates in the environment. *Water SA*, 43(4), 529. <https://doi.org/10.4314/wsa.v43i4.01>
- Czarnota, M., & Thomas, P. (2023). Using surfactants, wetting agents and adjuvants in the greenhouse. *The University of Georgia Cooperative Extension*, 1–8.
- de Bruin, W., Kritzinger, Q., Bornman, R., & Korsten, L. (2019). Occurrence, fate and toxic effects of the industrial endocrine disrupter, nonylphenol, on plants – A review. *Ecotoxicology and Environmental Safety*, 181, 419–427. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoenv.2019.06.009>
- Domene, X., Ramírez, W., Solà, L., Alcañiz, J. M., & Andrés, P. (2009). Soil pollution by nonylphenol and nonylphenol ethoxylates and their effects to plants and invertebrates. *Journal of Soils and Sediments*, 9(6), 555–567. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11368-009-0117-6>
- Fountain, M. T., & Hopkin, S. P. (2005). *Folsomia candida* (collembola): A “standard” soil arthropod. *Annual Review of Entomology*, 50(1), 201–222. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.ento.50.071803.130331>
- Giger, W., Brunner, P. H., & Schaffner, C. (1984). 4-nonylphenol in sewage sludge: Accumulation of toxic metabolites from nonionic surfactants. *Science*, 225(4662), 623–625. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.6740328>
- Gu, W., Wang, Y., Qiu, Z., Dong, J., Wang, Y., & Chen, J. (2018). Maternal exposure to nonylphenol during pregnancy and lactation induces microglial cell activation and pro-inflammatory cytokine production in offspring hippocampus. *Science of The Total Environment*, 634, 525–533. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2018.03.329>
- International Products Corporation. (2022, January 12). *An Easy Guide to Understanding How Surfactants Work*.

- 417  
418 Jubendradass, R., D’Cruz, S., & Mathur, P. (2012). Long-term exposure to nonylphenol affects insulin signaling in the  
419 liver of adult male rats. *Human & Experimental Toxicology*, 31(9), 868–876.  
420 <https://doi.org/10.1177/0960327111426587>  
421
- 422 Kang, L. N., Wang, Y., & Han, X. D. (2003). Effects of nonylphenol on the reproductive system of male animals. *National*  
423 *Journal of Andrology*, 9, 539–542.  
424
- 425 Kassotis, C., LeFauve, M., Chiang, Y.-T., Knuth, M., Schkoda, S., & Kullman, S. (2022). Nonylphenol polyethoxylates  
426 enhance adipose deposition in developmentally exposed zebrafish. *Toxics*, 10(2), 1–20.  
427 <https://doi.org/10.3390/toxics10020099>  
428
- 429 Lepretti, M., Paoletta, G., Giordano, D., Marabotti, A., Gay, F., Capaldo, A., Esposito, C., & Caputo, I. (2015). 4-  
430 Nonylphenol reduces cell viability and induces apoptosis and ER-stress in a human epithelial intestinal cell  
431 line. *Toxicology in Vitro*, 29(7), 1436–1444. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tiv.2015.04.022>  
432
- 433 Lopez-Benavides, M. L., Hemling, T., Lombard, J. E., & Timms, L. L. (2016). Trends in the USA that are challenging the  
434 status quo in milking routines. *2016 Proceedings of the New Zealand Milk Quality Conference*, 29–37.  
435 [https://www.researchgate.net/profile/Thomas-](https://www.researchgate.net/profile/Thomas-Hemling/publication/309230686_Trends_in_the_USA_that_are_challenging_the_status_quo_in_milking_routines/links/580627cc08ae03256b76b9c5/Trends-in-the-USA-that-are-challenging-the-status-quo-in-milking-routines.pdf)  
436 [Hemling/publication/309230686 Trends in the USA that are challenging the status quo in milking rou-](https://www.researchgate.net/profile/Thomas-Hemling/publication/309230686_Trends_in_the_USA_that_are_challenging_the_status_quo_in_milking_routines/links/580627cc08ae03256b76b9c5/Trends-in-the-USA-that-are-challenging-the-status-quo-in-milking-routines.pdf)  
437 [tines/links/580627cc08ae03256b76b9c5/Trends-in-the-USA-that-are-challenging-the-status-quo-in-milking-](https://www.researchgate.net/profile/Thomas-Hemling/publication/309230686_Trends_in_the_USA_that_are_challenging_the_status_quo_in_milking_routines/links/580627cc08ae03256b76b9c5/Trends-in-the-USA-that-are-challenging-the-status-quo-in-milking-routines.pdf)  
438 [routines.pdf](https://www.researchgate.net/profile/Thomas-Hemling/publication/309230686_Trends_in_the_USA_that_are_challenging_the_status_quo_in_milking_routines/links/580627cc08ae03256b76b9c5/Trends-in-the-USA-that-are-challenging-the-status-quo-in-milking-routines.pdf)  
439
- 440 Mattana, S., Chelinho, S., Sousa, J. P., Alcañiz, J. M., & Domene, X. (2019). Nonylphenol causes shifts in microbial  
441 communities and nitrogen mineralization in soil microcosms. *Ecotoxicology and Environmental Safety*, 181, 395–  
442 403. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoenv.2019.06.032>  
443
- 444 Noorimotlagh, Z., Mirzaee, S. A., Martinez, S. S., Rachoñ, D., Hoseinzadeh, M., & Jaafarzadeh, N. (2020).  
445 Environmental exposure to nonylphenol and cancer progression risk—A systematic review. *Environmental*  
446 *Research*, 184, 1–12. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envres.2020.109263>  
447
- 448 NOP. (2015a). *Technical evaluation report crops: Nonylphenol ethoxylates*. National Organic Program.  
449 [https://www.ams.usda.gov/sites/default/files/media/NPE%20Technical%20Evaluation%20Report%20%28](https://www.ams.usda.gov/sites/default/files/media/NPE%20Technical%20Evaluation%20Report%20%282015%29.pdf)  
450 [2015%29.pdf](https://www.ams.usda.gov/sites/default/files/media/NPE%20Technical%20Evaluation%20Report%20%282015%29.pdf)  
451
- 452 NOP. (2015b). *Technical evaluation report livestock: Iodine* (p. 22). National Organic Program.  
453 <https://www.ams.usda.gov/sites/default/files/media/Iodine%20TR%202015.pdf>  
454
- 455 NOSB. (2005). *Formal recommendation by the National Organic Standards Board (NOSB) to the National Organic Program*  
456 *(NOP): Sunset review of National List substances used in livestock production*. National Organic Program.  
457 [https://www.ams.usda.gov/sites/default/files/media/NOP%20Livestock%20Committee%20Sunset%20Rec](https://www.ams.usda.gov/sites/default/files/media/NOP%20Livestock%20Committee%20Sunset%20Rec.pdf)  
458 [.pdf](https://www.ams.usda.gov/sites/default/files/media/NOP%20Livestock%20Committee%20Sunset%20Rec.pdf)  
459
- 460 NOSB. (2009). *NOSB meeting minutes & transcripts, 1992-2009*. National Organic Program.  
461 <https://www.ams.usda.gov/rules-regulations/organic/petitioned-substances/magnesium-carbonate>  
462
- 463 NOSB. (2010). *Formal recommendation by the National Organic Standards Board (NOSB) to the National Organic Program*  
464 *(NOP): Sunset Review of National List substances (§205.603) allowed in livestock production*. National Organic  
465 Program.  
466 [https://www.ams.usda.gov/sites/default/files/media/NOP%20Final%20Rec%20Sunset%202012%20Rec%20](https://www.ams.usda.gov/sites/default/files/media/NOP%20Final%20Rec%20Sunset%202012%20Rec%20Synthetic%20Substances%20Allowed%20in%20Organic%20Livestock.pdf)  
467 [0Synthetic%20Substances%20Allowed%20in%20Organic%20Livestock.pdf](https://www.ams.usda.gov/sites/default/files/media/NOP%20Final%20Rec%20Sunset%202012%20Rec%20Synthetic%20Substances%20Allowed%20in%20Organic%20Livestock.pdf)  
468
- 469 NOSB. (2015). *Sunset 2017. NOSB final review. Livestock substances §§205.603-205.604*. National Organic Program.  
470 [https://www.ams.usda.gov/sites/default/files/media/LS%202017%20Sunset%20Final%20Rvw\\_final%20rec](https://www.ams.usda.gov/sites/default/files/media/LS%202017%20Sunset%20Final%20Rvw_final%20rec.pdf)  
471 [.pdf](https://www.ams.usda.gov/sites/default/files/media/LS%202017%20Sunset%20Final%20Rvw_final%20rec.pdf)  
472
- 473 NOSB. (2019a). *Formal Recommendation. From: National Organic Standards Board (NOSB). To: The National Organic Program*  
474 *(NOP). Sunset reviews – Livestock 2021*. National Organic Program.  
475 <https://www.ams.usda.gov/sites/default/files/media/LS2021SunsetReviews.pdf>  
476

- 477 NOSB. (2019b). *National Organic Standards Board oral comment webinar*. National Organic Program.  
478 <https://www.ams.usda.gov/sites/default/files/media/NOSBFall2019MeetingTranscripts.pdf>  
479
- 480 Oliveira-Filho, E. C., Grisolia, C. K., & Paumgartten, F. J. R. (2009). Trans-generation study of the effects of nonylphenol  
481 ethoxylate on the reproduction of the snail *Biomphalaria tenagophila*. *Ecotoxicology and Environmental Safety*,  
482 72(2), 458–465. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoenv.2007.10.008>  
483
- 484 OMRI. (2023). *OMRI Products List*. Organic Materials Review Institute.  
485
- 486 Pennsylvania Certified Organic. (2020). *Use of NPE in organic livestock production*. Pennsylvania Certified Organic.  
487 [https://paorganic.org/wp-content/uploads/2021/01/Guidance-Ruminants-Use-of-NPE-in-Organic-](https://paorganic.org/wp-content/uploads/2021/01/Guidance-Ruminants-Use-of-NPE-in-Organic-Livestock-Production.pdf)  
488 [Livestock-Production.pdf](https://paorganic.org/wp-content/uploads/2021/01/Guidance-Ruminants-Use-of-NPE-in-Organic-Livestock-Production.pdf)  
489
- 490 Sjöström, Å. E., Collins, C. D., Smith, S. R., & Shaw, G. (2008). Degradation and plant uptake of nonylphenol (NP) and  
491 nonylphenol-12-ethoxylate (NP12EO) in four contrasting agricultural soils. *Environmental Pollution*, 156(3),  
492 1284–1289. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2008.03.005>  
493
- 494 Soares, A., Guieysse, B., Jefferson, B., Cartmell, E., & Lester, J. N. (2008). Nonylphenol in the environment: A critical  
495 review on occurrence, fate, toxicity and treatment in wastewaters. *Environment International*, 34(7), 1033–1049.  
496 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envint.2008.01.004>  
497
- 498 Sullivan, H. M. (2017, January 18). *Eliminating NPEs on your dairy*. Progressive Dairy.  
499 <https://www.agproud.com/articles/19095-eliminating-npes-on-your-dairy>  
500
- 501 Sun, H.-W., Hu, H.-W., Wang, L., Yang, Y., & Huang, G.-L. (2014). The bioconcentration and degradation of  
502 nonylphenol and nonylphenol polyethoxylates by *Chlorella vulgaris*. *International Journal of Molecular Sciences*,  
503 15(1), 1255–1270. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms15011255>  
504
- 505 Toyooka, T., Kubota, T., & Ibuki, Y. (2012). Nonylphenol polyethoxylates induce phosphorylation of histone H2AX.  
506 *Mutation Research/Genetic Toxicology and Environmental Mutagenesis*, 741(1–2), 57–64.  
507 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mrgentox.2011.10.006>  
508
- 509 US EPA. (2010). *Nonylphenol (NP) and Nonylphenol Ethoxylates (NPEs) Action Plan*.  
510
- 511 US EPA. (2016). *Letter to: Ms. Tamra Barrick. Notification per PRN 98-10 – Minor Label Changes to Reflect Acquisition.*  
512 *Product name: Dyne-o-might. EPA Registration Number 66171-6.* US EPA, Office of Chemical Safety and Pollution  
513 Prevention. [https://www3.epa.gov/pesticides/chem\\_search/ppls/066171-00006-20161205.pdf](https://www3.epa.gov/pesticides/chem_search/ppls/066171-00006-20161205.pdf)  
514
- 515 US EPA. (2023, March 24). *Risk Management for Nonylphenol and Nonylphenol Ethoxylates*. [EPA.Gov](https://www.epa.gov/risk/risk-management-for-nonylphenol-and-nonylphenol-ethoxylates).  
516
- 517 US FDA. (2023, April). *Guidance document: CVM GFI #50 target animal and human food safety, drug efficacy, environmental*  
518 *and manufacturing studies for teat antiseptic products*. U.S. Food and Drug Administration.  
519 [https://www.fda.gov/regulatory-information/search-fda-guidance-documents/cvm-gfi-50-target-animal-](https://www.fda.gov/regulatory-information/search-fda-guidance-documents/cvm-gfi-50-target-animal-and-human-food-safety-drug-efficacy-environmental-and-manufacturing-studies#enviro)  
520 [and-human-food-safety-drug-efficacy-environmental-and-manufacturing-studies#enviro](https://www.fda.gov/regulatory-information/search-fda-guidance-documents/cvm-gfi-50-target-animal-and-human-food-safety-drug-efficacy-environmental-and-manufacturing-studies#enviro)  
521
- 522 Xie, M., Liang, J.-L., Huang, H.-D., Wang, M.-J., Zhang, T., & Yang, X.-F. (2019). Low doses of nonylphenol promote  
523 growth of colon cancer cells through activation of ERK1/2 via g protein–coupled receptor 30. *Cancer Research*  
524 *and Treatment*, 51(4), 1620–1631. <https://doi.org/10.4143/crt.2018.340>  
525
- 526 Zhang, Q., Wang, F., Xue, C., Wang, C., Chi, S., & Zhang, J. (2016). Comparative toxicity of nonylphenol, nonylphenol-  
527 4-ethoxylate and nonylphenol-10-ethoxylate to wheat seedlings (*Triticum aestivum* L.). *Ecotoxicology and*  
528 *Environmental Safety*, 131, 7–13. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoenv.2016.04.035>  
529