Agricultural Marketing Service

7 CFR Part 1000

[Doc. No. 23-J-0067; MAS-DA-23-0031]

Milk in the Northeast and Other Marketing Areas

Proposal 2 – Milk Composition

Opening Statement of Erick Metzger representing National All-Jersey Inc.

## 1. Introductory comments

- a. The objective of proposals should be to improve the accuracy of the price formulas thereby increasing uniform pricing among handlers and producers and reducing disorderly marketing.
- b. Current skim component factors 3.10% P, 5.90% OS, 9.00% NFS used in the Class III and Class IV skim milk price formulas do not accurately skim component content of average producer milk.
- c. Outdated skim component factors cause Class I skim values to be misaligned with manufacturing skim values, thereby valuing Class I skim less than manufacturing skim and disincentivizing milk from serving the Class I market.

#### 2. NAJ Exhibit 1

- a. Annual skim components, MCP orders, 2014-2022
- b. The three-year average following 2016 closely mirrored 2016 annual average.
- The three-year average following 2019 did not meet NMPF minimum threshold of
  0.07% NFS required to update skim component factors.
- d. The three-year average following 2020 did meet minimum threshold to update skim component factors. Updated factors of 3.29% P, 6.00% OS, and 9.29% NFS, would be used for milk marketed during 2022, 2023, 2024.
- e. By 2022 national average skim components were 3.39% P, 6.03% OS, and 9.41% NFS.
- f. The rate of skim increase in skim components is accelerating.

- g. Can be expected to continue.
  - i. Genetics
  - ii. Production quotas and base/excess programs
  - iii. Robotic milking

### 3. NAJ Exhibit 2

- a. Comparison of Class I, III, and IV Skim Values (at test) for 2021 and 2022.
- Demonstrates the misalignment between current Class I skim values and manufacturing skim values and how updated skim component factors restore alignment.
  - i. 2021
    - 1. Class I skim value \$10.83
    - 2. Class III skim value \$11.13 (at test)
    - 3. Class IV skim value \$9.83 (at test)
    - 4. Because Class III had the highest value, handlers opted to depool Class III.
    - 5. Update skim component factors would value Class I skim at \$11.26, higher than either Class III or Class IV, incentivizing handlers to pool both Classes.

### ii. 2022

- 1. Class I skim value \$13.03.
- 2. Class III skim value \$11.36 (at test)
- 3. Class IV skim value \$13.40 (at test)
- 4. Class IV had the highest value, handlers opted to depool.
- 5. Updated skim component factors would value Class I at \$13.55, higher than either Class III or Class IV, incentivizing handlers to pool both Classes.
- iii. Depooled milk has three consequences pertinent to these proceeding:
  - 1. Depooled milk increases nonuniformity of pries paid by handlers.
  - 2. Depooled milk increases nonuniformity of prices paid to producers.

3. Depooled milk is never available to serve the Class I market.

### 4. NAJ Exhibit 3

- a. Impact of updated skim component factors on Classes II, III, and IV in order 5, 6, and 7 from 2019-2022.
- b. Focused on 5, 6, and 7 because over 70% of milk provided data. Due to confidentiality restrictions, Order 131 data are based on order 124.
- c. 2019 Class II averaged 9.20% NFS
  - i. Greater than current skim component factor of 9.00%
  - ii. Less than proposed skim component factor of 9.24% (2017)
  - iii. Current F/S price \$8.24
  - iv. NAJ proposal \$8.46
  - v. MCP comparison \$8.40
- d. 2019 Class III averaged 3.22% P, 5.98% OS
  - i. Greater than current skim component factors of 3.10% P and 5.90% OS.
  - ii. Less than proposed skim component factor of 3.26% P. Equal to proposed OS factor of 5.98% (2017)
  - iii. Current F/S price \$8.48
  - iv. NAJ proposal \$8.87
  - v. MCP comparison \$8.77
- e. 2019 Class IV averaged 9.21% NFS.
  - i. Greater than the current skim component factor or 9.00%
  - ii. Less than the proposed skim component factor of 9.24% (2017)
  - iii. Current F/S price \$7.79
  - iv. NAJ proposal \$8.04
  - v. MCP comparison \$7.97
- f. The years 2020 through 2022 showed similar outcomes.
- g. Skim components exceeded current skim component factors.
- h. Current factors priced Classes II, III, and IV below MCP value.

- i. NAJ updated factors price milk above MCP value, but updated factors more closely represent actual components than current factors.
- j. Don't let perfect be the enemy of good. Updated skim component factors make the skim price formulas more accurate.

### 5. NAJ Exhibit 4

- Negative Producer Price Differentials in Federal Milk Marketing Orders: Explanations, Implications, and Policy Options – Working Paper 21-01, April 13, 2021, Marin Bozic and Christopher A. Wolfe
- b. Producer price differential (PPD) = difference between total handler obligations to the pool and total component value of milk
- c. When manufacturing milk carries greater value than pool average milk, the higher value manufacturing milk is induced to disassociate from the orders (depooling).
- d. Class I milk does not have the option to depool.
- e. Class I price is based on manufacturing milk prices, plus a Class I differential, which, in theory, should value Class I milk higher than manufacturing milk and discourage depooling.
- f. Working Paper 21-01 examined six reasons and trends why manufacturing milk can be valued higher than Class I milk.
- g. One reason was the difference between pooled skim value of Class I compared to pooled skim value of manufacturing milk.
- h. Class I skim only contributes 3.10% protein, 5.90% other solids, and 9.00% nonfat solids to pooled revenue. However, if Class I skim contains components greater than these standards, Class I skim can draw greater value from pooled revenue than it contributes. Manufacturing skim subsidizes Class I skim, inducing manufacturing skim to depool.
- Outdated skim component factors contributed an average of -\$0.14/cwt. to PPDs during 2020.
- j. Updating skim protein to 3.40% would have added an average of \$0.38/cwt. to PPDs from 2015 through 2020.

k. Updating the standard skim component factors will bring Class I skim value into closer alignment with manufacturing skim value thereby reducing the incentive for manufacturing milk to depool and the accompanying negative consequences.

## 6. Impact on Risk Management Strategies

- a. Risk management programs are increasingly more important to producers, processors, and product buyers.
- b. Changes to price formulas will impact prices utilized in risk management.
- c. CME Group futures contracts traded up to 24 months in the future.
- d. Most widely utilized dairy contract is the Class III Milk Futures.
- e. Outstanding contracts are called open interest.
- f. August 11, 2023, total Class III open interest was 21,029.
- g. Open interest as far in the future as March 2025.
- h. 80% of open interest existed in contracts expiring in the next five months.
- i. 93% of open interest existed in contracts expiring in the next 10 months.
- j. Annual skim components will be known by mid-January each year upon completion of Statistical Uniform Prices for December.
- k. Applied to milk marketed the following January provides an 11-month lead time for Class I if advanced pricing is retained, and 12-month lead time if announced pricing is used.
- I. However, NAJ accepts the hearing record may establish that a longer lead time is warranted.
- m. In addition, FMMO skim component trends can be tracked monthly by interested parties.
- n. NAJ Exhibit 5 shows monthly skim components from January 2019 through December 2022.
- o. Average skim components for the first six months each year predicted annual skim components within 0.01% each year effective adding an additional six months lead time between annual enactment.
- 7. Comparison of Class I Skim Values by Order NAJ Exhibit 6

- a. 2019 through 2022 compares each orders' Class I skim components to:
  - i. National average skim components pooled that year.
  - ii. Skim component factors based on annual updates.
  - iii. Skim component factors based on three-year averages updated every three years.
- b. Annual updates result in skim component factors being more closely aligned with pooled components than using three-year averages updated every three years.
- c. From 2019 through 2022 using annually updated skim component factors only three orders (Northeast, Appalachian, and Florida) had Class I skim component values greater than the value of the actual skim components present in Class I skim of those orders.

# 8. Closing comments

- a. The objective of the proposals considered at this hearing should be to make the price formulas more accurate.
- b. The current skim component factors of 3.10% protein, 5.90% other solids, and 9.00% nonfat solids do not accurately reflect the skim components in producer milk.
- c. The skim component factors should be updated, and going forward the updates should be done annually to provide the greatest degree of accuracy.
- 9. Thank you for the opportunity to present NAJ's proposal and supporting data.