

NATIONAL FEDERAL MILK MARKETING ORDER PRICING FORMULA HEARING

DOCKET NO.: 23-J-0067; AMS-DA-23-0031

Before the Honorable Channing D. Strother, Judge

---000---

Carmel, Indiana
August 24, 2023

---000---

Reported by:

MYRA A. PISH, RPR, C.S.R. Certificate No. 11613

1	APPEARANCES:
2	FOR THE USDA ORDER FORMULATION AND ENFORCEMENT DIVISION, USDA-AMS DAIRY PROGRAM:
3 4	Erin Taylor Todd Wilson
5	Lorie Cashman Brian Hill Michelle McMurtray
6	Bradley Vierra Lauren Decker
7	Phoebe Bierman Brian Riordan
8	FOR THE AMERICAN FARM BUREAU FEDERATION:
9	Roger Cryan
10	FOR THE INTERNATIONAL DAIRY FOODS ASSOCIATION:
11	Steve Rosenbaum
12	FOR THE MILK INNOVATION GROUP:
13	Charles "Chip" English
14	Sally Keefe Sarah Dorland
15	Ashley Vulin (Remote)
16	FOR THE NATIONAL ALL-JERSEY, INC.:
17	Erick Metzger John Vetne
18 19	FOR THE NATIONAL MILK PRODUCERS FEDERATION:
20	Nicole Hancock Brad Prowant
21	Peter Vitaliano Jim Sleper
22	Chris Hoeger
23	FOR SELECT MILK PRODUCERS, INC.:
24	Ryan Miltner
25	
26	
27	
28	



```
1
     APPEARANCES:
     FOR THE EDGE DAIRY FARMER COOPERATIVE:
 2
 3
          Lucas Sjostrom
          Dr. Marin Bozic
 4
          Travis Senn
          Tim Trotter
 5
     FOR THE MAINE DAIRY INDUSTRY:
 6
          Daniel Smith
 7
 8
                              ---000---
 9
10
     (Please note: Appearances for all parties are subject to
11
     change daily, and may not be reported or listed on
12
     subsequent days' transcripts.)
13
14
                              ---000---
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
```



1	MASTER IND	EX
2	SESSIONS	
3	THURSDAY, AUGUST 24, 2023	PAGE
4	MORNING SESSION AFTERNOON SESSION	240 387
5	APTERMOON SESSION	307
6		
7	000	
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23 24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
20		



			$\overline{}$
1			
2	MASTER INDEX		
3	WITNESSES IN CHRONOLOGICAL ORDE	IR .	
4	WITNESSES:	PAGE	
5	Peter Vitaliano:		
6	Cross-Examination by Mr. English Cross-Examination by Mr. Smith	240 290	
7	Cross-Examination by Mr. Miltner Cross-Examination by Ms. Taylor	300 302	
8	Cross-Examination by Dr. Bozic Redirect Examination by Ms. Hancock	308	
9	John Herbert:		
10	Direct Examination by Ms. McMurtray	319	
11	Cross-Examination by Mr. English Cross-Examination by Mr. Rosenbaum	345 369	
12	Cross-Examination by Mr. Vetne Cross-Examination by Mr. Smith	371 387	
13	Cross-Examination by Ms. Hancock	389	
14	Redirect Examination by Mr. Wilson	391	
	Lorie Cashman and John Herbert (collectively		
15	Cross-Examination of Ms. Cashman By Mr. English	394	
16	Redirect Examination by Ms. McMurtray Cross-Examination by Mr. Rosenbaum	396 397	
17	Calvin Covington:		
18	Direct Examination by Ms. Hancock	398	
19	Cross-Examination by Mr. Rosenbaum Cross-Examination by Mr. English	429 452	
20	000		
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			



1				
1		MASTER INDE	×	
2		INDEX OF EXHIBITS	22	
3	TN CUDONO			
4		LOGICAL ORDER:	T D	
5	NO.		I.D.	EVD.
6	62	Dr. Vitaliano Statement		318
7	44	Chart Titled Producer Milk and Components By Class and Order	321	398
9	45	Chart Titled Announced Class Prices and Prices Using NMPF's Proposed	324	398
10		Component Levels for January through December 202	2	
11 12	46	PPD/Uniform Pricing	326	398
13		Reflecting National Milk's Proposed Class I Differentia By Order for May 2022	ls	
14 15	47	Pool Distributing and Supply Plants by Order, December 2000	328	398
16	48	Pool Distributing and Supply Plants by Order for December		398
17 18	49	Pool Distributing and Supply Plants by Order for December 2010	329	398
19 20	50	Pool Distributing and Supply Plants by Order for December 2015	329	398
21 22	51	Pool Distributing and Supply Plants by Order for December 2020	329	398
23 24	52	Pool Distributing and Supply Plants by Order for December 2022	329	398
25 26	53	Producer Milk by County for December 2000	335	398
27 28	54	Producer Milk by County for December of 2005	336	398
⊿0				



1		млство типву		
2	MASTER INDEX			
3	INDEX OF EXHIBITS			
4	IN CHRONO	LOGICAL ORDER:		
5	NO.	DESCRIPTION I.D.	EVD.	
6	55	Producer Milk by County for 336 December 2010	398	
7	56	Producer Milk by County for 336 December 2015	398	
8 9	57	Producer Milk by County for 336 December 2020	398	
10	58	Producer Milk by County for 337 December 2022	398	
11	63	MIG Exhibit 2 349		
12	64	Statement of 399		
13		Calvin Covington		
14	65	Article Authored by 433 Calvin Covington in 2015		
15	14	Listing of Data Requests	398	
16	15	Announcement of Advanced	398	
17		Prices and Pricing Factors, January 2000 through August of 2023		
18	16	Announcement of Class	398	
19		and Component Prices		
20	17	Component Tests in Producer Milk By Order,	398	
21		January 2000 through May of 2023		
22	18	Yearly Average Component Tests in Producer Milk	398	
23		By Order and All Market Combined		
24	1.0	2000 to 2022		
25	19	Final Butter Sales and Weighted Average Price	398	
26	20	Final Cheddar 40-Pound	398	
27		Block Sales and Weighted Average Price		
28				



1		MASTER INDEX	ζ	
2	INDEX OF EXHIBITS			
3	IN CHRONO	LOGICAL ORDER:		
4	NO.	DESCRIPTION I.	D.	EVD.
5	21	Final Cheddar 500-Pound Barrel Sales		398
6 7	22	Final Cheddar 500-Pound Barrel Sales		398
8	23	Final Nonfat Dry Milk Sales		398
9	24	Weekly Dairy Product Sales Volumes		398
10 11 12	25	Monthly Dairy Product Sales Volumes in Pounds for Week Ending January 4th, 2014,		398
	26	Through the Week Ending July 1	.5LII, 2023	300
13 14	26	Annual Dairy Product Sales Volumes in Pounds, Week ending date January 4th, Through July 15th, 2023	2014,	398
15 16	27	DPMRP Annual Dairy Product Sales Volumes		398
17	28	Licensed Dairy Herds		398
18	29	Monthly Mailbox Prices		398
19	30	Table 14		398
20	31	Other Uses Milk Pounds Pooled		398
21	32	Average Diesel Fuel Price		398
22	33	Regulated Pool Distributing Plants and Federal Order by Mo	onth	398
24	34	Regulated Pool Supply Plants and Federal Order Number by Mo	onth	398
25 26	35	Spot Milk Prices Relative to Class III Milk		398
27	36	U.S. Mozzarella Production		398
28				



1		MASTER II	N D E X	
2	INDEX OF EXHIBITS			
3	IN CHRONC	LOGICAL ORDER:		
4	NO.	DESCRIPTION	I.D.	EVD.
5	37	Advanced Class III and Class IV Skim Milk Pric	ing Factors	398
6 7	38	Federal Order Statistica Uniform Milk Price	al	398
8	39	Adjustments to Federal (Performance Standards	Order	398
9	40	Requests to Change Performance Requirements by Order	s	398
11	41	Cooperative and Nonmember Producer Count	er	398
13	42	Number of Nonmember Producers and Volume Sh	ipped	398
14 15 16	43	Protein Test Range by Order, 2022		398
17		00		
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
28				



August 24, 2023

THURSDAY, AUGUST 24, 2023 - - MORNING SESSION

THE COURT: Let's come to order. This is the second day of the hearing, August 24th. Dr. Vitaliano, resume the stand. I'll remind you, sir, that you remain under oath. And stretching my recall here, I'm going to get this wrong eventually, but it -- were we doing redirect, is that -- still cross. Okay. That's right. We had two people here.

Who would like to go. Mr. English.

CROSS-EXAMINATION

BY MR. ENGLISH:

2.

2.1

- Q. Good morning, Dr. Vitaliano.
- A. Good morning, Mr. English.
 - Q. My name is Chip English. I'm an attorney representing the Milk Innovation Group. I think you were here yesterday when I introduced the names of the ten companies. But they operate and have activity in all Federal Orders except for Florida. So they are -- they may have non-Class I uses, but they have a lot of Class I use, and therefore, they are obviously the people purchasing milk from dairy farmers.

So I want to start discussing the issue of consumers and fluid milk. And so I want to go back to the end of, as I recall, Mr. Rosenbaum's cross-examination.

And I believe I heard you say, but please correct me, I did try to write it down at the time, if protein level goes up it has a higher value for fluid milk consumers.



Do you remember saying that?

A. Yes, I believe so.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

22

23

2.4

25

26

27

- Q. Okay. Now, later, and I think it was Mr. Vetne, you said you think like an economist, not a marketer, correct?
 - A. I'm basically an economist, yes.
- Q. And in fact, unlike, you know, my -- the members of the Milk Innovation Group, have you ever worked for a company that sold a gallon of milk to a consumer?
 - A. No, I have not.
- Q. Okay. And does your economist lens then assume that consumers are rational actors?
 - A. By and large, they are.
 - Q. So how would consumers know about the higher protein level value of fluid milk?
 - A. One of the things that -- again, I'm not a food scientist, but one of the things that I have been told is that higher protein, higher solids milk gives a better mouth feel to the product, and there are other things of that sort.
 - I'll remind you of my testimony yesterday: I am the lead-off witness for National Milk for this proposal, and others subsequent to come. I will be followed by a number of others who will address various versions of your question, and they will be noting your questions, and they will be responding to it.
 - Q. And I'm happy to notice them. They may find I will ask them regardless.



But you yourself are the one on the stand right now, and you are the chief economist for National Milk, so I think -- you know, and you are the one who made the statement, if the protein level goes up, it has a higher value for fluids with consumers, and I want to test that with you, because you are the one who made the statement.

How would consumers know about having other solids in their milk as opposed to protein?

Well, I go back. If you look at the definition of Α. Class I milk over the years, it was based upon a minimum content of nonfat solids. That changed some years ago when lactose started being removed from fluid milk products and was replaced, partly due to testimony by National Milk Producers Federation, with a protein standard.

So that in the definitions of a Class I fluid milk product, there has always been a definition that basically specified Class I products by proposing a minimum standard for initially total nonfat solids, and then subsequently, nonfat dairy solids, and then subsequently, protein.

So I'm assuming from that that USDA basically considers fluid milk products to be defined by having certain levels of nonfat solids and protein in them.

- But, of course, USDA is not the only one that gets Ο. to say what fluid milk is, correct?
 - Α. Can you repeat that question again?
- Ο. USDA is not the only one -- and that -- when USDA has a definition, that's for pricing purposes, correct?



1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

26

27

That has nothing to do with the definition of fluid milk such as the Food and Drug Administration standard of identity for milk, does it?

- A. The fluid -- the FDA's definition of fluid milk also includes a minimum nonfat solid standard. So I'm assuming that basically the nonfat solids content of fluid milk in various forms is considered to be definitive for -- for -- for basically defining what a Class -- what a fluid milk product is.
 - O. So let me --

2.

2.1

- A. There must be a reason for all those standards.
- Q. So let me go back to the question I actually asked.

How would consumers know that milk has other solids?

A. They would basically, as I said, that one of the things that I have been told about, is that it improves the taste. And consumers seem to be becoming more and more concerned about the nutritional quality of the food they consume. Basically every fluid milk product is labeled with a -- basically a -- a -- what do you call it, a compositional -- nutritional composition panel, and those panels always include minimum protein levels.

I have been told that consumers check labels more and more these days. They like clean labels, but they like to see basically composition standards for product -- for components that they consider to be positive to consume. And protein is one of them.



So I'm -- what you're -- what you seem to be implying is that consumers are totally ignorant of what they consume.

- Q. I'm asking you your knowledge for the statement that you made, if the protein level goes up, it has a higher value for fluid milk consumers. And I'm also asking, what -- how do they know and value other solids? How do you know that as opposed to you have heard that, or consumers are doing this?
- A. Well, when I know something, I have not -- that does not mean that I have experienced it empirically personally myself, but I have learned it by consuming -- you know, reading it in media, talking to people who -- whose opinion I trust and know those sorts of things. And I just -- that's why I made that statement. I'm not saying that I have personally conducted a survey of consumers and -- and asked them that question.
- Q. Well, do you have a study that National Milk or others have performed on that question?
 - A. I do not, that I can refer you to at the --
- Q. Do you --
- A. -- moment.
 - Q. -- have any research --
- THE COURT: Sorry, Counsel, let the witness finish.
- 26 BY MR. ENGLISH:
 - O. Go ahead and finish, sir. Thank you.
 - A. I cannot cite a study at the moment.



1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

22

23

27

- Q. Can you cite any research?
- A. Not in the sense that you are looking for. Again, I go back to the statement I made that -- that the kind of knowledge base that I acquire is from a number of different sources that I trust and -- and basically temper that with common sense.

And my sense is that -- that additional protein, and nonfat solids in dairy products, including fluid -- particularly fluid milk products, has additional value to consumers.

In the past, there have been -- a lot of dairy products have been -- fluid milk products have been marketed as such, as enhanced protein. My understanding is that the products, the fluid milk products that are experiencing growth at the moment, are products -- specialty products like Fairlife and others, that specifically tout their increased protein content.

So from all of that, I am judging that, in general, consumers would have a broad under- -- a broad sense that higher protein is a good thing in -- in products.

- Q. So you --
- A. Not every dairy product, necessarily, touts that on the front of their -- front of their label, but that that is generally considered to be a positive attribute of a dairy -- of fluid milk product by consumers.
- Q. So you brought up the subject of some products out there, and I'll get back to Fairlife in a moment.



2.

2.1

But during your 38 years with National Milk, have you lived in the Washington DC area?

A. Yes, I have.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

- Q. You buy milk?
- A. I -- I buy milk, and I consume a lot of milk.
- Q. Okay. As do I, by the way.

One of the products -- or you said there were products that people have marketed. Do you recall a product that Safeway tried to sell in Washington DC that was called 2/10?

- A. I remember that product. Yes.
- Q. That product isn't around anymore, is it?
- A. No, it's not.
 - O. Consumers didn't support it, did they?
 - A. They didn't support it because at that time, that product was -- had additional -- additional solids in it and was priced higher. Consumers do react to price.

What we are -- basically, if the Proposal 1 was adopted, that would increase the prices of -- fluid milk prices somewhat modestly, for all products. And so consumers would basically -- any -- any product that chose, particularly, with those higher standards in them, my guess is you would start seeing a lot of promotion of -- of the protein content and the nonfat solids content of fluid milk products. And my guess is those products would not disappear like 2/10 disappeared.

Again, 2/10 appeared in a -- in an environment where it was a value-added product, that basically had --



I assume had higher price than others.

Now we're seeing a different environment where we are seeing the products like Fairlife that are far more expensive, are doing better than commodity fluid milk. So there's something that's either changed out there or the 2/10 experience is not necessarily definitive of what might occur in the current contemporary dairy market.

Q. I'll get back to Fairlife when I can.

But your comment is, well, look, we're going to raise the price for everybody, and consumers are going to get more.

What about those consumers who didn't want their price to go up?

- A. We have -- we will have an expert witness testifying later on this same proposal, that is going to testify about how sensitive consumption is to -- to -- consumption of fluid milk products is to prices. And the -- you know, I think some of your own studies have shown that -- have reconfirmed that dairy products, particularly fluid milk, has traditionally been considered very pricing elastic, and still apparently is.
- Q. Well, not necessarily about the specialty products, though, correct, sir? The ones you just referred to like Fairlife? Doesn't that study show just the opposite?
- A. Well, all I know is that Fairlife and those kinds of products are the ones that seem to be increasing their consumption.



2.

2.1

- A. Well, the question is, is -- is Fairlife basically going to -- is the higher cost of the raw milk going to be a significant portion of the price of that -- of that product? Because my -- my understanding is that consumers of that product are already willing to pay a significantly higher price compared to the, you know, regular commodity fluid milk.
- 11 Q. Now, I am trying to avoid talking about Fairlife 12 now.

But do you know anything about the expense of producing that ultra-filtered product?

- A. I'm assuming that the higher cost on the supermarket shelf is related to higher cost of processing, yes.
- Q. All right. So let me go back to where I was. I asked you about any study or research and -- and so now I want to ask, you are the chief economist for National Milk Producers. If such a study or research existed, you would know it, wouldn't you?
- A. Such a -- could you define the particular study you are referring to again?
- Q. I'm going back to the idea that protein goes up; it has a higher value for fluid milk consumers.
- A. I would probably be aware of a study of that sort, yes.



1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

26

27

1	Q. All right. You've also discussed with Mr.
2	Rosenbaum briefly
3	THE COURT: I'm sorry, Counsel. You are changing
4	subjects. I just wanted to ask, is the record somewhere
5	going to tell us what the two products, Fairlife and
6	something I'm not sure if it's absolutely necessary.
7	MR. ENGLISH: Fairlife is spelled F-A-I-R-L-I-F-E.
8	As I described yesterday, they are one of the members of
9	the Milk Innovation Group. You will have testimony from
10	Fairlife at some point in this proceeding.
11	The Safeway product 2/10 meant 2% butterfat, 10%
12	protein.
13	Correct, sir?
14	THE WITNESS: That's my recollection, yes.
15	MR. ENGLISH: All right.
16	THE COURT: Thank you. I guess it would have been
17	covered by the record then. Sorry to interrupt.
18	MR. ENGLISH: No, no. Thank you very much, your
19	Honor, because
20	THE WITNESS: Correction. That was that 10%
21	protein or 10% total solids?
22	MR. ENGLISH: Thank you very much. It is 10%
23	total solids. I appreciate that.
24	As long as we're talking about that, you said
25	earlier, I believe, that FDA was a protein standard, is in
26	fact an SNF standard, correct?
27	THE WITNESS: That is an SNF standard.
28	MR. ENGLISH: Okay. So we both saw the same



1 thing, right? 2. THE WITNESS: Yes. 3 MR. ENGLISH: Thank you. All right. And, your Honor, thank you very much. 4 I knew what I was talking about with 2/10, and I think he 5 6 knew, but I appreciate your clarifying for the record. 7 THE COURT: Don't encourage me. MR. ENGLISH: So, yes, it's a slight change of 8 9 subject, although we are still on the same issue, of 10 consumers. BY MR. ENGLISH: 11 12 So, you mentioned yesterday the issue that 13 California has different compositional standards, so I 14 want to talk about that just a little bit, because I don't 15 think the record is necessarily clear what that means. 16 So, first of all, for California whole milk, that 17 standard is not different from the federal standard. 18 Basically not, yeah. Α. But as fat is removed, basically California in an 19 0. adjustment mechanism, for 2%, 1%, skim, requires more 2.0 2.1 solids nonfat in order to maintain at least a minimum 22 level of total solids, correct? 23 It's been a while since I've looked at those Yes. standards on paper, but that's been -- that was my 24 25 impression when I -- when I've reviewed them. 26 Thank you. Q. 27 So -- so yesterday, I think it might have been



28

partially lack of clarity in the record, and maybe I

- misheard. But the fact of the matter is the other 49
 states do not have different standards from the FDA
 standard identity, correct?
 - A. They have chosen not to adopt those standards.
 - Q. Well, and in fact, there's probably -- they probably can't, can they?
 - A. I can't answer that question.
 - Q. Well, you -- you were at National Milk when the 1996 Farm Bill was passed, correct?
- 10 A. Yes.

4

5

6

7

8

9

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

22

23

24

25

26

27

- Q. Okay. And part of that, of course, was we have been talking about federal milk order reform, and that was a product of the 1996 Farm Bill, correct?
 - A. That's correct.
 - Q. Was there not a provision in the 1996 Farm Bill that expressly exempted California from the federal food standards of identity?
 - A. I believe so, but I can't possibly confirm that. I know there was legislation dealing with the California system, and that was specifically on the regulation 102, on the -- basically the higher make allowance in the California product price formulas. I do -- yeah. But I cannot -- I cannot positively affirm that there was that provision that you referred to, but it does -- it sounds a bit familiar to me, yes.
 - Q. So this, you know, United States code says what it says. So, what -- absent that exemption and the litigation that led to it brought by an out-of-state



- A. So you are -- you are saying that they are legally prevented from doing so?
 - O. Yes.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

22

26

27

- A. Yes. I don't know that but --
- Q. Okay. So you would agree then that California is an outlier. That is to say, you know, we've got 49 other states. We have got California. Everybody else has the federal standard of identity under FDA, correct?
- A. Yes, the federal -- the FDA standards apply to all the other states.
 - Q. So -- so under minimum regulated price theory for USDA, should we not look at the FDA standard as applying to everything other than California in order to think about values of fluid milk?
 - A. Are you talking about a standard in the Federal Order program?
 - Q. No, I'm -- I'm talking about the FDA standard of identity, which we now agree is an SNF standard of 8.25% for SNF, correct?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. I really want to explore minimum price theory.

 USDA is not attempting to set a market price, is

 it?
 - A. In its pricing formulas USDA is attempting to set a minimum price in order to operate the Federal Order program, yes.



- Q. By definition, a minimum price implies that the market can operate above the minimum price, correct?
- A. If it is a minimum price and not a maximum price, then the market is always free to -- to exceed a minimum price.
- Q. And isn't it the case that if you operate as an economist under minimum price theory, the greater risk would be to set a minimum price that is above the market price, correct?
- A. If that minimum price is demonstrably above the market price on a fairly regular basis, more than just occasional, then that would be an issue.

The situation we're looking at here is, one, where the minimum price has been allowed to fall progressively further below, you know, where -- where we would think it should be, based upon this particular issue at issue in Proposal 1, the minimum skim milk -- Class III and Class IV skim milk component composition standards. There was a reason that USDA put those in originally in Federal Order Reform, and it was designed clearly to reflect the composition, maybe the minimum composition, of producer skim milk.

Over the years, as I testified yesterday, the minimum composition of producer skim milk has risen progressively and now far exceeds.

So by that, by the terminology you are using, the minimum price has become the -- what I would call the sub minimum price, and we are seeking to re-establish it at a



2.

2.1

- minimum price level with respect to the particular issue involved in Proposal 1.
- Q. Sir, since 2000, have the sales of Class IV products gone up?
 - A. Class IV products?
- 6 | O. Yes.

- 7 A. Generally, yes.
- Q. Have the sales of Class III products gone up since 9 2000?
- 10 A. Yes, they have.
- 11 Q. Have the sales of Class II products gone up since 12 2000?
- A. I'm not sure about that. Probably. I track
 frozen products, which are a significant proportion of
 Class II. They have been kind of stable to declining.
- 16 Q. So that's something --
- 17 A. Class II -- Class II, I'm not sure about.
- Q. But -- but at least to your knowledge, of the products you follow, Class II is stable to declining, correct?
- 21 A. Somewhere around there, yes.
- 22 Q. And Class I has been going down, hasn't it?
- 23 A. That is correct.
- Q. It goes down percentage terms of the total volume, correct?
- 26 A. That's correct.
- 27 | O. And it is going down in absolute terms, correct?
- 28 A. Yes. In terms of a percentage of usage, basically



the market share of the milk produced in the United

States, a declining share of that milk has been going to

Class I.

Q. So because you brought it up, I'm going to jump to a different place and come back.

Have you looked at the basis for USDA adopting national yields for milk composition in Federal Order form?

- A. Have I looked at --
- Q. Have you looked at that in your testimony?
- 11 A. The basis of that?
- 12 | O. Yes.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

22

23

24

25

26

27

- A. Yes. That was part -- looking at the decision that implemented Federal Order Reform has been basically a key foundation for all of the work we have been doing for the last several years on Federal Order modernization.
 - Q. Do you recall, sir, a debate and discussion during Federal Order Reform where you discussed informal rulemaking, so we had a bit of a different proceeding than this, correct?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. Do you recall a debate and discussion about whether or not for milk composition you should use regional yields versus national yields?
 - A. I remember that generally. But -- but basically, I looked at the final decision implementing Federal Order Reform published in I believe it was April 1999, that I assume is still the final decision on what would govern



all of the Federal Order Reform provisions, including the current composition standard. So I have read that many times. I have cited a key paragraph of that in my testimony.

- Q. Isn't it the case that USDA in the final decision said, hey, there's parts of the proposed rule, the recommended decision, that we're referring back to without requoting here? Do you recall that?
 - A. No, I don't.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

22

23

24

25

26

27

- Q. Okay. So regardless, Class III and Class IV prices are set based upon these national composition levels. But in the end, if you are a buyer of milk for Class III, you pay on your actual components, correct?
 - A. Under component pricing.
 - Q. Under component pricing, correct?
- A. That's correct.
- Q. That's not true for Class I, is it? Class I is paying on skim milk butterfat regardless if you're an MCP order or a skim milk butterfat order?
- A. That's correct.
 - Q. So --
 - A. And I state that as such in my testimony.
 - Q. Okay. So here I'm going to quote now from USDA in Federal Order Reform: This pricing system eliminates the need for regional yields based on regional differences in milk composition.
 - Question 1: Do you agree, do you recognize from that, that USDA said that there were regional differences



in milk composition?

- A. I don't recall that, but there are indeed regional differences in milk composition.
 - Q. And there still are today, correct?
 - A. Yes.

2.

2.1

- Q. Okay. The value of milk will be adjusted automatically based on the level of components contained in the milk in each order, even though the component prices are the same nationally. This automatic adjustment means that handlers will pay the same price per pound of component but may have differing per hundredweight values based on the milk component levels, creating equity in the minimum cost of milk used for manufacturing purposes.
- A. I don't recall that quote, but I assume it referred to what handlers pay in the component pricing orders.
- Q. That statement does not apply to Class I milk, does it?
- A. The way you quoted it, I don't have -- I don't have personal knowledge of that statement. But that -- the way you quoted it, it clearly applies to the manufactured products.
- Q. But regardless, for Class I milk in MCP orders or skim milk butterfat orders, assuming I have quoted that statement correctly, that one does not apply to Class I?
- A. That is correct. Under Proposal 1, though, it would still not apply to Class I, just because we are not advocating the adoption of component pricing in any



orders. That is an issue that was specifically ruled to be out of the scope of this hearing. And it's not a position of National Milk and never has been. That is an issue that needs to be decided order by order.

- Q. But the problem is exacerbated by your provision because you are going to increase that price. Correct?
- A. Yes. There are a number of proposals that
 National Milk is bringing to this hearing that would
 increase the price of certain -- you know, the class -class prices in the Federal Order formula, not
 specifically to increase the prices but to -- as I
 repeatedly stated in my testimony -- to bring the Federal
 Order -- the critical Federal Order component prices,
 formulas, into conformity with the current realities of
 the structure of the U.S. dairy industry.

And over the years, the fixed coefficient nature of most of the formula coefficients, coupled with the evolution of the structure of the U.S. Dairy Industry, changes in costs -- everything that I detailed yesterday -- has caused the Federal Order component pricing formulas to become increasingly misaligned with the current realities. And their basic function was to mirror as close as possible those realities so that price discovery, through the end product prices back to raw milk, could be made more efficient.

So the issue is, you're talking about nothing but increasing prices.

Q. Well, you know, isn't it true that, you know, on



2.

2.0

2.1

issue one and on issue four and on issue five, that is exactly what National Milk is talking about doing with respect to Class I and Class II, increasing prices?

A. In the short-term, it would have that effect.

But as I also took pains to point out in my testimony, the overall effect on prices, you know, it's still a relatively competitive market, is going to be relatively small, because this is not -- this is not going to have a -- and our -- and Scott Brown is going to testify that this is not going to have a significant increase in milk prices overall. In terms of individual class prices, yes, there will be some difference.

- Q. So let me unpack that.
- A. But these are all cost-based increases.
- Q. If -- in -- so that's another way of going back to my question, that in the end the marketplace will set the price, correct?
 - A. That is correct.
 - Q. So if that's going to be the testimony of Dr. Brown, that it's going to be short-term increase but ultimately not a significant increase, why are we doing this?
 - A. The purpose of the Federal Order program is not to necessarily support prices. We had a program called the Dairy Price Support Program that had that intention.

The purpose of the Federal Order program, as I understand it, is to assure that there is an adequate supply of fluid -- of milk for fluid -- basically fluid



1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

18

19

2.0

2.1

22

23

24

25

26

27

milk processing purposes, and to create orderly marketing conditions in an industry that is very prone to disorderly marketing conditions, totally apart from the question of what the overall price of milk is as affected by the system.

And again, I testified yesterday that the only way that government intervention is capable of basically altering prices is if it contains provisions that controls the supply of the product, like milk, or any other external thing that changes the cost of producing milk. The Federal Order program does not really contain any provisions that I'm aware of that fundamentally changes the cost of producing milk at the farm.

- Q. So, I'm still struggling here because I'm -- if, as you say, at least as to Class II products you follow, stable or falling, and Class I sales are just going down, we quite clearly have an adequate supply of milk for fluid use, right?
- A. I cannot affirm that necessarily, because there are parts of the country that are increasingly struggling. That will be addressed by portions of our testimony on -- on a number of different -- of our -- some of our other proposals.
- Q. But this is the Federal Milk Marketing Order system, and the statute is a national standard for adequate supply, is it not?
- A. Yes. But the statute implies that the system is responsible for providing an adequate supply of milk in



2.

2.1

all areas.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

- Q. Is that in the statute, in all areas?
- A. That's the implication. It is a federal statute. If the -- if the Federal Order program includes an area that -- where the provisions are not providing for an adequate supply of milk, then I would assume that is kind of the responsibility of the program.
 - Q. If you were to set a price sufficient to produce all the milk the southeast needs, would you not just be swimming in Class IV milk everywhere?
 - A. I don't know. I'd have to see an economic analysis of that.
 - O. All right.
- A. But we're not -- we're not proposing to set a -- set a price level that would cause the nation to swim in milk.
- Q. But you are proposing to raise Class I prices on -- which is the product that is constantly declining, correct?
- A. The effect of our package of proposals would be to increase Class I prices in order to -- basically for the purpose of more -- more fully aligning the dairy product -- the Federal Order end product pricing formulas, whose very purpose is to provide an efficient price discovery mechanism, working through the end products back to a value for raw milk.

Because the previous survey-based price discovery processes, which were in many ways more efficient and more



- Q. Sir, as an economist, if we wanted to modernize a system where the fluid milk is the entity that -- or the processors that basically are funding the system, if you were going to modernize, why wouldn't we innovate in a way to stop Class I sales from going down?
- A. I'm assuming that the fluid milk processing industry is doing everything it can to innovate in ways to address that very problem.

But let me state a couple things.

My understanding of the purpose -- basic purpose of the Federal Order program is to provide an adequate supply of milk for fluid milk processing and to promote orderly marketing. It is not an objective of the Federal Order program, it is my understanding, to -- to address the problem of declining consumption of any of the products for which its prices provide a certain level of regulation.

Q. Shouldn't USDA consider policy changes that it -- strike that.

At some level, shouldn't the Federal Order system be concerned about whether we will have sufficient fluid milk sales so that there will be a pool at all, say, in



1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

26

27

the upper midwest?

2.

2.0

2.1

A. I'm not sure I would say that it is the -- my understanding is that the forces that are driving a reduction in Class I consumption are fairly widespread, and I'm not aware that the Federal Order program really is designed to address that.

If that continues, yes, eventually it will make the current Federal Orders as they are structured unworkable, starting in the low utilization orders. That is something that the industry is aware of and needs to start addressing at some point.

- Q. So, as you said yourself, you're confident the Class I processors are seeking all the methods of innovation they can, correct?
- A. I would assume that they are very interested in doing that. I work very closely with the dairy management, the producer checkoff, and they are spending lots and lots of resources on the fluid milk issue.
- Q. And yet with all that innovation, with all those resources, we still go down, correct?
- A. Yeah. There are some problems that may not be addressable, despite all of the resources and all of the ingenuity brought to them.
- Q. One thing we could try is having a price change that would allow fluid milk to compete with bottled water and so-called milk products that trade on our name; is that correct?
 - A. Well, how low would that price have to be to make



that -- let's say we have an expert witness who will be testifying in terms of how effective price changes are in affecting consumption of fluid milk products. And the evidence seems to be -- and this is you -- you express a great interest in research studies. There have been an immense amount of research studies looking at the question of the sensitivity, technically known as elasticity, of demand of fluid milk to changing prices. And the overwhelming evidence is that it's -- that prices are not a very effective way of -- of affecting consumption.

- Q. Have any of those studies that you know of -those studies that you know of all are doing dairy product
 and dairy product comparisons, correct?
- A. No, not -- some of them -- some of them can -- basically are looking at, you know, consumption of fluid milk versus alternative beverages.

I would defer the question to our expert witness, Harry Kaiser, who is going to testify on that very issue.

- Q. All right. Is he going to testify during components or later in the hearing?
- A. I think he's testifying on another issue at this point.
- Q. Okay. Just wanted to -- thank you very much for that.
- All right. I want to go back to your comment about disorderly marketing conditions.

So if our Federal Orders have been so prone to disorderly marking conditions, then why is this the first



2.

2.1

national price hearing we have had in over 15 years?

- A. The first national pricing hearing?
- Q. Yes. The last one was in 2007 for the 2008 make allowance. That's --
 - A. Right.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

- O. -- the last time, correct?
- A. Yeah. Can you repeat your question again?
- Q. If -- if we're so prone to disorderly marketing, as you testified, then why did we wait 15 years to have a hearing?
- A. That's a good question. That is a -- that is a question that I -- it is -- it is a result of many, many, many, decisions, and it affects the issue of the make allowance and many of the other issues we are looking at here.

Again, I think I emphasized in my statement that -- that the -- this growing misalignment between the fixed nature of the product -- end product price formulas and the dynamic changes in the dairy industry has gone on way too long. I do not pretend to be able to answer why that has occurred. But we are where we are here. It's 19 -- 2023. It is time to address them. If it has been -- if that -- if this proceeding is coming late in the game, so be it. But we are here to address these problems --

- Q. So --
- A. -- rather than to go back and look at, you know, what might have been.



- A. Individual orders always have the opportunity to petition the USDA for a hearing on individual issues, and there recently was such a hearing in the southeast.
- Q. And we're very careful not to talk about the merits of that proceeding because that is a different record. But I -- I agree, there's an open proceeding on changes for orders 5, 6 and 7, correct?
 - A. Correct.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

- Q. Without going to the merits of that proceeding, multiple component pricing was not proposed as part of that proceeding, correct?
 - A. That's correct.
- Q. Okay. I'm going back to the conversation about consumers and the differences I think between products.

Through manufacturing processes for cheese, nonfat dry milk, and butter, actual farm components are converted into an equivalent yield of goods that those components can make, correct?

- A. Can you repeat that statement again, please?
- Q. Okay. For cheese, nonfat dry milk, and butter, the actual farm milk components are converted into an equivalent yield of goods that those components can make, correct?
 - A. That's correct. Yes.



- Q. In other words, higher or lower components makes more or less product, correct?
- A. Makes more product and makes more value of those higher components.
- Q. But low SNF milk does not turn into low component or substandard cheese, it just means it produces less cheese, correct?
- A. I have to defer that question to a cheese maker. I think there's a -- there's a reason why cheese makers fortify low -- low testing milk, so that it basically provides for more efficient make process when they make cheese. But I would defer that question. We have several witnesses that are very familiar with cheese making. So, they are the ones you should ask that.
- Q. But if you have higher solids nonfat in fluid milk, you don't get more milk in the bottle, do you?
- A. Not in terms of just pure product yield. But that does not necessarily mean you do not get higher value in the end product.
- Q. Okay. Well, part of that higher value in Class III and IV may be that an entity might choose to sell off their protein, correct?
 - A. Sell -- basically sell off their protein --
 - O. Or buy, yes.
 - A. -- making it into products --
- 26 Q. Yes, yes.
- 27 | (Court Reporter clarification.)
- 28 | THE WITNESS: I assume so because, basically,



2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

22

23

24

in -- analogous to dairy farmers, processors are very effective and very efficient in making use of the raw milk supply they get, and if they find that it serves their advantage to -- to resell some of the components on the open market as opposed to manufacturing them in their own facility, they are free to do so. And I assume they do so when it makes financial sense to them.

BY MR. ENGLISH:

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

22

23

24

25

26

27

- Q. And going back to the previous answer, in fact, it may very well be the case that cheese makers will fortify their product. In order to fortify, they are buying something from somebody, correct?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. A fluid milk processor can't sell off protein, can they?
 - A. Generally, no.
 - Q. Generally, no, or not at all?
- A. Well, again, I'm not a fluid milk processor. I'm not that familiar with that. But it is -- in terms -- in terms of -- in terms of the raw milk they receive, they would generally put whatever is -- whatever they use to manufacture fluid milk products, they would retain all of that protein, yes.
 - 0. 0kay.
 - A. They do remove the butterfat, as you know.
- Q. And that is because the FDA standard of identity for milk provides for a minimum of 8.25 solids nonfat, correct?



- 1 A. Uh-huh.
- 2 0. That's a yes, not an uh-huh.
- 3 | A. Yes.

5

6

7

8

9

11

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

28

- Q. And it only permits adjustment to that milk by removing butterfat, correct? That's the only adjustment that FDA permits, correct?
 - A. That's correct.

MR. ENGLISH: Could we get the witness Exhibit 43, please?

10 Your Honor, may I approach the witness?

THE COURT: You may, Counsel.

12 MR. ENGLISH: What I'm presenting the witness,

13 USDA has provided, courtesy -- actually more than one

14 | copy -- of marked Exhibit 43.

15 THE COURT: That's fine.

MR. ENGLISH: This is the exhibit that was produced at the request of National All-Jersey, which is the protein test range by order 2022, which is the first page, the other solids test range by order 2022, that's page two, and then the nonfat solids test range by order 2022.

22 THE WITNESS: Yes.

- 23 BY MR. ENGLISH:
- 24 | 0. Is that correct?
- 25 A. That is correct.
- Q. You were here yesterday when USDA presented that document?
 - A. Yes, I was, and I'm familiar with this document.



- Q. Okay. So going back to my question about regional yields, you know, there's a great variation here between the vari- -- the variability -- the seasonal variability very significantly among orders, correct?
- A. On these graphs with those vertical axis ranges, it appears that there is variation. Whether I -- I don't have the context to say -- to label whether that's great, but it is -- it exists.
- Q. And then leaving aside the adjustments between orders, in no order does it stay the same throughout the year, does it?
- A. No, it varies -- it clearly varies seasonally, more so in some orders than others.
- MR. ENGLISH: May I approach the witness to take the exhibit back?
- 16 THE COURT: You may, Counsel.
- 17 BY MR. ENGLISH:

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

18

19

2.0

23

24

25

26

27

- Q. So as we discussed a few minutes ago, high SNF test milk does not turn into more fluid milk, correct?
 - A. Not quantitatively.
- Q. Okay. But low SNF test milk would require that you sell the low SNF milk as is, correct?
 - A. As long as it met the standard.
 - Q. Right. As an economist rather than someone marketing milk, do you have any idea how a fluid milk handler might be able to standardize their milk so they could have higher protein unless it is the Fairlife product?



- A. In other words, can they legally fortify?
- Q. Can they do so by standardizing -- given the fact that all these milk comes in -- all these components at different times of the year, how is a fluid milk handler who wants to advertise their milk as higher protein going to efficiently do that unless they carry on the cost of the ultra-filtration process that Fairlife uses?
- A. I would assume that's -- that's a correct statement.
- Q. So how are they going to get more value from that milk?
- A. Let me answer your question the following way. It is going to be a little -- take me a little time.

In the process that National Milk has gone through, which I outlined yesterday, the intensive studies necessary to modernize the Federal Order system, we had the active participation over many, many meetings, hundreds of meetings, of a lot of experts, worked for our member cooperatives, very familiar with all of these manufacturing processes.

And we shared -- in connection with that process, some proprietary information was either shared or summarized, and I was -- basically, as a result of that, and maybe some subsequent witnesses will testify to this, the majority -- currently the majority of the fluid milk processing capacity in the United States is owned and operated by dairy cooperatives, and it is furthermore owned and operated by dairy cooperatives who are members



2.

2.0

2.1

of the National Milk Producers Federation.

Those members who operate -- I was basically assured -- opera- -- own and operate the majority of the fluid milk processing capacity in the United States joined the National Milk Board of Directors in unanimously approving the package of recommendations that I outlined yesterday in my testimony, including Proposal 1.

I interpret that vote to mean that the majority of the fluid milk processing capacity in the United States considers, approves of, and considers increasing the component composition standards for skim milk, Class III and Class IV skim milk, including, for Class I, is something they support and considers to have value.

So how that works down at the individual consumer level is -- is basically a -- a more complicated question. It's going to be addressed by various experts that we have testifying subsequently. But I'm looking at it in that holistic sense that I just outlined.

Q. Well, thank you, sir. I really appreciate that answer because it -- it confirms some things that we thought. And it also raises a very important distinction.

Under the Agricultural Marketing Agreement Act, cooperatives are entitled to reblend -- R-E-B-L-E-N-D -- the proceeds of milk to their dairy farmers, correct?

- A. Correct.
- Q. To the extent I do have a cooperative member of MIG, but to the extent our clients are proprietary operators, meaning entities that are not owned by



2.

2.1

co-ops -- do you understand that phrase?

A. Yes.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

22

23

24

25

26

27

- Q. Okay. They do not have the right to reblend, do they?
 - A. They do not.
- Q. And so the assumption that co-ops who operate fluid milk plants would be in favor of this does not mean that in the end they can't just move the money from the left pocket to the right pocket, does it?
- A. No. But it does not assume that they will do that. Again --
 - Q. But they have that right?
 - A. They have the right. And the statement I made previously is simply a quantitative statement, that the processing capacity -- the majority of the processing capacity in the United States, fluid milk, has approved of this particular provision. And I assume it doesn't mean that they are looking at somehow moving the money around, but that they basically think that this is a -- a positive -- positive update to the -- this particular provision in the Federal Order pricing system.
 - Q. So they already have a majority of the processing. They can reblend; my clients can't reblend. A logical consequence of that could be, we're going to be at 80% ownership by cost of fluid processing?
 - A. I don't know. That's up to -- that's up to the cooperatives to decide what's in their best interest.
 - Q. Should USDA consider that question in terms of



- A. You would have to direct that question to USDA, whether they would interpret that as part of the mandate in operating the Federal Order program.
 - Q. I'm prepared to change subjects.

Yesterday, in answer to some questions, and I believe it was Mr. Rosenbaum, you -- you talked about disorderly marketing, and you used the phrase that, you know, depooling makes producers unhappy.

Do you remember that?

- A. Yes. And there will be subsequent tes- -- witnesses testifying to that extent.
 - O. Yeah. I -- I understand.

Now, in your own testimony and the testimony of others who support, you have referenced the fact that in your view, that the failure to update these components, has contributed to negative PPDs, correct?

- A. Declining and increasingly negative PPDs, yes.
- Q. And for the record, that is a producer price differential, known in short as PPD.

All right. Isn't it true that negative PPDs also make producers unhappy?

- A. It tends -- yes, it tends to.
- Q. So are negative PPDs, because it makes producers unhappy, disorderly marketing?
 - A. It is an indicator of disorderly marketing because it indicates that the distance between Class I and the



1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

22

23

24

25

26

27

manufacturing classes has been shrinking. And, again, one of the fundamental purposes of the Federal Order program is to -- to regulate and ensure that Class I prices will be -- will have sufficient differential above the manufacturing products, because when they don't have that differential, there basically is -- is little to no value in federal milk order pools to share.

- O. Is that what USDA has said about negative PPDs?
- A. I don't -- I don't -- USDA does not specifically talk about PPDs. I know they report them. But in my mind, PPDs are -- are basically an indicator of the difference between Class I prices and the manufacturing prices.
- Q. Do you agree with the following statement, quote:
 A negative PPD does not mean that there is less total
 revenue available to producers?
- A. Yes, I will agree that PPDs are misunderstood in many cases by producers.
- Q. Do you agree with the following statement: A negative PPD does not mean that there is less total revenue available to producers; it often means the Class III component values are high relative to Class I prices?
 - A. Yes, that is correct.
- Q. Do you agree with the following statement:

 Because component values are the biggest portion of a

 producer's total revenue, high component prices, coupled

 with negative PPDs, often result in higher overall revenue



2.

2.0

2.1

to producers than when component prices are lower and PPDs are largely positive?

- A. I'd have to work out some quantitative examples, but my general understanding of Federal Order pooling would tend to agree with that statement.
- Q. And would you agree that a negative PPD -- that when you have negative PPDs, regulated FMMO prices should not block market signals resulting from negative PPDs?
 - A. I'm not sure I fully understand that question.
- Q. All right. Those following statements are from USDA's final decision in Milk in California; Recommended Decision and Opportunity to File Written Exceptions on Proposal to Establish a Federal Milk Marketing Order found at 82 Federal Register 10634. I can ask for official notice now, but we're going to have a list at the end of the proceeding.

So if negative PPDs cause depooling, what PPDs are in USDA's view a sign the system is working? How then is depooling disorderly marketing?

A. You keep dwelling on PPDs. As I explained, they are basically an indicator of situations in which depooling can occur. Depooling, for whatever reasons, and it's clear why producer -- why -- why non-fluid processors depool milk, choose to depool milk when it's in their financial interests, if the regulations permit it.

But it appears to be the depooling of milk is an indication of disorderly marketing, and it's certainly disruptive to producers. And, again, we will have



2.

2.1

testimony to that -- to that extent. To -- to that effect.

Q. So, just to be clear, you say, I keep dwelling on PPDs. But the testimony of National Milk is that the fact that components are not being paid out on Class I is contributing to PPDs.

So I'm not the one dwelling on it, you are, right?

- A. We are -- you know, we -- our tes- -- my testimony indicates that, yes, increasing the skim milk component factors in Class III and IV would basically contribute to higher PPDs.
- Q. So USDA introduced an exhibit yesterday that provided, starting in 2016, not only the total estimated depooled milk, but the volume of depooled milk estimated from 9(c) cooperatives.

Did you look at that table at all?

- A. Not in detail, no.
- Q. If I were to represent to you that between 2016 to 2022 the annual average of depooled milk by 9(c) handlers was greater than 78% of the total depooled milk, would you have any reason to disagree with me?
 - A. Not upfront, no.
 - O. So are cooperatives causing disorderly marketing?
- A. Cooperatives have -- cooperatives, just like any other organization -- proprietary organizations, have the right to depool milk when it is in their financial interest. When it occurs, basically, the -- the revenue will continue to accrue to their own individual members.



1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

26

27

- Q. Wouldn't it be more reasonable to conclude that depooling is a natural consequence of minimum pricing and pooling and not by itself evidence of disorderly marketing?
 - A. Can you repeat that question there, please.
- Q. Would it not be more reasonable to conclude that depooling is a natural consequence of minimum pricing and pooling and not by itself evidence of disorderly marketing?
- A. It can be both. I would say it is a consequence of those -- a natural consequence of those provisions.

 But that does not mean it is not disorderly marketing.
- Q. Let me turn to a couple of specific questions from your testimony.

You discuss on page 8, Figure 1, and you're comparing various consumer pricing indices.

So first, I believe you are saying that dairy farmers are receiving 31% of the retail dollar, which is higher than other food beverage products, correct?

A. It's higher than the -- well, it is relatively high by the standards of -- of most food products, to my understanding. It is not the highest, but it is fairly significant. My guess is if you did that similar analysis for a box of corn flakes, you would find the value of the commodity corn to be much lower than 31%.



1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

22

23

24

25

26

27

- Q. I'm not sure I can resist. So corn flake sales down, milk sales down. But anyway.
 - A. They appear to be related.
- Q. So one issue as you look at that and recognizing that dairy farmers are receiving 31% of the retail dollar, isn't it the case that except in states that have their own restrictions, that many retailers sell milk as loss leaders.
- A. Milk appears to be a popular loss leader product because so many people buy it, and it draws -- there's a reason why the dairy cases, I guess legendarily, often are in the back of the store.
- Q. Opposite side of the bread. I'm agreeing with you, and I'm saying the opposite side of the bread. So bread over there, milk over there.
- A. Yeah. Like dairy farmers and dairy processors, retail estab- -- food sales establishment operators are very clever at doing their jobs.
- Q. You repeatedly -- and I realize this covers not just this issue, but other issues -- but you repeatedly talk about the value of producer milk and the value to processors needing to be reflected in the value of the price.
- Does any of that correspond to the market for organic milk?
- A. Well, my -- my understanding is the value of organic milk in -- in the eyes of the consumers has to do with the -- you know, basically the -- the process by



2.

2.1

- Q. But isn't it true that organic milk is priced using non-classified pricing that is higher than the Federal Order minimums, correct?
- A. Looking at the supermarket sales, yes, organic milk seems to be priced -- priced higher.
- Q. I'm not talking about the sales. I'm talking about the dairy farmers. Don't the dairy farmers already get the value of organic milk out of the marketplace because they are getting a higher price in the Federal Order and it's non-classified?
- A. I have not seen producer pay stubs to that effect, but I'm assuming that in order to induce dairy farmers to bear the additional cost of producing organic milk, they need to be paid a higher price.
- Q. As the economist for National Milk Producers Federation for 38 years, you don't know that that's the case?
- A. I have not done -- I have not done surveys of producer -- producer pay prices, but I assume that that's the case. I don't need to verify everything unless I'm particularly using it in a -- you know, in an analysis.
- Q. Well, assuming that is the case, if your proposals are adopted, doesn't that just extract value from organic milk into the conventional pool?
 - A. To the extent that organic milk participates in



2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

22

23

24

25

26

27

the pools, there would -- there would be additional -- additional revenue paid into the pools from -- from organic milk sales, as I understand the way the system works for --

- Q. Well, you said to the extent they participate in the pools. It is not exactly as if organic fluid milk has a choice, does it? It's going to participate in the pools, right?
 - A. It does, yes.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

24

Q. Back to the beginning of your testimony on page 2, and this is more clarifying questions, because you and I have some history here. I don't mean together necessarily, but we have a lot of history here going back.

In page 2, second line of second paragraph, you quote: Where we are in the Federal Order is a hybrid product of Federal Order Reform rulemaking and Congressional action.

Do you see that?

- A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. So Federal Order Reform itself was the result of Congressional action, correct?
- A. Federal Order Reform was mandated in the '96 Farm Bill, yes.
 - Q. And USDA issued a proposed rule in 1998, correct?
- 25 A. Correct.
- Q. And then they issued what their -- was their plan, the final rule, in the late summer, early fall of 1999, correct?



- A. I don't remember the exact dates. But, yes.
- Q. I -- I seem to recall a Congressional hearing around the time of a hurricane, but anyway.

And the end product, though, was that Congress, as you say, Congress, Congressional action, however you want to phrase it, intervened and the final-final rule from December of 1999 was a mandate by Congress as to the Class I price surface, correct?

- A. That's correct.
- Q. USDA had in the proposed rule a preferred different Option 1B, correct?
- A. That's correct.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

22

23

24

25

26

27

- Q. And Option 1B would have set a dollar for base class differential, correct?
 - A. I don't remember the exact number, but I recall the Option 1B was structured so that on average, throughout the country, the Class I differentials averaged the same as under Option 1A.
 - Q. But nonetheless, they -- they started in a lower base, if that's what Option 1B is in the record?
 - A. As I recall, the Option 1B, as it was developed by the transportation model operated at that time by Cornell University, produced a differential surface that was -- had a -- a lower slope, let's call it, geographically than Option 1A, and a lower overall average, and that the Option 1B price surface was adjusted upward to equal on average over all the 3,000-plus counties that those differentials applied, to which those differentials



applied, so that the two were the same in their average. They differed primarily by the difference in slope.

I never understood why USDA produced two options.

- Q. Nonetheless, they did, correct?
- A. They did.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

- Q. And nonetheless, they had a preference, correct?
- A. They had a preference in that they -- they chose to produce Option 1 -- 1B in that recommended decision.
 - Q. All right. I'm really almost done.

And I -- I started at the end of Mr. Rosenbaum's exam, and now I want to go back to the beginning. And I just want to make sure I understand what your knowledge is as opposed to the knowledge of a future witness.

And so I'm referring to the bottom of page 5 and the top of page 6. The data to be used are USDA's average component tests of producer milk in all Federal Orders during calendar year 2022.

- A. That's correct.
- Q. As opposed to my having a conversation, likely later today, with Mr. Covington, can you point to me where that data comes from, where that answer comes from?
- A. I computed this several times. I believe the most recent one where I looked at it was -- was from -- from an early responsive data request for -- originally from National All-Jersey. It was the most complete data I saw that included all the fat/skim order results, which are not published on the USDA website.

I subsequently conferred with Mr. Covington who



was -- basically was tracking the latest data from USDA, including on the exhibits yesterday, and reconfirmed those numbers.

- Q. Those numbers had a lot of footnotes to them, didn't they?
- A. I believe so. But we -- what we were looking to do there was to establish an initial increase. We were not wedded to those numbers, because if there's better data became available, those numbers could easily be adjusted.

The main -- main thing we were looking at was to make the long overdue increase from the current much lower standards to something that was approximating what the current composition of milk was. Whether or not we would fight over, you know, you know, a two-decimal-place difference if new -- if new data became available, that was not the -- that was not the particular issue.

The issue is to basically initially reset those skim milk comp- -- component composition factors to something that was close to the current composition of -- of producer skim milk and to provide a mechanism for further adjustments based on data as computed by USDA.

You will note that we did not basically mandate any particular level of increase. We did provide a minimum so that, basically, what I would call nuisance level increases, you know, would -- would not occur. Because, as you know, our proposal was very respectful of not disrupting risk management positions which have



2.

2.0

2.1

Q. Well, so speaking of better data, you propose using, you know, the national average as received in all -- in orders, which would include in Class III and Class IV, correct?

Your decision -- you are not distinguishing between the milk actually received by Class I as opposed to Class III and IV plants, correct?

- A. Correct. It is basically the national average test for -- for producer skim milk.
- Q. Given -- given multiple component pricing, and it's been around for a while now, as an economist, is it not reasonable to conclude that rational actors, like dairy farm cooperatives seeking to maximize the value of producers' milk, would direct hire solids nonfat milk to Class III and IV operations as opposed to Class I?
 - A. They could do that, yes.
 - O. Shouldn't they be doing it, if they can?
- A. If they can, and I presume -- and it was economic -- in their economic interest to do so, they would probably want to do so under the current -- current provisions.
- Q. And the current provisions, which you do not seek to change, would continue to price Class I, whatever the base component -- would continue to price Class I on skim milk and butterfat, correct?
 - A. Say that again.



3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

22

23

24

25

26

27

- A. Can you define what you mean by change the Class I side?
- Q. All right. In multiple pricing orders, let's say a dairy farmer -- or a dairy farm cooperative has high solids milk -- 50% of its milk is high solids and half of its milk is lower solids. And it has two customers: One customer is a Class III cheese operation, and the other is Class I.

That rational cooperative is going to send that high SNF milk to Class III, correct?

- A. You would expect that to occur, yes.
- Q. And send the lower SNF to the Class I, correct?
 Yes?
- A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. And since you are not changing, not proposing changing, and this hearing doesn't have open, the fact that that exists, that it is to say MCP on Class III/IV, skim milk butterfat on Class I, that incentive won't change, correct?
- A. That's under the current -- the current arrangement.
- Q. But that -- you are not proposing to change that current arrangement, are you?



1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

26

27

- Q. So why should Class I handlers pay based upon order value as opposed to what they are actually getting?
- A. So what do you mean by what they are actually getting?
- Q. We just talked about rational actors in MCP orders sending high SNF milk to Class III plants, correct?
- A. They would have a tendency to send their higher Class III -- their higher testing milk to Class III uses, yes.
- Q. And nothing in your proposal, if adopted, would change that incentive, would it? To send it to Class III as opposed to Class I, correct?
 - A. Yes. That would be correct.
- Q. And so my question is, why then are you proposing to use the national order average, which by definition the incentive in MPC orders is to send it to cheese as opposed to what Class I plants actually get just like Class III and IV?
- A. When you say what Class III -- Class I plants actually get, you mean, the components that they get in the milk?
 - O. Yes.
 - A. Well, let me extend your question. What you are



2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

22

23

24

25

26

27

suggesting is that maybe a better arrangement would be setting the skim milk component standards at a lower level for Class I versus Class III or Class IV. How would that work as a system?

Right now, the Class -- Class I is established on the basis of a mover that uses Class III and Class IV in various combinations, previously the higher of, currently the average of plus 74 cents. We are proposing obviously the return to the higher of.

But still under either mover, basically, based on Class III and Class IV prices that are computed by the same formula under the current system. We are not proposing to cre- -- we would not -- we considered but decided not to propose the system whereby the Class III and Class IV advanced pricing factor formulas would differ in their component composition from those for the actual Class III and Class IV. We have considered that to be basically would -- would create, you know, disorderly marketing on its own.

So we -- our proposal continues the system where the Class III and Class IV formulas are the same, in terms of their skim milk formulas, are the same, whether it's the monthly class prices or the advanced skim milk pricing factors. And that's very clear in the regulatory language that I provided yesterday.

So we -- we specifically rejected the idea of having a different set of -- of skim milk component composition factors for Class I milk versus the



2.

2.0

2.1

manufactured products.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

22

23

24

25

26

27

- Q. So in MCP orders, at least, where the incentive will be to ship the higher solids nonfat to Class III and IV operations, rather than a minimum pricing system that recognizes that Class I isn't getting that value under that incentive, you are just going to charge them more, correct?
- A. I do not agree with your premises that they do not get higher value out of the -- out of the higher solids, no.
- Q. They are not getting all that value, are they, if the incentive is to ship it to M- -- to cheese plants?
- A. They are getting -- they are getting the value of the higher solids milk that is in -- basically in the pools.
- Q. But they didn't get it themselves, did they? Somebody else got it?
 - A. Well, when you say "it," what do you mean --
 - Q. I'm talking about --
 - A. -- because.
- Q. -- the incentive in MCP orders to ship the higher solids nonfat to a Class III or IV plant, and you agreed that that's the case.
- A. Well, let's say in a particular order that -- or within a particular co-op, the lower testing milk, currently, is higher than the lower testing milk that would have been available to do, you know, that -- that differential shipping that you described back in the year



1	2000.
2	So the value of the milk, even at the lower
3	testing milk, that is going to, presumably in your thought
4	experiment, Class I processors, is considerably
5	probably considerably higher than the current skim milk
6	component composition factors that apply to all products.
7	Q. It may be somewhat higher, but if they are not
8	getting, because of the incentive, all of that value, you
9	have gone the full amount, correct?
10	A. That would that would be a consequence of
11	Proposal 1, yes.
12	Q. Thank you.
13	MR. ENGLISH: I have no further questions.
14	THE COURT: Thank you, Counsel. We have been
15	going for about an hour and a half. Do we need a break?
16	All right. Let's anything preliminary before
17	we take a ten-minute break?
18	Seeing nothing, let's come back at 20 of 10:00, at
19	9:40.
20	(Whereupon, a break was taken.)
21	THE COURT: Back on the record.
22	We have the witness has resumed the stand.
23	We have further cross-examination?
24	CROSS-EXAMINATION
25	BY MR. SMITH:
26	Q. Good morning, Dr. Vitaliano.
27	A. Good morning.
28	Q. I'm Dan Smith. I represent the Maine Dairy



Industry Association.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

MDIA, just for context, represents all the farmers in the state of Maine cooperative and independents. Primarily serves to supply the two fluid milk plants in Portland, and those two plants essentially provide the fluid sales in Maine, but they also provide a not unsubstantial portion of the fluid market in Boston. So the primary concern of MDIA is the pay price.

If you refer back to yesterday, you testified -you testified yesterday that the premiums in the
marketplace had -- had largely disappeared in recent
times.

Do you recall testifying to that effect?

- A. That's my understanding, and that's been the consistent report that I have gotten from our members who are actually marketing milk.
- Q. So -- and the net consequence of that is that the Federal Order price becomes the pay price to the producer?
- A. It is essentially becoming the pay price to producers.
- Q. As a result, the primary concern of MDIA farmers is the pay price, and hence, in -- in this proceeding, impact of the proposals on -- on the Federal Order price.

Does that track?

- A. Yes.
- Q. I'd like to also refer to your testimony yesterday with Mr. Miltner, and, you know, greatly appreciated you indicated that in addition to testifying to the specific



issue of this proposal, your testimony was also intended to provide an overall summary and context for National Milk's submission; is that correct?

- A. That's correct.
- Q. So in -- in that regard, I'd like to refer you to page 5 of your testimony.

You summarized the functions of the Federal Orders, the second being to promote orderly marketing, up at the top.

A. Yes.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Q. So, can you just -- this morning you said -- testified that -- that in substance National Milk was responding to the fact that we had -- and I don't mean to characterize it, you can correct me -- that we had reached a point of having a sub minimum price.

Can you just describe that again, what you meant by that?

A. Again, the purpose of -- the purpose of the current skim milk composition factors in Class III and Class IV milk is to pro- -- is to basically specify the average composition of producer skim milk in the country. Those factors reflected roughly what the composition was at the time of Federal Order Reform. They no longer do. They are, basically, in that sense, for the -- for those prices, you know, producers get paid based on those, particularly in the fat/skim orders and for all Class I, those are prices that are below the current value of the components in the milk.



Again, the Federal Order component pricing formulas establish a value for the individual components. The skim milk composition factor establish standardized levels of those components in producer skim milk, and the current levels of those components who are valued by the component pricing formulas are basically significant under- -- significantly understated because the level of components in current producer skim milk are significantly higher than provided by the current component -- skim milk component pricing factors.

To that extent, I would characterize those -- the current skim milk component composition factors that Proposal 1 addresses as substandard.

O. So --

2.

2.0

2.1

- A. Sub minimum.
- Q. -- your characterization then involved just Proposal 1, or is it fair to broaden it out to that -- that given -- over time, that the lack of adjustment to the other factors that make up the producer pricing, or is that a --
- A. The other factors that are subject of our other proposals will have their own -- you know, there's a common theme that the current factors are outdated. They are outdated in different ways that will be addressed when those proposals are addressed.
- Q. Okay. Then I guess my question is a little bit broader. Referring back to the issue of disorderly marketing, I am interested in your assessment of the



2.

2.0

2.1

NATIONAL FEDERAL MILK MARKETING ORDER PRICING FORMULA HEARING

relationship between the level of producer price with all of the factors involved and disorderly marketing.

How does the level of price up and down affect disorderly marketing in the system?

- A. The level of -- are you talking about price volatility?
- Q. Increase and decrease, not so much the volatility of the price, but I guess, cutting to it, if there's a decrease in the price, as you've described with Mr. English today, the relationship between the market price and the minimum Federal Order price, there is a balance to be struck there.

So at what point in relation to the market price and the minimum price, as it has degraded over the years, does that affect disorderly marketing?

- A. Yeah, I'm not sure I can respond to the question as you phrased it, because the -- the term disorderly marketing that I have used in my testimony pertains primarily to the decreasing distance between Class I prices and the class prices for manufacturing products. That -- that difference which is -- needs to be at a certain minimum level to -- basically for the Federal Order system to work, has been decreasing and increasing the -- the incentives to depool milk which is what is basically creating the disorderly marketing condition in -- in the context of Proposal 1.
- Q. Well, in -- in the context of Federal Order 1, depooling's not so much an issue, correct?



- A. Federal Order 1 is unique, almost, amongst orders in that they have -- Federal Order 1 has a very effective mechanism to discourage depooling. The other Federal Orders do not have that. The Pacific Northwest order has kind of a version of that. But Federal Order 1 is relatively unique in that regard.
- Q. But how about with regard to the disorderly marketing of the supply of milk with reduction of producer pricing and procedures going out of business, which in the southeast, at least, is contributing to pressure on the milk supply.

So in that sense, is there a direct correlation between producer pricing and disorderly marketing?

A. Well, in terms of the southeast where they -basically producers are not receiving the true component
value of any of their milk, you could argue then that the
Federal Order pricing formulas are contributing to
basically the significant pressure on the milk supply in
those areas and the producers are increasingly going out
of business in the area.

There are many reasons for that. But the -- the adjustment in prices that we're proposing would -- would have some marginally greater effect in the southeast, but in those southeastern orders the amount of -- of non-Class I milk is relatively small. So the impact of increasing under Proposal 1 the skim milk composition factors in Class I would have a much more significant effect on the producer price down there, yes.



2.

2.0

2.1

- A. Based upon our experience in this process I described, the distance that milk travels from farms to plants has been increasing pretty much nationwide.
- Q. And is it reasonable to say that there can be found a direct correlation between the price to producers and that result with the loss of farms closer -- admittedly there's lots of other factors involved, but isn't it fair to say that there is some correlation between farm price and the exit of farms closer to the plants?
- A. I don't have specific knowledge of whether the actual geographic location of plants -- of farms with respect to plant location, whether that -- what -- what effect that has on the exit of dairy farms. I'm not -- that is not a -- something that I'm that familiar with.
 - Q. Okay.
- A. The actual location as opposed to the, you know, financial implications for -- for viable farming operations.
- Q. Where I'm -- where I'm aimed, and maybe I'm not going to get there on a straight line with you, it could be -- but on page 7 of your testimony, at the top -- and again, to your point that your discussion today and



2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

22

23

24

25

26

27

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

yesterday is more summary in nature, you indicate that
Dr. Brown will be testifying with regard to the impact of
adopting National Milk's five proposals and, quote, his
analysis will show that these proposals will have a
modestly positive impact on the average price of milk
received by farmers, which will dissipate fairly rapidly.

The resulting average prices are expected to converge within a few years to their baseline levels, i.e., levels expected to prevail in the absence of any order changes.

So my -- my essential question to you, that assumes that all five proposals are adopted; is that correct?

- A. That's correct.
- Q. Your -- the petition includes basically summary representations of the impact of each proposal on the producer pay price?
- A. I believe Dr. Brown will testify to that -- to that extent.
- Q. And is it fair to say that some of the proposals will increase the producer price and some will decrease the producer price?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. So what -- what happens if some of the proposals that have the effect of increasing producer prices are not adopted to your summary statement on --
- A. That will change that conclusion that I -- that I stated Dr. Brown would testify to.
 - Q. Within that consequence --



A. Yes.

2.

2.1

- Q. -- of reducing --
- A. All of my statements and all of Dr. Brown's analysis in terms of the summary are in the assumption that the entire package is National Milk's produce -- National Milk's proposals are adopted.
- Q. And that -- that really leads to what is my, you know, primary question for you in summary. Is it a premise of -- of National Milk's submission that the proposals need to be adopted as a package? You stated, you know, in a number of places that they're presented as an integrated comprehensive package.

But what happens on the backside? Is it National Milk's proposal they all be adopted as a package or can they be adopted piecemeal or should they -- I'm sorry to repeat myself, your Honor, but all to be adopted collectively or not at all or some can be adopted but not others?

A. Our position is, obviously, that they all be adopted together as a package, and our board of directors voted to approve this package, my understanding, on the assumption that there was an integrated package consisting of proposals that would have some offsetting effects.

But the fundamental purpose of all of our proposals is to update the current component end product pricing formulas that are designed to basically mimic the price discovery transmission process from end product prices to producer prices to basically update those



5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

technical mechanisms in the various formulas to more closely resemble the current dairy industry structural features.

- Q. So, I'm trying to put one and one together.

 If some of the proposals are not adopted, that would have the consequence of reducing producer pricing.

 Do you think that the result of that would be to contribute to disorderly marketing?
- A. Yes. It would depend on -- you know, there would be various different mixes in which that could occur. But my guess -- my -- I would -- I would agree with your statement, yes.
 - O. Thank you.

Okay. Just to switch in a couple quick questions. Ms. Coale informed us yesterday that the economic analysis is not going to be available until the end of the hearing, if at all. And -- and the result of that is the importance of the evidence submitted by each of the proponents in terms of the impact of their proposals.

So is Dr. Brown -- will he be providing evidentiary data in support of the summary statements in the petition? Will it be laid out in more specific detail?

- A. I believe, you know, Dr. Brown -- I'm -- Dr. Brown will testify to the impact of the package, and he will address the specific components.
 - O. With -- with supporting data?
 - A. Well, with -- with the data in his analysis, yes.



1	Q. Good. Okay.
2	And will he be providing an analysis of the impact
3	of the overall adoption of all five proposals
4	A. Yes.
5	Q so that we'll be able to assess the impact if
6	some or all of them are not
7	A. That's my understanding, yes.
8	Q. Okay. Very good.
9	MR. SMITH: Thank you. That's what I have, your
10	Honor.
11	THE COURT: Thank you, Counsel.
12	Any further cross of this witness?
13	Yes.
14	MR. MILTNER: Ryan Miltner, counsel for Select
15	Milk Producers.
16	CROSS-EXAMINATION
17	BY MR. MILTNER:
18	Q. Dr. Vitaliano, I just have a couple of questions
19	to follow up on Mr. Smith.
20	National Milk's package of five proposals, there
21	are other proposals in the hearing, some of which are very
22	close to or address the same issues as National Milk,
23	correct?
24	A. That's correct.
25	Q. If, for instance, one let's say National
26	All-Jersey is proposal number two, if the department were
27	to want to adopt that proposal instead of number one, and
28	the rest of National Milk's would National Milk support



- A. Under that hypothetical, National Milk would have to examine the resulting package and decide whether it was still good enough and whether they would support it. I can't speak for our board of directors.
 - 0. Okay.

2.

2.0

2.1

A. And I can't ultimately speak for how the dairy farmers in each of the orders would react to something different than our proposal, our package of proposals, in a referendum on the orders.

But let's say we are -- we are proposing this integrated package of recommendations. We have -- we feel we have very strong support for each of them. Whether we would say, you know, you change one decimal place in any of our proposals we're -- you know, our support would disappear, I can't speak to that either. What I'm saying is that we are -- we are proposing -- we are supporting our package of proposals. We feel they have been, you know, very responsibly worked on and -- and can be -- can be justified, and we're here to present that evidence.

- Q. But for -- so, for instance, if -- if the Class I price surface, which we're not talking about that proposal right now, but if -- if that piece were not included, National Milk would not support the other four components being adopted?
- A. I can't speak for National Milk under that hypothetical.



1	Q. Okay.
2	MR. MILTNER: Thank you.
3	THE COURT: Any further cross?
4	I see Ms. Taylor is turning on her microphone
5	or
6	MS. TAYLOR: Uh-oh. Good morning.
7	THE COURT: We do have AMS goes last, so I assume
8	that anyone else I'm not seeing anyone else wanting to
9	go, so it is your turn, AMS.
10	CROSS-EXAMINATION
11	BY MS. TAYLOR:
12	Q. Good morning. I just have a few questions. I
13	think most of our questions will probably be from
14	Mr. Covington later.
15	As we understand your proposal, you are not
16	proposing to increase the butterfat standard of 3.5%; is
17	that correct?
18	A. Well, the butterfat standard of 3.5% is my
19	understanding, is basically just a convenience for stating
20	class prices, you know you know, for producer milk.
21	It it never was intended to represent the actual
22	composition of milk. It was a convenience added that was
23	adopted many, many years ago. We're probably closer to 4%
24	now, but we're not advocating changing that because, you
25	know, we have, you know, decades and decades of data
26	stated at 3.5%. We're probably stuck with it now.
27	But that that is a nominal feature that only



enters this conversation in that technically it is my

understanding that the 3.5% nominal standard was effectively used to set the current component composition standards.

But we're not making a big deal of that. We're saying in any case they are outdated and we are recommending they be updated to something to basically -- you know, to current composition standards. That is the entire purpose of Proposal 1.

Q. Okay. And then in your testimony, and I think other -- you had other questions on this, you talk about updating component levels for -- the component levels to reflect all Federal Orders, but as you've discussed, there are four Federal Orders for -- that you use fat/skim pricing. And I know there are some estimated data that's going to be put officially on the record by USDA in a little bit, but those are estimated numbers.

And our question to you is, would you propose -since you talk about using component levels in all orders,
are you proposing that USDA somehow would collect
component data in the fat/skim orders as well, that they
currently do not collect?

A. I would assume that if there is a standard, if, you know, Proposal 1 is adopted as stated, using the national average, in all orders, that the system would basically provide for -- provide -- developing that data for the fat/skim orders so that that could go into the composition.

If there was a -- you know, a technical problem



2.

2.1

with that because, you know, those orders would continue not to pay in component pricing, as I pointed out in my testimony, including the tests in those orders, which represent maybe 10% of all the milk, if -- if there was an issue that it would be very difficult to collect that information, but nonetheless, USDA could feel that they would get -- that using the component pricing order issue -- data only would -- you know, would still give basically a nationwide representation to the formulas.

We're not -- I would characterize that those -those orders would, you know, have to be included to -for us to support Proposal 1. That's kind of a technical
data issue. And we -- we thought it was important to
basically, if those orders would be affected by
Proposal 1, which it would be, that their data be included
in the -- in the -- in the analysis.

- Q. Okay. So if I rephrase what I think I heard, was you would -- you support that USDA would collect component data in those four skim/fat orders that we do not currently collect, and if there's some reason that we are unable to collect that information, then you would be okay just using the averages for the seven component orders that we currently do collect?
- A. Yes. I think I'm willing to commit National Milk to that because it is -- it would not make a big difference compared to the fundamental principle that we need to update the component factors, significantly, from where they currently are and to keep them updated in the



2.

2.1

future.

2.

2.0

2.1

The issue of whether the, you know, data could be collected in those four orders or not is -- you know, would have a relatively minimal effect on the -- on -- on the system if every other provision of Proposal 1 was adopted.

O. Okay. Thank you.

The way you have the -- or National Milk has the order language written is that the implementation would happen in going forward basically February and March. If there was a proposed increase, it reached the .07 threshold, that would be implemented in March.

I guess my question is why February, March? Why not a calendar year basis?

A. That was basically, you know, looking through the updating procedure that we proposed, we -- we would assume that the -- shortly after the close of the calendar year, the data for the recently closed calendar year would be available, and that calculation of what the three years -- the -- the most recent three years, including the calendar year just ended, would be able to be made and announced.

And so the -- the -- February, March, you know, numbers in -- months in there, were based upon making the calculation and announcing it as soon as possible, as soon as the data would be available. Because my understanding is USDA collects that data monthly, and so you would be able early in the following calendar year to make that calculation, announce the result, and with the 12-month



- Q. Okay. Two questions on that, then.
- So the February/March announcement is tied to when the data -- when USDA has the data available.
 - A. Yes.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

17

18

19

22

23

2.4

25

26

27

- Q. So if that was slightly different because of actual -- when we get the data and we can announce that, National Milk would be amenable to some possible slight change to that announcement?
- 13 A. Yeah. Basically it was to make sure -- to make
 14 the calculation and the announcement as soon as the data
 15 would be available.
- 16 Q. Okay.
 - A. If the data were available two weeks later, so, you know, that could be adjusted.
 - 0. Okay.
- 20 A. But it was basically to get the information out 21 quickly.
 - Q. And then the 12-month lag, is it safe to assume that's related more to risk management and that's why that's in there?
 - A. That is, yes.
 - Q. Okay. One last question.
 - In your testimony, you talked about how there would be proposed changes both to 50 and the new section



1051, which you have in there. And then there's also talk about how there would be changes to 1005.51, 1006.51, and 1007.51. But I don't see any reference to those changes in your proposed language.

So could you explain what you mean there?

- A. The proposed language only deals with Proposal 1. Those statements those changes to the language in the southeast the three southeastern fat/skim orders relate to our Proposal Proposal 19 on the Class I differential surface. And it's just a a convention. That will be addressed at that time. And the language that we propose for that for Proposal 19 will include the changes to those provisions. It was basically just to simplify the simplify the statement of the Class I differentials in those three southeastern orders from that current the current sort of two-part statement, for which I assume there's a reason, but I don't know that reason.
 - Q. Okay.
- A. But those are -- you know, the members of our task force that were familiar with that figure, that that was something that could be done without doing injustice to the reasons for why --
 - O. But that was related to --
- A. Yeah --
- (Court Reporter clarification.)
- 26 BY MS. TAYLOR:
 - Q. I said, so that reference to in the Southeast orders, Section 51, is really dealing with Proposal 19,



1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

22

23

24

25

27

not a change that would go with Proposal 1, and I just wanted to make sure --

- A. That is correct.
- Q. -- I was clear on that. Okay.

MS. TAYLOR: I think that's all we have. We'll save the rest for the further witnesses for National Milk. Thank you.

THE COURT: We have another cross-examination?

Okay. This is okay with you, AMS? We have somebody interfering with you on -- yes, sir.

DR. BOZIC: Good morning, your Honor. Dr. Marin Bozic, president of Bozic, LLC, here on behalf of Edge Dairy Farmer Cooperative.

CROSS-EXAMINATION

BY DR. BOZIC:

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Q. Peter, thank you for your testimony and your diligent answers to this cross-examination. I want to follow up to what Erin said about the -- asked about the butterfat test, and you answering that it was merely a matter of inconvenience.

We are just now completing the analysis that shows that if butterfat test is increased that it will improve hedge effectiveness --

(Court Reporter clarification.)

BY DR. BOZIC:

Q. We are just now completing analysis that shows that if butterfat tests, standard butterfat test, is also increased, that would increase the hedging effectiveness



when Class III futures are used for managing producer gross pay pry risk.

So I wanted to ask you whether you see any downside of increasing standard butterfat test. I understand that it doesn't really matter. It doesn't matter at all for pool accounting. But is there any downside to increasing that?

- A. What do you mean by increasing the butterfat test?
- Q. So you used a certain procedure to increase the protein test from 2-point -- from 3.1 to 3.36. If you were to apply the same procedure to calculate the butterfat test, instead of 3.5, let's say 4.02, or whatever that may be, would there be any downside that you are aware of?
- A. Well, again, our Proposal 1 only relates to the skim milk component composition factors. The butterfat test comes into play in calculating the -- the skim milk composition of a given test -- test -- skim test of -- of producer milk when you translate that down to the somewhat smaller value of producer skim milk.

So we are -- we are -- our proposal doesn't really address the butterfat test. It is an -- it is important that the current butterfat test be taken into account when making that calculation. But we didn't -- I don't see how, in a sense, our proposal relates to -- in any other material way, to the butterfat tests.

Q. Understand. Because it's related -- it is focused on skim milk price. But if AMS were to implement your



2.

2.0

2.1

- A. Okay. You are talking about if they moved away from the current 3.5% --
 - Q. Right.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

A. -- that is -- that is material for hedging purposes, because that's how the price -- because it is the announced prices that the futures settle to.

I'm not a risk management expert. You can reserve that question for our expert witnesses to follow that will focus on risk management. But I assume that that would be a disruptive -- that would involve a change in risk management procedures.

- Q. But other than risk management, are you aware of any unintended or adverse consequences on any other aspect of the industry, assuming that it is properly delayed along with protein and other solids?
- A. Well, again, I look at the current 3.5% butterfat standard as just a -- a benchmark for reporting prices, and that the key -- you know, the key factors are the skim milk prices and the -- you know, and the butterfat component prices.

If that standard were changed, as I alluded to, decades worth of data that is standard -- that is stated at the 3.5% butterfat, there would be a discontinuity in



the data series, but that would be probably a problem for economists and other analysts.

- O. Right. But --
- A. As opposed to something that would create disorder -- you know, disruptive -- disruption in the actual marketing of milk.
- Q. Sure. So would it be fair to summarize your statement as, you know, you cannot think of any concrete example of real world disorderly marketing that would ensue if butterfat test is increased?
- 11 A. Beyond, you know, risk management, considerations, 12 and --
 - Q. Right.
 - A. -- economic and policy analysis research --
- 15 | O. Sure.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

13

14

17

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

16 A. -- reasons, no.

little lost here.

- Q. Thank you very much.
- 18 Changing topics to delay --
- THE COURT: Before you move on, can I just ask,
 what is the butterfat test? Is that the same as a
 butterfat standard, butterfat percentage? I've gotten a
 - THE WITNESS: Butterfat standard is probably a more accurate term for that, because the butter -- the term test implies actual composition determination through laboratory procedures. This is the standard 3.5% butterfat standard at which producer milk class prices are announced.



1	DR. BOZIC: Forgive me, Judge, I don't have enough
2	grace here to speak eloquently in a proceeding like this,
3	but
4	THE COURT: Believe me, I can't, so that's fine.
5	I think that makes sense to me. We're talking about
6	the percentage number that we
7	THE WITNESS: His standard.
8	DR. BOZIC: We are talking about a standard, yes.
9	THE COURT: Very well. Thank you.
10	DR. BOZIC: Yeah.
11	BY DR. BOZIC:
12	Q. I wanted to change topic to delay. Your proposal
13	calls for a 12-month delay, in which the organization I
14	represent here today fully agrees that it is important for
15	risk management.
16	But just for the purpose of being on the record, I
17	was hoping that we could go into mechanics of how it
18	disrupts risk management. If you prefer that we defer
19	that to your expert witness, we can.
20	A. I would prefer that that be deferred to that
21	will be answered thoroughly by our expert witness.
22	Q. Okay. All right.
23	DR. BOZIC: No further questions, your Honor.
24	THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.
25	Any further examination examination in the
26	nature of cross before we get to redirect?
27	Seeing none, Ms. Hancock, you have the floor.



28

MS. HANCOCK: Thank you, your Honor.

NATIONAL FEDERAL MILK MARKETING ORDER PRICING FORMULA HEARING

REDIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MS. HANCOCK:

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Q. Dr. Vitaliano, I'll start where we just left off. You were asked about the butterfat standards.

Is that -- is the butterfat standard really just a statistical comparison?

- A. It's -- it's -- I wouldn't even call it statistical. It is basically a data reporting convention.
- Q. Okay. So something that you just use as a data point?
- A. Yeah. At the time that it was established, it was represented approximately what the butterfat test was of producer milk.
- Q. Okay. And throughout your cross-examination questions, you were taken down numerous trails to -- to talk about different hypotheticals and consumer effects on market.

I'm wondering if you could just take us back to the high level of -- and give me a high level explanation of what Proposal 1 is again?

A. Proposal 1 addresses one portion of the dairy product pricing formulas that were adopted in Federal Order Reform and are now and for the foreseeable future the pricing formulas for the Federal Order program. Our fundamental -- the reason we are here is because many features of those product price formulas have become outdated given the current realities in the U.S. dairy industry.



For Proposal 1, the skim milk composition factors that were -- that are -- were adopted in Federal Order Reform and are currently still in place assume that there is a certain composition and, therefore, value of the components in producer skim milk in Class III and Class IV. Those standards are currently -- seriously understate the actual composition of producer skim milk and, therefore, undervalue producer skim milk in Class III and Class IV uses.

We are -- Proposal 1 simply proposes that those factors be updated to reflect more closely the current composition of producer skim milk and that they be -- that -- and that a mechanism be addressed -- be adopted that will provide for them to be updated in the future without going through a hearing, because as we will have an expert witness testifying, the expectation is the skim milk component composition of producer skim milk can be expected to continue to increase even at the rates we have seen that increase over -- in recent years.

- Q. And did any of the hypotheticals or the examples about the effects on other markets -- other classes of products, Class I in particular, did that alter in any way your belief that those numbers should be updated?
 - A. No.
- Q. And we also heard some questions about, well, it's been 15 years since anybody has requested to have this looked at.

Does that in any way invalidate any of the reasons



2.

2.0

2.1

that you have given today?

2.

2.0

2.1

- A. No. There -- I cannot speak to the reasons why it's been 15 years since some of these provisions have been raised.
 - O. Does that in fact --
- A. Basically, we are looking at where we are now and going forward and not -- not -- not being concerned about what happened in the past. But what's happened in the past does not affect the fact that a serious updating of many of these proposal -- of many of these factors needs to be made, and that's why we're here.
- Q. Okay. We also -- you received a line of questions about PPDs and -- and the effect of Proposal 1 on PPDs.

 Can you talk about what the totality of all of National Milk's proposals effect will be on PPDs?
- A. The totality of our proposals would be to reduce the -- increase the PPDs on average and reduce the instances of negative PPDs, and it would do so by simply restoring an appropriate level of distance between Class I prices and the manufacturing prices. Many of our proposals would have that effect, and it would have that effect in -- in total.
- Q. And is that part of the reasons why you believe that the entirety of the proposals should be adopted together?
- A. Making that change will promote orderly marketing by -- by reducing the incentives for depooling and a -- if you go back and look at the original decision in 1999 for



Q. Okay.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

- A. So we are basically following the lead of what USDA laid out in the Federal Order Reform initial decision as what's -- what's important for creating and maintaining orderly marketing.
- Q. One of the other lines of questions you received was suggesting that Federal Order pricing might be in some way contributing to the cause of the declining Class I markets.

Is that your experience?

- A. We will have an expert witness testifying that that is not really a significant cause, that there are many other factors that are leading to the decline in fluid milk consumption in the United States.
- Q. And can you think of any examples of what those might be?
- A. Yes. I work fairly closely with the checkoff -- producer checkoff organization, and they are very -- they spend a lot of resources looking at Class I consumption.

Probably -- I'm not an expert in this, but the -the tremendous growth of alternative beverages to fluid
milk has led to increased competition, you know, far wider
consumer choice of beverages than was the case in the
past. The fact that consumers consume liquid beverages



increasingly away from the home, in their automobiles, and their offices, and the like. And that milk is probably, you know, maybe one of the lesser convenient beverages to consume on the go, so to speak.

And one of the main drivers of the decline of fluid milk, to my understanding, is the decline in, you know, sit-down, home breakfast consumption, particularly of -- of cereal, that there's a -- as Mr. English alluded to, there is a correlation between the decline in cereal consumption and the decline in fluid milk consumption.

And it is a fact that people are -- particularly children, are eating breakfast less frequently, a sit-down meal at home consuming cereal. And when cereal declines, fluid milk is declining along with it.

So there -- again, we have to consult an expert on what determines -- basically what the determinants of fluid milk consumption are, but it is my understanding that price is a relatively small part of that. And we'll have an expert witness again testifying to that effect.

Q. And staying in that -- in that same line, there's been some reports that some of these premium milk products are selling at 150% of the fluid milk prices.

Have you seen those reports?

A. Yes. That's my understanding that -- I have observed the price differences myself in the supermarkets. It is my understanding that the highest price fluid milk beverages are the ones that are experiencing growth at the moment.



2.

2.1

1	Q. Okay. Suggesting that it is not the Federal Order
2	pricing that's driving the decline in in Class I?
3	A. That would be my interpretation, yes.
4	Q. Okay.
5	MS. HANCOCK: That's all I have. Thank you,
6	Doctor. Appreciate your time.
7	THE COURT: Thank you, Counsel.
8	Anybody want to have a stab at testifying with
9	re-cross? I don't encourage it.
10	Okay. With that, I think I think we have the
11	one exhibit with this for this witness. So I move that
12	into and I guess in I'm sorry to interrupt your
13	conversation. You want to move your exhibit into
14	evidence?
15	MS. HANCOCK: Yes, your Honor. Thank you for the
16	reminder. I appreciate that.
17	THE COURT: Not at all.
18	MS. HANCOCK: Exhibit 62.
19	THE COURT: Yes.
20	Any objections?
21	Exhibit 62 as previously identified is entered
22	into the record.
23	(Thereafter, Exhibit Number 62 was received
24	into evidence.)
25	THE COURT: I think that's it. Thank you very
26	much, Dr. Vitaliano.
27	Okay. Who is next?
28	Are you going to bring up another AMS witness?



1	MS. McMURTRAY: Yes, your Honor. We're going to
2	call John Herbert as a witness right now.
3	THE COURT: Okay.
4	MS. McMURTRAY: And just a reminder before we get
5	started for for the oh, my gosh, the reporter, my
6	name is Michelle McMurtray.
7	THE COURT: Thank you, Counsel.
8	Please raise your right hand.
9	JOHN HERBERT,
10	being first duly sworn, was examined and
11	testified as follows:
12	THE COURT: Your witness, Counsel.
13	DIRECT EXAMINATION
14	BY MS. McMURTRAY:
15	Q. Good morning, Mr. Herbert. Can you please state
16	and spell your name for the record.
17	A. Yes, John Herbert, J-O-H-N, H-E-R-B-E-R-T.
18	Q. And what is your current position and title?
19	A. I am an Associate Market Administrator for the
20	Appalachian, Southeast, and Florida Marketing Areas.
21	Q. And in preparing for this hearing did you prepare
22	any documents?
23	A. Yes, I did.
24	Q. Do you have those documents with you today?
25	A. Yes.
26	Q. For documents that maybe you didn't prepare, were
27	those prepared under your supervision?
28	A. For the documents I did not prepare, they were



either prepared under my supervision or I was involved in the preparation.

- Q. And did you put these documents together of your own accord, or were these documents the result of requests?
 - A. They were the result of requests.
- Q. And to be clear, do these documents reflect your personal views?
 - A. They do not.
- Q. And are you offering these documents in favor of or against any of the proposals?
- 12 A. I am not.
- Q. And do you intend that these documents be used by all parties?
- 15 A. Yes.

3

4

5

6

7

8

- 16 THE COURT: I hate to bother with this, but can we 17 get -- I think we do need an address.
- 18 MS. McMURTRAY: Yes. Thank you.
- 19 THE COURT: I'm not sure why, but we're going to 20 follow the rules.
- MS. McMURTRAY: And it can be your business address.
- THE WITNESS: The address of our office is 10301
 Brookridge Village Boulevard, Louisville, Kentucky, 40291.
- 25 BY MS. McMURTRAY:
- Q. Okay. So at this time I would like to mark the chart titled Producer Milk and Components by Class and Order as Exhibit 44.



1	(Thereafter, Exhibit Number 44 was marked for
2	identification.)
3	BY MS. McMURTRAY:
4	Q. Mr. Herbert, do you have this document?
5	A. I do.
6	Q. And can you please tell us what this document is?
7	A. Yes. This document represents Producer Milk and
8	Components By Class and Order for January of 2008 through
9	April of 2023. It is Table 1 on the hearing website.
10	Q. And I see that this document is rather lengthy and
11	that there are some footnotes that may help explain this
12	document. Can you go through those?
13	A. Yes, I can go ahead and read each footnote.
14	So footnote 1 states, "Skim milk pounds and
15	butterfat are reported data for all orders."
16	(Court Reporter clarification.)
17	THE WITNESS: I'll start all over on the
18	footnotes.
19	Footnote 1 states, "Skim milk pounds and butterfat
20	pounds are reported data for all orders. Nonfat solids
21	pounds by class are reported for multiple component
22	pricing orders."
23	Footnote 2, Class III and total other solids are
24	reported in MCP orders. To estimate the pounds of OS
25	which is other solids in Classes I, II, and IV, the
26	non-Class III other solids pounds (total other solids
27	minus Class III other solids) were multiplied by the



28

percent of nonfat solids in each of the respective

classes. Example, Class I nonfat solids over Class I plus Class II plus Class IV nonfat solids would give you percent of nonfat solids in Class I as a percentage of I, II, and IV.

Footnote 3, To estimate the pounds of protein in Classes I, II, and IV, the non-Class III protein pounds which is total protein minus Class III protein, were multiplied by the percent of nonfat solids in each of the respective classes. Example, Class I nonfat solids over Class I plus Class II plus Class IV nonfat solids equals nonfat solids in Class I.

Footnote 4, "For skim/fat orders, other solids pounds for all classes were estimated by multiplying the skim utilization percentage in each class by the estimated total other solids pounds."

Footnote 5, "For skim/fat orders, protein pounds for all classes are estimated by multiplying the skim utilization percentage in each class by the estimated total protein pounds."

Footnote 6, "For skim/fat orders, nonfat solids pounds for all classes were estimated by adding the estimated other solids in protein pounds."

Footnote 7, "The monthly market average protein test, other solids tests, and somatic cell count of producer milk pooled on Federal Orders 5, 6, and 7, were estimated using producer weight and payroll data provided by handlers to the Market Administrator. The component data provided represents approximately 70% or more of the



2.

2.1

total producer milk pooled on Federal Order 5 in a given month, approximately 80% or more of the total producer milk pooled on Federal Order 6 in a given month, and approximately 70% or more of the total producer milk pooled on Federal Order 7 in a given month."

Footnote 8, "The monthly average protein test and other solids test of producer milk pooled on Federal Order 131 were estimated using protein and other solids tests for Federal Order 124. Total protein pounds were estimated by multiplying the total producer milk pounds on" -- "pooled on Federal Order 131 by the protein percent in producer milk pooled on Federal Order 124. Total solids pounds were estimated by multiplying the total producer milk pounds pooled on Federal Order 131 by the other solids percent in producer milk pooled on Federal Order 131 by the other solids percent in producer milk pooled on Federal Order 124."

Finally footnote 9 indicates "values in italics are estimated."

I do want to make two other comments about the footnotes.

First, footnote 2, where it explains how the other solids and protein are calculated for the component orders, that is in general. Some orders actually do -- for some multiple component pricing orders, the classification of components by class is actually at the handler level, then each handler's classification is added together to get the component levels by class. So you are not going to multiply the percentages as indicated in that



2.

2.1

footnote for all orders.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

23

24

25

26

27

28

One additional comment with the page numbering in the document. So the document goes pages 1 through 44, and then skips to page 55. There are no missing pages. It is just numbered incorrectly. So the pages after 55 back are actually the 45th page going -- and subsequent pages are all numbered ten pages off.

MS. McMURTRAY: I appreciate that.

And so just to also clarify for the record and for interested parties, that the footnotes contained in the document that we have today that's marked as Exhibit 44, those are different than the ones on the website, but we will be updating this chart on the website.

I'll move next and I will mark the chart titled Announced Class Prices and Prices Using NMPF's Proposed Component Levels for January through December 2022 as Exhibit 45.

(Thereafter, Exhibit Number 45 was marked for identification.)

BY MS. McMURTRAY:

- Q. Mr. Herbert, do you have that document in front of you?
 - A. I do.
 - Q. And can you explain what this document is?
 - A. Yes. This document represents Announced Class
 Prices and Prices Using National Milk Producers' Proposed
 Component Levels for January through December 2022. This
 represents -- this is represented by Table 6 on the



website.

2.

2.1

- Q. And can you just go through some of the data and what this chart is showing us?
- A. Yes. So I can go across the columns starting left to right. Represents the class and order, which would be the Federal Order. And then the first section represents the prices under the current component levels in the price formulas. So if you look at the first two footnotes, the first footnote indicates that prices are in dollars per hundredweight, and the second footnote indicates that the component levels for protein is 3.1 pounds per hundredweight of skim, nonfat solids is 9 pounds per hundredweight of skim, and other solids is 5.9 pounds per hundredweight of skim. Again, those are the current component levels of skim milk reflected in the current pricing formulas.

The second section labeled prices under proposed levels reflect the class prices based on National Milk's proposed component levels. Footnote 3 indicates that those component levels for protein are 3.39 pounds per hundredweight of skim; nonfat solids, 9.41 pounds per hundredweight of skim; and other solids, 6.02 pounds per hundredweight of skim.

The final section is labeled differences in prices between current and proposed component levels, and it is the difference between the -- it is the price under proposed levels minus the prices under current levels.

Q. Thank you, Mr. Herbert.



So moving on to Exhibit -- what we have marked as Exhibit 46, which I would also like to mark for identification as Exhibit 46.

(Thereafter, Exhibit Number 46 was marked for identification.)

BY MS. McMURTRAY:

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

22

23

2.4

25

26

27

28

- Q. Can you explain -- can you just tell us what this document is?
- A. Yes. This exhibit is the PPD/Uniform Pricing Reflecting National Milk's Proposed Class I Differentials by Order for May 2022. Online is Tables 22 and 23. And for this exhibit the first page represents May 2022, and the second page is October 2022.
- Q. And can you take us through what this chart is showing us?
- A. Yes. So, starting from left to right, the first column is the Federal Order number. The next column is the Class I producer milk pounds pooled on that order for May 2022. Next column, total producer milk pounds, which would be the producer milk pooled on that respective order for May 2022. The next column to the right would be the Class I utilization.

The next section of columns is the Class I differential value. Actual represents the actual Class I differential value, which would be at the current differentials. The next column is the differential value -- the Class I differential value using National Milk's proposed differentials. And then the third column



under the Class I differential umbrella would be the difference between actual and the proposed.

The next set of columns is labeled market average Class I differential. The first column is the actual market average Class I differential. The next column would be the market average Class I differential under -- using the National Milk proposed differentials. And then again the third column is the difference in those two.

The next set of columns represents the PPD or uniform price at the announced zone.

I'll go ahead and read footnote 3. The -- it indicates that an average PPD or uniform price -- which the last row of these tables shows averages -- an average PPD or uniform price cannot be calculated due to the differences across skim-fat and multiple component pricing orders. Difference reported in total/weighted average row is the producer milk weighted average of the differences across orders.

So back to the section PPD/uniform price at announced zone. The first column actual represents the actual PPD or uniform price announced for each order for May 2022. The next column represents the PPD or uniform price using the National Milk proposed differentials. Then the third column is the difference.

Finally, to the far right, the heading is market average PPD or uniform price at location. The first column is, again, actual using current differentials. The next column is the calculation using National Milk's



2.

2.0

2.1

2.4

proposed differentials. And then, again, the third -final column is the difference.

- Q. Okay. And just to be clear, the chart on the next page shows the same data, but just for October 2022, but it is the same type of data?
 - A. That's correct.

code, and Federal Order.

Q. Okay. We will move on to what I would like marked as Exhibit 47.

(Thereafter, Exhibit Number 47 was marked for identification.)

11 BY MS. McMURTRAY:

3

4

5

6

9

10

15

19

2.0

2.1

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

- 12 Q. Mr. Herbert, do you have this document in front of you?
- 14 | A. I do.
 - Q. And can you tell us what this document is?
- A. Yes. Exhibit 47 is Pooled Distributing -- Pool
 Distributing and Supply Plants by Order, December 2000.

 This is Chart 4 on the website.

And I will go ahead and mention that the next -so Exhibits 47 through 52 are all going to be maps of pool
distributing plants and supply plants by order for
December of 2000, 2005, 2010, 2015, 2020, and 2022. And
those are Charts 4 through 9 on the website. And then at
the end of each of the maps there is a legend that
includes plant names, plant city, plant state, a FIPS

MS. McMURTRAY: At this time, we can go through Exhibit 47 in a moment, but I would like to go ahead, as



1	Mr. Herbert indicated, and mark for identification as
2	Exhibit 48 the group of charts Titled Pool Distributing
3	and Supply Plants by Order for December 2005.
4	(Thereafter, Exhibit Number 48 was marked for
5	identification.)
6	MS. McMURTRAY: We would like to mark for
7	identification as Exhibit 49 Pool Distributing and Supply
8	Plants by Order for December 2010.
9	(Thereafter, Exhibit Number 49 was marked for
10	identification.)
11	MS. McMURTRAY: We would like to mark as
12	Exhibit 50 the Pool Distributing and Supply Plants by
13	Order for December 2015.
14	(Thereafter, Exhibit Number 50 was marked for
15	identification.)
16	MS. McMURTRAY: Marking for identification as
17	Exhibit 51 the Pool Distributing and Supply Plants by
18	Order for December 2020.
19	(Thereafter, Exhibit Number 51 was marked for
20	identification.)
21	MS. McMURTRAY: And the last one in this group, we
22	would like to mark for as Exhibit 52 the Pool
23	Distributing and Supply Plants by Order for December 2022.
24	(Thereafter, Exhibit Number 52 was marked for
25	identification.)
26	BY MS. McMURTRAY:
27	Q. Okay. Mr. Herbert, I'm going back to Exhibit 47.
28	I'd just like to take to have us go through this a



2.

2.1

little bit and explain what it is.

So opening it to page 2, can you explain what this map is showing?

A. Yes. This map represents pool distributing and supply plant locations for the entire U.S. for December 2000.

So the map -- each Federal Order is identified by color which can be seen in the legend on the right. For example, Federal Order 1 on the upper right-hand side is shaded in green color as outlined with a green outline. Each Federal Order is shaded and outlined with a different color. The pinpoints on the map represent plant locations, the pool distributing and pool supply plant location.

I do want to make a note that the plant locations are ba- -- throughout out those maps are based on the plant state and county FIPS code where the plant is located, so the pin locations are approximate. They don't necessarily identify the exact address of the plant. They are more, you know, based on the approximate location based on the county.

Q. And just to be clear, there's a section on the western side of the map that is not outlined or shaded.

Does that mean there is not a Federal Order in that area?

- A. That is correct.
- Q. Okay.
- A. At least let me clarify. So during December 2000,



that is -- that is correct.

Q. Okay.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

- A. And later maps will show the California is highlighted, which there's a California order currently.
 - Q. Okay. Thank you.

Moving on to page 3, can you just take us through this map?

A. Yes. So page 3 is for December 2000 Pool
Distributing and Supply Plants for Federal Order 1 and
Federal Order 33. Essentially, it is a zoomed-in version
of the previous page, so you -- you can see the Federal
Order 1 and Federal Order 33 marketing areas.

The Federal Order 1 is highlighted in green and outlined in green, and the plant locations are identified with a pin and a number. Those numbers correspond to the legend in the back of the exhibit where you can find the plant name and city, etcetera.

Federal Order 33 is the purple region. It is also outlined by the purple lines. And for the plants, it is the same information. Those pinpoints and numbers represent plants, and the specifics on that plant as far as the name, city, state, Federal Order, etcetera, can be found in the legend at the back of the exhibit.

MS. TAYLOR: Mr. Herbert, I have a few additional clarifying questions that we didn't discuss last night, but I think it might be helpful for the record.

THE WITNESS: Okav.

MS. TAYLOR: On the first page -- and I want to



3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1 | make this clear, since this is for, in Exhibit 47,

2 December 2000. This shows the current Federal Order

boundaries. It doesn't -- you talked about California.

But there was not -- was there also a Western order back

5 | in December of 2000 that might not be reflected on here?

THE WITNESS: Yes, that is accurate. So there was a Western order that I believe was terminated in 2004 that is not reflected on this map.

Additionally, there were a couple other changes to marketing areas. In 2005, the Appalachian Marketing Area expanded to include additional Virginia counties. This map reflects the current marketing area of Federal Order 5.

Additionally, I believe it was in 2006, the Arizona order -- or Clark County, Nevada, was removed from the Arizona order, so this map represents the current marketing area for the Arizona order also.

MS. TAYLOR: Thank you.

And one last thing. These locations of plants, they don't necessarily reflect where that plant is regulated, do they? They just are by physical location?

THE WITNESS: That is correct. The legend in the back indicates which order they are regulated by.

MS. TAYLOR: That's it. Thank you. I'll turn it back over.

BY MS. McMURTRAY:

Q. We can move on to page 4 of the same Exhibit 47.

Just once again, can you just describe this, what



this shows?

2.

2.1

A. Yes. So page 4 represents pool distributing and supply plants for Federal Orders 5, 6, and 7 for December 2000. Again, it is similar to page 2. It is just, you know, zoomed in so that the plant locations and marketing areas are easier to see.

The pink shaded area is the Appalachian Marketing Area as outlined by the pink colored line. The Southeast, or Federal Order 7, marketing area is shaded yellow and is bordered by, I guess, a brown and yellow colored line. And the Federal Order 6, or Florida Marketing Area, is shaded blue as outlined by a blue line. And, again, the plants are identified with pinpoints and numbers, which can be found in the legend at the back of the exhibit.

- Q. Okay. Just to make sure this is all on the record, we'll move to page 5, and if you can just explain, again, what this shows.
- A. Yes. Page 5 represents pool distributing and supply plants for Federal Order 30 for December 2000. Again, it is -- essentially the second page of the exhibit is zoomed in to make it easier to see the Federal Order 30 marketing area. The Order 30 marketing area is highlighted in the tan color or shaded in the tan color, and the outline, the brown there, outlines the marketing area. And, again, the plants are identified on the map with the pinpoint and number, which can be found in the legend in the back of the exhibit.
 - Q. Thank you.



Moving on to page 6.

- A. Page 6 represents pool distributing and supply plants for Federal Order 32 for December 2000. Again, it essentially is a zoomed-in version of page 2. The Federal Order 32 marketing area is highlighted in the purple/pink shaded area, and the marketing area as outlined by the pink lines. And again, the plants are identified with the pinpoint and number, and those plants -- the name and city and state in regulating order can be found in the back of the exhibit.
 - Q. Okay. Turn to page 7.
- A. Page 7 represents the pool distributing and supply plants for Federal Order 126 and 131 for December of 2000. Similar to the other maps, it is basically a zoomed-in version of the second page.

So the yellow-green color represents the Federal Order 126 marketing area and is outlined by the yellow border. The pink shade -- or I'm sorry, it's not really pink. The -- to the left-hand side, the brownish shade is the Federal Order 131 marketing area and is outlined by a brown colored line. And, again, the plants are identified with pinpoints and numbers which can be found in the back of the exhibit.

- Q. I think the last map on page 8.
- A. So, page 8, the title says, Pool Distributing and Supply Plants, Federal Order 51 and 124, December 2000.

As I mentioned previously, Federal Order 51 was not a Federal Order marketing area in December 2000, so



2.1

that should just say Federal Order 124. The maps for 2020 and 2022 will actually include Federal Order 51 and 124 on the same map.

But in this case the Federal Order 124 marketing area is shaded in the purple color and outlined by the purple outline. And, again, the plants are identified -- the plant locations are identified by the pinpoint and number, and those numbers correspond to the table in the back of the exhibit.

- Q. And then on page 9, is this the table that you have been referencing as we have gone through the maps?
- A. Yes. Page 9 is the legend or table I was referring to that includes the legend number, which is what was identified on those maps, in addition to the plant name, plant city, state, the FIPS, and the Federal Order.
 - O. Thank you.

MS. McMURTRAY: And I'll just note again for the record that the exhibits that we have marked after this one as 48, 49, 50, 51, and 52, reflect the same data, but just in different years, so rather than have Mr. Herbert go through them all.

So we will move on. I'd like to have marked for Exhibit 43 -- 53. Sorry for that, 53.

(Thereafter, Exhibit Number 53 was marked for identification.)

- BY MS. McMURTRAY:
 - Q. Mr. Herbert, do you have this document in front of



1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

22

23

24

25

26

27

1	you?
2	A. Yes.
3	Q. Can you tell us what this document is?
4	A. So Exhibit 53 corresponds to Chart 10 on the
5	website. It is Producer Milk by County for December 2000.
6	Similar to the other maps, Exhibits 53 through 58, which
7	correspond to Charts 10 through 14 online, are going to be
8	maps for December 2000, 2005, 2010, 2015, 2020, and 2022.
9	MS. McMURTRAY: And just to clarify for the
10	record. So very similar to the prior set of maps, so
11	Exhibit 54 would be Producer Milk by County for December
12	of 2005.
13	(Thereafter, Exhibit Number 54 was marked for
14	identification.)
15	MS. McMURTRAY: I'd like to mark for Exhibit 55
16	Producer Milk by County for December 2010.
17	(Thereafter, Exhibit Number 55 was marked for
18	identification.)
19	MS. McMURTRAY: I'd like to mark for Exhibit 56
20	Producer Milk by County for December 2015.
21	(Thereafter, Exhibit Number 56 was marked for
22	identification.)
23	MS. McMURTRAY: Marking for identification as
24	Exhibit 57, Producer Milk by County for December 2020.
25	(Thereafter, Exhibit Number 57 was marked for
26	identification.)
27	MS. McMURTRAY: And then our last one is
28	marking for identification as Exhibit 58, Producer Milk by



County for December 2022.

(Thereafter, Exhibit Number 58 was marked for identification.)

BY MS. McMURTRAY:

2.

2.0

2.1

- Q. Just a quick clarifying question, Mr. Herbert.

 Would the orders -- would these reflect the current orders that were in existence for each year that they show?
- A. These maps, similar to the previous exhibits, the marketing areas are going to reflect the current marketing area, other than the Federal Order 51. So, for example, as I stated, the additional counties that were added in Virginia are reflected throughout these maps even though those counties weren't actually added until 2005.
- Q. Okay. Well, we will start with Exhibit 53.

 Opening it to page 2, can you explain what we are looking at?
- A. Yes. So page 2 represents producer milk by county for December 2000 for the Northeast Marketing Area, which is Federal Order 1. The green line outlines the actual Federal Order 1 marketing area. The light blue shaded counties represent counties with milk production pooled on Federal Order 1 in December of 2000 from within the Federal Order marketing area. The darker blue shaded counties represent counties with milk production pooled on Federal Order 1 in December of 2000. Those counties are located outside the marketing area.
- I'll also note that the total pounds by state listed in thousand pounds are identified on the right-hand



side of the page. And there is a -- there are restricted states included in the other -- the other label at the bottom of the total pounds by state. Those restricted states include Delaware, Michigan, Minnesota, Nevada, New Jersey, Utah, Rhode Island, Virginia, West Virginia, and Wisconsin.

And one additional note on these maps. Each Federal Milk Market Order may present data differently while maintaining confidentiality, and so differences in the maps reflect this as far as how the counties are represented.

- Q. And can you tell us why those states -- or what does it mean for a state to be restricted?
- A. If a state is restricted, it means that in that particular state we cannot post the data because there are fewer than three handlers with data. So in this case there would be fewer than three handlers with milk pooled on Federal Order 1 in December of 2000, so we cannot publish that information for confidentiality concerns.
- Q. Okay. We can move on to page 3.

 Can you explain what we're looking at, at this map?
- A. So page 3 represents producer milk by county for December 2000 for the Appalachian Marketing Area, Federal Order 5. The Appalachian Marketing Area is outlined by the red outline. The gray colored counties within the marketing area represent counties in the marketing area that have milk production pooled on Federal Order 5 in



2.

2.0

2.1

December of 2000. The blue counties outside the marketing area represent counties that have milk production pooled on Federal Order 5 in December 2000 from outside the marketing area.

Again, the exhibit lists total pound by state on the right-hand side. The other line at the bottom of that list represents restricted states, which in this case are New Mexico and Oklahoma.

- Q. And just to clarify, I apologize for not doing this on the page, so -- on the prior page. But for counties within the marketing area that are not shaded in blue, does that mean that there was no milk in --
- A. That's correct. That means -- it means there was no milk pooled on that Federal Order.
 - Q. Okay. Moving to page 4, can you explain this one for us?
 - A. Page 4 is producer milk by county for
 December 2000 for the Florida Marketing Area, which is
 Federal Order 6. The blue outline outlines the Federal
 Order 6 marketing area. The light blue shaded counties
 represent counties with producer milk pooled on Florida -the Florida Marketing Area in December 2000 from within
 the marketing area. The other blue shaded counties
 represent counties with producer milk pooled on the
 Federal Order 6 order in December 2000 from outside the
 marketing area. And, again, the total pounds by state,
 are listed on the right-hand side of the page.
 - Q. We can move on to page 5.



2.

2.0

2.1

A. Page 5 represents producer milk by county for the
Southeast Marketing Area, Federal Order 7, for
December 2000. The brown outline outlines the Federal
Order 7 marketing area. The gray shaded counties inside
that outline represent the counties with producer milk
pooled on Federal Order 7 in December 2000 from within the
marketing area. And the blue shaded counties represent
counties with producer milk pooled on Federal Order 7 from
farms located outside of the marketing area.

Again, the total pounds by state are listed on the right-hand side. And at the bottom of that list, other represents restricted states, which in this case include Illinois, Indiana, Maryland, Michigan, Minnesota, New Mexico, Ohio, Pennsylvania, South Carolina, Virginia, and Wisconsin.

- Q. Moving on to page 6, can you explain this map?
- A. Similarly, page 6 is producer milk by county for December 2000 for the Upper Midwest Marketing Area, Federal Order 30. The orange outline outlines the Federal Order 30 marketing area. The gray shaded counties within the outline represent counties with producer milk pooled on Federal Order 30 marketing -- pooled on Federal Order 30 for December 2000 from within the marketing area. The blue shaded counties represent producer milk pooled on Federal Order 30 in December 2000 from outside the marketing area.

And, again, total pounds by state are listed on the right-hand side.



2.

2.0

2.1

2.4

In this case, there's a footnote 3, which indicates that the pounds for Wisconsin also include restricted pounds from Montana and -- or include restricted pounds from Montana as well as Wisconsin

- Q. Okay. Moving on to page 7, can you explain this map?
- A. Page 7 represents producer milk by county for December 2000 for the Central Marketing Area. The Central Marketing Area's boundaries are highlighted by purple outline. The gray shaded counties within that purple outline represent counties with producer milk pooled on Federal Order 32 in December 2000. The blue counties outside that outline represent counties with producer milk pooled on Federal Order 32 from outside of the marketing area.

Total pounds by state are, again, identified on the right-hand side of the page. The other line indicated at the bottom of the page represents restricted states.

In this case the restricted states include Idaho, New Mexico, Utah, and Wyoming.

- Q. Moving on to page 8.
- A. Page 8 is producer milk by county for December 2000 for the Mideast Marketing Area, Federal Order 33. The marketing area is outlined by the purple outline on the map. The purple shaded counties represent counties with producer milk pooled on 33 from counties within the marketing area. The blue shaded counties



2.

2.0

2.1

2.4

pounds.

represent counties outside the marketing area that have producer milk pooled on Federal Order 33 in December of 2000.

Again, the total pounds by state are identified on the right-hand side of the page. The other line at the bottom of that list represents restricted states. Those states include Delaware, South Dakota, and Tennessee.

- Q. Moving on to page 9, can you explain what we're looking at here?
- A. Yes. Page 9 represents producer milk by county for December 2000 for the Pacific Northwest marketing area, Federal Order 124. The purple outline indicates the marketing area for Federal Order 124. The gray shaded cells within that purple outline indicate counties in the marketing area with producer milk pooled on Federal Order 124 in December 2000. The blue counties outside of that outline represent counties with producer milk pooled on Federal Order 124 in December 2000 from outside the marketing area. The total pounds by state are listed on the left-hand side of the page.
- Q. I know this is a bit tedious, but moving on to page 10.
- A. Page 10 represents producer milk by county,
 December 2000, for the Southwest Marketing Area, Federal
 Order 126. The green outline represents the Federal
 Order 126 marketing area, and the blue shaded cells -- the
 blue shaded counties represent the counties within the
 marketing area with milk pooled on Federal Order 126 in



2.

2.0

2.1

December 2000. The pounds by state are listed on the left-hand side of the page. Again, "other" at the bottom of that list represents restricted states, which include Kansas and Oklahoma.

O. And page 11?

2.

2.0

2.1

- A. Page 11 represents producer milk by county for December 2000 for the Arizona Marketing Area, Federal Order 131. The Arizona Marketing Area is outlined by the black border. The light blue or gray shaded cells within that border represent counties within the marketing area that have producer milk pooled on Federal Order 131 in December of 2000. The blue counties shaded outside the marketing area represent counties without -- from outside the marketing area that have producer milk pooled on Federal Order 131 in December of 2000. Again, pounds by state are indicated on the left-hand side of the page.
 - O. Thank you.

And then just to, again, clarify for the record that Exhibits 53 through 57 that are -- or through 58 marked for identification are -- show the same information but for the specified year that's on the front of the chart?

- A. That's correct.
- Q. And then I think Ms. Taylor as some more questions.
- MS. TAYLOR: Good morning. I just have one more question and then a clarification for everybody.
 - Mr. Herbert, does USDA have one more exhibit to



put on at a later time?

2.

2.1

THE WITNESS: Yes. USDA -- actually it is my understanding USDA has a request for an estimated sales report that we're planning on putting on. And I was not here yesterday, but it is my understanding that there was an additional request for information on salted and unsalted butter that the USDA is also working on.

MS. TAYLOR: Thank you.

And for those in the room, and also watching via webcast, the tables and charts that all of the USDA witnesses have been referencing that are currently on the website, those reflect our efforts to get data out as soon as possible. And so what's on the charts might not be exactly what's in the paper copies that we have here in the room, particularly, we tried to include additional footnotes to explain all the data.

It is our intention to upload the exhibits as received officially here at the hearing with the correct exhibit numbers, and they will be the correct versions. And those should go up on the website relatively quickly now that we have pretty much gotten all of our data together and put it on the record.

But I just wanted to make that clear, if someone has a paper copy and comparing it to what's online currently, there might be, you know, some footnotes missing, etcetera, but those will be changed shortly.

MS. HANCOCK: What exhibits did those apply to?

MS. TAYLOR: I couldn't tell you what exhibits.



1	Probably a number of them. Okay? But, you know, we
2	released some of these on the website maybe ten days, two
3	weeks ago, and have worked to make sure that, for what
4	goes in the record, that it's accurate, and also that the
5	footnotes provide some of the context that people need to
6	understand. So those additions were added.
7	THE COURT: Thank you, Ms. Taylor.
8	Is now a good time to take another morning break?
9	Okay. It's 11:17. Let's come back at 11:30. We'll try
10	to promptly start at 11:30. Off the record. Thank you.
11	(Whereupon, a break was taken.)
12	THE COURT: On the record. All right. We're
13	reconvening after the second morning break and continuing
14	with our with witness Herbert.
15	Have we completed direct?
16	MS. TAYLOR: Yes.
17	THE COURT: Okay. Who is up first for cross? I
18	notice Mr. English is standing.
19	Your witness, sir.
20	CROSS-EXAMINATION
21	BY MR. ENGLISH:
22	Q. Good morning.
23	A. Good morning.
24	Q. My name is Chip English. I'm an attorney for the
25	Milk Innovation Group.
26	First I want to thank you for all the obvious



28

hard work. I think I may have missed that yesterday. I

should have said that yesterday for all of you.

I also want to thank you for the fact that there are some clarifications here, the only caveat being that I prepared the examination based upon the footnotes that I had until this morning. And so I am going to have to struggle to make sure I can renumber my questions based upon the footnotes. So just bear with me a tiny bit on that.

And this is basically Table 1 -- well, Exhibit 44, which was Table 1, correct?

- A. That's correct.
- Q. Okay. So -- but, again, I do think the footnotes help a lot. But let me start with a more mundane set of questions.

So Exhibit 44, the first 44 pages, are all basically the same information, which is the producer milk and components, but only to a certain point. That is to say, if you look back at the tables that were published, and you took page 1 and page 55, they sort of go across, correct?

- A. That's correct.
- Q. Okay. So one of the things in the footnotes is the idea that italicized information is estimated, correct?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. I'm not saying that my eyes are worse than anybody else's, but, you know, I just want to have the record clear as to, you know, what columns are or are not italicized.



2.

2.0

2.1

A. Correct.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

- Q. Okay. And that would be true for any other order that's an MPC order, correct?
- A. I believe the data represented on the first page, which is skim pounds, butterfat pounds, and total pounds, those numbers are actual numbers for both MCP and skim/fat orders.
- Q. Right. But -- well, I was going to get to skim -- but my point is, every number on MPC is an actual number?
 - A. On the first page, correct.
- Q. Yes. So if I turn to the first Southeast page, which is Appalachian, and it is page 6, as you were starting to say with me there -- actually all of these are also actual, or are any of these estimates?
 - A. Those are also actual.
- Q. Okay. So basically the first 44 pages, all numbers are actual?
 - A. Correct.
 - O. Thank you.

So now turning to page 45, which is the first page for an MPC order -- and, again, I'm looking at line -- the very first line, 2008 January Northeast. And obviously I'm not looking at the order number but -- so the column Class I other solid pounds, that's an estimate, correct?



- 1 A. Correct.
- Q. Class II other solid pounds is an estimate,
- 3 | correct?
- 4 A. Correct.
- 5 Q. Class III other solid pounds is an estimate,
- 6 | correct?
- 7 A. No.
- 8 Q. I'm sorry. See, that's why I need this.
- 9 Okay. So those are actual? Class III other solid
- 10 | pounds are actual?
- 11 A. Correct.
- 12 Q. Class IV other solid pounds is italicized, so
- 13 | that's an estimate, correct?
- 14 A. Correct.
- 15 Q. Total other solids pounds is actual, correct?
- 16 A. Correct.
- 17 | O. Class I protein pounds is estimated?
- 18 A. Correct.
- 19 | O. Class II protein pounds are estimated?
- 20 A. Correct.
- 21 Q. Class III protein pounds is actual?
- 22 A. Correct.
- 23 | O. Class IV protein pounds is estimated?
- 24 A. Correct.
- 25 Q. Total protein pounds is actual?
- 26 A. Correct.
- 27 | O. And, in fact, all the other numbers on that page
- 28 | for the rest of the columns are actual, correct?



A. Correct.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

- Q. Thank you. Turning then to page 59, Appalachian, which I believe is the first line item for skim milk orders. So leaving aside the last column, somatic cell, which I believe has not been discussed at this hearing, somatic cell is an actual number, correct?
- A. Although it is not italicized, that actually also would be an estimate.
- Q. Ah, okay. So all the numbers in the second set of pages, this skim milk butterfat order, are estimated, correct? Are there any actuals?
 - A. That's correct, they are all estimated.
- O. Thank you.

So I did have a series of questions of how the estimates were done, but I think the footnotes may explain it. But I also have a document which we uploaded yesterday and labeled MIG Exhibit 2 that I would like to hand out, have marked for identification.

(Thereafter, Exhibit Number 63 was marked for identification.)

MR. ENGLISH: This was uploaded yesterday morning, just like the objection.

THE COURT: Is 63 our next exhibit number?

Okay. Let's mark this one-page document labeled in the top right-hand corner Exhibit MIG-2 as -- for identification, we'll mark this Exhibit 63.

MR. ENGLISH: Your Honor, may I approach the witness, just to give him a copy?



THE COURT: Of course, Counsel.

BY MR. ENGLISH:

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

Q. So I think we're almost completed handing it out, so let me set the stage here.

This is a very hypothetical plant of 10 million pounds. We used 10 million pounds because that showed up elsewhere for things, so 10 million pounds. But being -- whether it is hypothetical or not, it represents, it is intended to represent, what would be a real plant in an MPC order. But all the numbers are hypothetical. In fact, the fact that it is a 10% Class I plant is hypothetical. But it's less relevant what the actual numbers are than the questions I want to ask because they go to the footnotes. I want to see if I have got the footnotes correct now.

So do you understand that, what I have explained?

- A. I believe so, yes.
- Q. All right.
- MS. McMURTRAY: We're going to object.
- 20 Mr. Herbert is here to testify to the facts in the 21 exhibits that he created, and this calls for speculation.
- 22 | And we -- we're going to object.
- MR. ENGLISH: Your Honor, it is not speculation.
- 24 | I -- I want to ask him specific questions that go to the
- 25 | footnotes as to which numbers are actual, which ones are
- 26 | calculated, and which ones are estimated. And that goes
- 27 | exactly to the footnotes. So it is not intended to be
- 28 | testimony for or against anything. It is to clarify for



the record, because I happen to believe that -- I mean, certainly the exhibit -- hey, listen, I figured it out, but it took me quite a while to figure it out, and I have been doing this for a while.

And I think the record should be clear about how the -- if I'm wrong, if he disagrees with me, then he'll disagree with me, and guess what, I'll have learned something. But I am not putting this in -- that's a hypothetical plant. These are not actual numbers. They are not intended to represent actual numbers. They are merely an effort on my part to understand the footnotes.

If you say no, then I'm going to be here a lot longer going through those footnotes.

MS. McMURTRAY: We are okay with that, but we just want to note for Mr. Herbert that if there is something that he feels like he can't answer, to make sure that you know that.

MR. ENGLISH: And if he can't answer, then we need to know that, and we'll figure it out. But I'm thinking it's not as hard as we're making it out to be because I think -- I think the footnotes help.

THE COURT: No, I understand. Thank you. And I think certainly we'll give Mr. English the opportunity to build a foundation for the admission of that, and with that clarification that I understand he's not --

MR. ENGLISH: I'm not going to ask this witness -THE COURT: Yeah, he's not asking the witness to
vouch for this. This is a hypothetical. It is a way of



2.

2.0

2.1

1	exploring
2	MR. ENGLISH: Yeah.
3	THE COURT: the source which is reflected in
4	the footnotes of some of his exhibits for various numbers.
5	With that, I think we can continue. And it is marked for
6	identification. It is not admitted yet.
7	MR. ENGLISH: And I, frankly if I move it for
8	admission, it will be through the witness who prepared it
9	and not through this witness.
10	THE COURT: What?
11	MR. ENGLISH: I will I will if I move it for
12	admission at a later time
13	THE COURT: Yes.
14	MR. ENGLISH: it will be through the person who
15	prepared it, rather than attempting to put this person on
16	the spot and validating anything. This is really an
17	effort as an illustration. And I think it's appropriate,
18	for the record, so we can understand the footnotes better.
19	And we all have the same foundation for how the footnotes
20	read.
21	THE COURT: Yeah. I like that. I'm not sure
22	his clarifications might be admissible anyway. I want the
23	document to be available to the decision-maker so they
24	will know what this testimony means. We have had a lot of
25	caveats on this.
26	MR. ENGLISH: Let me say that the person who
27	prepared this



THE COURT: Yes.

- MR. ENGLISH: -- is going to be a witness probably
 Monday or Tuesday of next week. So, you know -THE COURT: Okay.
 - MR. ENGLISH: -- sort of like the USDA's exhibits being admitted at the end, I mean, I -- I can tell you I will move admission, and you can decide at that time whether to admit or not. But I wasn't proposing to do it now because it seems to me USDA may object because I'm trying to do it through their witness. I'm not trying to do that.
- THE COURT: Okay. I just want to make sure -
 well, we have got it for identification. It's in there -
 MR. ENGLISH: Yeah.
- THE COURT: -- at some point, and that is good, all those caveats. Thank you.
- 16 BY MR. ENGLISH:

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

26

27

- Q. So leaving aside the numbers and the fact that you are not an MCP order, but, you know, let me talk about the first part, which is receipts, which is, you know, starting on line 3 through line 11.
- And so I want to look at line 7C, which has 10 million pounds. That number would be reported to you by a handler, correct?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. Okay. Staying in the top section, line 6D, producer milk butterfat, of the 3.9%, that would be reported to you, correct?
 - A. Correct.



- Q. Line 9D, protein, if you are an MPC order, 3.2% would be reported, correct?
- A. To clarify, since I'm not an MCP order, I'm not positive if the pounds or the percentage is reported, but one of the two would be reported in a component order, yes.
- Q. Okay. So would you have the same answer for producer milk other solids because you are not an MPC person?
- A. Correct.
- 11 Q. But you believe one of them, the pounds or the 12 percentage would be --
- 13 A. Yes.

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

17

26

27

- Q. And the same answer then for line 11, producer milk nonfat solids, the 8.95%?
- 16 A. Correct.
 - O. One would be reported?
- 18 A. That's correct.
- 19 Q. Okay. And the other would be calculated based on 20 Class III?
- 21 A. Essentially, yes.
- Q. All right. Now, would I be correct then -- now let's go to column E, percent skim. The line producer milk -- the -- I'm sorry -- the butterfat would be calculated at 0% because this is percent skim, correct?
 - I mean, now you are under the percent skim, column E, so that means by definition the butterfat is zero, but it might be 0.4, correct?



- A. If you are looking at skim pounds only, then, yes, the butterfat would be zero.
 - Q. Okay. Although actually it could be something -- it is almost impossible to skim it all out, right, so it could be something like 0.04 or something?
 - A. That's speculating on what those numbers are.
 - Q. You don't know. Okay.

But by definition, since it is skim milk, now column E is 100%, correct, because it's skim milk, so it's got to be 100%?

- 11 A. I'm following that you are saying all the skim is 12 in the line 5.
 - O. Yes.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

22

23

24

25

26

27

- A. So whatever is in column E, yes, I follow that.
- Q. So, now, I realize you don't have an MPC order, but if they have reported either the pounds or the percentage in C or D for line 9, then line E -- I'm sorry -- column E for line 9 is calculated. It's a calculated number using that percentage and applying it to skim milk, right?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. And the same answer for producer milk, other solids, it would be calculated using either percentage or the number based upon now the percentage in skim, correct?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. And for -- and then also line F, for E, would it be the same answer for nonfat solids, correct?
 - A. Can you repeat that?



- Q. So the producer milk nonfat solids, like the protein and other solids, the 9.31% I'm showing in column E, is simply using the calculation of 8.95% now in skim, correct?
- A. All -- yes, all three of those are correct. Obviously, I have not verified the math on those.
- Q. All right. Now, let's go to the second set, and I realize -- so I don't know if we're going to have to have an MPC person or not to figure this out.

Under utilization, what's reported is, you know, 1 million pounds. So this is a hypothetical 10%, Class I plant, however unrealistic that is, which reported as 1 million pounds.

So that is an actual number, correct?

A. Correct.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

- Q. And then also the butterfat is reported at 2%, correct? That's actually reported by them?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. And so the skim in line 15D is merely subtracting 2 from 100 to get to 98?
 - A. Correct. I will clarify that the way you are identifying the utilization report is a great oversimplification of the pooling process for how the utilization of skim/butterfat would be. But in general, yes.
 - Q. Well, believe me, I wasn't trying to complicate this more than I needed to.

Okay. Now, in -- so similarly, for Class II, the



total number of pounds would be reported, correct, which
is 25C?

A. Correct.

3

4

5

6

7

16

17

18

19

22

23

24

25

26

27

- Q. And similarly, the fat used in Class II, which is 8% here, which is line 24D, would be reported, correct?
 - A. The fat pounds in 24C would be reported, correct.
 - Q. And then the line 33, Class III, which is
- 8 | 3 million pounds, 33C, that is also reported, correct?
- 9 A. Correct.
- Q. And line 32D at 4%, the butterfat in the Class III, would be reported, correct?
- 12 A. Either the percent or the pounds would be 13 reported, correct.
- Q. Either the pounds or percent, okay. One or the other, but it works to be the same ultimately, correct?
 - A. Correct.
 - Q. And then finally, for Class IV, 41C, the 4 million pounds would be an actual number reported, correct?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. And then either the pounds or the percentage in 40C or 40D would be reported, correct?
 - A. That's correct.
 - Q. Okay. Now, in skim milk orders, I realize it was a footnote that says -- and I'll get back to that in a second -- but that there's some data that you have estimated from.
 - But you wouldn't be having the line for protein, other solids, and nonfat solids, correct?



A. That's correct.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Q. Okay. Okay. I may stretch here, and then we'll have to figure out what we're going to do.

Do you know in an MPC order whether, for instance, the Class I protein for 19E is an estimate, going to the footnotes?

- A. According to the footnotes, yes, that is an estimate.
- Q. Thank you.

Similarly, Class I, other solids, line 20E, my read of the footnote is that that is also an estimate, correct?

- A. Correct.
- Q. Similarly, line 21, Class I, nonfat solids, 21E, that is an estimate, correct?
 - A. The Class I nonfat solids would be a calculation because you would have -- you have nonfat solids available for Class II, III, and IV, and total nonfat solids, so you can calculate Class I total nonfat solids.
 - Q. Okay. So -- so you would actually -- so that is an actual calc- -- but it is a calculation, it is not a -- it is not a reported number, it is a calculation?
 - A. It is a calculated number.
 - Q. And how is it calculated again?
 - A. It would be the total other solids minus Class IV other solids minus Class II other solids -- I'm sorry. It would be total nonfat solids minus Class IV nonfat solids minus Class II nonfat solids minus Class III nonfat



- solids, the Class III nonfat solids being the sum of protein and other solids.
- Q. Would the nonfat solids line for Class III be an estimate?
 - A. The nonfat solids for Class III would be a calculation.
- Q. Even in a Class I plant, it would be a calculation?
- 9 A. In a component order, the nonfat solids in 10 Class III is a calculation.
- 11 Q. Would the protein, 27 -- so Class II, line 27E, is 12 that an estimate, that percentage?
- 13 A. Can you restate that?
- Q. Class II protein percentage, line 27E, is that an estimate?
- 16 A. Yes.

6

- Q. Class II other solids, line 28E, is that an estimate?
- 19 A. Yes.
- Q. And then you are saying line 29, Class II, E,
- 21 | that's actual?
- 22 A. That would be a calculation.
- Q. A calculation, I'm sorry.
- A. Yeah, for Class II that is an actual number based on what's reported on the uniform price.
- Q. And for Class III protein in this hypothetical plant, line 35E, that's an estimate?
 - A. Class III protein in component orders is



- Q. But for an individual handler, it is still reported as an actual?
 - A. Yes.

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

O. Give me one second.

Thank you, sir.

So given the estimates that we have seen in the columns, is it fair to say that USDA does not track the actual utilization of components in a Class I plant?

- A. That may be a question better suited for someone in a skim -- or a component pricing order.
- Q. Okay. So this is where I need to struggle with the footnotes for a second.

So footnote 7, which refers to the estimates for Orders 5, 6, and 7, and you have already read it into the record, so I don't propose to make you do that again. But to give us context, for the record, what is producer weight and payroll data?

- A. Producer weight is data submitted by handlers to the Market Administrator which identifies, for example, the pounds and components and milk deliveries pooled on the respective Federal Order by month.
- Q. And how was it that USDA was able to receive -- and I don't want confidential information, so if that's what it goes to, you are going to tell me that's the answer, and I'm done.

But how was it able to receive this information



that constitutes footnote 7?

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

22

23

2.4

25

26

27

- A. So for the Federal Orders 5, 6, and 7, all handlers pooled on the order submit their product pounds and butterfat on a monthly basis. Some handlers also submit their -- the components they use in the component pricing orders. So that's, that information was used for those estimates.
 - Q. And would that be reported for all their milk or just the milk that came from the multiple pricing orders for the producers?
- A. In general, that would be reported on all their milk for those estimates.
- Q. So it's provided, but since it's not an MPC order, is it collected for information only, that is to say you don't audit it?
 - A. That's correct.
- Q. Okay. The next footnote, footnote 8, refers to the methodology that USDA used to estimate for the final skim milk order, which is Order 131, correct?
- A. Correct.
- 21 Q. And USDA chose to use Order 124, correct?
 - A. Correct.
 - Q. If you know, does the Pacific Northwest order have the highest level of butterfat and the highest level of protein in all the orders?
 - A. I do not know that.
 - Q. Do you know if there's a correlation between butterfat and protein?



- A. I do not know the answer to that off the top of my head.
- Q. So we have plenty of data in the record, and people can calculate for themselves.

So assume with me for a moment that the Pacific Northwest order does have the highest level of butterfat. That would mean that any solids, whether or not they are the same or whatever, any solids, would be found in less skim milk, correct?

MR. HILL: Objection. He's asking for speculation at this point. He should not be answering these questions. He needs to put on his own witness in order to answer these questions.

MR. ENGLISH: I don't think it's speculation. It's a mathematical question. Just --

MR. HILL: Then you should find a witness to do that on your own.

MR. ENGLISH: I am testing the estimate. There's a -- there's a footnote here, footnote 8, and I'm testing the question as to why it was done -- the comparison was to Arizona, Pacific Northwest. If he doesn't want to answer, okay, that's always the right of a witness. But I do think I'm entitled to understand whether or not footnote 8 -- you know, whether or not USDA's decision to do it this way makes sense. I -- if you refuse, fine, but I want to at least try to get at this. Footnote 8 has made a statement of how estimate was made, and I would like to explore that.



2.

2.0

2.1

1 MR. HILL: We continue to object. 2 THE COURT: I'm not ruling on the objection quite 3 yet. 4 Do you have the question in mind? MR. ENGLISH: My question was -- I mean, we went 5 6 through Table 2, and it in essence does this. If you have high butterfat relative to 3.5, let's say you have 4.5% 7 8 butterfat versus 3.5, won't you necessarily have less skim 9 milk in hundred pounds of producer milk? 10 THE WITNESS: I would agree the higher the butterfat, the less skim in a hundred pounds of milk. 11 12 THE COURT: Did you still have an objection to 13 that question? That's a tautology: If you have got more 14 than one, you got to have less of the other, right? 15 MR. HILL: I'll let him answer the question. THE COURT: Okay. 16 17 MR. HILL: But we object to this line of 18 questioning, your Honor. 19 THE COURT: And tell me again why you are 20 objecting? Because it's be- -- it doesn't seem -- I mean, 2.1 given the footnotes, it doesn't seem beyond the scope of 22 this witness' testimony. If the cross-examiner is -- can 23 test whether it is beyond the knowledge of this witness or 24 the basis for the exhibit, I think, and the witness can 25 say if the witness doesn't know. 26 MS. TAYLOR: I just want to add, you know, John 27 was with the team that put this stuff together.



28

And for all of these orders, John, right -- I

1	mean, estimated numbers. You had to make some
2	assumptions, something to base the estimates on?
3	THE WITNESS: That's correct.
4	MS. TAYLOR: And for 131 or is that thank
5	you 131, those are the that's what you used to make
6	the estimate?
7	THE WITNESS: We chose that because there 131
8	is administered by the same Market Administrator as 124.
9	MS. TAYLOR: Right. So they sued 124 data to help
10	with the estimates on 131?
11	THE WITNESS: Correct.
12	THE COURT: Now I'm lost. Does that satisfy you
13	in any way, Mr. English?
14	MR. ENGLISH: Now, okay, look, I'm not trying to
15	be confrontational with USDA.
16	THE COURT: No, of course not.
17	MR. ENGLISH: If they would prefer not to go into
18	this, fine. I do think it is valid examination. But I'm
19	prepared to back off because I'm not trying to cause a
20	problem, but I do think it is fair to examine how a
21	footnote works and whether it makes sense. But if if
22	USDA is is so upset they want to object to it, even
23	though I think the objection is not well taken, I'm
24	prepared to back off and move on.
25	THE COURT: Well, I'm not reading USDA as upset in
26	any way
27	MR. ENGLISH: They certainly don't want this
28	question answered, but that's fine.



1 THE COURT: Well, I don't know. There's a lot of 2. reasons to make objections. All right. It doesn't sound like I need to rule 3 4 on --5 MR. ENGLISH: No --THE COURT: -- the objection, but I do think it is 6 7 fair to explore the basis for this. And I mean, it is a general statement, and I'm not sure what to do with it, 8 9 but we -- I don't think anything -- the witness has 10 prepared everything --MR. ENGLISH: The answer to the first --11 12 THE COURT: One at a time, please. 13 MR. ENGLISH: Yeah, he answered the first half of 14 the question. There was a second half to the question 15 that I think is just as simple and just as obvious. But 16 if USDA persists in the objection, I -- I am not here to 17 start a fight over that issue. 18 THE COURT: Well, I don't want to leave a hole in 19 the record. Now I'm intrigued. 2.0 MR. ENGLISH: See, I --2.1 THE COURT: Just as simple? 22 MR. ENGLISH: I think there is a hole in the 23 record, so that's fine. THE COURT: Let's try -- I mean, bear with me. 24 25 Let's try it that way. Let's just -- because I think the 26 first one is just -- you know, speaks for itself 27 basically, which is fine to ask it and get an answer to 28 it.



1 BY MR. ENGLISH: 2. Ο. So --THE COURT: Let's give it a try. 3 4 BY MR. ENGLISH: -- we have just established under my hypothetical 5 6 of 4.5% butterfat that there's less skim, correct? 7 Less than 3.5% butterfat, yes. 8 Ο. Yes. And let's assume for one second that the solids in 9 10 the 3.5 and the 4.5, that is to say a total of, say, 9% 11 solids, the fact that there is less skim mean that the 12 percentage of nonfat solids in the skim, just like we saw 13 in Table 2, will be higher in the milk that has 4.5% 14 butterfat, correct? 15 I'm not sure I'm comfortable answering that Α. 16 question without discounting -- doing the calculation. 17 Ο. Okay. 18 MR. ENGLISH: I will move on, your Honor. THE COURT: Very good. 19 2.0 BY MR. ENGLISH: 2.1 I am then done with Exhibit 44. Ο. 22 So then I would like to move to Exhibit 45, which 23 I believe was Table 6. And, again, I want to thank you 2.4 because I think -- I think the heading has changed from 25 the table because the table might not have called it Announced Class Prices. And so it now says Announced 26



27

28

Class Prices at the very top, which means, having talked

about this earlier with Dr. Vitaliano, that it is 96 -- it

	NATIONAL FEDERAL MILK MARKETING ORDER PRICING FORMULA HEARING
1	is announced at 96.5 and 3.5, correct?
2	A. Yes, 96.5% skim and 3.5% butterfat.
3	Q. Did the request ask for the calculation to be done
4	at announced class prices?
5	A. Can you restate that question?
6	Q. Okay. Would you agree that if analysis was done
7	at actual test, that the numbers would change?
8	A. At actual test, the numbers would be different.
9	Q. And so my question is, then, did the requester ask
10	for the information to be at announced class prices?
11	A. I do not know the answer to that, and I don't have
12	the request in front of me.
13	Q. Okay. Could we request that this table be run at
14	actual test?
15	MS. TAYLOR: You can request, and we will look
16	into it.
17	MR. ENGLISH: Thank you.
18	BY MR. ENGLISH:
19	Q. And turning to Exhibit 46
20	THE COURT: We'll count this on-the-record
21	discussion as the request, right? You don't have to
22	MR. ENGLISH: Yes, I'm making okay. I'm
23	officially making a request, and I heard that will be
24	taken under advisement, and we'll hear back.
25	MS. TAYLOR: And just to be clear, just to be
26	clear for the record, in Exhibit.

14 that USDA put on, in that exhibit, for each -in that exhibit that lists all of our exhibits, there is a



27

column labeled request, and that is exactly what was requested and we were responding to. So just so everyone is clear about that.

MR. ENGLISH: Okay. Thank you. I appreciate that very much. I thought that was the case, but again, I was running around looking at stuff, so -- but that's a very nice clarification. Thank you.

Nonetheless, I now make the request, that I understand to be under advisement, that Exhibit 45 information be provided at actual test.

THE COURT: So noted.

MR. ENGLISH: Thank you.

BY MR. ENGLISH:

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

- Q. Turning to Exhibit 46. And I really have just one question. This data is basically a calculation for May of 2022, of October '22, everything else being held the same, the only thing is if NMPF's proposed Class I differentials were in that market; is that correct?
- A. It is a -- that is correct, this is a static comparison holding everything else the same, just changing the differentials.
- Q. Thank you. That -- I should have used the word static. Thank you very much.

MR. ENGLISH: I appreciate the witness' time. I do recall that yesterday I had questions about some other tables that were sort of pending because we weren't sure if the witness could answer. I'm not sure if we're ready to talk about that now or if you want to do it after lunch



1 or how you want to do it. That's Tables 2 and 3. 2. were some open questions, and you said that you thought it would be better if both witnesses could be on the stand at 3 4 the same time. THE COURT: Okay. So it is relevant to this 5 6 witness then, because otherwise we could not break up cross, but if it is pertinent to this witness' 7 8 testimony --9 MS. TAYLOR: Yes. 10 MR. ENGLISH: Well, I mean, I don't know if USDA, whether they want to finish this witness on these tables 11 12 and then we can do something different. I'll leave that 13 to USDA how they want to handle it. 14 MS. TAYLOR: Yeah, if we could finish with just cross for Mr. Herbert, and then we can definitely put 15 16 Ms. Cashman up to talk about --17 MR. ENGLISH: I'm fine, perfectly content with 18 that. 19 MS. TAYLOR: -- those other questions. 2.0 MR. ENGLISH: I'm fine with that. 2.1 Thank you, sir. I'll see you again. 22 THE COURT: Okay. Next up for cross? 23 Yes, sir. Your witness. 24 MR. ROSENBAUM: Steven Rosenbaum for the 25 International Dairy Foods Association. 26 CROSS-EXAMINATION

27 BY MR. ROSENBAUM:

Q. I do want to follow up on a footnote question for



Exhibit 44 to make sure I understood correctly what you said.

Just to orient ourselves, where 131 is the Arizona order, correct?

- A. Correct.
- O. It is a fat/skim order, correct?
- A. Correct.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

22

23

2.4

25

26

27

- Q. Okay. Meaning that there is no reported information as to protein levels, correct?
- 10 A. That information is not a part of the pooling 11 process, the pooling calculations.
 - Q. Okay. All right. And that the footnote indicates that to estimate component levels in the Arizona order, Order 131, that USDA relied upon component levels as reported in an MCP order, which is Order 124, Pacific Northwest order, correct?
 - A. Correct.
 - Q. And did I understand you to say that the reason that Order 124 was selected for comparison purposes was because the same individual administers both the Arizona order and the Pacific Northwest order?
 - A. Yes, the same Market Administrator oversees the Arizona and Pacific Northwest orders.
 - Q. And is it implicit in that answer that there was no analysis as to, for example, whether the weather conditions in the Pacific Northeast (sic) and the weather conditions in Arizona are sufficiently different that one would expect differences in the milk produced one place or



1 | the other?

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

18

19

2.0

- A. I did not personally do any type of analysis like that.
 - Q. Okay. And you're not aware of that having been done by anyone else?
 - A. Can you repeat the question originally?
 - Q. Yeah, you -- you didn't do it. And my follow-up question is, and I take it you are not aware that anyone else did it either?
 - A. Correct.
 - MR. ROSENBAUM: That's all I have.
- 12 THE COURT: Any other cross-examiners?
- 13 Yes, sir.
- MR. VETNE: John Vetne, consultant to National
- 15 All-Jersey.
- 16 CROSS-EXAMINATION
- 17 BY MR. VETNE:
 - Q. I want to start with the series of exhibits identifying pool distributing and supply plants, which would be Tables 4 through 6, starting with Exhibit 47.
- 21 I'm just hoping to get a better understanding, and
- 22 hopefully the record will have a better understanding of
- 23 | what information is included and not included here.
- So the -- the -- Exhibit 47, page 2, the dots
- 25 represent both pool distributing and pool supply plants,
- 26 | correct?
- 27 A. Correct.
- 28 Q. Okay. And the pool distributing plants have a



common feature: They receive milk, put it in a bottle, sell it to customers, and at a certain percentage, within the marketing area, they are fully regulated. Is that correct?

- A. In general, yes.
- Q. Okay. Although it could be that a dot located in one marketing area identifies a plant that's regulated some place else because the plurality or majority is marketed elsewhere.
 - A. That could be.
- Q. Okay. The pool supply plants are so designated by virtue of a function those plants provide in supplying or having milk supply available to distributing plants; is that correct?
- 15 A. In certain cases, yes.
- 16 Q. Pardon?

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

26

27

- A. Not in all cases, but generally, yes.
- Q. In what case might they not have milk supply available to distributing plants?
 - A. There are provisions in some orders for cooperative-owned supply plants, that their qualification provisions are not based on shipping percentage to distributing plants, for example.
 - Q. So supply plants, unlike distributing plants, could have a lot of functions. In this list, the supply plant could be a cooperative plant, who -- which is so designated by the cooperative as a pool supply plant, correct?



A. Could be, yes.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

- Q. Okay. And another type of supply plant is a bulk tanking unit that receives and assembles milk and sends it to a contributing plant customer; is that correct?
 - A. That is a description of the supply plant.
 - Q. Okay. And there are supply plants --

MR. HILL: I'm going to object -- object, your Honor. I mean, the request was for a pool plant, not for each FMMO for year end 2000, 2005, 2010, 2015, 2020, and 2022. I think these relevant questions are not relevant questions for this witness. He provided the data that he provided, not to be asked about where pool supply plants are after that and the like after that. I think he provided what he provided. I think we're getting far afield of what he was asked in this data request.

MR. VETNE: May I respond?

THE COURT: Yeah. Go ahead.

MR. VETNE: So USDA was asked a question to put dots identifying certain plants. They're involved in regulating the milk industry. The plants receive milk. I'm trying to identify what these dots represent. I'm not going to go beyond to what individual plants do. I want to find out what this list represents. If --

THE COURT: Well, that seems fair to the extent the witness knows. I think Mr. Hill is saying maybe it wasn't necessary that the witness know all that in order to construct this map.

MS. TAYLOR: Your Honor, may I interject a little



bit to help clear the record up?

2.

2.1

THE COURT: Yes, you may, Ms. Taylor. Thank you. As always.

MS. TAYLOR: Yes. Well, I don't know about that, but -- to Mr. Hill's point and to -- to -- for Mr. -- to clarify for Mr. Vetne and the record, supply plants are defined in each order separately. Mr. Herbert only works for Orders 5, 6, and 7, so he can't speak to the definitions that are in the other remaining eight orders.

But they are in section -- and I'm looking it up -- 1007.7 -- well, in each order, it's in .7. And so they are all differently defined based on the marketing conditions in those orders, so anybody can look up the regulations. For example, on bulk tank units, that does not exist in every order, so that's not something that's applicable across the country in all 11 orders.

Now, I just want to be clear. He's here to speak to the map, to Mr. Hill's point, and the regulations in each order he can't speak to.

THE COURT: So that's the source of the -- BY MR. VETNE:

- Q. The source -- the source of what's identified as supply plants in this map, is if they meet the definition in each market.
 - A. Correct.
- Q. Okay. And -- and to the information that you assembled, you relied, in large part, on what you received from other Market Administrators?



2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

- Q. Okay. And in some cases, the supply plant dots represent plants that manufacture products, and other occasions they may represent plants that do not manufacture products.
- A. I don't know the details of each supply plant, but that could be the case.
- Q. You are not personally aware of any, for example, cooperative-designated plant that does not manufacture products?

You are equivocating. Is it true that you do not know that a -- that any cooperative-designated manufacturing plant does not manufacture products?

- A. Can you rephrase that question, please?
- Q. Okay. You indicated that a plant can be designated as a supply plant by a cooperative.
 - A. Correct.
- Q. Okay. And is it not true that those plants usually manufacture products?
- A. Again, I can't speak to what the provisions say in each order for what supply plants regulations are.
 - Q. How about the orders that you are familiar with? Are there designated cooperative supply plants?
- A. There is order language to allow cooperative supply plants, yes.
 - Q. In the order?
 - A. In the -- in the orders that I work in, yes.
 - Q. Okay. And you're familiar with what happens in



those plants?

2.

2.1

MR. HILL: Again, I'm going to object. He's here just to -- just for the location of these plants, not to what each plant does or what --

MR. VETNE: I'm not talking about each plant. I'm just talking about what's in general in this.

BY MR. VETNE:

O. So in the orders --

THE COURT: Yeah, I'm -- I'm having trouble -Counsel. I'm having trouble figuring out whether that
question is relevant to his -- pertinent to his testimony.
Did he have to know that in order to construct these
exhibits; is that what you are --

MR. HILL: That is my objection. He does not.

THE COURT: Yeah, that he did not -- he did not have to know that, he did not utilize that information. Whether it's -- whether it's within his -- you know, his expertise otherwise, I don't know that that's pertinent to his testimony.

MR. HILL: It's not within the scope of the data request.

MR. VETNE: Are we here to assemble a regulated price structure for milk that is used in Class II, III, and IV? And are not supply plants that do manufacturing, plants that receive milk, they use Class II, III and IV? And are not proponents, including cooperatives, that own supply plants that use Class II, III, and IV? And this record, even if the witness knows, is not going to reveal



information that at least some of the plants on this map are plants that would be affected by and receive milk in Class II, III, and IV?

I don't understand the objection in context of this hearing.

THE COURT: Well, I guess, you know -- allow me.

I think part of Mr. Hill's objection is that if you wanted that information in, to present your own testimony. It's not -- it's not --

MR. HILL: That is correct. That is correct, your Honor.

THE COURT: It's not appropriate to try to bring that in through cross-examination. It's not a challenge of this witness' testimony.

Is that -- is that information not otherwise in this record?

MS. TAYLOR: I think our objection -- and this isn't just for this current discussion -- is that USDA witnesses are here to put on data as requested. And it is important in this proceeding and every proceeding, that we maintain our impartiality as to what gets put on the record, other than -- it's up to the proponents to ensure they put on their information. USDA here is only here to put on data and speak to that. And any other questions -- our witnesses are not put up for that purpose.

THE COURT: What do you say to that, Counsel?

MR. VETNE: I do not understand how my question can be perceived to support or oppose an individual



2.

2.1

proposal, as was the nature of the objection. I don't get it. Maybe somebody can explain it to me.

THE COURT: Well, Ms. Taylor, I mean, it does seem like objective data. But I do understand -- and, you know, my experience with these milk hearings is limited, but from what I hear you saying is that you respond to data requests, you put up that data, and then the witness is to testify to that. They are not here to testify as to the market generally. They are not taking a position.

That -- I mean, that goes to that.

You know, the idea is that this data is important to some aspect of the case, but this witness isn't here for that. You are not taking a position on that. You are not -- you haven't prepared this witness for that.

MR. HILL: That is correct. There are certain data requests made. The USDA makes the decision on which data requests to fulfill. And this was one of those that was fulfilled. And questioning beyond the scope of that data request, we think, is improper.

THE COURT: All right. I'm going to sustain the objection. And my rationale is that the USDA witnesses here are fulfilling a limited role of responding to data requests and presenting that data. If the questions go to something that's pertinent as to whether that data is properly presented or how the spreadsheets were conducted -- how they were put together, I mean, that seems relevant.

But otherwise, I think the ground rules, as I



2.

2.1

- understand them -- I mean, if some other party wants to 1 2. speak to this -- I'm making a general ruling here, so if some other party wants to speak to this. But as I 3 4 understand the ground rules as explained by AMS, which makes sense to me, is that the role of their witnesses is 5 limited here. And the witnesses can know a lot about a 6 7 lot of different things, but they are not being put on the 8 stand to explore everything they know about the milk 9 industry.
- 10 BY MR. VETNE:

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

22

23

24

25

26

27

- Q. Mr. Herbert, the dots on the map, starting with Exhibit 47, represent plants -- plant locations, approximate locations by county, of plants that are reported and published by each Market Administrator in their list of regulated plants that comes out monthly, or at least annually, correct?
 - A. Correct.
 - Q. And those plants and plant locations are also identified, if you are familiar, in the Interstate Milk Shippers list published by the Food and Drug Administration, correct?
 - A. I am familiar with the list, but not familiar enough to testify about the list.
 - O. Pardon?
- A. I am familiar with that list, but I am not familiar enough to testify to anything on that list.
- MR. VETNE: Okay. Okay. I'll come back for official notice, but just to alert folks, the Interstate



- 1 Milk Shippers list identifies plants and products made by 2. plants in every state in the country. The Market Administrator's list identifies plants and plant 3 4 locations, some of which are included in the published handler lists and in the dots in the -- in the map. And I 5 will be asking for official notice so you can correlate 6 7 the regulated pool supply plants with those that 8 manufacture products. 9 So, with that suggestion --10 THE COURT: You are going to take that up later? 11 MR. VETNE: Well, I will bring up -- yeah, there 12 will be official notice later. 13 THE COURT: Is that something -- can I get a read 14 from AMS and anyone else, whether they think that's 15 appropriate? 16 MR. HILL: Okay. Yeah. 17 THE COURT: Okay. 18 MR. VETNE: Just FYI. 19 BY MR. VETNE: 2.0 Ο. Let's go to -- let's go to --2.1 THE COURT: Thank you, Counsel. 22 BY MR. VETNE: 23 -- Table -- Table 1, Exhibit 44, and the rather 24 lengthy exhibit, as you explained to Mr. English, includes 25 reported data, calculated data, and estimated data, 26 correct? 27 Α. Correct.
 - - Let's quickly touch base with the information for Ο.



1	Arizona derived from Order 124.
2	Arizona data is not available because of
3	confidentiality, correct?
4	A. It is a combination of confidentiality and because
5	it is skim/fat order.
6	Q. Okay. Did you, in preparing this exhibit for the
7	Arizona information, do anything to compare the Pacific
8	Northwest data that was used with actual data available in
9	Arizona?
10	A. I did not.
11	Q. Did you ask the Pacific Northwest Market
12	Administrator, Arizona Market Administrator, to do any
13	comparison for checks and balance to see is this rational
14	or not rational?
15	A. I did not ask any question like that of the Market
16	Administrator, no.
17	Q. So you don't know what the Market Administrator
18	that supplied this information did to help this record
19	decide whether the information is somewhat accurate, real
20	accurate, or who knows what?
21	MR. HILL: Can you repeat the question, please?
22	BY MR. VETNE:
23	Q. The question was
24	THE COURT: Can you read the question back, or is
25	that too hard?
26	(Thereafter, the requested testimony
27	was read by the court reporter.)
28	MS. McMURTRAY: It is my understanding that we



have a witness that can come back on that can answer this question, that it's Ms. Cashman who can provide some clarity on this.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. VETNE: Wonderful.

THE COURT: Are you objecting to this witness answering whether or not he knows whether it is accurate, kind of accurate, or who --

MS. McMURTRAY: I think our position is that we would prefer if Mr. Herbert does not know, that

Ms. Cashman can provide this information, is -- is a more appropriate witness to provide this information.

THE COURT: Is that satisfactory, Counsel?

MR. VETNE: Okay. That's fine.

BY MR. VETNE:

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

26

27

- Q. Generally with respect to the data in Exhibit 44, you indicated you relied on reports received from Market Administrators, including your own markets, correct?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. Okay. Can you tell us whether that -- let me go back. The information to the Market Administrator is supplied by handlers. They fill in a form of receipts, utilization, in some cases protein pounds and solids nonfat pounds, and send it to the Market Administrator, correct?
 - A. That's correct.
- Q. Okay. And then the Market Administrator receives that, assembles it, sometimes audits it, and in this case,



forwarded it to you to assemble this exhibit, correct?

A. In general, yes.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

22

23

24

25

26

27

- Q. Okay. Do you know if the Market Administrators, including your own office, that assembled this information collect the information from handlers using the same reporting procedure?
 - A. Can you rephrase that question?
- Q. Do all handlers report in the same manner: Here's how much milk I received, here's how much I used in Class I, Class II, here's how many protein pounds I had from an MPC order, skim pounds? Is it the same form and the same process in each Market Administrator's office?
 - A. It would not be the same process for each order.
- Q. Okay. Would it be the same information received in each order?
- A. Not in all cases due to some orders pricing on skim and fat, other orders pricing on component pricing.
- Q. Okay. But in some -- different information is reported, I understand that. But the process of providing the information, does that vary?
- A. That process is -- would be similar.
- Q. Okay. For a Class I handler, for example, hypothetically identified in Exhibit 3 of Mr. English's, would that come in one report to the Market Administrator from the handler?
- A. I'm not sure I'm the appropriate witness to answer that, not being in a component pricing order.
 - Q. Okay. In your market would the information



- A. In the case of the exhibit Mr. English provided, the protein, other solids, nonfat solids, etcetera, would not be on a report the way you are describing it.
- Q. Would not be included, but the fat and the skim would come from the handler in one report?
 - A. Correct.
 - Q. And utilization would come in that same report?
- 11 A. Correct.

5

6

7

8

9

10

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

22

23

2.4

25

- 12 Q. And if the handler diverted milk, would it be in 13 that one report?
 - A. That's correct.
 - Q. Okay. And do you know whether the one-report process is used by other Market Administrators' orders?
 - A. I'm not sure I understand the question when you say a "one-report process."
 - Q. Okay. A handler such as that described in Exhibit 53, do you know whether markets that receive that information receive it from the handler in one report, here's all my receipts, here's all my utilization?
 - MS. McMURTRAY: Your Honor, I'm sorry, we're going to make an objection to relevance. I don't think this has any relevance to what Mr. Herbert's testimony has been or to what --
- 27 THE COURT: Or what?
- 28 MS. McMURTRAY: Sorry. To what Mr. Herbert has



1	testified to, it doesn't have any relevance.
2	THE COURT: Counsel?
3	MR. VETNE: Source of the information for
4	Exhibit 44.
5	THE COURT: Well, but why is that? Well, we can
6	ask.
7	Did you take any of that information into account
8	in preparing Exhibit 44, Mr. Herbert?
9	THE WITNESS: Did I take any of what information?
10	THE COURT: I guess
11	MR. VETNE: From other Market Administrator's
12	office to assemble Exhibit 44.
13	THE WITNESS: The other Market Administrator's
14	office provided data for assembly of Exhibit 44.
15	MR. VETNE: Okay.
16	THE COURT: And I guess the question is, I mean,
17	you didn't ask whether it comes in in one report or
18	whether it is similar or if I'm getting the gist of
19	this
20	THE WITNESS: That's correct.
21	THE COURT: line of questioning.
22	THE WITNESS: I did not ask each Market
23	Administrator office who made the report, where they got
24	the information from.
25	THE COURT: I'm sorry to interrupt. We I did
26	promise lunch to the hearing reporter around 12:30. We're
27	a little past, but I don't know how much more you have to
2.8	go, and I I don't want to interrupt your cross



```
1
     unnecessarily.
 2
             MR. VETNE: That's fine. Probably 20, 30 minutes,
 3
     it could be. Depending on --
             THE COURT:
 4
                         Okay. Then we'll come back to you
 5
     after --
             MR. VETNE: Depending upon interruptions.
 6
             THE COURT: That's the nature of the business.
 7
 8
             All right. It is 12:40. We decided we need an
 9
     hour. Let's come back at 1:40.
10
            (Whereupon, a luncheon break was taken.)
11
12
                              ---000---
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
2.1
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
```



1	THURSDAY, AUGUST 24, 2023 AFTERNOON SESSION
2	THE COURT: Okay. On the record.
3	Are you ready, Counsel?
4	MR. VETNE: I am.
5	THE COURT: Mr. Herbert has resumed the stand.
6	You are still under oath, of course.
7	Your witness.
8	MR. VETNE: John Vetne for NAJ. We had an hour
9	lunch. I got to plan, perhaps shorten what I was going to
10	do, and what I'm going to do is to thank you and to thank
11	USDA for all the work that went into the exhibits, and
12	tell you that I'm done. Thank you.
13	THE COURT: Thank you, Counsel.
14	In that case, we'll immediately go on another
15	lunch break for the benefit of the record.
16	Okay. Who is next? Any further cross of Witness
17	Herbert?
18	MR. SMITH: Good afternoon. Dan Smith for
19	whoa, that's better MDIA.
20	CROSS-EXAMINATION
21	BY MR. SMITH:
22	Q. Just a quick question about calculations in
23	Exhibit 46.
24	Just using Federal Order 1 as an example, to
25	calculate the actual Class I value, I multiplied the
26	Class I pounds against the announced Class I price, and
27	instead of 19 and change 19,1, come out 19,4 and
28	change.



change.

But on -- for October, the difference was quite more substantial, between 19 -- the reported number of 19 and my calculation, Class I price came out of the statistical handbook for Federal Order 1 for that month. So I gather it's -- it's not using the statistical Class I price, it's a different calculation?

- A. The -- under the Class I differential value column, or the section, is that the section you are referring to?
- O. Yeah.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

22

23

24

25

26

27

- 11 A. Yeah. So the actual represents the -- just the
 12 actual value of the Class I differentials, not the total
 13 value of the Class I -- not the total Class I value for
 14 that order.
 - Q. I'm sorry. I don't understand that distinction.

 That's what I'm getting at.
 - A. Right. Yeah, so that -- so essentially that just represents the -- so -- the location value for each plant.
 - O. That's what I thought. Okay.
 - A. So that's -- it represents the location value but for all Class I deliveries.
 - Q. So the -- it is the computed value of the -- of the Class I, and I assume that's the same for the -- for the PPD, the same -- it would be the computed value for the whole pool, right?
 - A. I'm not sure I understand that question. I'm sorry.
 - Q. Just, you -- you -- when you ran these, you just



2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

27

28

ran the pool calculation using the new National Milk proposed Class I price instead of the existing Class I price?

- A. We essentially -- I mean, we essentially just re- -- readded the uniform price of the PPD announcement changing the Class I differentials, which are in the top of the price, under classified value, then the total location differentials are toward the bottom of the page.
- Q. Yeah. Okay. And so along that line, what -- how do you calculate in the average Class I differential that the 282 as -- does that also take into account all the location adjustments in its --
- A. Yes, that is the -- I mean, this is the market average Class I differential. To get the 282, you would take the actual Class I differential value of the 19,103,413, divided by the Class I pounds for Federal 1, which is the 678,025,669. That is per hundredweight.
 - Q. Okay.
- MR. SMITH: Thank you. That's all I have. Thank you, your Honor.
- 21 THE COURT: Thank you, Counsel.
- 22 Any other cross-examination?
- Ms. Hancock rises.
- MS. HANCOCK: Thank you.
- 25 CROSS-EXAMINATION
- 26 BY MS. HANCOCK:
 - Q. Good afternoon. Just a couple of questions.

 Under Federal Orders, milk is priced where the



1 | milk is delivered; is that right?

- A. That is correct.
- Q. Okay. And then in Exhibit 44, I think you spent some time talking about the different columns that were either estimated, calculated, or actual numbers.

Do you recall that?

A. Yes.

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

24

25

26

27

- Q. Is it correct that handlers provide a report reporting their Class I sales in multiple component areas and that that would include protein calculations as well?
- 11 A. I'm sorry. I'm not sure I understand that 12 question.
- Q. Well, for the area -- for Class I reports, do the Class I reports that you get from handlers also include the protein calculations?
 - A. I'm not really understanding what you mean by "Class I report."
 - Q. Well, when the handlers provide a report of their pool, their pool report?
 - A. Yeah, their pool report.
 - Q. It will include the protein calculations as well?
- 22 A. It would include the protein in their producer 23 milk receipts.
 - Q. And will that -- so does that mean that in Exhibit 44, that that is a calculated amount, not an estimated amount?
 - A. On which column?
 - MS. HANCOCK: I guess you answered the question we



1	needed. Thank you.
2	THE WITNESS: All right. Thank you.
3	THE COURT: Anyone else?
4	AMS redirect?
5	MR. WILSON: Your Honor, Todd Wilson, USDA.
6	REDIRECT EXAMINATION
7	BY MR. WILSON:
8	Q. A few items have been described as actual versus
9	reported.
10	Could you clarify what you mean by those two
11	terms?
12	A. Yes. So when I was saying actual earlier, I was
13	talking about what we actually see on the pool report. It
14	would be more accurate to describe these terms as either
15	reported or calculated or estimated.
16	Q. So to follow up on that, what would you describe
17	as calculated?
18	A. Calculated, for example, would be nonfat solids or
19	the component levels in each class as far as utilization,
20	or skim pounds would be calc all those would be
21	calculated.
22	Q. Thank you.
23	To follow up with Exhibit 63, could you go through
24	that again to describe for a skim and fat order which
25	items would be reported on a market report from a handler?
26	A. Yes. So on a market report from a handler, under
27	the receipt section at the top, the total producer milk



pounds and butterfat pounds would be reported. Under the

	NATIONAL FEDERAL MILK MARKETING ORDER PRICING FORMULA HEARING
1	utilization, the total pounds and butterfat pounds for
2	Class I, II, III, and IV will be reported on a skim/fat
3	order.
4	Q. And for a multiple component order, are there
5	additional information provided?
6	A. Yes. On a multiple component order, the protein
7	pounds in producer milk, other solids pounds in producer
8	milk receipts, and nonfat or I'm sorry the protein
9	pounds, the other solid pounds in producer milk
10	receipts
11	(Court Reporter clarification.)
12	THE WITNESS: Yes. Sorry about that.
13	So on a component order, the protein pounds in
14	producer milk receipts and other solids pounds in producer
15	milk receipts are also reported.
16	BY MR. WILSON:
17	Q. And the other components listed throughout
18	utilization of protein, other solids, and nonfat solids?
19	A. Those would all be calculated.
20	Q. Thank you.
21	MR. WILSON: Nothing further.
22	THE COURT: Re-cross? If someone can justify it.
23	Okay. So I think now is an appropriate time to
24	offer this witness's exhibits into record?
25	MS. McMURTRAY: Yes, your Honor. We would
26	THE COURT: I'm sorry?



28

sure that 14 through 58, those would be the ones that

MS. McMURTRAY: Yes. And we would need to make

would be admitted at this time. 1 2. THE COURT: Okay. Any objections? 3 MR. ENGLISH: I would object. I thought we were 4 waiting until we were done, and I thought we were going to 5 put two witnesses on the stand to talk about Tables 2 and 6 7 Remember, we did not finish 2 and 3 yesterday. MS. McMURTRAY: That's fine. 8 MR. ENGLISH: Yeah. 9 THE COURT: Okay. Tables 2 and 3 are which 10 11 exhibits? 12 MS. McMURTRAY: 17 and 18. 13 MR. ENGLISH: Exhibits 17 and 18. Those are the 14 ones that I still had questions about yesterday, and that 15 was apparently better to have both witnesses on the stand 16 at the same time rather than my try to do things piecemeal 17 was my understanding. 18 MS. McMURTRAY: And so Ms. Cashman is ready, I 19 think, to go up with Mr. Herbert, and then we can admit 2.0 those after additional questions for them. 2.1 THE COURT: Okay. Do you want to wait on all of 22 them or reserve on 17 and 18? 23 MS. McMURTRAY: We'll just wait on all of them. 24 THE COURT: Okay. 25 You are dismissed, Mr. Herbert. Thank you. 26 THE WITNESS: I believe --27 THE COURT: Oh, I'm sorry, we're going to do a



28

dual. I'm so easily confused. Two for one, excellent.

1	Welcome back, Ms. Cashman. I guess out of an
2	excess of caution, I'll swear you in again. Please raise
3	your right hand.
4	LORIE CASHMAN,
5	Being first duly sworn, was examined and
6	testified as follows:
7	THE COURT: You may take a seat.
8	The witnesses are ready.
9	Mr. English?
10	MR. ENGLISH: Thank you. Chip English for the
11	Milk Innovation Group.
12	CROSS-EXAMINATION
13	BY MR. ENGLISH:
14	Q. So we now have Exhibit 44, which was Table 1,
15	Exhibit 17, which was Table 2, and Exhibit 18, which is
16	Table 3.
17	So my first question is, how does Exhibit 17
18	compare to Exhibit 44?
19	A. Okay. So I wrote down table numbers but
20	Q. Okay. If you want to do so how does Table 2
21	compare to Table 1?
22	A. Right. So Table 2 matches for butterfat in all
23	orders, and protein butterfat, other solids, and nonfat
24	solids test in producer milk for the multiple component
25	orders.
26	Q. And so, otherwise, other than those categories of
27	data that are in Table 1, Table 2 does not take other data
28	from Table 1 other than that; is that correct?



- A. So I mean this is semantics, I guess. But the data that is in Table 44 that we're saying is reported -- or are we saying -- no, all this data is reported. Yeah. So all of the data that's on, say, page 1 of 44, that's all reported data. And that data is used to calculate the tests that are reported in Table 2.
- Q. Okay. So another way of putting it is estimated data is not included in Table 2; is that correct?
 - A. That's correct.
- 10 Q. Thank you.

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

16

19

2.0

2.1

22

23

24

25

26

27

- So Table 3, otherwise known as Exhibit 18, how does the information in this table relate -- I'll break up the question -- to the information in Table 1, Exhibit 44?
- 14 A. So the data in 18 are yearly averages of the data 15 that are in Exhibit 17.
 - Q. That therefore answers my question.
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 Q. Thank you.
 - Are they weighted averages or simple averages, for Table 3, Exhibit 18?
 - A. Well, the all markets combined is a weighted average by producer pounds. The -- let me double-check this footnote here.
 - These tests are reported. They are weighted by producer pounds as well. So the yearly average is weighted by producer pounds, as well as the all-average total -- or all markets combined.
 - Q. Okay. So just to be clear, you -- it is not



1	simply you take the 12 months of 2000 for Order 1, add
2	them up, and divide by 12, it is a weighted average?
3	A. Correct.
4	Q. Okay.
5	MR. ENGLISH: That's all I have. Thank you. I
6	appreciate it.
7	THE COURT: Thank you, Counsel.
8	Anyone else?
9	I mean, I know we called Ms. Cashman for Mr.
10	English's purposes. Any redirect?
11	MS. McMURTRAY: Thank you.
12	REDIRECT EXAMINATION
13	BY MS. McMURTRAY:
14	Q. Ms. Cashman, you had well, Mr. Herbert had a
15	lot of questions this morning on the Exhibit 44 when it
16	came to estimated numbers for Arizona in particular.
17	Do you want to speak to that?
18	A. Yes. We did use 124's test to estimate, as
19	they're administered by the same Market Administrator.
20	However, we cannot speak to any comparisons because it
21	would reveal confidential information.
22	MS. McMURTRAY: That's it. Thank you.
23	THE COURT: Okay. That is sort of new
24	information.
25	Any cross on that?
26	Seeing none oh, okay.
27	MR. ROSENBAUM: Steven Rosenbaum for the



International Dairy Foods Association.

1 CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. ROSENBAUM: 2. I mean, the fat levels in the Pacific Northwest 3 4 versus Arizona, that's not -- not confidential, right, average fat levels? 5 Α. 6 That's correct. 7 And was any effort made to determine whether there is a correlation between fat levels and protein levels 8 9 generally in milk? 10 Α. There was not. 11 MR. ROSENBAUM: Thank you. 12 THE COURT: I'm sorry, there was not an effort to 13 determine or there was not a correlation? 14 THE WITNESS: There was not an effort to 15 determine. 16 THE COURT: Thank you. 17 Well, that was new, too. Any re-redirect? 18 MS. McMURTRAY: No. 19 THE COURT: No more questions for these two 2.0 witnesses, then. 2.1 Okay. Is now the time to put in the exhibits? 22 Let's try it again. 23 MS. McMURTRAY: Yes. So at this time we would 2.4 move for Exhibits 14 through 58 to be admitted into 25 evidence. 26 THE COURT: Any objections? 27 Exhibits 14 through 58 are admitted into the 28 record.



1	(Thereafter, Exhibit Numbers 14 through 58
2	were received into evidence.)
3	THE COURT: Witnesses Herbert and Cashman, thank
4	you. You may step down from the stand.
5	Okay. Who is our next witness?
6	MS. HANCOCK: Calvin Covington, your Honor.
7	THE COURT: I'll swear you in. Raise your right
8	hand please.
9	CALVIN COVINGTON,
10	Being first duly sworn, was examined and
11	testified as follows:
12	THE COURT: You may take the stand.
13	DIRECT EXAMINATION
14	BY MS. HANCOCK:
15	Q. Mr. Covington, would you mind stating and spelling
16	your name for the court reporter?
17	A. Yes, ma'am. My name is Calvin Covington,
18	C-A-L-V-I-N, C-O-V-I-N-G-T-O-N.
19	Q. Mr. Covington, what is your mailing address?
20	A. Mailing address for Southeast Milk, who I am
21	representing, is P.O. Box 3790, Belleview,
22	B-E-L-L-E-V-I-E-W, Florida, zip code 34421.
23	Q. Thank you.
24	And are you here to testify on behalf of National
25	Milk today?
26	A. I'm here to testify on behalf of Southeast Milk,
27	Incorporated, supporting the National Milk Producers
28	Federation Proposal No. 1.



- Q. Okay. And where are you -- or are you currently employed?
 - A. I'm self-employed.

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

2.1

22

23

24

25

26

27

- Q. Okay. And -- and what is your role in working on behalf of Southeast Milk? Is that as a consultant?
- A. Yes. I have been a consultant for Southeast Milk since I retired as their CEO several years ago.
- Q. And did you prepare a written statement for your testimony today?
- 10 A. Yes, ma'am, I did.
- MS. HANCOCK: Your Honor, at this point I would
 like to mark what's been previously identified as Exhibit
- 13 National Milk Producers Federation Exhibit 2. If we could
- 14 | have -- I think it is 64.
- THE COURT: I think we're up to 64. Okay.
- 16 Exhibit NMPF-2 in the upper right-hand corner will be marked Exhibit 64.
- 18 (Thereafter, Exhibit Number 64 was marked for identification.)
- 20 BY MS. HANCOCK:
 - Q. Mr. Covington, before you read your testimony, I'm wondering if you could give us a little bit of background starting with your education.
 - A. Okay. I'll just start with my college education.

 I graduated with a Bachelor of Science degree in animal science from North Carolina State University. After that,

 I received a certificate in business from the University of North Carolina. And then a few years later, I received



- a Master of Science degree from Ohio State University, from the Department of Agriculture Economics and Rural Sociology.
- Q. What year did you receive your Master's of Science degree?
- A. 19- -- boy, I'm trying to think now. I think it was '89, 1989.
- Q. Can you give us an overview of what you did in your professional career after you graduated in 1989 through today?
- A. Well, I actually graduated a lot -- a year -- you are going to make me younger than what I am. I actually graduated many years earlier with my Bachelor's degree.

 It was later after I started working I got my Master's degree.
 - Q. Okay. Take me through your professional career.
- A. Okay. Basically I worked for three different employers before I retired from full-time work.

The first employer I had was the local and then the state Dairy Herd Improvement Association, more commonly known as DHIA, where I -- I served as a -- in those days we called it a milk tester.

Then, after that, after I graduated from college, I went to work for then the American Jersey Cattle Club, National All-Jersey, Incorporated. I started as an area representative for them, working in the Southeast, and my major responsibility was providing service to five fluid milk plants in the Southeast that marketed -- bottled and



2.

2.0

2.1

marketed All-Jersey milk. I was the liaison between the plants and the producers.

After doing that for a couple years I moved to the national headquarters. Basically all my time there was spent with National All-Jersey, Incorporated, the milk marketing arm, then the American Jersey Cattle Club. And basically, what my work there involved was the promotion of multiple component pricing.

And we did that in about three different ways: Number one, I worked with individual manufacturing plants to encourage them, work with them, to get them to implement voluntary multiple component pricing plans; then in some cases we formed cooperatives or we expanded the base of current cooperatives that would market milk to manufacturing plants on a multiple component basis; and then the third row is we started building our case to implement multiple component pricing in the Federal Milk Marketing Order system. Back when we first started doing that, we did it order by order, so I was involved -- I've been -- I was involved in every order and order hearing that was held on multiple component pricing. ended up, then, with multiple component pricing as a part of Federal Order Reform that went in in 2000. So that that's what I have been doing, when I was working full-time.

Since retiring from Southeast Milk, I have probably spent 50 to two-thirds of my time doing consulting work, not only for Southeast Milk but for other



1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

26

27

cooperatives, for fluid milk plants, retail grocers, investment companies interested in investing in dairy, doing a fair amount of speaking at various events on milk marketing.

Also, I've conducted for several people milk pricing workshops, getting -- update them on how the Federal Order system works, and also, putting out a monthly newsletter for the Southeast with blend price forecasts and so forth. So that's what I have been doing -- probably since I retired full-time, and I have actually gone back twice now for Southeast Milk and served as their interim CEO.

- Q. Okay. You served in the role as CEO for Southeast Milk on two separate occasions?
- A. Well, one full time, then went back to on an interim basis.
 - O. Okay. Three times then, total?
 - A. Yes, ma'am.
- 19 | O. Okay.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

2.0

2.1

22

23

24

25

26

27

- MS. HANCOCK: Your Honor, I would offer
 Mr. Covington as an expert witness on raw milk marketing,
 specializing in milk pricing and in particular on multiple
 component order pricing.
 - THE COURT: Any objections?
- Yeah, I skimmed over the testimony. I find that this witness on voir dire is qualified as an expert to testimony on those topics.
 - MS. HANCOCK: Thank you, your Honor.



BY MS. HANCOCK:

2.

2.0

2.1

- Q. Mr. Covington, would you mind reading your statement which has been identified as Exhibit 64 into the record, please.
 - A. Yes, ma'am, be glad to.

My name is Calvin Covington. This testimony is presented in support of National Milk Producers Federation Proposal 1: Update the milk component factors in the skim milk price formulas. This testimony is presented on behalf of Southeast Milk, Incorporated, a longtime member of NMPF.

My off-farm career in the dairy industry covers 50 years working with dairy farmers and their organizations. This work includes preparing proposals for and presenting testimony at many Federal Milk Marketing Order hearings over the past five decades. I retired from SMI as their CEO in 2010, but remain involved in the dairy industry, particularly in the area of milk pricing and Federal Order regulations.

Since leaving full-time employment with SMI, my association with the cooperative continues, including serving as their interim CEO most recently in 2022 and representing the cooperative on Federal Order and dairy policy issues. This includes serving as a member of the NMPF Federal Order task force which developed this and the other proposals presented at this hearing.

SMI is a Capper-Volstead cooperative and a pool handler in the Florida and Southeast Federal Milk



Marketing Orders. SMI is responsible for supplying all the raw milk needs for pool dist- -- for four pool distributing plants located in the Florida Federal Milk Marketing Order and one pool distributing plant in the Southeast Federal Milk Market Order.

As of June 30th, 2023, SMI's membership consists of 114 dairy farmer members who own and operate 119 Grade A dairy farms.

SMI extends its appreciation to the Secretary of Agriculture and the Dairy Division staff for holding this hearing.

My testimony in support of updating the milk component factors in the skim milk price formulas is organized into the following areas:

One, the current skim milk price formulas and producer milk components; two, challenges created by the current skim price formulas; three, proposal to meet these challenges; and four, comments on alternative proposal.

The current skim milk price formulas and producer milk components. The skim milk price formulas used today in the 11 Federal Milk Marketing Orders were implemented as a part of Federal Order Reform in 2000. The skim milk component factors implemented then and still in use today are 3.1 protein, 5.9 other solids, 9.0 nonfat solids, which is the protein plus other solids.

Keeping with the tradition of publishing milk prices on a hundredweight basis at 3.5% butterfat, the above skim milk components convert to 2.99 pounds of



2.

2.1

protein, 5.69 pounds of other solids, and 8.68 pounds of nonfat solids, and 100 pounds of milk contained in 3.5 pounds of butterfat and 96.5 pounds of skim milk.

Federal Order Reform implemented multiple component pricing in seven of the 11 Federal Milk Marketing Orders. (Note: The Western order, one of the original seven multiple component pricing orders, was terminated in 2004. California became a Federal Milk Marketing Order in 2018, bringing the total of multiple component pricing orders back to seven.) These seven Federal Milk Marketing Orders accounted for 89% of all Federal Milk Marketing Order producer milk in 2022. The majority of milk production in these seven orders is utilized in Class II, III, and IV, the manufacturing milk classes.

In the seven multiple component pricing Federal Milk Marketing Orders, producers are paid for their skim milk production based on protein and other solids milk components. Handlers pay for Class III skim milk on the protein and other solids contained in the skim milk, and Class II and IV skim milk is priced based on its nonfat solids content.

The other four Federal Milk Marketing Orders use skim/butterfat pricing. Handlers pay for skim milk in all four classes on hundredweight basis regardless of the components contained in the skim milk. Producers are paid the same way for their skim milk production on a hundredweight basis regardless of the components contained



2.

2.1

in the skim milk.

2.

2.0

2.1

Dairy farmers have responded and continue to respond positively to economic signals to increase skim milk component levels. Continuous improvements in genetics, nutrition, and dairy farm management have and continue to enable dairy farmers to increase milk component levels.

Table 1, which uses data taken from the USDA-AMS-Dairy Program Table 3, shows annual producer milk component percentages from 2000 to 2022. During this time period, dairy farmers increased the protein percentage in their milk production from 3.02% to 3.25%. Nonfat solids percentage increased from 8.71% to 9.03%. Table 1 also shows after declining from 2000 to 2010, the butterfat percentage increased from 3.7% in 2011 to 4.06% in 2022.

Again, I have Table 1 there titled Annual Butterfat, Protein, Other Solids, and Nonfat Solids percentages in Producer Milk Using Data Provided by USDA-AMS-Dairy Program (Table 3) (2000 to 2022).

The adoption of genomics in dairy cattle selection is increasing the speed of genetic progress, including higher milk component levels. Many widely used artificial insemination sires have positive genetic transmitting ability for milk components. Dairy farmers keep improving dairy cattle nutrition, cow comfort, and dairy farm management, all of which increase milk components. The research and tools available to assist dairy farmers in improving milk component levels continues to expand. All



signs point to future increases in milk component levels.

Ken Nobis, a Michigan dairy farmer, will provide testimony regarding practices on his farm which have and continue to improve milk component levels.

Mike Van Amburgh, Professor of Animal Science at Cornell University, will testify on milk component levels in farm milk and how and why they are projected to continue to increase.

The challenges. In multiple component pricing
Federal Milk Marketing Orders, the relative value of
Class II, III, and IV skim milk has increased as
components increased. However, the value of Class I skim
milk in all Federal Milk Marketing Orders and the value of
Class II, III and IV in the four non-multiple component
pricing Federal Milk Marketing Orders has not benefited
from the increase in skim milk components.

Failing to adjust the skim milk component factors used to calculate the Class I skim milk value in all Federal Milk Marketing Orders, and the Class II, III, and IV skim milk values in the four non-multiple component pricing Federal Milk Marketing Orders, has, is, and will continue, to create marketing challenges unless skim milk component factors are updated regularly to correspond with actual milk component levels in skim milk.

An essential element of modernizing the Federal Milk Marketing Order system involves updating the protein and other solids content found in the producer milk supply in 2000, to the actual protein and other solids content in



2.

2.1

today's producer milk supply.

2.

2.0

2.1

The out-of-date skim milk component factors mean today's Federal Milk Marketing Order class prices fail to reflect the true value of skim milk, misalign the relationship between the values of fluid milk relative to manufactured milk, thereby creating disorderly marketing conditions, and makes it more difficult to ensure consumers have an adequate supply of milk for fluid uses.

The skim milk component factors implemented under Federal Milk Marketing Order Reform approximated the average pounds of protein, other solids, and nonfat solids contained in 100 pounds of producer skim milk at the time. With so many changes in Federal Milk Marketing Orders occurring simultaneously, this was a practical approach. It also helped to maintain an alignment between the Class I skim milk value and the skim milk values of the three manufacturing milk classes.

However, as skim milk components increase, a misalignment in pricing occurs if adjustments are not made. Higher skim milk component levels increase the relevant value of Class II, III, and IV skim milk prices in Federal Milk Marketing Orders with multiple component pricing versus the Class I skim milk value in all Federal Milk Marketing Orders.

In 2000, the protein factor was established at 3.1, other solids factor at 5.9, and nonfat solids factor at 9.0. Again, these factors approximated the average pounds of protein, other solids, and nonfat solids



contained in 100 pounds of producer skim milk at the time.

In 2022, using data compiled from Market

Administrator reports, the average pounds of skim milk

components contained in 100 pounds of producer skim milk

was 3.39 protein, 6.02 other solids, and 9.41 nonfat

solids in Table 2.

Table 2 is the table that I prepared titled

Average Pounds of Protein, Other Solids, and Nonfat Solids

Contained in 100 Pounds of Producer Skim Milk in Federal

Milk Marketing Orders With Protein and Other Solids

Component Tests, (2000 to 2022).

This is data that I have been keeping for a number of years and, also, each month, where I go to each Market Administrator's data, pull off the data they report for -- on pounds of producer milk and the pounds of components, and then I calculate a weighted average each year from all the orders with that data available.

And so you can see on 2022, again, that's where the 3.39 protein in skim milk, 6.02 in other solids, and 9.41 in nonfat solids was the average.

After this table and testimony was prepared, I had access to the data put out by USDA that's been entered in as exhibits, especially Table No. 1. And I took the Table 1 data, which had component information for the four Federal Milk Marketing Orders using skim/butterfat pricing. I took that data of components, added to my data, and taking it to two decimal places did not change my results for 2022 based on my data from -- from the



2.0

2.1

seven orders for Federal Milk Marketing Orders. Again, that's 3.39 protein, 6.02 other solids, 9.41 nonfat solids.

Tables 3 and 4 show, numerically, the misalignment in milk prices caused by the increase in skim milk components.

Using average 2022 Federal Milk Marketing Order prices and 2022 average protein and other solids levels stated above, the 2022 average Class III skim milk price, at test, in Federal Milk Marketing Orders for multiple component pricing was 11.75 per hundredweight. That is shown in Table 3.

If 2022 skim milk components were the same as skim milk component factors established in 2000, the Class III skim milk price would have been \$0.83 per hundredweight lower or 10.92 per hundredweight. Again, this is shown in Table 3. Again, 10.92, as you would expect, is the average 2022 Class III skim milk price per hundredweight published by USDA-AMS-Dairy Program.

Simply put, higher milk component levels in skim milk increased the average actual Class III skim milk price per hundredweight in Federal Milk Marketing Orders with multiple component pricing by \$0.83 per hundredweight compared to the current skim milk component factors.

Because the Class I mover skim milk price is still calculated based on skim milk component levels implemented in 2000, the Class I mover skim milk price does not increase when skim milk components increase. Again, this



2.

2.1

is shown in Table 3. This results in a narrowing of the difference between the Class I and the Class III price, presenting more opportunities for price inversions.

Again, Table 3 titled Misalignment in Skim Milk Prices, Class III Versus the Class I Mover Skim.

Again, there in the second column for 2000 skim milk components, the factors we have now, 3.1 and 5.9. Using 2022, average Class III skim milk prices gives a value of 10.92 per hundredweight.

Again, going to 2022, using the average actual skim milk components, still using the 2022 average component pricing, that gives us 11.75, where the higher components have increased the Class III skim by \$0.83 a hundredweight.

Coming down below there, the Class I mover today is still based upon those factors determined back in 2000. So the Class I mover skim, using 2022 prices, remain -- remains the same on both -- both years because the skim milk component factors remain steady. Again, there's no change in the Class I skim value there.

Table 4 shows similar calculations using the Class IV skim milk price. In 2022, the average actual Class IV skim milk price per hundredweight in Federal Milk Marketing Orders with multiple component pricing orders is \$0.61 higher due to the increase in nonfat solids level.

Again, there's no change in the Class I mover skim value due to using the nonfat solids factor established in 2000.



2.

2.0

2.1

Again, I have Table 4 there, the Misalignment in Skim Milk Prices, Class IV Skim Versus Class I Mover Skim. Again, you see the 13.52 based on the 9.0 skim milk component. We go to averages in 2022, that's 14.13, increase of \$0.61. Again, no change in the Class I mover skim milk price.

Failure to adjust the skim milk component factors creates the following challenges:

One, a longtime practice in the Federal Milk
Marketing Order system is the establishment of the Class I
milk price based on the value of milk used for
manufacturing, plus a specified Class I differential. In
2000, the actual Class III and Class IV skim milk values
approximated the announced Federal Milk Marketing Order
Class III and Class IV skim milk values. This was because
the Federal Milk Marketing Order skim milk factors closely
aligned with the actual skim milk component levels at that
time.

Today, this is no longer true. The actual value of Class III and Class IV skim milk values in multiple component pricing markets is higher than the announced order Class III and Class IV skim milk prices. This is because the actual skim milk component levels are higher than the current skim milk component factors. Milk used for manufacturing derives its value from the components in the milk. The higher level components in hundredweight of milk, the greater the milk's value. The Class I price is no longer being based on the actual value of milk used for



2.

2.0

2.1

manufacturing but a lower value.

2.

2.1

Two, in Federal Milk Marketing Orders with multiple component pricing, a producer's prorated share of the Class I price is provided through the producer price differential. Higher component levels increase skim milk prices in Classes II, III, and IV. Due to the outdated and fixed skim milk component factors, the Class I skim milk price does not increase as dairy farmers increase skim milk component levels. This allows skim milk prices for Classes II, III, and IV to increase relative to Class I.

As producer component levels increase, but without additional revenue from Class I skim (to increase the producer price differential), the difference between prices for Classes II, III, and IV milk versus respective Federal Milk Marketing Order's blend price narrows. This results in increased milk price inversions which leads to depooling, resulting in disorderly marking.

Three, three Federal Milk Marketing Orders,
Appalachian, Florida, and Southeast, which use
skim/butterfat milk pricing, are deficit in milk
production. These Federal Milk Marketing Orders do not
have an adequate supply of raw milk within their respected
geographies to meet fluid milk demand throughout the year.
Supplemental milk is purchased and transported into these
three Federal Milk Marketing Orders from other regions to
meet demand.

Particularly, supplemental milk in the Appalachian



and Southeast Federal Milk Marketing Orders is procured from marketing areas with multiple component pricing. The higher relative value of skim milk in these Federal Milk Marketing Orders, due to increased milk component levels, increases the cost of this additional supplemental milk. Due to no corresponding adjustment in skim milk prices in Federal Milk Marketing Orders with skim/butterfat pricing, this increases the cost of procuring supplemental milk. Most supplemental milk is procured by dairy cooperatives. Dairy farmers pay the increased expense which lowers their mailbox milk price.

Proposal. To correct the challenges caused by the current skim milk factors and to help better meet the primary objectives of Federal Milk Marketing Orders, the following is proposed:

One, update the current milk component factors used in the skim milk price formula, which applies to all Federal Milk Marketing Orders. The proposed skim milk component factors equal the weighted average pounds of protein, other solids, and nonfat solids in 100 pounds of Federal Milk Marketing Order producer skim milk for the calendar year 2022 and rounded to the nearest hundredth of a pound.

The proposed updated skim milk factors are:

Protein, from 3.1 to 3.39 per 100 pounds of Class III skim milk; other solids, from 5.9 to 6.02 per 100 pounds of Class III skim milk; nonfat solids, from 9.0 to 9.41 per 100 pounds of Class IV skim milk.



2.

2.1

Two, the above updated skim milk factors would not be implemented until the first day of the 12th month after adoption of this proposal. The current skim milk component factors would remain in place until then. Both dairy farmers and handlers use risk management programs, and this delay will allow most transactions placed prior to updating the skim milk component factors be completed.

Ed Gallagher, a risk management specialist, will provide testimony regarding the importance of delaying the implementation of proposed skim milk component factors until the 12th month after implementation.

Three, to prevent future misalignments in the skim milk component factors and to avoid returning to an administrative hearing, an updating procedure is proposed. By February 28th of the third year and beginning one year after the announcement of a change of skim milk factors, AMS shall calculate the weighted average of component pounds (protein, other solids, nonfat solids) in 100 pounds of Federal Milk Marketing Order producer skim milk for the three previous calendar years.

If the calculated nonfat solids calculation differs by the nonfat solids factor in effect by 0.07 percentage points or more, then update the factors for protein, other solids, and nonfat solids to the corresponding calculated values. The updated factors would be announced no later than five days after the calculation. Implementation of the updated factors would be effective the first day of March of the following year.



2.

2.0

2.1

If the calculation does not exceed the 0.07 percentage point threshold, repeat this procedure in the following year using the weighted average for the three preceding calendar years. Continue this procedure in subsequent years until the 0.07 threshold is exceeded and the skim milk component factors are updated accordingly. If the factors are updated, repeat this procedure three years thereafter.

Table 5 is provided to help better understand the future adjustment procedure. Table 5, Example Adjustment Procedure.

Assume if this proposal was implemented in January 1, 2025, updated skim milk factors would be implemented January 1, 2026. Then by February 28th, 2028, calculated weight -- calculate weighted average of component pounds (protein, other solids, nonfat solids) in producer skim milk for the calendar years 2025, 2026, and 2027. If the calculated nonfat solids factor differs by 0.07 percentage points or more, then announce updated skim milk factors by March 5th and then implemented a year later then in March 2029.

If factors are updated, the above procedure is repeated in three years by using calendar years 2028, 2029, and 2030.

If the skim milk component factors are not updated, the procedure is repeated in one year using calendar years 2026, 2027, 2028, and so on until there's an update.



2.

2.1

Skim milk factors need to be updated if components change. However, it is important to promote orderly market and to make changes no more frequently than necessary.

Updating every three years and using a three-year average smooths out unexpected ups or downs in component averages. With today's rapid advancements in genomics, biotechnology, and nutrition, along with the potential of weather events that could impact the next year's feed supply, it is possible to have unexpectedly large differences in milk components from one year to the next, plus the three-year average allows dairy farmers and handlers using risk management tools to better anticipate potential future changes.

The 0.07 factor was determined by looking at the historical change in nonfat solids levels and keeping in mind the need to promote orderly marketing. If this proposal had been implemented as a part of Federal Order Reform, three updates would have occurred over the past ten years. The 0.07 threshold is reasonable and helps maintain orderly marketing.

A change in the nonfat solids level is solely used to indicate if an update is warranted versus using each component separately. Nonfat solids are simply the sum of protein and other solids. Thus, a change in the level of nonfat solids is a result of a change in protein, other solids, or both.

Alternative proposal. SMI appreciates National



2.

2.1

All-Jersey, Incorporated, also proposing an update of the skim milk component factors in its Proposal No. 2. Even though the NAJ proposal is almost identical to the NMPF proposal, there are two parts of the NAJ proposal we disagree with. They are, one, updating the skim milk component factors annually, and two, annual change in either protein or other solids, regardless of magnitude, results in a change in the skim milk component factors.

A purpose of Federal Milk Marketing Orders is promotion of orderly marketing of milk. This is the reason the NMPF proposal calls for only changing skim milk factors every three years and only if the three-year average nonfat solids level exceeds the current nonfat solids by 0.07 percentage points or more. As stated above, skim milk factors need to be updated if components change; however, it's important to promote orderly marketing and make changes no more frequently than necessary.

Under the NAJ proposal, an annual change in the other solids level from just 6.02 to 6.03, and no change in protein level, would result in change for the following year. It is difficult to see how such a small change is worth the effort. As testified to earlier, it is possible unexpected ups and downs in annual milk component levels could occur. Again, the reason for only changing every three years.

Again, we appreciate and thank NAJ for supporting an update in the skim milk component factors. However,



2.

2.1

SMI encourages the Secretary of Agriculture not to accept the portions of the NAJ proposal which includes updating annually and based on a change in either protein or other solids regardless of the magnitude of the change. The update process proposed by NMPF is a more orderly process.

In summary, the milk component factors currently used in the skim milk price formulas need updating. Dairy farmers have increased and continue to increase the level of milk components in their milk production. This increase causes a price misalignment between the Class I skim milk value in all Federal Milk Marketing Orders versus Class II, III, and IV skim milk values in multiple component pricing orders.

The component factors need updating to assist

Federal Milk Marketing Orders in meeting their two primary

purposes as specified in the Agricultural Marketing

Agreement Act of 1937: Maintain orderly marketing

conditions, and protect the interest of the consumer by

ensuring an adequate supply of fluid milk for consumption.

Southeast Milk, Incorporated, expresses its appreciation to the Secretary of Agriculture and the Dairy Division for holding this hearing to consider these important proposals. We encourage the Secretary to recommend the adoption of Proposal 1, update the milk component factors in the skim milk price formulas. Respectfully submitted.

I have attached to it Appendix 1. I will not read that. That's been put in the record earlier by the



2.

2.1

testimony of Peter Vitaliano. But, again, it's just the proposed language to update the factors as proposed by National Milk in Proposal No. 1.

- Q. We get to start the clock over, it turns out.
- A. Excuse me?
- Q. I'm just teasing.
- A. Oh.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Q. Okay. Thank you for that, Mr. Covington.

I just have a couple more follow-up questions to kind of flesh out some of the information that you have and know.

I'm wondering if we could just start, if you could give us, given all of the touch points that you have had from Order Reform and multiple component prices even being introduced into the Federal Order system all the way through today, if you can just give us a little bit of the historical perspective about that.

A. The first -- the first regulated milk pricing plan to implement multiple component pricing was the State of California state order, and that took place back in 1962. That -- that was the first one.

After that, from 1962 up until the first hearing was held in 1985, there were several individual proprietary plants, several individual cooperatives who implemented their own voluntary multiple component pricing plans throughout a big area of the United States.

In 1985, in Salt Lake City, the first -- well, let me back up. Actually the first Federal Milk Marketing



Order hearing held on multiple component pricing was back in the early '60s, believe it or not, in Tallahassee, Florida, back when Florida had five Federal Milk Marketing Orders.

But then the next one wasn't held until Salt Lake
City in 1985 in what was then the Great Basin Federal Milk
Marketing Order. Again, that program was implemented -wasn't implemented until 1988. So that was the first
Federal Milk Marketing Order to have multiple component
pricing.

After 1988, before Federal Order Reform, there was a series of hearings held for individual orders and groups of orders supporting multiple component pricing. They included hearing the Mid Atlantic order, the old Ohio Valley order, and it had a couple other orders around it. Michigan, Southern Michigan, I think it had two orders up there. Pacific Northwest -- I call it Pacific Northwest. It was actually I think -- I can't remember the name of the order back then. There was two orders before Federal Order Reform. They put in multiple component pricing. And then in the mid '90s, five Upper Midwest Federal Milk Marketing Orders came together and put in multiple component pricing.

And then as a part of Federal Order Reform, as I mentioned in my testimony, multiple component pricing in Federal Milk Marketing Orders then was extended to other orders. And then when California came in the Federal Order system in 2018, it -- its -- its multiple component



2.

2.1

- Q. And throughout that time what -- what did you observe was happening when -- as multiple component pricing was implemented in those respective orders?
- A. Well, once -- once it started and people saw the value of it and what it did, then it -- it grew. I mean, it was hard to get the first one. I mean, it took a long time to get the first one. But once you get something in place and people see it works, then it grows.
- Q. And eventually it evolved to where we have it today with all the four orders?
 - A. That is correct. Yes.
- Q. Okay. And -- and of the four orders that currently are not multiple component pricing, what is your understanding about whether that -- those markets are at all affected by multiple component pricing?
- A. Well, of the four orders -- I'll just give you a little bit more history -- three of those orders, the Appalachian, the Southeast, and the Florida order, are high Class I utilizations. The other order without multiple component pricing is the Arizona order. It has a much lower Class I utilization. It would be below 50% Class I utilization.

And going back to my involvement on Federal Order Reform, when we were trying to get multiple component pricing into the -- all Federal Milk Marketing Orders, the -- there's one predominant cooperative in that Federal



2.

2.1

2.4

Milk Marketing Order, and they had in place their own voluntary multiple component pricing plan. It is still in place today. And so they requested that -- they wanted to keep their own plan -- so they requested not to be a part of multiple component pricing and continue with skim/butterfat pricing.

On the three orders with high Class I utilization, there was discussion efforts to also extend multiple component pricing to those three orders, but what we were told then, when I -- I was involved in it prior to Federal Order Reform -- by people at the Dairy Division at that time, since those three orders had over the majority of their milk in Class I, if a multiple component pricing plan would not be in accordance with the Agriculture Marketing Agreement Act in 1937, to put multiple component pricing in the order of that high Class I utilization.

- Q. And so, at least, I think, as I understood your testimony, at least with respect to Arizona, you are aware that there is voluntary multiple component pricing that does occur even though it's not in that order?
- A. Yes. In fact, you have -- you have had in the past, and you still have today in the three -- in the Appalachian order -- excuse me -- yeah, in the -- in the Southeast Federal Milk Marketing Order you still have voluntary multiple component pricing plans, not as many today as there have been in the past, but there are -- there are some.

And then, when I was full-time at Southeast Milk,



2.

2.1

we had a balancing plant that was an ultra-filtration plant. And all that product was sold on multiple component pricing. We sold that milk on protein and other

- Q. And based on your experience in working with these multiple component orders, do you -- what do you expect will be the result of -- of the implementation of National Milk's proposal?
- A. Well, it just -- like I -- I testified, if -- since we haven't updated the skim milk component factors, we have got a misalignment between the Class I skim milk value in all orders and the -- and the -- in all orders, versus the Class II, III, and IV skim milk values in multiple component pricing orders. We got out of whack. And so the whole thing of this proposal is just to update those factors to get them more back in -- in line, to be more in accordance with what the purposes of Federal Milk Marketing Orders are.
- Q. And for those Class I markets, do you believe that there is going to be an effect for them as well?
- A. Yeah. Well, if the proposal -- National Milk's proposal increases skim milk component levels, it will increase the price of -- it will increase the Class I skim mover, which increases the Class I price in Federal Milk Marketing Orders. And so if we add more dollars to the Federal Order pools by increasing the skim -- the Class I skim milk value and orders in the -- in the skim/butterfat market orders, if we add more skim dollars there, it will



2.

2.0

2.1

solids.

- Q. Okay. So even for the dairy farmers, they -- you believe that they would benefit even for their Class I sales?
- A. Well, I'm going to say it is more than believe. If you add revenue to the Federal Order pool, you are going to increase returns to dairy farmers.
- Q. And we have heard a line of questioning yesterday, today -- I'm not sure where my days are now -- but about whether there is actually a value to having component prices in Class I milk.

Do you believe that skim milk solids have a value in Class I?

- A. Yes. Yeah. Skim milk solids have a value in Class I or fluid milk.
 - O. And how does that work?
- A. Well, people don't buy milk just for colored water. You know, it's the solids in milk that give its nutritional value. That's the reason people -- people buy -- buy milk. That's the reason why FDA, in some individual states, set minimum solids nonfat standards for -- for milk. It gives it value. I mean, why would you drink milk if it didn't have nutritional value?
 - Q. And --
- A. Oh, and one more thing. Again, the federal government recognizes that because we are required to put



1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

22

23

24

25

26

27

nutritional labeling showing the amount of fat and protein per serving on the side of milk jugs or milk cartons.

- Q. So a consumer going to purchase milk, they would have that information available to them in order to make that educated choice?
 - A. That -- that information is there, yes.
- Q. Okay. And in your experience, who is it that is producing most of the Class I milk?
- A. Well, you know, dairy farmers are producing the milk, but today the majority of -- of fluid milk processing plants are owned by cooperatives.
- Q. And do you have an understanding about whether those cooperatives are supportive of National Milk's proposal?
- A. To the best of my knowledge, cooperatives who own fluid milk processing plants are members of National Milk Producers Federation, and they voted in support of this proposal. And then following me, there will be a representative of the second largest fluid milk processor in this country, testifying in support of this proposal.
- Q. And given all of your breadth of experience in the Southeast, are you at all concerned about the increase -- or about the impact of increasing skim milk solids factors on handlers in Appalachian and Southeast orders and Florida orders?
 - A. No, I'm not.
 - O. And why not?
- 28 A. Yes, it will -- it will increase the price. But,



3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

22

23

24

25

26

again, in those three particular orders, we're deficit milk production. So by increasing the skim milk revenue, that would provide additional money that can be paid to dairy farmers to try to encourage milk production, local milk production, and likewise, it will make it a little more easier as far as procuring supplemental milk, as I explained in my testimony, to bring the milk into those areas, especially in the Appalachian and Southeast orders, where they get a fair amount of their supplemental milk from Federal Milk Marketing Orders using multiple component pricing.

- Q. And in Florida, for example, do you know how much of their milk is Class III and Class IV for skim?
- A. In -- in Florida, it is very, very little. And, again, I'm going to round it off here. Last year in Florida, the Class III and Class IV skim milk was only about 2 and three-quarters percent of total producer milk in that order. And then if you want to break it down even further, most of that is going to be classified as -- as inventory or shrink.
- Q. And are you concerned about skim milk solids levels falling below the national average?
- A. It's been that way since Federal Order Reform in several areas of the country. Again, I put in my testimony the factors that -- that we are under now that were put in in January of 2000 as part of Federal Order Reform used to calculate the Class I mover skim. And, again, in the Florida order, in the Appalachian order, and



2.

2.0

2.1

Q. And we heard a line of questioning earlier that asked about high solids being routed to Class III plants or to cooperatives.

Do you believe that that's going to be the natural consequence of National Milk's proposal?

A. That has occurred in -- in the past many years ago, and I'll have to admit, I was a part of -- part of some of that.

But today, marketing conditions have changed a lot, and there is very, very little of that done today, very, very little. And the reason why, we have got less fluid milk plants in areas where there's a lot of manufactured milk, so there's less opportunities to do that. All right? And you have got -- you know, again, you have higher component levels up there, and there's not as much variation as it was at one time among farm milk to do that. And in the Southeast, if we wanted to do that, there is just no manufacturing plants left to do it.

So I have knowledge of some of it being done, but today it's very minimal.

- Q. And customers can request it if they want it?
- A. When I was full-time in Southeast Milk, we had a customer to request it. I said, yeah, we'll do it, but it's going to cost you because we're going to have to move that milk some distance, and that was the end of it.



2.

2.1

1	Q. Okay.
2	MS. HANCOCK: Thank you for your time. Appreciate
3	it.
4	THE WITNESS: Yes, ma'am.
5	MS. HANCOCK: Your Honor, I turn the witness, and
6	then we'll make the admission of the exhibit at the end.
7	THE COURT: Yes. It's nearly 3 o'clock. I think
8	we should take an afternoon break. It's 2:55. Let's come
9	back at 3:05, I guess. See everybody then for cross of
10	this witness.
11	(Whereupon, a break was taken.)
12	THE COURT: Back on the record at 3:07 p.m. Okay.
13	MR. ROSENBAUM: Steven Rosenbaum for the
14	International Dairy Foods Association.
15	CROSS-EXAMINATION
16	BY MR. ROSENBAUM:
17	Q. Good afternoon, Mr. Covington.
18	A. Good afternoon, sir.
19	Q. Now, it is the case that 90% of the milk pooled in
20	the Federal Order system today is pooled in
21	(Court Reporter clarification.)
22	THE COURT: Off the record.
23	(Technical issue.)
24	THE COURT: Back on the record.
25	BY MR. ROSENBAUM:
26	Q. Okay. Start the question again.
27	90% of milk pooled in the Federal Order system
28	today is pooled on multiple component pricing orders; is



1 that correct? 2. Α. No, sir. It's not true? 3 Ο. The number I have is 89%. 4 Α. I appreciate the correction. 5 Ο. 6 89% of the milk pooled on the Federal Order system 7 today is pooled in multiple component --8 Of producer milk. Α. 9 Yeah, you just have to let me finish the question 0. 10 so the reporter --11 THE COURT: One at a time. 12 BY MR. ROSENBAUM: 13 -- can -- can get it down. So try one more time. 14 89% of the milk pooled on the Federal Order system 15 today is pooled in multiple component price orders, 16 correct? 17 89% of the producer milk --18 Okay. 0. 19 -- of all producer milk in Federal Milk Marketing Orders, is pooled or associated with Federal Orders who 2.0 2.1 have multiple component pricing. 22 Okay. And Proposal 1, which is your proposal, 23 National Milk's proposal, would have no impact on the price paid to farmers with respect to milk used to make 24 25 Class II, III, or IV products in those MCP orders; is that 26 correct? 27 In the multiple component pricing orders, the 28 National Milk Producer proposal would not impact the price



2.

2.0

2.1

- of Class II, III, or IV milk in the orders of multiple component pricing.
 - Q. And -- and that's because they are being priced -- strike that.

That's because the milk that they are providing is being paid for based upon component levels, by definition, if they are an MCP order, correct?

- A. Yes, sir. And in multiple component pricing orders, the Class II milk or Class II skim accounted to the order based upon the nonfat solids content, Class IV nonfat solids content, and Class III on the protein and other solids.
- Q. Okay. And so what they are being paid is based upon the actual component levels as you have just described in their milk, correct?
- A. Producers and -- who -- who are regulated under Federal Milk Marketing Orders and milk component pricing orders, they are paying for their milk based upon the volume -- the pounds of protein, other solids on the skim portion, again, and the -- and the butterfat, plus producer price differential, plus in some orders they have somatic cell count adjustment for that.
- Q. Okay. And Proposal 1 doesn't do anything with respect to the price being paid for the fat content in any class, correct?
- A. The Proposal 1 only addresses the components in the skim.
 - Q. All right. And the reason why under multiple



- A. If I understood you correctly, why the price of Class III milk goes up?
 - O. Yes.

2.

2.1

- A. Okay. The Class III price is determined based upon the price of cheese, the price of dry whey, and the price of other solids. Again, it uses the end product pricing formula, converts those over to the yield factors and so forth. So as the price of cheese, both block and barrel, the price of dry whey, the price of other solids, as those prices go up, then the Class III price is going to increase as well.
- Q. In terms of the payment made to farmers in a multiple component pricing order, why does it make sense to pay them more based upon the particular component levels if their milk is being used for Class III products?
- A. Because if the component levels go up in manufacturing products, you have a greater yield per given amount of milk.
- Q. You're paying more -- so from a handler's perspective, you are paying more for the milk, but you can make more cheese out of it?
- A. If it's -- if it's -- if that milk is used for cheese, yes. The more components in milk, on average, on -- generally speaking, the higher yield that you will get.





Α.

27

28

skim milk values in the skim/butterfat orders for

That is correct. This proposal would increase the

1 Class II, III, and IV skim.

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

- Q. Okay. And your proposal would increase the Class I price in all orders, correct?
- A. It'd increase the Class I skim price in all orders, yes.
- Q. Okay. So I have shown you a -- I have had -- strike that.

I have provided you a copy of Exhibit 65, which is an article that appears you authored back in 2015.

Do you remember this article?

- A. Well, it's got my picture on it, so that's a pretty good sign. If -- if you would help me -- I'm trying to look where this was printed at.
- Q. It is at the very last page at the bottom, I copied the website which it came from. It is from Ag Proud.

Does this help orient where this comes from?

- A. No, sir. Now, I'm sure I wrote this article, but I'd have to go back and search my records to tell you actually who I wrote it for.
 - Q. Okay.
- A. I just can't remember, because I'm going to be perfectly honest with you -- okay, go back -- go back -- look up there on the last page. You see where it ends "PD"? On the last paragraph --
 - Q. Yes.
 - A. -- in bold?

I'm assuming this was written for Progressive



Dairymen.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

- Q. Okay.
- A. That's their initials. And sometimes what happens, other people will pick them up or they might -- Progressive Dairymen might allow them to do it.
 - Q. Okay.
- A. So I'm going to make the assumption that PD, that was done at Progressive Dairymen.
- Q. Okay. So let me -- since you are on page 5, let me call your attention, and I'm just going to read it into the record, a couple paragraphs. This is an article from 2015, just to be clear, so the numbers are not likely to be exactly the same today.
- But I'll quote: "The economic impact of higher component levels."

Do you see that, sir?

- A. Yes, sir, I do.
- Q. Okay. "The economic impact of higher component levels, both at the farm and the plant, is significant. Using 2014 component prices, the 2014 Class III price (average components) is \$0.63 per hundredweight higher compared to using 2000 average milk component levels. A 50,000-pound tanker of milk when manufactured into cheddar cheese yields 144 more pounds of cheese at 2014 milk component levels compared to 2000."

Next paragraph. "A cheese plant desiring to manufacture 20 million pounds of cheese per month can receive 111 fewer tankers of milk, at 2014 milk component



levels compared to 2000, and still produce 20 million pounds of cheese. This is a saving in money, more efficient, and improves sustainability," end quote.

Did I read that correctly?

A. Yes, sir.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

26

27

- Q. Okay. And so is it fair to say that in the first paragraph, you are describing that higher component levels, given component pricing, has increased the amount that farmers receive for Class going -- for milk going to Class III compared to what it would have been 14 years earlier?
- A. Based on those component levels. They have higher -- higher component levels in 2014 compared to 2000. And, again, in that one you read there, I was using a cheese plant as an example.
 - Q. Yes. And -- and you actually calculate the result is you could make 144 more pounds of cheese?
 - A. That's correct.
 - Q. Okay. And -- and then in the next paragraph you say that as a result of that, if a plant were producing -- or producing 20 million pounds of cheese a month, they could do so with 111 fewer tankers of milk, correct?
 - A. That's what I wrote there, yes, sir.
 - Q. Okay. So now I want to switch to Class I --
- 25 A. Uh-huh.
 - Q. -- and ask am I correct that the higher component levels in milk would not reduce at all the number of tankers of milk that you needed to make fluid milk?



- A. That -- that is correct.
- Q. Okay.

2.

2.0

2.1

- A. Can I -- I need to clarify that though. That is correct unless that plant happened to be fortifying or something to that fluid milk, it would have an impact. But if it was not fortifying, yes, you are correct, you don't get any more gallons of milk out of -- used for fluid regardless of those component levels.
- Q. And -- and so at least when you are looking at how much product you can produce, the increase in component levels has been of observable value to Class III and Class IV handlers, but not to Class I handlers; isn't that true?
- A. Yeah. And that's the reason why under Federal Milk Marketing Orders, Class I milk is still -- still priced, the skim portion is still priced on per hundredweight basis, not on components.
- Q. Well, but the whole impetus of your Proposal 1 is that there's been an increase in solids levels, isn't it?
 - A. Not -- not -- not correctly.
- What our proposal is -- if we go back to Federal Order Reform, Federal Order Reform, we have -- Federal Order Reform uses end product pricing, and if the Class I price is based at that time on the higher of Class III or IV, you got to start somewhere to get that Class III or IV price. Class III or IV skim price.
- So Federal Order Reform, the average components used at that time was what I had in my testimony. They



were approximate. You can go back and look at -- you had components were published with the old Minnesota/Wisconsin price at that time and what was a part of Federal Order Reform. So you had to start somewhere.

And so those component levels were used to convert that price over to Advanced Class III and IV skims in order to calculate the Class I skim milk value.

O. Is --

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

22

23

2.4

25

26

27

28

- A. What our proposal -- I'd like to finish if I could, please.
 - Q. I thought you were done. Please do.
 - A. No, I'm not finished yet.
 - O. Go ahead, please.
- A. What our proposal does is just update these factors. When they were established in 2000, they were established to have relationship between them and what the Class III and IV values were.

As my testimony shows, where Class III and IV has gone up, as you very well explained there through your questions, their values have gone up, so that difference between Class I and III and IV is narrower compared to what it was in 2000.

All our proposal does is update 'em to what they are currently and maintain that same alignment.

Q. But isn't it a fact that that narrowing has occurred because solid levels that are of value to Class III and IV and II have increased, and therefore, the price paid, at least in the multiple component pricing



- orders, has gone up, but those solids are not of value in Class I; and so, yes, there's been narrowing, that's -that reflects the value of -- the relative value of the milk. Isn't that the case?
 - A. I -- my testimony -- you -- you're supporting my testimony where you say I'm --
 - O. I think --

6

7

8

9

10

11

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

22

23

24

- A. -- narrowing it. And what we -- what our proposal does, is to update it and get back where it was to have a proper alignment so we can encourage milk to go to Class I.
- Q. Well, speaking in terms of milk going to Class I,
 Class I utilization has plummeted in this country over the
 last 30 years, hasn't it?
 - A. Yeah, Class I utilization has gone down.
 - Q. Class I utilization nationwide is -- in the Federal Order system is 27%, correct?
 - A. I'd have to go back. You're -- I'm not -- I thought it was somewhere about 28 and a half, but I need to go back. I'm going from memory.
 - Q. In that range, in any event, correct?
 - A. Class I utilization is low, yes.
 - Q. It is the lowest ever, isn't it?
 - A. The lowest since we have been keeping statistics.
 - Q. How long have we been keeping statistics?
- A. You can go back and you can go to USDA database, and you will find -- the oldest thing I have got is a 1932 yearbook of agriculture, and there are statistics in that.



And I think that was about the first good set of statistics we had.

- Q. And since 1932 has -- strike that.
- Is the current Class I utilization the lowest it's been since 1932?
- A. The -- yes, sir, it is -- it is the lowest based upon the data we got, yes.
- Q. Now, USDA yesterday put in an Exhibit 39, which said that -- let me -- and let me just -- I don't want to paraphrase here. I'll read the footnote -- the note.
- 11 Quote: "No order received any call for or had any
- 12 | issuance of milk to be shipped to Class I plants in their
- order." And this is a document that covers the period, I
- 14 | believe, from 2010 to the present.
 - Is that -- do you have any information inconsistent with that statement?
- A. I -- I -- I don't know what you are referring to.

 In -- when I was running Southeast Milk, it was -- we

 didn't -- if we needed milk, we didn't call the Federal

 Milk Market Administrator, it was our responsibility to do
 - Q. I mean, the Federal Order administrator does have the power to order manufacturing plants to give up milk if that's necessary to meet Class I needs, correct? Is that your understanding?
 - A. Yeah. And it probably -- I think it varies some from order to order. I'm looking at this sheet right here that you have given me here, and it shows the shipping



1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

15

16

2.1

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

that.

- standards. And, again, I don't see it identified with any particular order, but it looks like since shipping standards are different from order to order, this only deals with one Federal Milk Marketing Order.
 - THE COURT: Do we have an identification? You handed the witness a previous exhibit.
 - MR. ROSENBAUM: I'm sorry --
 - THE COURT: I'm not sure. You may have. Which exhibit is that?
- MR. ROSENBAUM: If I failed to, that was my oversight.
- 12 THE COURT: That may have been mine.
- MR. ROSENBAUM: It's Exhibit 39, your Honor.
- 14 THE COURT: Yes. Okay. The witness was looking 15 at Exhibit 39 on the last testimony.
- MR. ROSENBAUM: We'll rely upon USDA's testimony about what that note means.
- 18 BY MR. ROSENBAUM:

6

7

8

9

19

2.0

2.1

22

23

2.4

25

26

27

- Q. But let me just ask it this way. You don't -- you are not aware of any calls that USDA -- that USDA has point out or any Market Administrator has put out requiring a manufacturer to give up milk so that Class I needs could be met?
- A. Again, I'm not aware of it. And, again, when I was managing Southeast Milk full-time, we were -- we weren't expected to call the Market Administrator when we needed milk, we just had to go out and look for it.
 - O. Okay. So without the intervention of the federal



government, milk was made available to meet all Class I needs; is that a fair statement?

A. Not all the time.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

- Q. I mean, isn't the -- okay. Isn't the country as a whole awash in milk? From a fluid needs perspective?
- A. No, sir. No, sir, I wouldn't -- I would not agree with that statement because you have got areas, especially the area that I -- I have worked -- worked in here in the past years. We had to continually go further and further out to get milk to serve the fluid milk markets.
- Q. And aren't there pending proposals to address that through transportation credits of some kind?
- A. There -- there was a hearing held back in February, yes, to look at that.
 - Q. And you were awaiting the decision, correct?
- A. The recommended decision has -- has come out and -- but there still has not been a final decision or referendum.
- Q. All right. Now, when the MCP orders -- and I appreciate the history you gave. When the MCP orders went -- that went into effect in the 1990s, and then they were expanded in 2000 -- you know the timeframe I'm discussing?

Let me rephrase that. You testified that there a few select orders that actually had MCP provisions, even before the 1990s, but I think you testified that several of them, maybe you said five of them, adopted them in the mid 1990s, and then that was expanded in 2000 as part of



order reform. Is that right?

A. No, sir.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

- Q. That's not right?
- A. That's not what I testified to.
- Q. Okay. Let me -- let me just ask it a little differently then.

Several orders adopted multiple component pricing in the mid 1990s; is that right?

- A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. And then in 2000, there was order consolidation, correct?
- 12 A. Yes.
 - Q. And at that point most of the orders adopted multiple component pricing, but not all?
 - A. Seven of them out of the 11 did.
 - Q. Okay. That's fine. That's what I was getting at.

Now -- and when -- when -- when that was done, the system was one in which the price paid by a handler for Class II, III, or IV milk in those seven orders would go up automatically as the -- as the component levels went up, correct?

- A. No, sir.
- Q. Well, I mean, let me -- let me be a little bit more specific. Perhaps that would help.

With respect to those seven orders and with respect to the specific components identified in the orders, if those component levels in producer milk went up, that producer would get paid more for their milk,



correct?

2.

2.1

- A. Not necessarily.
- Q. What's -- what's wrong with that statement?
- A. You just mentioned component levels. It also depends upon the price of protein and other solids. The component levels could go up, but if the price of protein and other solids went down, the total payment to that producer could be less if his components went up. So you got to have the -- what the price does as well.
- Q. Okay. So -- and the price, in this context, is based upon the price of the finished product, be it cheese or nonfat dry milk or whatever -- whatever particular product is at issue, correct?
- A. For -- for Class III, it's determined by the price of cheddar cheese and barrel cheese and dry whey and butter, and then for Class IV, it is butter and nonfat dry milk powder.
- Q. Okay. So assuming the price stayed the same, the amount paid stayed the same -- strike that. Start that again.
- Assuming the price stayed the same, the amount paid to the farmer went up if that farmer's component levels went up?
- A. If the component prices remain the same, that is correct.
 - Q. Okay. But that -- Class I was not tied to that, correct? The Class I price -- I price was simply not tied to that?



- A. The Class I milk price in all Federal Milk Marketing Orders, the skim is paid on a per hundredweight basis, and the fat is paid -- butterfat's paid on a per pound basis.
- Q. Okay. And -- and as a result, there was no effort to put in place a mechanism by which Class I prices would automatically go up if milk component levels went up, correct?
- A. The -- the Class I price in Federal Milk Marketing Orders today is -- is an average of the Class III and IV. If the Class III and IV prices go up, which is determined -- Class III and IV price is determined by the various dairy products that I just mentioned, then the Class I price is going to increase.
- Q. Yes. But the Class III and IV prices in terms of the pricing, they are not affected by changes in component levels, correct, in the formula?
- A. In -- in the -- in the Federal Milk Marketing Orders, and this is what this whole proposal is about, those skim component standards remain the same to calculate the Class III and IV price -- skim prices that are used to calculate the Class I price.
- Q. So -- so it is fair to say that as of 2000, a mechanism was put in place by which, at least for the 90% of the milk in the MCP orders, as components increased, the -- that would be reflected in the payment obligations with respect to Class II, III, and IV, but not with Class I; is that fair?



2.

2.0

2.1

- A. Yeah. Class I in all -- Class I skim portion of all Federal Milk Marketing Order is paid for on a per hundredweight basis, but the butterfat is paid for on a component basis.
- Q. Right. But we're not -- nobody's at this hearing, I believe, talking about changing the butterfat component price, correct?
- A. No, sir. I'm just trying to answer the question to the best of my ability.
- Q. So correcting for the -- for the butterfat part of it, which I appreciate, where everybody pays more if the level goes up, Class II, III, and IV, at least with respect to MCP orders, is set up so that if the component levels go up, the amount owed goes up, but that's just not how Class I is priced?
 - A. No, sir. Class I in Federal Milk Marketing
 Orders, the skim portion is paid on a per hundredweight
 basis and, again, the butterfat is on a per pound
 butterfat basis, right.
 - Q. But the skim milk price component, on Class I there are two pieces of the payment calculation, right? One is for the fat level and one is for skim milk, correct?
 - A. No, sir.
 - O. What is it then?
- A. There's three parts to it. You have the skim per hundredweight, the butterfat per pound, and the Class I differential.



2.

2.0

2.1

- Q. You are -- you are --
- A. Excuse me. And there are also four parts. Your assessments, if you are in some orders, for example, the transportation credits, you have to pay assessment on that.
- Q. Okay. So leaving aside the Class I differentials for a moment -- and of course, you are quite right, that's part of the system -- but in terms of changes in component levels, the system is set up, at least as far as the MCP orders are concerned, that increases in component levels will result in an increase in payment obligations for Class II, III, and IV, but not Class I?
- A. Yes, sir.

2.

2.1

- Q. Okay. And I think we have already established that one obvious reason that makes sense is because, increased component levels allow you to produce more Class II, III, and IV products, but do not allow you to produce more Class I product. Isn't that a fair way to characterize the system?
- A. When it comes to Class II, III, and IV, your manufactured products, as the component levels increase, you will get more pounds of product per given unit. On Class I milk, on the Class I skim portion, if you have more pounds of Class I or Class I skim, you don't get any more gallons of milk to sell under the -- under the conventional system.
- Q. Okay. Now -- okay. Did USDA say something in writing that MCP was inappropriate for the three orders in



the Southeast part of the United States?

A. I was told it verbally.

2.

2.0

2.1

- Q. How long ago was that?
- A. 19- -- well, I -- I'd have to go back. I -- it was going to be before 2000. So somewhere between -- I can't remember -- you can look back and see when the -- I call it recommended decision, when the first information was put out about the orders, somewhere during that period of time.
- Q. Okay. The -- it's been a while. But don't the -- I mean, wasn't it suggested in a recommended decision that farmers had to be given the option of whether to go to MCP or not, and certain orders have just resisted that?
- A. Again, as I -- I said earlier, you had one order where the cooperative -- the dairy farmers cooperative did not -- didn't -- just asked not to have it. And so far, again, in the Appalachian, Florida, and Southeast Federal Milk Marketing Orders, again, producers have not asked for -- for a hearing. And that's -- that's -- that's the -- that's up to them.
- Q. Well, okay. But your -- what your proposal does with respect to the price for milk going into Class II, III, and IV in the four fat/skim orders, is effectively to pay them as if their milk component levels are as high as the milk component levels in the MCP orders; isn't that right?
 - A. No, sir.
 - Q. Well, aren't you proposing to change the Class III



and IV price so that they will reflect an assumption that protein levels are at 3.39, other solids are at 6.02, such that combined, solids nonfat are at 9.41?

A. That's what our proposal does. But, again, it is nothing different than what was done in Federal Order Reform in 2000. All we're doing is updating.

In Federal Order 2000, if you looked at component levels in producer skim milk in -- in Florida,

Appalachian, the Southeast orders, again, there's not

Federal Order data back then, but I'm very familiar with what component levels were down there. You can look at DHI records and so forth to give you an idea. And it's just common knowledge that those component levels in 2000 were lower than the standards put in in 2000.

So using what you are saying there, they were paying above average back in Federal Order -- back in Federal Order Reform. All we're doing -- and they have increased component levels down there. So all we're doing is updating what was already in place.

- Q. But -- but the way you are updating -- let me take it from this perspective. If you are a dairy farmer in a multiple component pricing order, your ability to be paid based upon a protein level of 3.39 is dependent upon your milk actually having 3.39 protein in the milk, correct?
- A. In multiple component pricing orders, under the order, if you are regulated under the order, producers are paid based upon their pounds of protein and other solids in butterfat.



2.

2.1

- Q. And that will continue if Proposal 1 is accepted, correct?
 - A. Yeah. Proposal 1 has nothing to do with that.
- Q. Right. Okay. So -- and if you are a farmer in an MCP order and your protein is actually 3.2%, you will be paid less money than if it were 3.39%, correct?
 - A. Assuming the prices are equal.
- Q. But if Proposal 1 goes into effect and you are a farmer in any of the four fat/skim orders, you will be paid for your milk under the assumption that your milk has 3.39% protein regardless of what level it actually has; is that correct?
- A. No, sir. In the skim/butterfat orders, producers are paid a blend price, price per pound of butterfat and the skim milk. It takes all classes blended together, and the producers are paid on a per hundredweight basis.
- Now, yes, our proposal would increase the Class I, II, III, and IV skim milk values in those orders. So it would add more skim dollars to the pool, which would bring -- bring the total uniform blend price higher.
- Q. So with respect to milk going to Class III use in any of those four orders, farmers will be paid under a formula that assumes the protein level is 3.39 regardless of what the actual protein level is; is that correct?
- A. And, again -- yes, it assumes that, and that's no different than what was done back in 2000. It assumed that the factors were -- the current factors we have now, even though their actual milk was below. So we're not



2.

2.0

2.1

changing any of the methodology. We're just updating what the numbers are to try to make it more accurate.

- Q. Wasn't USDA in 2000 openly trying to come up with formulas that reflected essentially the same prices that existed under the system they were replacing?
- A. I'd have to go back and read the decision again to give you an -- an answer on that. I -- I have got a copy -- I've still got copies of it. I need to go back and read it.
 - O. What is DHI?

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

22

23

2.4

25

26

27

28

- A. Dairy Herd Improvement Association.
- Q. Okay. And what is it -- what do they do?
 - A. Dairy Herd Improvement Association is one of the oldest dairy farmer organizations in the country. They provide dairy records services to dairy farmers.

What it involves -- again, it's changed over time -- but it involves them going out, when I was involved in it, once a month for two milkings. You record each animal's production. You pull a milk sample, test it for butterfat. We did a little bit on somatic cell count. Get the information on when the calves were born and when the cows was bred and so forth.

Pull all that data together, provide management information for the dairy farmers. It's very critical. About 50% of the dairy farmers in this country are enrolled in it. Plus, more importantly, it was the basis that -- that we used to measure genetic transmitting ability of sires, one reason we made so much genetic



progress. You have got to know what the cow performance at that time in order to predict the future. Now, genomics is changing that.

- Q. And is part of the performance that was -- that's measured by DHI or DHIA, the component levels in the milk produced by the cows?
- A. Yes. Again, there are several different type -DHIA -- and it's been years since I -- I was -- you know,
 I worked with them. I'm still trying to stay up with it.
 You got different programs. But, yeah, it pulls a milk
 sample, and you can measure it for -- measure it for
 butterfat, measure it for protein. They do some other
 tests now to measure the available -- the -- what they
 call mons in there to help on fitting programs and so
 forth, and somatic cell count.
 - Q. Okay.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

2.0

- MR. ROSENBAUM: That's all I have.
- 18 THE COURT: All right. Mr. English, your witness.
- 19 MR. ENGLISH: Thank you, your Honor.
 - CROSS-EXAMINATION
- 21 BY MR. ENGLISH:
- Q. Mr. Covington, my name is Chip English. I'm an attorney for the Milk Innovation Group.
- 24 Good afternoon, sir?
- 25 A. Good afternoon, sir.
- Q. So I believe in response to questions from
 National Milk's attorney Ms. Hancock, you said consumers
 buy fluid milk for its nutritional value.



Do I have that statement correct?

A. Yes, sir.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

22

23

24

25

26

27

- Q. Okay. And yet, fluid milk consumption has been constantly decreasing when components in nutritional value has been increasing, correct?
- A. Fluid milk consumption continues to go down, yes, sir.
- Q. Okay. So by that metric, as an economist, you might conclude that the components and nutritional value haven't been helping, correct?
 - A. No, sir, I would not agree with that statement.
- Q. Do you have any study to share with this record that consumers buy fluid milk for its nutritional value?
 - A. No, sir, I have no -- no testimony in regards to that to put into the record.
 - Q. So if I asked about research, you'd have the same answer?
 - A. I'm sorry?
 - Q. If I asked the same question about any research as opposed to a study, you would answer the same thing, that you don't have the information on that.
 - A. I -- I don't have any -- any with me here for this hearing.
 - Q. So you testified that during Federal Order Reform, USDA orally told you that Federal Orders with high Class I utilization, like the three in the Southeast, should not go to multiple component pricing. Doesn't that imply that the real value for multiple component prices is in



Class II, III, and IV?

A. Yes, sir.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

- Q. If Class I fluid milk gets value for protein, other solids, and solids nonfat, why price Class I milk on skim and butterfat?
- A. The reason we price Class I on skim and butterfat -- again, you can separate out the butterfat, any extra butterfat and sell that, but on skim, we can't do anything with skim except go up, increase the level with skim. And, again, skim milk without going up, you don't get any more -- you don't have any more volume to sell.
 - Q. Thank you, sir. I agree.

So I would like you to turn for a moment to pages 6 and 7 of your testimony where you do an analysis in Tables 3 and 4. And I -- in both of them -- let me just start with Table 3 -- you do an analysis comparing to the 2022 average Class I mover skim milk price in all orders.

Can you tell me what fluid milk processor pays for Class I milk at the Class I mover skim milk price?

- A. Well, the processor is going to pay for Class I milk at the Class I mover skim value, the Class I butterfat, plus Class I differential, plus any Federal Order assessments that might take place.
- Q. So -- but Federal Order assessments don't go to dairy farmers, right? They go to USDA, correct?
 - A. No.



- Q. Well, what kind of assessments do you mean then, are you talking about?
 - A. There are transportation credits.
 - Q. All right. I'm sorry. I have not been to the Southeast recently. So -- okay.

So with that -- by assessments, you didn't mean assessments, handler assessments, you are referring to transportation credits and things like that in the Southeast?

- A. Yes, sir. It's a part of the Federal Order minimum price.
 - Q. Okay. So -- but nonetheless, you have done this comparison to a price that no one actually pays, correct?
 - A. And, again -- yes, sir, because we're only dealing with skim milk here. I could have added the total thing on there, and we're still going to be similar -- the same difference.
 - Q. Well, but it would be a huge gap between Class III and the final Class I price with all those assessments in the Southeast, correct?
- A. I hope it is.
 - Q. And it's already a large gap, isn't it?
- 23 A. Yeah.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

22

24

27

- Q. But you didn't show that gap, did you?
- A. No, sir, I did not show that gap because that's not a part of this proposal.
 - Q. You don't want to talk about the whole price the Class I handler wants to -- has to pay -- not wants to, I



guarantee it -- has to pay. You did this comparison, and I think somebody looking at this would say, oh, look how close that Class I mover price is to the average skim -- Class III skim price.

And the answer, of course, is that's not the price anybody pays, is it?

- A. And given my testimony, I did not say that's the price that's paid.
- Q. So you didn't mean to imply that -- I mean, you didn't mean to show, for instance, on Table 4, that the Class IV skim milk price would be 14.13 and the price that handlers actually had to pay is 13.03, or a dollar-ten less, right? You didn't mean to show it that way, did you?
- A. I prepared this table, and I'll stand behind this table because I wanted to show the differences as to what happened between the Class I skim values and the Class III and IV skim values over a period of time because components have changed.
 - Q. Thank you, sir.

On page 4 of your testimony, among other reasons, you say that the components are misaligned. You actually say, "It makes it more difficult to ensure consumers have an adequate supply of milk for fluid use."

Whether it's 27 or 28.5%, Class I utilization in this country, can you seriously say that it is difficult to ensure consumers have an adequate supply of milk for fluid uses?



2.

2.0

2.1

- A. It is becoming more difficult, yes, sir.
- Q. You mean, you are talking about your pocket of the country, correct?
 - A. I don't consider where I live a little pocket.
 - Q. Okay. A large pocket of the country.
 - A. It is a pretty sizeable geographical area.
 - Q. Okay. But in terms of the Federal Order, it's -- okay. Let's be very careful, because I think there's a little misnomer here.

You testified that 89% of the producer milk is in MCP orders, correct?

- A. That's correct, yes, sir.
- Q. Now, let's be careful. When you use that definition with producer milk, if there's a producer located in Ohio but whose milk is shipped to an Order 5 plant, is that part of the 11% or part of the 89% of producer milk?
- A. The producer -- where he is regulated at -- so I just went through each Federal Order. They publish the volume of producer milk. Again, it doesn't -- you know, you got a summary there where it shows where it comes from, but I just used the producer milk in each particular order.
- Q. Okay. So -- so a producer, as you said -- well, the producers are not actually regulated, but where their milk is pooled I think is what you meant to say.
- If a producer is located in -- physically in the Order 33 marketing area, in Ohio, but his milk is



1

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

26

27

routinely received and he is pooled on Order 7, that is producer milk on Order 7, correct?

- A. That is correct.
- Q. Okay. So if we think about the amount of milk that's actually produced in your large pocket of the country, it's much less than that 11%, isn't it, of producer milk? There's a significant portion of that producer milk pooled on Orders 5, 6, and 7 that is physically produced in MCP orders, correct?
- 10 | A. No, sir.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

22

23

24

25

26

27

- Q. No? If a producer -- how about my example of an Ohio producer who routinely ships to Order 5.
- A. Yeah. In that -- that example of Ohio producer, the order he's geographically located in, but if he ships to a plant in the Appalachian order, yes, he's going to be considered a producer in the Appalachian order.
- Q. And so my comment is, your statement that 11% of the producer milk is associated with your large pocket, does not reflect where the milk is actually produced, correct?
- A. It does not reflect where those dairy farmers are located at. There's data out there. If we wanted to dig into it, we could give you a specific answer to that question.
- Q. So USDA introduced a number of exhibits today, and if you need me to show them to you, I will, but I'm just going to make a few comments and representations. But if you -- your lawyer wants you to see them, that's fine.



- NATIONAL FEDERAL MILK MARKETING ORDER PRICING FORMULA HEARING 1 I'm looking at Exhibits 53 and 58. 2. (Court Reporter clarification.) MS. HANCOCK: I think if you are going to ask 3 about an exhibit, you should have a copy in front of the 4 witness. 5 6 MR. ENGLISH: That is -- that is absolutely right. 7 We'll get them from USDA. Your Honor, may I approach the witness? 8 9 THE COURT: Yes, you may approach the witness. 10 BY MR. ENGLISH: So Exhibit 53 is Producer Milk by County, December 11 Ο. 12 2000, and Exhibit 58 is Producer Milk by County, 13 December 2022. And I'd like you to start, just because it will be easier if we go 5, 6, 7, and we're going to look 14 15 at Order 5. 16 So the total producer -- the total pounds by state 17 of producer milk for Order 5 in December of 2000 was 18 558,221,939, correct? 19 Whatever that number is there, yes, sir. Α. THE COURT: Is that page 3 of --2.0 2.1 MR. ENGLISH: Yes, sir. That's page 3 of 11. 22 THE COURT: Of Exhibit 53.
- 23 BY MR. ENGLISH:
- 24 And if you turn to 2022, the total -- so this is Ο. now page 3 of 12 -- is 469,251,782, correct?
 - Α. Yes, sir.
 - That is about a 16% drop of producer milk? Ο.
 - Again, I -- it'll take me a minute here to do that Α.



26

27

mathematics in my head. But it is a -- the drop there between 558 million down to 469 million, whatever that difference is divided, whatever percent -- it is what it is.

- Q. Yeah. And without belaboring the point, if we -if we looked at Order 6 and Order 7 you would -- you would
 see some fairly significant drops there as well, correct?
 - A. I'm just going to look here.
 - Q. You have an absolute right to do so.
- A. And -- I'm looking at Order 6 here. You have a drop from 253 million down to 217 million. You had -- Order 7, as I would expect, is the largest drop from 619 million down to 319 million.
- Q. And that -- a lot of that producer milk -- well, okay. Maybe let me not specify "a lot." But there is a quantity of that producer milk that is coming in each of those orders from outside the individual order, correct?
- A. Espec- -- mainly -- especially in Federal Orders -- the Appalachian order and the Southeast order, they have a -- again, we could go through and do the calculations based upon the exhibits you gave me and do -- come up with what that number is.
- Q. To the extent that that milk is coming from an MCP order, part of your testimony is that you have to compete with the MPC orders to get that milk, correct?
- A. Cooperatives, especially in those two orders, bringing in supplemental milk from orders for multiple component pricing do have to compete. Again, they have



2.

2.0

2.1

- Q. And so wouldn't it make sense -- you know, whatever you were told before Federal Order Reform, if Class I has value, and if you are needing to bring milk in from outside orders, and some of that milk is having to compete with MCP orders, wouldn't it make sense to have those orders adopt multiple component pricing?
- A. That would be up to the dairy farmers in those orders if they want to request that.
 - Q. Hold that thought for a second.

But to the extent they haven't, why then should they get the benefit of the components on Class I value?

- A. Again, this whole proposal, Proposal 1, we're just updating what was already put in place in Federal Order Reform to try to keep the same price alignment as I had in my testimony.
- Q. I will stipulate that that is what you have said repeatedly, thank you.

Now, my question is, why haven't the farmers gone to MCP if it has value for Class I?

- A. The dairy farmers in those orders have not requested it. And I can give you more answer to that if you will let me finish.
 - Q. You can finish. I will never cut you off.
 - A. I appreciate that.
 - O. If I do, it's a mistake.
 - A. Okay. In those orders, you have a very high



3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

22

23

24

25

26

27

Class I utilization. All right? Class I utilization is much higher in the Florida order -- and I'll just use the Florida order as an example. The Class II, III, and IV in the Florida Federal Milk Marketing Orders, there is very little. There is very little used to produce. As I mentioned earlier in III and IV, most of that is shrink of inventory, so the inventory gets classified back into Class I. The Class II in the Florida Federal Milk Marketing Order, most of Class II is the cream that's been separated, has been sent out.

The -- and, again, you could go back and look for the data that's been requested. A few years back the Market Administrators did some analysis of the impact of multiple component pricing on the three Southeast orders.

And particularly in Florida, since you have very, very little II, III, and IV, as you very well stated in your questions, you have to increase component values to get more money in, II, III, and IV. Since there is very little II, III, and IV there, you are not going to be able to increase the price any. So multiple component prices, for example, in the Florida order is mainly just a redistribution of the dollars. You're not generating any new dollars. And it has some of that same effect in the Appalachian and the Southeast, not -- not -- but not quite to that extent.

And so that is the main reason why dairy farmers -- the majority of dairy farmers or a number of dairy farmers have not requested that in those three



2.

2.0

2.1

1 orders. 2. Ο. I think there's two pieces to that for a second. If -- if there isn't sufficient II, III, and IV --3 4 well, strike that for a second. Part of your testimony, at least as to the MCP 5 6 orders, is that this compression that you talk about when 7 you look at only the mover and not the actual price the Class I handlers have to pay is causing negative PPDs, and 8 9 then that causes depooling, correct? 10 Α. No, sir. 11 Ο. That's not your testimony? 12 Α. No, sir. 13 All right. I'm prepared to move on, in which case 14 I think I want to get the exhibits back for USDA, and I'm going to ask for Exhibit 52. 15 16 THE COURT: Yes, you may approach, of course. 17 Off the record briefly. 18 (Off-the-record.) 19 THE COURT: Back on the record. 2.0 We'll take a ten-minute break. Let's come back at 2.1 4:15 p.m. 22 (Whereupon, a break was taken.) 23 THE COURT: Back on the record. 2.4 Your witness, Mr. English. 25 MR. ENGLISH: Thank you, your Honor. 26 During the break I asked for USDA to provide me 27 with copies of Exhibits 47 and 52 to hand to the witness,



28

and I have also notified Ms. Hancock.

	NATIONAL FEDERAL MILK MARKETING ORDER PRICING FORMULA HEARING
1	Exhibit 42 is Pool Distributing and Supply Plants
2	by Order, December 2000, and Exhibit 52 is Pool
3	Distributing and Supply Plants by Order, December 2022.
4	May I approach, your Honor?
5	THE COURT: Yes.
6	BY MR. ENGLISH:
7	Q. So, of course, Mr. Covington, you are welcome to
8	peruse the entire document. I will focus in these two
9	documents on the list of plants in Orders 5, 6, and 7.
10	And I can tell you that for Exhibit 47, that's on pages 10
11	and 11, and on Exhibit 52, it is just page 10.
12	When you are ready, let me know. I just want to
13	make sure you had a chance to look at it.
14	A. Okay. You said page 10
15	Q. For Exhibit 47
16	A. For 47, I got page 10 in front of me.
17	Q. Yeah, but it is also page 11. But the point is, I
18	want to talk about the list of plants on Exhibit 47 and
19	Exhibit 52, for three Orders 5, 6, and 7. Okay?
20	A. So I have got page 10 and 11 here. And then on
21	Exhibit 52, I have page 10 here.
22	Q. Okay. And just, you know, stating I think the
23	obvious that you know, but, you know, between 2000 and
24	2022, there are a significant fewer plants in the
25	Southeast in 2022 than in 2020, correct?

- A. The number of plants has declined. Excuse me, the number of pool distributing plants has --
 - Q. Pool distributing plants has declined?



27

A. Yes.

2.

2.1

- Q. Okay. And that would then connect up to the exhibits we were just showing and talking about with producer milk, because with fewer plants, you would need less producer milk, correct? At least the number of plants that have declined.
- A. Not necessarily. And the reason I say that, because some of the plants have consolidated, and some of the plants that -- on your 2022 list, would be taking in more milk than some on your 2000 list.
- Q. And I get that. But even so, given the number -given the loss -- the number of plants that have departed,
 which it looks like over 30, at some point you have to
 think that there's less volume being produced in the
 Southeast, correct?
 - A. Yes, that's correct.
- Q. Yes. Okay. So now turning and focusing on Exhibit 52, and going to your testimony during direct about cooperative-owned plants, and obviously to the extent you know, but -- but you're -- you have been active in the Southeast for a long time, I'd like to go down the list on Exhibit 52 and have you identify which plants are owned by cooperatives. Can you do that?
 - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Thank you. So I'm going to start, I think the very first one under Order 5 is Prairie -- number 63, Prairie Farms in Holland, Indiana. Would you agree that's the very first one?



A. Yes, sir.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

- Q. Okay. And unlike some plants we'll see, we know who owns that, correct? Prairie Farms owns that, correct?
 - A. Yes, sir.
 - Q. They are a co-op.

Okay. The next plant, plant 64, New Dairy Kentucky, LLC, in London, Kentucky, that is not a cooperative plant, correct?

- A. Yes, sir. I -- I feel pretty certain -- I'm going to have to think -- because they have changed names a lot through all the bankruptcies and so forth and the change in ownerships. That is one of the Borden group plants.
- Q. And that's my view as well. Thank you, sir.

 So plant 65, Prairie Farms in Somerset, Kentucky,
 again, that's owned by Prairie Farms, correct?
 - A. That's what it said, yes, sir.
- 0. Okay.
 - A. I -- yeah, I'm going to make the assumption if it says Prairie Farms, it is owned by Prairie Farms.
- Q. Okay. So we'll go through this pretty quickly.

 So 66, Winchester in Winchester, Kentucky, whose
- 22 | plant is that? Or is it a co- -- this is a co-op plant.
- 23 | I mean --
- A. No, I'm -- in Winchester, that's the -- and I could miss a couple -- that's a Kroger plant.
- Q. All right. Plant 67, Milkco, is that a co-op plant?
- 28 A. No.



- 1 Ο. Okay. Plant 68, Dairy Fresh in High Point, North 2. Carolina, is that a co-op plant?
 - That is, yes.
- Plant 69, Maola, in High Point, that's a co-op 4 Ο. 5 plant?
- 6 Α. Yes.

9

10

- 7 Ο. I confess, I don't know 70, Homeland Creamery. you know whether that's a co-op? 8
 - It's -- it's a farmer -- direct farmer-owned Α. plant.
- Okay. That's what I have not heard of it. 11 Q. 12 you.
- 13 So maybe we can cut to the chase a little bit.
- 71, Dairy Fresh in Winston-Salem. If it is Dairy Fresh, 14
- 15 is that also a co-op?
- 16 Α. Yes. And in years past, Dairy Fresh has been a 17 common name, but I think all the Dairy Freshes now are 18 cooperative-owned. I can't think of any Dairy Fresh 19 plants -- I cannot think of any Dairy Fresh plants that 2.0

are not cooperative-owned that carry the name Dairy Fresh.

- 2.1 In the past, there were some.
- 22 Okay. As I look down the list, there may not be 23 another one.
- 24 72, Pet Dairy in Spartanburg, South Carolina, is 25 that a co-op plant?
- 26 Α. Yes, it is.
- 27 73, Mayfield Dairy Farms in Athens, Tennessee, is 28 that a co-op plant?



- 1 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. 74, Broadacre Dairies in Powell, Tennessee, I
- 3 | don't know that plant.
- 4 A. That's a grocer-owned plant.
- Q. Okay. 75, Westover in Lynchburg, Virginia, I
- 6 | don't recognize that.
- 7 A. That's a Kroger plant.
- 8 Q. 76, Marva Maid Dairy in Newport News, Virginia,
- 9 | that's -- that's a co-op plant, isn't it?
- 10 A. That is correct.
- 11 Q. 77, Valley Milk in Strasburg, Virginia, is that a
- 12 | co-op plant?
- 13 A. That -- that is a supply plant --
- 14 Q. Okay.
- 15 A. -- by a cooperative.
- 16 Q. Okay. Supply by cooperative. Thank you.
- 17 A. No, it is a supply plant.
- 18 Q. Oh.
- 19 A. But it is owned by a cooperative.
- 20 | Q. Okay.
- 21 A. Because your list says pool distributing and
- 22 | supply plants.
- 23 Q. Yeah. Thank you, sir.
- 24 78, Shamrock is a member of MIG, so it is -- in
- 25 | this case, not a co-op, correct?
- 26 A. Best of my knowledge, it is not a cooperative.
- Q. 79, Homestead Creamery in Wirtz, Virginia, I don't
- 28 | recognize that one. Is that a producer?



- A. That's -- that's a very -- very small plant in -- I think -- it's couple of -- I think it is a farmer and a university professor owns it.
 - Q. Great investment.

Number 80 and 81, Publix Supermarkets, those are not co-op plants, correct?

- A. They are not cooperative plants.
- Q. McArthur Next?

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

23

27

28

- A. That's privately owned.
- Q. 83, Dakin Dairy in Florida?
- 11 A. That's a farmer-owned.
- 12 Q. And 84, 85, T.G. Lee Foods, those are co-op-owned?
- 13 A. They are, yes.
- 14 Q. 86, M&B of Tampa?
- 15 A. Again, that's a farmer slash/plant operator.
- Q. 87, New Dairy. If -- if that's the same New
- 17 Dairy, that's the Borden operation, correct?
- 18 A. That is correct.
- Q. What you and I would call a Borden operation.
 88, Venture Milk in Alabama?
- A. That is just a small specialty plant. I don't know if it's one individual, who owns it, or if it's
- Q. So then we have three in a row, Hiland Dairy, those are Prairie Farms-owned, right? Or are they joint venture with Prairie Farms and DFA?
 - A. I think it is joint venture, but I don't know for sure.



several.

- 1 Q. Regardless, they are co-op, correct?
- 2 A. That is correct.
- Q. 92 Centennial Farms in Atlanta, Georgia?
- 4 A. That's Kroger.
- Q. We have already discussed Publix, which is 93,
- 6 | which is not a co-op, correct?
- 7 A. It is not a cooperative.
- Q. 94, SMI Ultra Filtration Plant, I don't recognize that.
- 10 A. That is Southeast Milk, Incorporated, a dairy
- 11 | cooperative. It's an ultra-filtration plant. It was a
- 12 | supply plant in December of that year.
- Q. You know what, you know what got me, was the fact
- 14 | that it was capital S, lowercase m-i. If I had read it as
- 15 | S-M-I, I would have gotten it, sir. So that's a co-op
- 16 | plant, but it is specialty.
- 17 95, Kleinpeter Farms Dairy in Baton Rouge,
- 18 | Louisiana?
- 19 A. That's Kleinpeter family.
- 20 Q. Yeah. Eastside Jersey Dairy in Hammond,
- 21 Louisiana?
- 22 A. That's a cooperative.
- 23 | O. 97 is New Dairy, which, again, I think we have
- 24 | agreed is Borden and not a cooperative, correct?
- 25 A. The best of my knowledge, yes, that's Borden.
- Q. Okay. 98, Dairy Farmers of America, Inc., is that
- 27 | a supply plant?
- 28 A. That is a supply plant.



- Q. And obviously co-op-owned, correct?
- 2 A. Yes.

3

4

5

6

9

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

25

26

27

28

- Q. Memory Lane Dairy. I don't recognize that.
- A. That is a farmer-owned.
 - Q. We have already discussed Hiland, number 100, which is the joint venture, correct?

And Prairie Farms, we have got 102 Hiland again.

Heritage Farms Dairy, 103?

- A. That's Kroger.
- Q. And 104, Purity Dairies, is that a co-op-owned plant?
- 12 A. That's cooperative.
- 13 Q. Thank you.

So I'm going to try very hard not to repeat all the earlier examination of the earlier witness. I will really try to shorten it. But one of the conversations I had with Dr. Vitaliano was cooperatives have the right to reblend their proceeds and pay their dairy farmers under the Federal Order blend, correct?

- A. That is correct.
- Q. And proprietary operators do not have that opportunity, do they?
- A. If they are regulated by Federal Order, they don't.
 - Q. Okay. And so if the Class I price goes up, that benefit of reblending the cooperatives have for owned Class I plants will increase their advantage over proprietary Class I plants, correct?



- A. I -- I got to think about that just a little bit. That's a complicated question. And I'm going to repeat back and make sure I'm understanding your question, if that's okay.
 - O. Of course it is.

2.

2.1

- A. Okay. So what you are asking, if Proposal 1 -Proposal 1 increases the Class I skim value. If I
 understand your question, you are saying if Proposal 1
 increases the Class I skim value, that means that the
 cooperatives can reblend more? Is that your question?
- Q. They can use their opportunity to reblend that the proprietaries don't have, yes.
- A. I have a -- again, I want to -- I'm going to think about it here just a little bit more as I'm trying to answer. I don't see how -- and I'm going to put -- I'm putting myself as a -- when I was a cooperative manager, that we had fluid milk plants. All right?

I don't see how increasing the Class I price through this proposal, there's other ways also to increase the Class I price, how it made it any easier for our cooperative, Southeast Milk, to reblend. We had to pay a competitive price. We had to answer to our dairy farmer members every month and show them the dollars that came in and what dollars we had to pay. We just couldn't pick a number and say, hey, we want to keep this. We had a lot of checks and balances in place.

So my answer to your question, based upon my experience, running a cooperative with fluid milk plants,



- Q. But it gives you the right to.
- A. Yeah. And our proposal has nothing to do with that. The Agricultural Marketing Agreement Act of 1937 provides cooperatives, which the Act looks it's dairy farmers, to be able to not require to pay the minimum uniform blend price to its members.
- Q. Let me just briefly touch on something we have talked about a little bit. And that is, the requests are not to USDA to have multiple component pricing in the three Southeast -- I'm sorry -- in orders -- in some of the Southeast orders.

Isn't it true that in 2018 there was a submission made on behalf of multiple cooperatives, but on the letterhead of National All-Jersey, requesting a hearing to go to multiple component pricing in Orders 5 and 7?

- A. A request was made. I'd have to look back for the exact year that it was made.
- Q. And then it was -- ultimately it was withdrawn, correct?
 - A. A hearing was not held on it.
- Q. Okay. And there was a hearing in February or March -- I'm not sure, I wasn't there -- but it was late February, early March of this year, on transportation credits, you know, getting -- moving -- you know, the issue of transportation credits, I want to stay away here from substance -- but no one asked for a multiple



1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

22

23

24

25

26

27

component pricing for that, correct?

- A. I -- I never saw any petition submitted asking for it.
 - Q. Do you agree that federal -- I'm sorry, let me -- strike that.

Do you agree that FMMOs are designed to establish minimum pricing?

- A. That is one of the provisions of Federal Milk Marketing Orders, to establish minimal prices that regulated handlers must pay for milk.
- Q. So to the extent Dr. Vitaliano testified that over-order premiums are disappearing or have disappeared, is that not an indication that the minimum price is at or above the market price?
 - A. No, sir.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

- Q. How much of the milk that is sold in the Southeast is cooperative milk, percentage-wise?
- A. Well, I'm going to have to go order -- order by order. And I -- if I had my data -- data, I could give you the exact number. So I'm going to go order by order, because I don't want to provide -- I'm under oath, so I want to provide correct information.

In the Florida order -- boy, the Florida order, roughly, has approximately 200, 225 million pounds of producer milk a month.

Mr. English, I cannot give you a good answer to that because, the reason why, I know ones that are cooperative, but I also know that are some cooperatives



1 marketing nonmember milk. And technically, I don't know 2 how that's classified as nonmember or cooperative.

To get a real good answer on that, the Market

Administrator -- again, I don't know if that information
is confidential -- could give you -- give us those
numbers.

Q. Okay. And if it is confidential, we're not going nere. So I'm going to move on.

In response to a number of questions, you're clearly aware the Class I fluid milk sales are declining?

A. Yes, sir.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

26

27

- Q. Significantly, correct?
- A. You need to define significantly. Last year on a percent basis I know about how much it declined, but it is probably in the eye of the beholder whether that number is significant or not.
- O. So what was that percentage for last year?
- A. Last year it was a little less than 2% from what it was the previous year.
- Q. If it declined 2% a year over 20 years, that would be 20%, correct?
 - A. No, sir. If you go back and do the math, it would be a little different than that.
 - Q. All right. Okay. 2% compounded, if it was 2% compounded, it would be 20%, correct? I understand your point. It would be 18% if it was 2% a year, but nonetheless -- okay, the numbers will be what they are.
- But -- and you agree with me that if Proposals 1



and 2 are adopted -- strike just say Proposal 1 because that's your proposal -- Class I prices are going to go up, correct?

A. Yes, sir.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

26

27

- Q. Given that your testimony is on behalf of National Milk and not just the Southeast, do you have any idea of Class I -- if Class I plants actually get the order average or the national average of components, either one?
 - A. It depends -- you say plants --
 - Q. Class I -- Class I plants.
- 11 A. -- what plants -- what plants are you talking 12 about?
 - Q. I'm talking about Class I plants.
 - A. Class I plants in all Federal Milk Marketing Orders?
 - Q. Yes.
 - A. Just giving a simple average and doing simple math, if you have an average on milk volume, it's going to be half would be above and half is going to be below.
 - Q. Do you have any information as to whether Class I plants are getting the order average?
 - A. We could go back in the -- one of the exhibits that was presented, I could -- by the -- by the Dairy Division, and I can't remember which one it is, you can help me on what number this is, and where it showed by order, by month, where it broke down the Class I, the skim, and Class I protein, so forth, in all orders. We could go back in there and do that calculation of what the



Now, of course we had a long conversation about Ο. that document and estimates. That is how the milk is received.

Do you have any information based upon that, that the milk is utilized as assumed in the estimates, where the percentages are done pursuant to the Exhibit 63?

- I have confidence in the data that comes out of Α. the Dairy Division and their numbers, because they are audited numbers. And so, again, just like I said -- said before, I would take that exhibit and do those calculations, and I -- I would have confidence in that.
- You have -- you and the prior witness have repeatedly talked about just updating the value of the components.

Isn't it really the case that the issue is not if there is value in the components, but if there is additional value in those component for the increase proposed?

- Okav. I only got -- I didn't get the first part of that question.
 - Ο. Okay.
- Could you -- could you just say it just a little Α. slower? I want to make sure I get it right.
 - Ο. Okay. Absolutely. The testimony has been, as I understood it, that



1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

22

23

24

25

26

27

1 | there is value to Class I processors in the components.

I'm asking, is there additional value in that delta increase proposed for Class I?

A. My testimony stated that the solids in milk provide nutritional value to Class -- to fluid milk, milk used in Class I, and I'll stand by that.

Our proposal updates the milk components from what they were in 2000 to 2022. Yes, that increases the skim milk value, so the Class I price will go up. And I am comfortable, as a marketer of milk, of charging an extra place to Class I processors.

- Q. So talking about nutritional value. Aren't there a -- rather, there are consumers, who, when they think about nutritional value of milk, they don't want lactose, do they?
 - A. I don't agree with that statement.
- Q. Do you know if there is a product out on the market called Lactaid that is --
 - A. There is lactose-free milk out there.
- Q. Okay.

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

24

25

- A. But that doesn't mean that all -- just because there is a product out there does not mean that all consumers don't want lactose.
 - O. I didn't say all. I just said --
 - A. That's what I understood you said.
- Q. Okay. I apologize. I didn't -- I don't think I said that, but I --
 - A. We could go back to the court reporter, I guess,



1 | if you need to.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

22

23

24

25

26

27

- Q. I'm willing to concede you may have heard that,
 but I don't believe I said that. I said there are -maybe you heard "are" as "all" -- there are --
 - A. I heard -- well, remember, you and I speak a little different dialects a little bit, so --
 - Q. I -- I was not born in the large packet of your country.
 - A. I appreciate you giving us the -- that adjective to describe it.
 - Q. There are -- rather than all -- there are consumers who buy lactose-free products, correct?
- A. Yes, sir, because it's on -- on the shelf. And if it wasn't on the shelf -- they wouldn't have it on the shelf unless people bought it.
 - Q. And lactose represents 4.9% of the solids nonfat that we're talking about, correct?
 - A. No. sir.
 - O. It does not represent 4.9% of the --
 - A. You said solids nonfat. If you -- if we look at the other solids in milk, which is about -- again, if we want to use our averages there in skim, about 6.01, the lactose -- if we do -- do testing and so forth, the lactose is probably going to be about 5%.
 - Q. Okay. I'm sorry. I said 4.9, you said 5. That's a bigger number. Thank you. I'll take 5.
 - So that's more than half of the 9.41, and we're in a minimum pricing system, and there's consumers out there



- A. There -- there are some consumers who buy lactose-free milk because they are lactose intolerant.
- Q. Or they believe they are, I'll leave that for a different day.

But those consumers who don't want that solid are going to get charged more for their milk under your proposal, correct?

- A. We -- we don't know that for sure. Our proposal raises the Class I skim price. And, again, based upon 2022, just a rough calculation, it's about \$0.047 per gallon. We don't know how much any of that, or all of it, whatever, might be reflected back in the retail consumer price.
- Q. But whether it makes it to the retail price, the handlers who buy milk, and then, either by adding a neutralizing agent or by using a process that removes the lactose, regardless when it gets to retail, they are going to pay more for their milk when they found a market for a product that actually subtracts the thing that you says has nutritional value, correct?
 - A. Yeah, the Class I price will go up.
- Q. So -- so let's talk about how someone might be able to make money off that volume value -- and this is now different from my conversation about Safeway's 2/10 product.
 - Okay. You mentioned nutrition label. Do you have



1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

22

23

24

25

26

27

A. I have none with me.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

22

23

2.4

25

26

27

- Q. Does that mean you don't know of any or you just don't have it with you?
- A. I have a number of studies back in my files that I have kept over the years related to fluid milk and about anything dairy. I would have to go back there and look and see if there was -- if I have it back in my collection.
- Q. Now, leaving aside, you know -- well, even nutrition labels, if they -- in order to go to a higher percentage, in order to label the milk as a high protein, they would have to make sure they actually have that protein, correct? To be truthful, right?
- A. If you want to have a truthful label, it needs to say what's in the milk.
- Q. And yet we have seen from the exhibits that the components vary from time of year. So how are Class I processors going to monetize in a label risk environment?
- A. Well, you start talking about nutritional labels, and that's getting beyond my expertise and beyond what this proposal is.
- Q. Well, except you have talked about value for Class I. What evidence do you have that there is value for Class I in these components?
 - A. Well, even though Class I sales are down, we're



still selling about 45 billion pounds of packaged fluid milk in this country, and consumers won't buy that 45 billion pounds of milk unless they had some value to them.

- Q. Known to them as butterfat and skim, correct?
- A. Yeah, butterfat -- what was before butterfat and skim? I lost that part.
 - O. I said known to them as butterfat and skim?
 - A. I -- I guess I lost you there. Known to them, butterfat --
 - Q. Consumers. Consumers. Known to consumers.
- 12 A. Known to consumers butterfat and skim, I'm sorry,
 13 I'm just not catching --
- Q. Well, milk is sold as whole milk. Milk is sold as 1% milk. Milk is sold as 1% milk.
 - A. Yes, sir, that's correct.
 - Q. Thank you.

Isn't it true that fluid milk sales are by FDA and by consumers so highly already defined and regulated in ways that alternative beverages are not, that any system increase in costs will actually continue to hinder sales of fluid milk?

- A. Yes, fluid milk is regulated. We -- and that's one of the reasons we're having this hearing, because it's regulated. But I'm not convinced that this proposal, which does increase the Class I skim milk price, will hinder fluid milk sales.
 - Q. Is it your position that low or negative PPDs are



5

6

7

8

9

10

11

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

22

23

2.4

25

26

27

an indicator of disorderly marketing?

- A. Did you say lower or negative?
- Q. I asked that low or negative.
- A. Not necessarily.

2.

2.0

2.1

- Q. Okay. Not necessarily. Thank you.

 Under what circumstances are they actual disorderly?
- A. Well, we need to go back to what causes negative producer price differentials. All right? Because there's different things that cause them. When prices -- dairy product prices, especially the cheese, butter, nonfat dry milk powder, if they are increasing -- increasing very rapidly, especially in a lower Class I utilization market, yes, you are going to have a negative producer price differential.

In my conversations with dairy farmers, and I have done a lot of information with dairy farmers, we gettin' a number of them realizing that, hey, in those type of markets, if we got a negative PPD, our total value of what we get for our milk is going to go up. They understand that. And if it gets real, real high like we have had recently, it is going to reverse, our price is going down. And more and more dairy farmers are getting educated and understand that.

Where they have an issue with negative or low producer price differentials, is where, in some Federal Milk Marketing Orders where it's so easy to not pool milk, manufacturing milk is not required to participate in a



2.

2.0

2.1

NATIONAL FEDERAL MILK MARKETING ORDER PRICING FORMULA HEARING

Federal Milk Marketing Order, it's only voluntary. Only voluntary. And the pooling requirements in some orders allows that milk to be taken off or put back on.

And some -- many dairy farmers who are selling maybe to a fluid milk plant or the cooperative has a mixture of products, when they start seeing that milk can go on and off the pool, that when their Class III price might be higher than the blend of whatever they don't have to pay in, that -- that creates some -- creates some challenges and some disorder among those producers allowing that milk to go on and off that creates the higher negative producer price differentials.

- Q. So we heard today that one solution for that is Order 1, correct? Order 1 has its own performance standards that make it difficult to easily disassociate and reassociate with the pool, correct?
 - A. It -- orders have different performance standards.
- Q. So one way to deal with depooling would be, as we did in the post Federal Order Reform, two separate rounds of hearings to address performance standards because of depooling, correct?
- A. Each order could have a hearing, could be a filed a petition to discuss that, yes.
- Q. And you could do that without raising Class I prices, correct?
- A. That's a separate issue, the pooling requirements from the prices.
 - MR. ENGLISH: I have no further questions of this



1	witness.					
2	THE COURT: Okay. Any cross by anyone else for					
3	this witness?					
4	Going once, going twice.					
5	Redirect? Ms. Hancock?					
6	MS. TAYLOR: Your Honor?					
7	THE COURT: Yes.					
8	MS. TAYLOR: We were just waiting for the end. I					
9	think USDA has some questions					
10	THE COURT: I'm sorry. I meant yeah.					
11	MS. TAYLOR: But in the essence of time, I don't					
12	think we'll finish in eight minutes if we're going to try					
13	to respect our 5:00 p.m. time, and we'd be happy just to					
14	start in the morning.					
15	THE COURT: Is that okay with everyone else?					
16	Seeing no objections, very well.					
17	Any housekeeping we need to do before we are done					
18	for the day?					
19	Yes, Mr. Rosenbaum.					
20	MR. ROSENBAUM: Steve Rosenbaum. During my					
21	cross-examination I gave the witness Exhibit 65. I'd like					
22	to move that into evidence at this point, if that makes					
23	sense, or I can do it at the conclusion of his testimony.					
24	THE COURT: Do we have any objection?					
25	MS. HANCOCK: Your Honor, if we could just					
26	allow us the evening to do a little homework and then the					
27	witness can verify where it came from. So if we don't					



28

have an objection, we could maybe move in the morning to

```
do that since he'll stay on the stand.
 1
 2
             THE COURT: Works for me, if it does for you, too.
 3
     It apparently does.
             MR. ROSENBAUM: That's fine.
 4
             THE COURT: I'm seeing assent. I'm seeing nods of
 5
     heads.
 6
 7
             Okay. Anything else?
 8
             All right. We'll adjourn until 8:00 a.m.
 9
     tomorrow.
             (Whereupon, the proceeding concluded.)
10
11
12
                              ---000---
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
2.1
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
```



	WITOME TENDERS WITH PARKETING ORDER TRICING TORROWS INDIRECTOR				
1	STATE OF CALIFORNIA)				
2	COUNTY OF FRESNO)				
3					
4	I, MYRA A. PISH, Certified Shorthand Reporter, do				
5	hereby certify that the foregoing pages comprise a full,				
6	true and correct transcript of my shorthand notes, and a				
7	full, true and correct statement of the proceedings held				
8	at the time and place heretofore stated.				
9					
10	DATED: September 4, 2023				
11	FRESNO, CALIFORNIA				
12					
13					
14 15	Myra Rosk				
16					
17	MYRA A. PISH, RPR CSR Certificate No. 11613				
18	CCICITICACC NO. 11013				
19					
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					
26					
27					



28

¢		

\$0.047 480:12

\$0.61 411:25 412:5

\$0.63 435:21

\$0.83 410:15.23 411:13

---**00o---** 386:12 486:12

0

0% 354:25

0.04 355:5

0.07 415:23 416:2,5,19 417:15,20 418:14

0.4 354:28

07 305:11

1

1 246:18 253:17 254:2 256:27 257:26 272:7 278:18 283:8 290:11 293:13.17 294:26,27 295:1,2,5,26 303:8,23 304:12,15 305:5 307:6 308:1 309:15 313:20, 21 314:1,10 315:13 321:9, 14,19 324:3 330:9 331:9,12, 13 337:19,20,22,25 338:18 346:8,9,18 347:2 356:11,13 380:23 387:24 388:4 389:16 394:14,21,27,28 395:4,13 396:1 398:28 403:8 406:8. 13,16 409:23,24 416:13,14 419:24,27 420:3 430:22 431:23,26 433:24 437:18 450:1,3,8 461:14 472:6,7,8 475:28 476:1 484:14

1% 250:20 482:15

10 336:4,7 342:22,23 350:5, 6,7 353:22 464:10,11,14,16, 20,21

10% 249:11,20,21,22 304:4 350:11 356:11

10.92 410:16,17 411:9

100 356:20 405:2 408:12 409:1,4,9 414:20,25,26,28 415:19 471:5

100% 355:9,10

1005.51 307:2

1006.51 307:2

1007.51 307:3

1007.7 374:11

102 251:20 471:7

103 471:8

10301 320:23

104 471:10

1051 307:1

10634 276:14

10:00 290:18

11 343:5,6 353:20 354:14 374:16 404:21 405:5 443:15 459:21 464:11,17,20

11% 457:16 458:6,17

11.75 410:11 411:12

111 435:28 436:22

114 404:7

119 404:7

11:17 345:9

11:30 345:9,10 **12** 396:1.2 459:25

12-month 305:28 306:22 312:13

124 323:9,12,16 334:26 335:1,2,4 342:12,13,16,18 361:21 364:8,9 370:15,19 381:1

124's 396:18

126 334:13.17 342:25.26.28

12:30 385:26

12:40 386:8

12th 415:2,11

13.03 456:12

13.52 412:3

131 323:8,11,14 334:13,20 343:8,11,15 361:19 364:4,5, 7,10 370:3,14

14 336:7 367:27 392:28 397:24,27 398:1 436:10

14.13 412:4 456:11

144 435:24 436:17

15 265:1,9 314:26 315:3

150% 317:22

15D 356:19

16% 459:27

17 393:12,13,22 394:15,17 395:15

18 393:12,13,22 394:15 395:11,14,20

18% 475:26

19 265:22 307:9,12,28 387:27 388:2

19,1 387:27

19,103,413 389:16

19,4 387:27

19- 400:6 448:4

1932 439:27 440:3.5

1937 419:17 423:15 473:5

1962 420:20.22

1985 420:23.27 421:6

1988 421:8,11

1989 400:7.9

1990s 442:21,26,28 443:8

1996 251:9,13,15

1998 281:24

1999 255:27 281:27 282:7 315:28

19E 358:5

1:40 386:9

1A 282:18,25

1B 282:11,13,16,20,21,26 283:8

2

2 281:10,14 321:23 323:21 330:2 333:4 334:4 337:15,17 349:17 356:20 363:6 366:13 369:1 371:24 393:6,7,10 394:15,20,22,27 395:6,8 399:13 409:6,7 418:2 427:17 476:1

2% 249:11 250:20 356:16 475:18,20,24,26 482:15

2-point 309:10

2.99 404:28

2/10 246:10,26,27 247:6 249:11 250:5 480:26

20 290:18 386:2 435:27 436:1,21 475:20

20% 475:21,25

200 474:24

2000 254:3,9,12 285:2 290:1 328:17,22 330:6,28 331:8 332:2,5 333:4,19 334:3,13, 26,28 336:5,8 337:18,22,25 338:18,24 339:1,3,18,22,25 340:3,6,18,23,25 341:9,13, 24 342:3,11,16,18,24 343:1, 7,12,15 373:9 396:1 401:23 404:22 406:10,14,19 407:28 408:25 409:11 410:14,27 411:6,16,28 412:13 427:26 428:3 435:22,25 436:1,14 438:15,22 442:22,28 443:10 445:23 448:5 449:6,7,13,14 450:26 451:3 459:12,17 464:2,23 465:10 478:8

2004 332:7 405:8

2005 328:22 329:3 332:10 336:8,12 337:13 373:9

2006 332:14

2007 265:3

2008 265:3 321:8 347:2,26

2010 328:22 329:8 336:8,16 373:9 403:17 406:14 440:14

2011 406:15

2014 435:20.24.28 436:13

2015 328:22 329:13 336:8,20 373:9 434:9 435:12

2016 277:13,18

2018 405:9 421:28 473:14

2020 328:22 329:18 335:1 336:8,24 373:9 464:25

2022 269:18,19,21 277:19 283:17 324:16,27 326:11,12, 13,19,21 327:22 328:4,22 329:23 335:2 336:8 337:1 368:16 373:10 403:22 405:12 406:10,15,19 409:2, 11,18,28 410:7,8,9,13,18 411:8,10,11,17,22 412:4 414:22 454:18 459:13,24 464:3,24,25 465:9 478:8 480:12

2023 240:1 265:22 321:9 387:1 404:6

2025 416:13,17

2026 416:14,17,27

2027 416:18,27

2028 416:14,23,27

Index: \$0.047..20E

2029 416:21,24

2030 416:24

20E 358:10



21 358:14

217 460:11

21E 358:14

22 326:11 368:16

225 474:24

23 326:11

24 240:1 387:1

24C 357.6

24D 357:5

24th 240:3

253 460:11

25C 357:2

27 359:11 456:25

27% 439:17

27E 359:11,14

28 439:19

28.5% 456:25

282 389:11,14

28E 359:17

28th 415:15 416:14

29 359:20

2:55 429:8

3

3 322:5 325:19 327:11 331:6, 8 338:20,23 341:1 353:20 357:8 369:1 383:23 393:7,10 394:16 395:11,20 406:9,19 410:4,12,17 411:1,4 429:7 454:16,17 459:20,21,25

3,000-plus 282:27

3.02% 406:12

3.1 309:10 325:11 404:24 408:26 411:7 414:25

3.2% 354:1 450:5

3.25% 406:12

3.36 309:10

3.39 325:20 409:5,19 410:2 414:25 449:2,23,24 450:23

3.39% 450:6,11

3.5 309:12 363:7,8 366:10 367:1 405:3

3.5% 302:16,18,26 303:1 310:7,21,28 311:26 366:7 367:2 404:27

3.7% 406:15

3.9% 353:26

30 333:19,21,22 340:19,20, 22,23,25 386:2 439:14

465:13

30th 404:6

31% 278:21,28 279:5

319 460:13

32 334:3,5 341:13,15

32D 357:10

33 331:10,12,18 341:25,27 342:2 357:7 457:28

33C 357:8

34421 398:22

35E 359:27

3790 398:21

38 246:1 280:19

39 440:8 441:13,15

3:05 429:9

3:07 429:12

4

4 322:12 328:18,23 332:27 333:2 339:15,17 357:17 371:20 410:4 411:21 412:1 454:16 456:10,21

4% 302:23 357:10

4.02 309:12

4.06% 406:15

4.5 366:10

4.5% 363:7 366:6,13

4.9 479:25

4.9% 479:16,19

40291 320:24

40C 357:21

40D 357:21

41C 357:17

42 464:1

43 269:8,14 335:24

44 320:28 321:1 324:3,11 346:8,14 347:20 366:21 370:1 380:23 382:16 385:4, 8,12,14 390:3,25 394:14,18 395:2,4,13 396:15

45 324:17,18 347:24 366:22 368:9 482:1,3

45th 324:6

46 326:2,3,4 367:19 368:14 387:23

469 460:2

469,251,782 459:25

47 328:8,9,16,20,28 329:27 332:1,27 371:20,24 379:12 463:27 464:10,15,16,18

48 329:2,4 335:20

49 251:1 252:1,8 329:7,9 335:20

4:15 463:21

5

5 266:11 283:14 292:6 322:16,25 323:1 332:13 333:3,16,18 338:25,28 339:3,28 340:1 355:12 360:16 361:2 374:8 416:9,10 435:9 457:15 458:8,12 459:14,15,17 464:9,19 465:26 473:17 479:25,26

5% 479:24

5.69 405:1

5.9 325:13 404:24 408:26 411:7 414:26

50 306:28 329:12,14 335:20 401:27 403:13

50% 286:10 422:23 451:25

50,000-pound 435:23

51 307:28 329:17,19 334:26, 27 335:2,20 337:10

52 328:20 329:22,24 335:20 463:15,27 464:2,11,19,21 465:18.22

53 335:24,25 336:4,6 337:14 343:19 384:20 459:1,11,22

54 336:11,13

55 324:4,5 336:15,17 346:18

558 460:2

558,221,939 459:18

56 336:19,21

57 336:24,25 343:19

58 336:6,28 337:2 343:19 392:28 397:24,27 398:1 459:1,12

59 349:2

5:00 485:13

5th 416:20

6

6 266:11 283:15 322:20,25 323:3 324:28 333:3,11 334:1,2 339:19,20,25 340:16,17 347:16 360:16 361:2 366:23 371:20 374:8 454:15 458:8 459:14 460:6, 10 464:9,19

6.01 479:22

6.02 325:22 409:5,19 410:2 414:26 418:20 449:2

6.03 418:20

60s 421:2

619 460:13

62 318:18.21.23

63 349:19,23,26 391:23 465:26 477:9

64 399:14,15,17,18 403:3 466:6

65 433:12,13,14,22,23 434:8

66 466:21

67 466:26

678,025,669 389:17

466:14 485:21

68 467:1

69 467:4

6D 353:25

7

7 266:11 296:27 322:23,25 323:5 333:3,9 334:11,12 340:2,4,6,8 341:6,8 360:15, 16 361:1,2 374:8,11 454:15 458:1,2,8 459:14 460:6,12 464:9,19 473:17

70 467:7

70% 322:28 323:4

71 467:14

72 467:24

73 467:27

74 288:8 468:2

75 468:5

76 468:8



NATIONAL FEDERAL M	ILK MARKETING ORDER	PRICING FORMULA H.	EARING	
77 468:11	90% 429:19,27 445:24	actors 241:12 285:14 287:10	adjustments 270:9 284:22 389:12 408:19	
78 468:24	90s 421:21	actual 256:13 266:20,25 288:16 296:17,22 302:21	administered 364:8 396:19	
78 % 277:20	92 470:3	306:10 311:6,25 314:7		
79 468:27	93 470:5	326:24 327:2,4,20,21,27 337:19 347:4,10,13,18,19,21	administers 370:20	
7C 353:21	94 470:8	348:9,10,15,21,25,28 349:6	Administration 243:2 379:21	
	95 470:17	350:12,25 351:9,10 356:14 357:18 358:21 359:21,24	administrative 415:14	
8	96 281:22 366:28	360:4,10 367:7,8,14 368:10	administrator 319:19 322:27	
8 278:18 323:6 334:24,25	96.5 367:1 405:3	381:8 387:25 388:11,12 389:15 390:5 391:8,12	360:21 364:8 370:22 379:14	
341:22,23 361:17 362:19,24, 26	96.5% 367:2	407:24,28 410:21 411:10,22	381:12,16,17 382:21,24,27 383:24 385:23 396:19 409:3	
8% 357:5 481:3	97 470:23	412:13,17,19,23,28 431:14 450:24,28 463:7 483:6	440:20,22 441:21,26 475:4	
8.25 268:27	98 356:20 470:26	actuals 349:11	Administrator's 380:3 383:12 385:11,13 409:14	
8.25 % 252:20	9:40 290:19	add 363:26 396:1 424:25,28	Administrators 374:28	
8.68 405:1	9D 354:1	425:8 450:19	382:18 383:3 462:13	
8.71% 406:13		added 302:22 323:26 337:11,13 345:6 409:26	Administrators' 384:16	
8.95 % 354:15 356:3	A	455:15	admissible 352:22	
80 469:5	a.m. 486:8	adding 322:21 480:17	admission 351:24 352:8,12	
80 % 273:24 323:2	ability 406:24 446:9 449:22 451:28	addition 291:28 335:14	353:6 429:6 admit 353:7 393:19 428:10	
81 469:5	absence 297:9	additional 245:7,9 246:16 280:3,16 281:1,2 324:2		
82 276:14	absent 251:27	331:24 332:11 337:11 338:7	admitted 352:6 353:5 393:1 397:24,27	
83 469:10	absolute 254:27 460:9	344:6,15 392:5 393:20 413:13 414:5 427:3 477:20	admittedly 296:12	
84 469:12		478:2	adopt 251:4 300:27 461:8	
85 469:12	absolutely 249:6 459:6 477:27	Additionally 332:9,14	adopted 246:19 280:26	
86 469:14	accept 419:1	additions 345:6	287:15 297:11,25 298:6,10, 14,15,16,17,20 299:5 301:26	
87 469:16	accepted 450:1	address 241:24 262:14,20	302:23 303:23 305:6 313:22	
88 469:20	access 409:22	263:6 265:22,24 299:26 300:22 309:22 320:17,22,23	314:2,13 315:24 442:27 443:7,13 476:1	
89 400:7	accord 320:4	330:19 398:19,20 442:11 484:20	adopting 255:6 297:3 316:1	
89% 405:11 430:4,6,14,17	accordance 423:14 424:17	addressable 263:22	adoption 257:28 300:3	
457:10,16	account 309:23 385:7	addressed 260:21 272:16	406:20 415:3 419:24	
8:00 486:8	389:11	293:24,25 307:11 314:13	advanced 288:15,23 438:6	
9	accounted 405:11 431:9	addresses 293:13 313:21	advancements 417:7	
0 202.47 205.40 200.02	accounting 309:6	431:26	advantage 268:4 471:27	
9 323:17 325:12 328:23 335:10,12 342:8,10 355:17,	accrue 277:28	addressing 263:11	adverse 310:18	
18	accurate 311:24 332:6 345:4 381:19,20 382:7,8 391:14 451:2	adequate 259:27 260:17,26, 28 261:6 262:17 266:1 408:8 413:23 419:19 456:24,27	advertise 271:5	
9% 366:10 481:3			advisement 367:24 368:9	
9(c) 277:15,19	achieve 286:2	adjective 479:9	advocating 257:28 302:24	
9.0 404:24 408:27 412:3 414:27	acquire 245:4	adjourn 486:8	affect 294:3,15 315:9	
9.03% 406:13	Act 272:22 419:17 423:15 473:5,6	adjust 407:17 412:7	affected 260:4 304:14 377:2 422:17 445:16	
9.31% 356:2	action 281:17,21 282:5	adjusted 257:6 282:26 284:10 306:18	affecting 264:3,10	
9.41 325:21 409:5,20 410:2	active 271:17 465:20	adjustment 250:20 257:9	affects 265:13	
414:27 449:3 479:27	activity 240:17	269:4,5 293:18 295:22 414:6 416:10 431:22	affirm 251:23 260:19	
1			I .	



afield 373:15

afternoon 387:1,18 389:27 429:8,17,18 452:24,25

Ag 434:15

agent 480:18

agree 252:7,20 256:27 266:10 275:14,17,19,25 276:5,6 289:8 299:11 363:10 367:6 442:6 453:11 454:13 465:27 474:4,6 475:28 478:16

agreed 289:22 470:24

agreeing 279:13

Agreement 272:22 419:17 423:15 473:5

agrees 312:14

Agricultural 272:22 419:16 473:5

agriculture 316:2 400:2 404:10 419:1,21 423:14 439:28

ahead 244:27 321:13 327:11 328:19,28 373:17 438:13

aimed 296:25

Alabama 469:20

alert 379:28

aligned 412:17

aligning 261:22

alignment 408:15 438:24 439:10 461:16

all-average 395:26

All-jersey 269:17 283:25 300:26 371:15 400:25 401:1, 5 418:1 473:16

allowance 251:21 265:4,14

allowed 253:14 **allowing** 484:11

alluded 310:26 317:8

alter 314:22

altering 260:8

alternative 264:16 316:25 404:18 417:28 482:20

Amburgh 407:5

amenable 306:11

America 470:26

American 400:24 401:6

amount 264:6 290:9 295:24 390:25,26 402:3 426:1 427:9 432:21 433:7 436:8 444:19, 21 446:14 458:4

AMS 302:7,9 308:9 309:28 318:28 379:4 380:14 391:4 415:17

analogous 268:1

analysis 261:12 278:26 280:24 297:4 298:4 299:15, 28 300:2 304:16 308:21,26 311:14 367:6 370:25 371:2 454:15,17 462:13

analysts 311:2

animal 399:25 407:5

animal's 451:19

announce 305:28 306:10 416:19

announced 305:21 310:11 311:28 324:15,25 327:10,20, 21 366:26 367:1,4,10 387:26 412:14,21 415:26

announcement 306:6,12,14 389:5 415:16

announcing 305:24

annual 277:19 406:9,16 418:6.19.24

annually 379:16 418:6 419:3

answering 308:19 362:11 366:15 382:7

answers 308:17 395:16

anticipate 417:13

anymore 246:12

apologize 339:9 478:26

Appalachian 319:20 332:10 333:7 338:24,25 347:16 349:2 413:20,28 422:20 423:23 426:24 427:8,28 448:17 449:9 458:15,16 460:19 462:24

apparently 247:21 393:15 486:3

appeared 246:27

appears 270:6 276:26 279:9 434:9

Appendix 419:27

applicable 374:16

applied 282:28 283:1

applies 257:21 414:17

apply 252:11 257:17,25,27 290:6 309:11 344:27

applying 252:14 355:19

appreciated 291:27

appreciates 417:28

appreciation 404:9 419:21

approach 269:10 270:14 349:27 408:14 459:8,9 463:16 464:4

approve 298:21

approved 273:16

approves 272:10

approving 272:6

approximate 330:18,20 379:13 438:1

approximated 408:10,27 412:14

approximately 313:12 322:28 323:2,4 474:24

approximating 284:13

April 255:27 321:9

area 246:2 261:4 295:20 330:25 332:10,12,17 333:7, 8,9,11,22,25 334:5,6,17,20, 28 335:5 337:10,18,20,23,26 338:24,25,27 339:2,4,11,18, 20,22,23,26 340:2,4,7,9,18, 20,23,26 341:9,16,24,25,28 342:1,12,13,15,19,24,26,28 343:7,8,10,13,14 372:3,7 390:13 400:25 403:18 420:26 442:8 457:6,28

Area's 341:10

areas 261:1,2 295:19 319:20 331:12 332:10 333:6 337:9 390:9 404:14 414:2 427:8,24 428:15 442:7

argue 295:16

Arizona 332:15,16,17 343:7, 8 362:21 370:3,13,20,23,27 381:1,2,7,9,12 396:16 397:4 422:22 423:18

arm 401:6

arrangement 286:26,28 288:1

article 434:9,10,18 435:11

artificial 406:22

aspect 310:18 378:12

aspects 262:4

assemble 376:22 383:1 385:12

assembled 374:27 383:4

assembles 373:3 382:28

assembly 385:14

assent 486:5

assess 300:5

assessment 293:28 447:4

assessments 447:3 454:25, 26 455:1,6,7,19

assist 406:27 419:14

Associate 319:19

association 291:1 369:25 396:28 400:20 403:21 429:14 451:11,13

assume 241:11 247:1 255:28 257:14 261:6 263:15 267:28 268:6 271:8 273:10, 17 280:22 302:7 303:22 305:16 306:22 307:16 310:14 314:3 362:5 366:9 388:23 416:12

assumed 450:26 477:8

assumes 297:11 450:23,25

assuming 242:21 243:6 248:15 257:24 262:12 280:15,25 310:19 434:28 444:18,21 450:7

assumption 273:6 298:4,22 435:7 449:1 450:10 466:18

assumptions 364:2

assure 259:27

assured 272:3

Atlanta 470:3

Atlantic 421:14

attached 419:27

attempting 252:24,26 352:15

attention 435:10

attorney 240:14 345:24 452:23,27

attribute 245:25

audit 361:15

audited 477:12

audits 382:28

August 240:1,3 387:1

Index: afield...August



authored 434:9

automatic 257:9

automatically 257:7 443:20 445:7

automobiles 317:1

average 277:19 282:16,25, 27 283:1,15 285:4,10 287:20 288:8 292:21 297:5,7 303:24 315:17 322:23 323:6 327:3, 5,6,12,13,16,17,26 389:10, 14 395:22,25 396:2 397:5 408:11,27 409:3,8,16,20 410:7,8,9,18,21 411:8,10,11, 22 414:19 415:17 416:3,15 417:6,12 418:13 427:22 432:26 435:21,22 437:27 445:10 449:16 454:18 456:3 476:8,17,18,21

averaged 282:17

averages 304:22 327:13 395:14,19 412:4 417:7 479:22

avoid 248:11 415:13

awaiting 442:15

aware 248:27 260:12 263:5, 10 309:14 310:17 371:4,8 375:8 423:18 441:20,24 475:10

awash 442:5

axis 270:5

В

B-E-L-L-E-V-I-E-W 398:22

ba- 330:16

Bachelor 399:25

Bachelor's 400:13

back 240:23 242:9 243:12 245:3,28 247:8 248:18,25 255:5 256:7 258:24 259:15 261:25 264:25 265:27 266:17 268:9 270:1,15 279:12 281:10,13 283:11 289:28 290:18,21 291:9 293:27 313:18 315:28 324:6 327:19 329:27 331:16,23 332:4,23,25 333:14,27 334:9,22 335:9 345:9 346:17 357:24 364:19,24 367:24 379:27 381:24 382:1,21 386:4,9 394:1 401:18 402:11,15 405:10 411:16 420:20.28 421:1.3.19 422:25 424:16 428:3 429:9,12,24 434:9,19,23 437:21 438:1 439:9,18,20,26 442:13

448:4,6 449:10,16 450:26 451:6,8 462:7,11,12 463:14, 19,20,23 472:3 473:18 475:22 476:22,28 478:28 480:14 481:7,9,10 483:8 484:3

background 399:22

backside 298:13

balance 294:12 381:13

balances 472:26

balancing 424:1

bankruptcies 466:11

barrel 432:12 444:15

base 245:4 282:13,20 285:26 364:2 380:28 401:14

based 242:10 253:16 256:11,25 257:7,12 284:22 286:5 287:6 288:10 292:25 296:6 305:23 325:18 330:16, 20,21 346:3,5 354:19 355:24 359:24 372:22 374:12 405:18,21 409:28 410:26 411:16 412:3,11,28 419:3 424:5 428:1 431:6,10,13,18 432:2,7,17 436:12 437:24 440:6 444:11 449:23,27 460:21 472:27 477:7 480:11

baseline 297:8

basic 258:22 262:16

basically 241:6 242:17,21 243:6,8,16,20,21,26 245:5 246:18,21,28 248:4 250:18, 19 251:21 254:28 255:14,25 259:28 260:7 261:21 262:2,9 264:15 267:10,23,28 271:23 272:2,15 273:19 275:6,11 276:21 277:10,27 278:3 279:28 284:1,18,23,25 285:10 288:10,18 289:14 292:20,24 293:6 294:22,25 295:15,18 297:14 298:26,28 302:19 303:6,25 304:9,14 305:10,15 306:13,20 307:13 313:8 315:6 316:6 317:16 334:14 346:8,15 347:20 365:27 368:15 400:17 401:4,

Basin 421:6

basis 253:11 255:6,11 287:4 288:6 305:14 306:2 361:4 363:24 365:7 401:15 402:16 404:27 405:25,28 432:3 437:17 445:3,4 446:3,4,18, 19 450:16 451:26 475:14

Baton 470:17

be- 363:20

bear 280:16 346:6 365:24

beginning 281:10 283:11 415:15

behalf 308:12 398:24,26 399:5 403:10 473:15 476:5

beholder 475:15

belaboring 460:5

belief 314:23

Belleview 398:21

benchmark 310:22

benefit 387:15 425:5 461:13 471:26

benefited 407:15

beverage 278:22

beverages 264:16 316:25, 27,28 317:3,27 482:20

big 303:4 304:25 420:26

bigger 479:26

biggest 275:26

Bill 251:9,13,15 281:23

billion 482:1,3

biotechnology 417:8

bit 250:14 251:25 255:19 293:26 303:16 330:1 342:21 346:6 374:1 399:22 420:16 422:19 443:23 451:20 467:13 472:1,14 473:10 479:6

black 343:9

blend 402:8 413:16 425:1 450:14,20 471:19 473:8 484:8

blended 450:15

block 276:8 432:11

blue 333:12 337:20,23 339:1,12,19,20,23 340:7,24 341:13,28 342:16,26,27 343:9,12

board 272:5 298:20 301:6

bold 434:27

Borden 466:12 469:17,19 470:24,25

border 334:18 343:9.10

bordered 333:10

born 451:21 479:7

Boston 291:7

bother 320:16

bottle 267:16 372:1

bottled 263:25 400:28

bottom 283:14 338:3 339:6 340:11 341:19 342:6 343:2 389:8 434:14

bought 479:15

Boulevard 320:24

boundaries 332:3 341:10

box 278:27 398:21

boy 400:6 474:23

Bozic 308:11,12,15,25 312:1,8,10,11,23

bread 279:13,14,15

breadth 426:21

break 290:15,17,20 345:8, 11,13 369:6 386:10 387:15 395:12 427:18 429:8,11 463:20.22.26

breakfast 317:7.12

bred 451:22

briefly 249:2 463:17 473:9

bring 258:12 318:28 377:12 380:11 427:7 450:20 461:5

bringing 258:8 405:9 460:27

broad 245:19

Broadacre 468:2

broaden 293:17

broader 293:27

broke 476:26 Brookridge 320:24

brought 245:27 251:28

255:4 263:23

brown 259:9,20 297:2,17,27 299:20,24 333:10,24 334:21 340:3

Brown's 298:3

brownish 334:19

build 351:24

building 401:16

bulk 373:2 374:14

business 295:9,20 320:21 386:7 399:27

butter 266:20,24 311:24



344:7 444:16 483:11

butterfat 249:11 256:18,19 257:24 268:25 269:5 285:27 286:23 302:16,18 308:19,22, 27 309:4,8,12,16,22,23,26 310:2,21,24,28 311:10,20, 21,23,27 313:4,5,12 321:15, 19 347:9 349:10 353:26 354:24,27 355:2 356:16 357:10 361:4,24,28 362:6 363:7,8,11 366:6,7,14 367:2 391:28 392:1 394:22,23 404:27 405:3 406:14,17 431:20 446:3,6,10,18,19,27 449:28 450:14 451:20 452:12 454:5,7,8,24 482:5,6, 8,10,12

butterfat's 445:3

buy 246:4,5 267:24 279:10 425:19,22 452:28 453:13 479:12 480:3,17 482:2

buyer 256:12

buying 268:11

С

C-A-L-V-I-N 398:18

C-O-V-I-N-G-T-O-N 398:18

calc- 358:21 391:20

calculate 309:11 358:19 362:4 387:25 389:10 395:5 407:18 409:16 415:17 416:15 427:27 436:16 438:7 445:21,22

calculated 323:22 327:14 350:26 354:19,25 355:18,19, 23 358:23,24 380:25 390:5, 25 391:15,17,18,21 392:19 410:26 415:21,25 416:15,18

calculating 309:17

calculation 305:19,24,28 306:14 309:24 327:28 356:3 358:16,21,22 359:6,8,10,22, 23 366:16 367:3 368:15 388:3,6 389:1 415:21,27 416:1 446:21 476:28 480:12

calculations 370:11 387:22 390:10,15,21 411:21 460:21 477:14

calendar 283:17 305:14,17, 18,20,27 306:2 414:22 415:20 416:4,17,23,27

California 250:13,16,19 251:16,19,22 252:7,9,15 276:11 331:3,4 332:3 405:8 420:20 421:27 **call** 243:21 253:27 282:24 284:25 313:7 319:2 421:17 435:10 440:11,19 441:26 448:7 452:14 469:19

called 246:10 259:24 366:25 396:9 400:22 478:18

calls 312:13 350:21 418:11 441:20

calves 451:21

Calvin 398:6,9,17 403:6

capable 260:7

capacity 271:26 272:4,9 273:15,16

capital 470:14

Capper-volstead 403:27

career 400:9,16 403:12

careful 266:8 457:8,13

Carolina 340:14 399:26,28 467:2.24

carry 271:6 467:20

cartons 426:2

case 253:6 256:5 268:10 279:6 280:20,23,25 289:23 303:5 316:27 335:4 338:16 339:7 340:12 341:1,20 368:5 372:18 375:7 378:12 382:28 384:4 387:14 401:16 429:19 439:4 463:13 468:25 477:18

cases 275:18 279:11 372:15, 17 375:2 382:23 383:16 401:13

Cashman 369:16 382:2,11 393:18 394:1,4 396:9,14 398:3

catching 482:13

categories 394:26

cattle 400:24 401:6 406:20, 25

caused 258:20 410:5 414:12

causing 277:23 463:8

caution 394:2

caveat 346:2

caveats 352:25 353:15

cell 322:24 349:4,6 431:22 451:20 452:15

cells 342:14,26 343:9

Centennial 470:3

Central 341:9

cents 288:8

CEO 399:7 402:12,13 403:17,22

cereal 317:8,9,13

certificate 399:27

challenge 377:13

challenges 404:16,18 407:9, 22 412:8 414:12 484:10

chance 464:13

change 250:8 263:24 266:4 274:6 285:25 286:4,6,24,27 287:16 297:26 301:15 306:12 308:1 310:15 312:12 315:26 367:7 387:27,28 409:27 411:20,26 412:5 415:16 417:2,16,22,25,26 418:6,8,16,19,20,21,22 419:3,4 448:28 466:11

changed 242:11 247:5 310:26 344:26 366:24 422:1 428:12 451:16 456:19 466:10

changing 249:3 264:8 286:20,21 302:24 311:18 368:20 389:6 418:11,25 446:6 451:1 452:3

characterization 293:16

characterize 292:14 293:11 304:10 447:19

charge 289:6

charged 480:8

charging 478:10

chart 320:27 324:13,14 325:3 326:14 328:3,18 336:4 343:22

charts 328:23 329:2 336:7 344:10,13

chase 467:13

check 243:24

checkoff 263:17 316:21,22

checks 381:13 472:26

cheddar 435:23 444:15

cheese 266:19,24 267:6,7,8, 9,12,13 268:10 286:12 287:21 289:12 432:8,11,24, 26 435:24,26,27 436:2,15, 17,21 444:11,15 483:11

chief 242:2 248:20

children 317:11

Chip 240:14 345:24 394:10

452:22

choice 281:7 316:27 426:5

choose 267:21 276:24

chose 246:22 283:7 361:21 364:7

chosen 251:4

circumstances 483:6

cite 244:28 245:1

cited 256:3

city 328:25 331:17,22 334:8 335:15 420:27 421:6

clarification 267:27 307:25 308:24 321:16 343:27 351:25 368:7 392:11 429:21 459:2

clarifications 346:2 352:22

clarify 324:9 330:28 336:9 339:9 343:18 350:28 354:3 356:21 374:6 391:10 437:3

clarifying 250:6 281:11 331:25 337:5

clarity 250:28 382:3

Clark 332:15

class 240:19 242:10,16,18 243:8 253:17,18 254:3,5,8, 11,15,17,19,22 255:3 256:10,13,17 257:17,23,25, 27 258:9,10 259:3,12 260:15,16 261:10,17,21 262:11 263:4,13 267:21 272:11,12 274:28 275:3,12, 22 277:5.10 282:8.14.17 285:5,6,8,9,17,25,26 286:1, 3,4,6,12,13,15,17,23 287:2, 3,6,11,13,16,17,22,24 288:3, 5,6,11,14,15,17,21,23,28 289:3,5,22 290:4 292:19,20, 26 294:19,20 295:27 301:22 302:20 307:9.14 309:1 311:27 314:5,6,8,9,22 315:19 316:12,23 318:2 320:27 321:8,21,23,27 322:1,2,3,7,9,10,11,14,18 323:25,27 324:15,25 325:5, 18 326:10,18,22,23,24,27 327:1,4,5,6 347:28 348:2,5, 9,12,17,19,21,23 350:11 354:20 356:11,28 357:4,7, 11,17 358:5,10,14,16,18,19, 25,26,27,28 359:1,3,5,7,10, 11,14,17,20,24,26,28 360:10 366:26,27 367:4,10 368:17 376:23,25,27 377:3 383:10, 22 387:25,26 388:3,5,7,12, 13,21,23 389:2,6,10,14,15, 16 390:9,13,14,17 391:19



392:2 405:14,19,21 407:11, 12,14,18,19 408:3,16,21,23 410:9,14,18,21,25,27 411:2, 5,8,13,15,17,20,22,23,26 412:2,5,10,12,13,15,20,22, 27 413:4,7,11,13 414:25,27, 28 419:10,12 422:21,23,24 423:7,13,16 424:11,13,19, 23,24,26 425:5,13,15,17 426:8 427:13,16,27 428:5 430:25 431:1,9,10,11,25 432:2,5,7,13,18 433:1,3,5,25 434:1,3,4 435:20 436:9,10, 24 437:11,12,15,23,24,25,26 438:6,7,17,18,21,27 439:2, 11,12,13,15,16,22 440:4,12, 24 441:22 442:1 443:19 444:14,16,26,27 445:1,6,9, 10,11,12,14,15,21,22,27,28 446:1,12,15,16,20,27 447:6, 12,17,18,20,23,24 448:22,28 450:17,21 453:25 454:1,3,4, 6,18,21,22,23,24 455:18,19, 28 456:3,4,11,17,25 461:5, 13,21 462:1,3,8,9 463:8 471:25,27,28 472:7,9,18,20 473:1 475:10 476:2,7,10,13, 14,20,26,27 478:1,3,5,6,9,11 480:11,23 481:20,26,27,28 482:26 483:13 484:7,24

classes 275:1 314:21 321:25 322:1,6,9,13,17,21 405:15, 25 408:17 413:6,10,15 450:15 477:1

classification 323:25,26

classified 389:7 427:19 462:7 475:2

clean 243:25

clear 250:15 276:23 277:3 288:24 308:4 320:7 328:3 330:22 332:1 344:23 346:27 351:5 367:25,26 368:3 374:1,17 395:28 435:12

clever 279:18

clients 272:27 273:23

clock 420:4

close 258:23 284:20 300:22 305:17 456:3

closed 305:18

closely 263:16 299:2 314:11 316:21 412:16 481:2

closer 296:11,14 302:23

Club 400:24 401:6

co- 466:22

co-op 289:25 466:5,22,26 467:2,4,8,15,25,28 468:9,12,

25 469:6 470:1,6,15

co-op-owned 469:12 471:1, 10

co-ops 273:1,6

Coale 299:15

code 251:26 328:26 330:17 398:22

coefficient 258:16

coefficients 258:17

collect 303:19,21 304:5,18, 20,21,23 383:5

collected 305:3 361:14

collection 481:11

collectively 298:17

collects 305:26

college 399:24 400:23

color 330:8,10,12 333:23 334:16 335:5

colored 333:8,10 334:21 338:26 425:19

column 326:17,19,21,26,28 327:4,5,8,20,22,24,27,28 328:2 347:27 349:4 354:23, 27 355:9,14,18 356:3 368:1 388:8 390:27 411:6

columns 325:4 326:23 327:3,9 346:27 348:28 360:9 390:4

combination 381:4

combinations 288:7

combined 395:21,27 449:3

comfort 406:25

comfortable 366:15 478:10

comment 247:9 264:25 324:2 458:17

comments 323:19 404:18 458:27

commit 304:24

commodity 247:4 248:9 278:28

common 245:6 293:23 372:1 449:13 467:17

commonality 296:2

commonly 400:21

comp- 284:19

companies 240:17 278:2 402:2

company 241:9

compare 381:7 394:18,21

compared 248:9 304:26 410:24 435:22,25 436:1,10, 13 438:21

comparing 278:19 344:24 454:17

comparison 313:6 362:20 368:20 370:19 381:13 455:13 456:1

comparisons 264:13 396:20

compete 263:25 460:24,28 461:7

competition 316:26

competitive 259:7 461:1 472:22

compiled 409:2

complete 283:25

completed 345:15 350:3 415:7

completing 308:21,26

complicate 356:26

complicated 272:15 472:2

component 253:18 256:14, 15 257:8,11,12,15,28 258:13.20 266:14 267:5 272:11 275:22,26,27 276:1 277:9 283:16 284:19 285:12, 26 287:5 288:2,16,27 290:6 293:1,6,9,10,12 295:15 298:25 303:2,11,18,20 304:2,7,18,22,27 309:16 310:25 314:17 321:21 322:27 323:22,24,27 324:16, 27 325:7.11.15.19.20.25 327:15 354:5 359:9,28 360:12 361:5 370:13,14 383:17,27 390:9 391:19 392:4.6.13 394:24 401:8.12. 15,17,21,22 402:23 403:8 404:13,23 405:5,7,10,16 406:4,7,10,22,28 407:1,4,6, 9,14,17,20,23,24 408:2,9,20, 22 409:11,24 410:11,14,20, 23.24.26 411:12.19.24 412:4,7,17,21,23,24 413:3,5, 7,9,12 414:2,4,16,19 415:4, 7,10,13,17 416:6,16,25 417:6,24 418:2,6,8,24,28 419:6,13,14,25 420:14,19,25 421:1,9,13,20,23,25,28 422:4,15,17,22,26 423:2,5,9, 13,15,19,25 424:3,6,10,14, 22 425:2,12 427:11 428:2,18 429:28 430:7,15,21,27 431:2,6,8,14,17 432:1,16,17, 19 433:4 435:15,18,20,22, 25,28 436:7,8,12,13,26 437:8,10 438:5,28 443:7,14, 20,27 444:4,6,22,24 445:7, 16,20 446:4,6,13,20 447:8, 10,16,21 448:24,25 449:7, 11,13,18,22,25 452:5 453:27,28 460:28 461:8 462:14,17,20 473:11,17 474:1 477:20

components 243:27 256:13 257:7 264:20 266:20,21,25, 26 267:1,4 268:4 271:3 274:17 277:5 287:2,25 292:28 293:2,4,5,8 299:26 301:25 314:5 320:27 321:8 323:25 346:16 360:10,22 361:5 392:17 404:16,20,28 405:19,26,28 406:24,26 407:12,16 408:18 409:4,15, 26 410:6,13,28 411:7,11,13 412:25,26 417:1,11 418:15 419:9 431:26 432:26 435:21 437:17,27 438:2 443:26 444:8 445:25 453:4,9 456:19,22 461:13 476:8 477:17,19 478:1,7 481:20,27

composition 243:22,26 253:18,21,24 255:7,23 256:2,11,26 257:1,3 272:11 284:14,19,20 287:5 288:16, 28 290:6 292:19,21,22 293:3,12 295:26 302:22 303:2,7,27 309:16,18 311:25 314:1,4,7,12,17

compositional 243:22 250:13

compounded 475:24,25

comprehensive 298:12

compression 463:6

computed 283:22 284:22 288:11 388:22,24

concede 479:2

concern 291:8,21

concerned 243:19 262:27 315:7 426:22 427:21 447:10

concerns 338:19

conclude 278:4,9 285:14 453:9

concluded 486:10

conclusion 297:26 485:23

concrete 311:8

condition 294:25

conditions 260:2,3 264:26, 28 370:26,27 374:13 408:7



419:18 428:12

conducted 244:16 378:26

402:5

conferred 283:28

confess 467:7

confidence 477:10,14

confident 263:12

confidential 360:25 396:21

397:4 475:5,7

confidentiality 338:9,19

381:3,4

confirm 251:18

confirms 272:20

conform 262:5

conformity 258:14

confrontational 364:15

confused 393:28

Congress 282:4,5,7

Congressional 281:17,21

282:2,5

connect 465:2

connection 271:21

consequence 273:24 278:5, 10,13,14 290:10 291:17

297:28 299:6 428:8

consequences 310:4,18

considerably 290:4,5

considerations 311:11

considered 243:7 245:25 247:20 288:13,17 458:16

considers 242:22 272:10,13

consistent 291:15

consisting 298:22

consists 404:6

consolidated 465:8

consolidation 443:11

constantly 261:18 453:4

constitutes 361:1

construct 373:27 376:12

consult 317:15

consultant 371:14 399:5,6

consulting 401:28

consume 243:20,28 244:3 246:5 316:28 317:4

consumer 241:9 272:14 278:19 313:16 316:27 419:18 426:3 480:14

consumers 240:23,28 241:12,14 242:5,7 243:14, 18,24 244:2,6,9,17 245:10, 19,26 246:14,17,21 247:10, 12 248:7,26 250:10 266:18 279:27 280:2 316:28 408:8 452:27 453:13 456:23,27 478:13,23 479:12,28 480:3,7 481:1 482:2,11,12,19

consuming 244:12 317:13

consumption 247:16,17,28 262:21 263:4 264:3,10,15 316:18,23 317:7,10,17 419:19 453:3,6

contained 257:7 324:10 405:2,20,26,28 408:12 409:1.4.9

contemplate 310:4

contemporary 247:7

content 242:11 243:6 245:17 246:24 369:17 405:22 407:27,28 431:10,11,24

context 270:7 291:2 292:2 294:26,27 345:5 360:18 377:4 444:10

continually 442:9

continue 277:28 285:25,26 304:1 314:18 352:5 363:1 406:2,6 407:4,8,22 416:4 419:8 423:5 450:1 482:21

continues 263:7 288:20 403:21 406:28 453:6

continuing 345:13

Continuous 406:4

contribute 277:10 299:8

contributed 274:18

contributing 277:6 295:10, 17 316:12 373:4

controls 260:8

convenience 302:19,22

convenient 317:3

convention 307:10 313:8

conventional 280:27 447:26

converge 297:7

conversation 266:17 283:19 302:28 318:13 477:4 480:26

conversations 471:16 483:16 convert 404:28 438:5

converted 266:20,25

converts 432:10

convinced 482:25

cooperative 272:26 286:9, 14 291:3 308:13 372:26,27 375:16,23,24 403:21,23,27 422:28 448:15 466:8 468:15, 16,19,26 469:7 470:7,11,22, 24 471:12 472:16,21,28 474:17,28 475:2 484:5

cooperative-designated 375:9.12

cooperative-owned 372:21 465:19 467:18,20

cooperatives 271:19,27,28 272:23 273:27 277:15,23,24 278:2 285:15 376:26 401:13, 14 402:1 414:9 420:24 426:11,13,15 428:6 460:26 465:23 471:17,26 472:10 473:6,15 474:28

copied 434:15

copies 344:14 451:8 463:27

copy 269:14 344:24 349:28 433:16,17 434:8 451:8 459:4

corn 278:27,28 279:1

Cornell 282:22 407:6

corner 349:25 399:16

correct 240:25 241:5 242:25,28 247:23 249:13,26 250:22 251:3,9,13,14 252:2, 10,21,22 253:2,9 254:20,23, 25,26,27 255:20 256:13,15, 16,20 257:4,26 258:6 259:17,18 261:19 263:14,20, 27 264:13 265:6 266:11,12, 15,16,22,27,28 267:2,7,22 268:12,28 269:5,6,7,24,25 270:4,19,22 271:8 272:24,25 274:18 275:24 278:22 280:6 281:21,24,25,28 282:8,9,11, 12,14 283:4,6,18 285:6,9,10, 27 286:15,17,24 287:11,17, 18 289:7 290:9 292:3,4,14 294:28 297:12,13 300:23,24 302:17 308:3 328:6 330:26 331:1 332:22 339:13 343:23 344:18,19 346:9,10,19,20, 23,24 347:5,7,14,22,28 348:1,3,4,6,11,13,14,15,16, 18,20,22,24,26,28 349:1,6, 11,12 350:15 353:23,24,27, 28 354:2,10,16,18,22,25,28 355:9,21,24,25,27 356:4,5, 14,15,17,18,21 357:1,3,5,6, 8,9,11,13,15,16,18,19,21,22, 28 358:1,12,13,15 361:16, 19,20,21,22 362:9 364:3,11 366:6,14 367:1 368:18,19 370:4,5,6,7,9,16,17 371:10, 26,27 372:4,14,28 373:4 374:25 375:1,17 377:10 378:15 379:16,17,21 380:26, 27 381:3 382:18,19,25,26 383:1 384:9.11.14 385:20 390:2,8 394:28 395:8,9 396:3 397:6 414:12 422:13 430:1,16,26 431:7,15,25 433:26,27 434:3 436:18,22, 26 437:1,4,6 439:17,21 440:24 442:15 443:11,21 444:1,13,25,27 445:8,17 446:7,23 449:24 450:2,6,12, 24 453:1,5,10 454:27 455:13,20 457:3,11,12 458:2,3,9,20 459:18,25 460:7,17,25 463:9 464:25 465:5,15,16 466:3,8,15 468:10,25 469:6,17,18 470:1,2,6,24 471:1,6,19,20, 28 473:21 474:1,22 475:12, 21,25 476:3 479:12,17 480:2,9,22 481:16 482:5,16 484:14,16,21,25

correcting 446:10

correction 249:20 430:5

correctly 257:25 370:1 432:4 436:4 437:20

correlate 380:6

correlation 295:12 296:10, 13 317:9 361:27 397:8,13

correspond 279:24 331:15 335:8 336:7 407:23

corresponds 336:4

cost 248:5,15,16 257:13 260:10,13 271:6 273:25 280:16 414:5,8 428:27

cost-based 259:14

costs 258:19 482:21

counsel 244:24 249:3 269:11 270:16 290:14 300:11,14 318:7 319:7,12 350:1 376:10 377:26 380:21 382:13 385:2 387:3,13 389:21 396:7

count 322:24 367:20 431:22 451:20 452:15

counties 282:27 332:11 337:11,13,21,24,25 338:10, 26,27 339:1,2,11,20,21,23, 24 340:4,5,7,8,20,21,24 341:11,12,13,14,26,27,28 342:1,14,16,17,27 343:10,



12,13

country 260:20 282:17 292:21 374:16 380:2 426:20 427:24 439:13 442:4 451:14, 25 456:26 457:3,5 458:6 479:8 482:2

county 330:17,21 332:15 336:5,11,16,20,24 337:1,17 338:23 339:17 340:1,17 341:8,23 342:10,23 343:6 379:13 459:11,12

couple 262:15 278:16 299:14 300:18 332:9 389:27 401:3 420:9 421:15 435:11 466:25 469:2

coupled 258:17 275:27

court 240:2 244:24 249:3.16 250:7 267:27 269:11,15 270:16 290:14,21 300:11 302:3,7 307:25 308:8,24 311:19 312:4,9,24 318:7,17, 19,25 319:3,7,12 320:16,19 321:16 345:7,12,17 349:23 350:1 351:22,27 352:3,10, 13,21,28 353:3,11,14 363:2, 12,16,19 364:12,16,25 365:1,6,12,18,21,24 366:3, 19 367:20 368:11 369:5,22 371:12 373:17,24 374:2,20 376:9,15 377:6,12,26 378:3, 20 380:10,13,17,21 381:24, 27 382:4,6,13 384:27 385:2, 5,10,16,21,25 386:4,7 387:2, 5,13 389:21 391:3 392:11, 22,26 393:2,10,21,24,27 394:7 396:7,23 397:12,16, 19,26 398:3,7,12,16 399:15 402:24 429:7,12,21,22,24 430:11 433:12,19 441:5,8, 12.14 452:18 459:2.9.20.22 463:16,19,23 464:5 478:28 485:2,7,10,15,24 486:2,5

courtesy 269:13

covered 249:17

covers 279:19 403:12 440:13

Covington 283:20,28 302:14 398:6,9,15,17,19 399:21 402:21 403:2,6 420:8 429:17 452:22 464:7

cow 406:25 452:1

cows 451:22 452:6

cre- 288:13

cream 462:9

Creamery 467:7 468:27

create 260:1 288:18 311:4

407:22

created 350:21 404:16

creates 412:8 484:9,11

creating 257:12 294:25 316:8 408:6

credits 442:12 447:4 455:3,8 473:26,27

critical 258:13 451:24

cross 240:7 300:12 302:3 312:26 345:17 369:7,15,22 385:28 387:16 396:25 429:9

cross-examination 240:10, 24 290:23,24 300:16 302:10 308:8,14,17 313:14 345:20 369:26 371:16 377:13 387:20 389:22,25 394:12 397:1 429:15 452:20 485:21

cross-examiner 363:22

cross-examiners 371:12

current 247:7 256:2 258:14, 22 262:5 263:8 284:12,14,20 285:22,24 286:25,28 288:12 290:5 292:19,27 293:5,8,9, 12,23 298:25 299:2 303:2,7 307:15,16 309:23 310:7,21 313:27 314:11 319:18 325:7, 14,15,25,27 326:25 327:27 332:2,12,16 337:6,9 377:18 401:14 404:15,17,19 410:24 412:24 414:13,16 415:3 418:13 440:4 450:27

customer 286:12 373:4 428:26

customers 286:11 372:2 428:24

cut 461:25 467:13

cutting 294:8

D

Dairies 468:2 471:10

dairy 240:21 242:20 245:8, 11,23,26 247:7,19 258:15,18 259:25 261:22 262:6 263:16 264:12,13 265:19 268:1 271:27,28 272:24 278:20 279:5,11,16 280:10,15 285:1,15 286:2,9 290:28 296:19 299:2 301:8 308:13 313:21,27 369:25 396:28 400:20 402:2 403:12,13,17, 23 404:7,8,10 406:2,5,6,11, 20,24,25,27 407:2 413:8 414:9,10 415:5 417:12 419:7,21 423:11 425:4,9

426:9 427:4 429:14 445:13 448:15 449:21 451:11,13,14, 15,24,25 454:27 458:21 461:9,22 462:26,27,28 466:6 467:1,14,16,17,18,19,20,24, 27 468:8 469:10,16,17,24 470:10,17,20,23,26 471:3,8, 18 472:22 473:6 476:23 477:11 481:9 483:10,16,17, 23 484:4

Dairymen 435:1,5,8

Dakin 469:10

Dakota 342:7

Dan 290:28 387:18

darker 337:23

data 283:15,21,24,25 284:1, 9,16,22 285:3 299:21,27,28 302:25 303:14,20,25 304:8, 13,15,19 305:2,18,25,26 306:7,10,14,17 310:27 311:1 313:8,9 321:15,20 322:26,28 325:2 328:4,5 335:20 338:8, 15,16 344:12,16,21 347:8 357:25 360:19.20 362:3 364:9 368:15 373:11,15 376:20 377:19,24 378:4,7, 11,16,17,19,22,23,24 380:25 381:2,8 382:16 385:14 394:27 395:2,3,4,5,8,14 406:8,18 409:2,12,14,17,22, 24.26.27.28 440:7 449:10 451:23 458:22 462:12 474:19 477:10

database 439:26

dates 282:1

day 240:3 415:2,28 480:6 485:18

days 243:25 345:2 400:22 415:26 425:11

DC 246:2,9

deal 303:4 484:18

dealing 251:19 307:28 455:14

deals 307:6 441:4

debate 255:17,22

decades 302:25 310:27 403:16

December 282:7 324:16,27 328:17,22 329:3,8,13,18,23 330:6,28 331:8 332:2,5 333:3,19 334:3,13,26,28 336:5,8,11,16,20,24 337:1, 18,22,25 338:18,24 339:1,3, 18,22,25 340:3,6,18,23,25 341:9,13,24 342:2,11,16,18,

24 343:1,7,12,15 459:11,13, 17 464:2,3 470:12

decide 273:27 301:4 353:6 381:19

decided 258:4 288:14 386:8

decimal 301:15 409:27

decision 255:13,26,28 256:5,7 276:11,12 283:8 285:7 315:28 316:7 362:24 378:16 442:15,16,17 448:7, 11 451:6 481:2

decision-maker 352:23

decisions 265:13

decline 316:17 317:5,6,9,10 318:2

declined 464:26,28 465:6 475:14,20

declines 317:13

declining 254:15,19 255:2 261:18 262:21 274:19 316:12 317:14 406:14 475:10

decrease 294:7,9 297:20

decreasing 294:19,23 453:4

defer 264:17 267:8,12 312:18

deferred 312:20

deficit 266:2 413:21 427:1

define 248:23 286:6 475:13

defined 242:22 374:7,12 482:19

defining 243:8

definition 242:9,17,28 243:1,4 253:1 287:20 354:27 355:8 374:23 431:6 457:14

definitions 242:16 374:9

definitive 243:7 247:6

degraded 294:14

degree 399:25 400:1,5,13,15

Delaware 338:4 342:7

delay 306:1 311:18 312:12, 13 415:6

delayed 310:19

delaying 415:9

delivered 390:1

deliveries 360:22 388:21

delta 478:3



demand 264:8 413:24,27

demonstrably 253:10

departed 465:12

department 300:26 316:1

400:2

depend 299:9

dependent 449:23

Depending 386:3,6

depends 444:5 476:9

depool 276:24 277:26 278:3

294:24

depooled 277:14,19,20

depooling 274:10 276:17, 19,22,26 278:5,10 295:3 315:27 316:3,4 413:18 463:9

484:18,21

depooling's 294:28

derived 381:1

derives 412:25

describe 292:16 332:28 391:14,16,24 479:10

describing 384:6 436:7

description 373:5

designated 372:11,27

375:16,23

designed 253:20 263:6

298:26 474:6

desiring 435:26

detail 277:17 299:23

detailed 258:19

details 375:6

determinants 317:16

determination 311:25

determine 397:7,13,15

determined 411:16 417:15

432:7 444:14 445:12

determines 317:16

developed 282:21 403:25

developing 303:25

DFA 469:26

DHI 449:12 451:10 452:5

DHIA 400:21 452:5,8

dialects 479:6

differ 288:15

differed 283:2

difference 259:12 275:12 283:2 284:16 294:21 304:26 325:26 327:2,8,16,24 328:2 388:1 411:2 413:14 438:20 455:17 460:3

differences 256:25,28 257:3 266:18 317:25 325:24 327:15,17 338:9 370:28 417:11 456:16

differential 274:21 275:4,6 282:14,23 289:28 307:9 326:24,25,26,27 327:1,4,5,6 388:7 389:10,14,15 412:12 413:5,14 425:3 431:21 446:28 454:24 483:15

differentials 282:17,28 307:14 326:10,26,28 327:7, 23,27 328:1 368:17,21 388:12 389:6,8 447:6 483:9, 26 484:12

differently 338:8 374:12 443:6

differing 257:11

differs 415:22 416:18

difficult 304:5 408:7 418:22 456:23,26 457:1 484:15

dig 458:22

diligent 308:17

dire 402:26

direct 262:1 274:3 285:16 295:12 296:10 319:13 345:15 398:13 465:18 467:9

directors 272:5 298:20 301:6

disadvantaged 274:2

disagree 277:21 351:7 418:5

disagrees 351:6

disappear 246:26 301:17

disappeared 246:26 262:2

291:11 474:12

disappearing 474:12

disassociate 484:15

discontinuity 310:28

discounting 366:16

discourage 295:3

discovery 258:24 261:25,27

298:27

discuss 278:18 331:25

484:23

discussed 249:1 255:18 270:18 303:12 349:5 470:5

discussing 240:22 442:23

discussion 255:17,22 296:28 367:21 377:18 423:8

dismissed 393:25

disorder 311:5 484:10

disorderly 260:2 264:26,28 265:8 274:9,26,27 276:19,27 277:23 278:1,6,11,15 288:18 293:27 294:2,4,15,17,25 295:7,13 299:8 311:9 316:4 408:6 413:18 483:1,7

disrupting 284:28

disruption 311:5

disruptive 276:28 310:15

311:5

disrupts 312:18

dissipate 297:6

dist- 404:2

distance 274:28 294:19 296:3.7 315:19 428:28

distinction 272:21 388:15

distinguishing 285:7

distributing 328:16,17,21 329:2,7,12,17,23 330:4,13 331:9 333:2,18 334:2,12,25 371:19,25,28 372:13,19,23, 24 404:3,4 464:1,3,27,28 468:21

diverted 384:12

divide 396:2

divided 389:16 460:3

Division 404:10 419:22 423:11 476:24 477:11

Doctor 318:6

document 269:27,28 321:4, 6,7,10,12 324:3,11,21,24,25 326:8 328:12,15 335:28 336:3 349:16,24 352:23 433:10 440:13 464:8 477:5

documents 319:22,24,26,28 320:3,4,7,10,13 464:9

dollar 278:21 279:5 282:13

dollar-ten 456:12

dollars 325:9 424:25,28 450:19 462:22,23 472:23,24

dot 372:6

dots 371:24 373:19,21 375:2 379:11 380:5

double-check 395:22

downs 417:6 418:24

downside 309:4,7,13 310:4

draws 279:10

drink 425:25

drivers 317:5

driving 263:3 318:2

drop 459:27 460:1,11,12

drops 460:7

Drug 243:2 379:20

dry 266:20,24 432:8,12 433:6,8 444:12,15,16 483:11

dual 393:28

due 242:13 327:14 383:16 411:25,27 413:6 414:4,6

duly 319:10 394:5 398:10

dwelling 276:20 277:3,7

dynamic 265:19

Ε

earlier 249:25 366:28 391:12 400:13 418:23 419:28 428:4 436:11 448:14 462:6 471:15

early 281:27 283:24 305:27 421:2 473:25

easier 333:6,21 427:6 459:14 472:20 473:1

easily 284:9 393:28 484:15

Eastside 470:20

easy 483:27

eating 317:12

economic 261:11 285:21 299:15 311:14 406:3 435:14, 18

Economics 400:2

economist 241:4,6,11 242:2 248:20 253:7 262:7 270:24 280:18 285:13 453:8

economists 311:2

Ed 415:8

Edge 308:12

educated 426:5 483:23

education 399:23,24



effect 259:4,6 261:20 277:2 280:14 291:13 295:23,28 296:19 297:24 305:4 315:13, 15,21,22 317:19 415:22 424:20 442:21 450:8 462:23

effective 264:2,10 268:2 295:2 310:3 415:28

effectively 303:2 448:23

effectiveness 308:23,28

effects 298:23 313:16 314:21

efficient 258:25 261:24,28 267:11 268:2 436:3

efficiently 271:6

effort 262:3 351:11 352:17 397:7,12,14 418:23 445:5

efforts 344:12 423:8

elastic 247:21 248:2

elasticity 264:7

element 407:25

eliminates 256:24

eloquently 312:2

else's 346:26

em 438:23

emphasized 265:16 316:2

empirically 244:11

employed 399:2

employer 400:19

employers 400:18

employment 403:20

enable 406:6

encourage 250:7 318:9 401:11 419:23 427:4 439:10

encourages 419:1

end 240:24 256:12 258:24 259:16 261:23,25 262:5 265:18 267:19 273:8 276:15 282:4 283:10 298:25,27 299:16 328:24 353:5 373:9 428:28 429:6 432:9 436:3 437:23 485:8

ended 305:21 401:22

ends 434:24

English 240:9,11,13,14 244:26 249:7,15,18,22,28 250:3,8,11 268:8 269:8,12, 16,23 270:14,17 290:13 294:10 317:8 345:18,21,24 349:21,27 350:2,23 351:18, 23,26 352:2,7,11,14,26 353:1,4,13,16 362:14,18 363:5 364:13,14,17,27 365:5,11,13,20,22 366:1,4, 18,20 367:17,18,22 368:4, 12,13,24 369:10,17,20 380:24 384:4 393:4,9,13 394:9,10,13 396:5 452:18, 19,21,22 459:6,10,21,23 463:24,25 464:6 474:26 484:28

English's 383:23 396:10

enhanced 245:13

enrolled 451:26

ensue 311:10

ensure 275:3 377:22 408:7 456:23,27

ensuring 419:19

entered 318:21 409:22

enters 302:28

entire 298:5 303:8 330:5

464:8

entirety 315:24

entities 272:28

entitled 272:23 362:23

entity 262:8 267:21

environment 246:27 247:2 481:21

.

equal 282:26 414:19 450:7

equals 322:10

equity 257:12

equivalent 266:21,26

equivocating 375:11

Erin 308:18

Espec- 460:18

essence 363:6 485:11

essential 297:10 407:25

essentially 291:5,19 331:10 333:20 334:4 354:21 388:17 389:4 451:4

estab- 279:17

establish 276:13 284:7 293:2,3 474:6,9

established 288:5 313:11 366:5 408:25 410:14 411:27 438:15,16 447:14

establishment 279:17

412:10

estimate 321:24 322:5 347:28 348:2,5,13 349:8 358:5,8,11,15 359:4,12,15, 18,27 360:2 361:18 362:18, 27 364:6 370:13 396:18

estimated 277:13,14 303:14, 16 322:13,14,17,18,21,22,26 323:8,10,13,18 344:3 346:22 348:17,19,23 349:10,12 350:26 357:26 364:1 380:25 390:5,26 391:15 395:7 396:16

estimates 347:18 349:15 360:8,15 361:7,12 364:2,10 477:5.8

etcetera 331:17,22 344:26 384:5

evening 485:26

event 439:21

events 402:3 417:9

eventually 240:6 263:7 422:11

evidence 264:4,9 278:6,11 299:18 301:21 318:14,24 397:25 398:2 481:26 485:22

evidentiary 299:21

evolution 258:18

evolved 422:11

exacerbated 258:5

exact 282:1,15 330:19 473:19 474:20 477:2

exam 283:11

examination 312:25 313:1 319:13 346:3 364:18 391:6 396:12 398:13 471:15

examine 301:4 364:20

examined 319:10 394:5 398:10

examples 276:3 314:20 316:19

exceed 253:4 416:1

exceeded 416:5

exceeds 253:25 418:13

excellent 393:28

Exceptions 276:12

excess 394:2

excuse 420:5 423:23 447:2 464:26

exempted 251:16

exemption 251:27

exhibit 269:8,14,16 270:15 277:12 318:11,13,18,21,23 320:28 321:1 324:11,17,18 326:1,2,3,4,9,12 328:8,9,16, 28 329:2,4,7,9,12,14,17,19, 22,24,27 331:16,23 332:1,27 333:14,20,27 334:10,23 335:9,24,25 336:4,11,13,15, 17,19,21,24,25,28 337:2,14 339:5 343:28 344:19 346:8, 14 349:17,19,23,25,26 351:2 363:24 366:21.22 367:19.26. 27,28 368:9,14 370:1 371:20,24 379:12 380:23,24 381:6 382:16 383:1,23 384:4,20 385:4,8,12,14 387:23 390:3,25 391:23 394:14,15,17,18 395:11,13, 15.20 396:15 398:1 399:12. 13,16,17,18 403:3 429:6 433:11,13,14,22,23 434:8 440:8 441:6,9,13,15 459:4, 11,12,22 463:15 464:1,2,10, 11,15,18,19,21 465:18,22 477:9,13 485:21

exhibits 284:2 328:20 335:19 336:6 337:8 343:19 344:17,27,28 350:21 352:4 353:4 367:28 371:18 376:13 387:11 392:24 393:11,13 397:21,24,27 409:23 458:25 459:1 460:21 463:14,27 465:3 476:22 481:19

exist 374:15

existed 248:21 451:5

existence 337:7

existing 389:2

exists 270:8 286:22

exit 296:14,19

expand 406:28

expanded 332:11 401:13 442:22,28

expect 286:16 370:28 410:17 424:6 460:12

expectation 314:16

expected 297:7,9 314:18 441:26

expense 248:13 414:10

expensive 247:4

experience 247:6 296:1,6 316:14 378:5 424:5 426:7,21 472:28



experienced 244:11

experiencing 245:15 317:27

experiment 290:4

expert 247:14 264:1,17 310:12,13 312:19,21 314:16 316:15,24 317:15,19 402:21, 26

expertise 376:18 481:23

experts 271:18 272:16

explain 307:5 321:11 324:24 326:7 330:1,2 333:16 337:15 338:21 339:15 340:16 341:6 342:8 344:16 349:15 378:2

explained 276:20 350:16 379:4 380:24 427:7 438:19

explains 323:21

explanation 313:19

explore 252:23 362:28 365:7 379:8

exploring 352:1

express 264:4

expresses 419:20

expressly 251:16

extend 287:28 423:8

extended 421:26

extends 404:9

extent 272:26,27 274:13 277:1 280:28 281:5 293:11 297:18 373:24 460:23 461:12 462:25 465:20 474:11

external 260:10

extra 454:8 478:10

extract 280:26

eve 475:15

eyes 279:27 346:25

F

F-A-I-R-L-I-F-E 249:7

facility 268:6

fact 241:7 249:26 251:1,5 268:9 271:2 274:16 277:4 286:22 292:13 315:5,9 316:28 317:11 346:1 348:27 350:11 353:17 366:11 423:21 438:25 470:13

factor 288:15 293:3 408:25, 26 411:27 415:22 416:18

417:15

factors 277:10 284:19 287:5 288:24,28 290:6 292:19,22 293:10,12,19,21,23 294:2 295:27 296:12 304:27 309:16 310:23 314:1,11 315:10 316:17 403:8 404:13, 23 407:17,23 408:2,9,27 410:14,24 411:7,16,19 412:7,16,24 413:7 414:13, 16,19,24 415:1,47,10,13,16, 23,25,27 416:6,7,13,20,22, 25 417:1 418:2,6,8,12,15,28 419:6,14,25 420:2 424:10,16 426:23 427:25 432:10 438:15 450:27

facts 350:20

fail 408:3

failed 441:10

Failing 407:17

failure 274:17 412:7

fair 293:17 296:5,13 297:19 311:7 360:9 364:20 365:7 373:24 402:3 427:9 436:6 442:2 445:23,28 447:18

Fairlife 245:16,28 247:3,8, 24,26 248:4,11 249:5,7,10 270:27 271:7

fairly 253:11 263:4 278:25 297:6 316:21 460:7

fall 253:14 281:27

falling 260:16 427:22

familiar 251:25 267:13 268:19 269:28 271:19 296:20 307:20 375:22,28 379:19,22,25,26 384:2 449:10

family 470:19

farm 251:9,13,15 260:13 266:20,25 281:22 285:15 286:9 296:14 406:5,25 407:3,7 428:19 435:19

farmer 286:2,9 308:13 404:7 407:2 444:22 449:21 450:4,9 451:14 467:9 469:2,15 472:22

farmer's 444:22

farmer-owned 467:9 469:11 471:4

farmers 240:21 268:1 272:24 278:21 279:5,16 280:10,15 291:2,21 297:6 301:9 403:13 406:2,6,11,24, 27 413:8 414:10 415:5 417:12 419:8 425:4,9 426:9 427:4 430:24 432:15 436:9 448:12,15 450:22 451:15,24, 25 454:27 458:21 461:9,20, 22 462:27,28 470:26 471:18 473:7 483:16,17,23 484:4

farming 296:23

farms 296:4,7,11,14,17,19 340:9 404:8 465:27 466:3, 14,15,19 467:27 469:26 470:3,17 471:7,8

Farms-owned 469:25

fat 250:19 357:4,6 383:17 384:7 391:24 397:3,5,8 426:1 431:24 445:3 446:22

fat/skim 283:26 292:26 303:13,20,26 307:8 370:6 433:26 448:23 450:9

favor 273:7 320:10

FDA 249:25 251:2 252:10, 11,14,19 268:26 269:6 425:22 482:18

FDA's 243:4

feature 302:27 372:1

features 299:3 313:26

February 305:10,13,22 415:15 416:14 442:14 473:23 25

February/march 306:6

federal 240:18 250:17 251:12,16 252:10,11,17,27 253:19 255:7,14,16,18,26 256:1,24 258:10,12,13,20 259:23,26 260:11,24 261:3, 4,23 262:17,19,26 263:5,8 264:27 271:16 273:21 274:5 275:2,7 276:4,13,14 280:6, 12 281:15,16,20,22 283:16 291:18,23 292:7,23 293:1 294:11,22,27 295:1,2,3,5,17 303:12,13 313:22,24 314:2 316:1,7,11 318:1 322:25 323:1,3,5,7,9,11,12,14,15 325:6 326:17 328:26 330:7, 9,11,24 331:9,10,11,12,13, 18,22 332:2,12 333:3,9,11, 19,21 334:3,4,13,16,20,26, 27,28 335:1,2,4,15 337:10, 19,20,22,23,25 338:8,18,24, 28 339:3,14,19,25 340:2,3,6, 8,19,22,25 341:13,15,24 342:2,12,13,15,18,24,25,28 343:7,11,15 360:23 361:2 387:24 388:4 389:16,28 401:17,23 402:7 403:15,19, 23,25,28 404:3,5,21,22 405:4,5,8,11,12,16,23

407:10,13,15,19,21,25 408:3,10,13,22,23 409:9,25 410:1,7,10,22 411:23 412:9, 14,16 413:2,16,19,22,26 414:1,3,7,14,18,21 415:19 417:18 418:9 419:11,15 420:15,28 421:3,6,9,11,19, 21,24,26,27 422:2,25,27,28 423:10,24 424:17,24,26 425:8,27 427:10,23,26 429:20,27 430:6,14,19,20 431:17 437:14,21,22,27 438:3 439:17 440:19,22 441:4,28 445:1,9,18 446:2, 16 448:17 449:5,7,10,16,17 453:24,25 454:24,26 455:10 457:7,19 460:18 461:4,15 462:4,8 471:19,23 474:4,8 476:14 483:26 484:1,19

Federation 242:14 272:1 280:19 398:28 399:13 403:7 426:17

feed 417:9

feel 241:19 301:13,19 304:6 466:9

feels 351:16

fewer 338:16,17 435:28 436:22 464:24 465:4

fight 284:15 365:17

figure 278:18 307:20 351:3, 19 356:9 358:3

figured 351:2

figuring 376:10

File 276:12

filed 484:22

files 481:7

fill 382:22

Filtration 470:8

final 255:26,28 256:5 276:11 281:27 325:24 328:2 361:18 442:17 455:19

final-final 282:6

finally 323:17 327:25 357:17

financial 268:7 276:25 277:26 296:23

find 241:27 268:3 278:27 331:16 362:16 373:23 402:25 439:27

fine 269:15 312:4 362:25 364:18,28 365:23,27 369:17, 20 382:14 386:2 393:8 443:16 458:28 486:4



finish 244:25,27 369:11,14 393:7 430:9 438:9 461:24,25 485:12

finished 438:12 444:11

FIPS 328:25 330:17 335:15

fitting 452:14

fixed 258:16 265:18 413:7

flake 279:1

flakes 278:27

flesh 420:10

floor 312:27

Florida 240:18 319:20 333:11 339:18,21,22 398:22 403:28 404:3 413:20 421:3 422:20 426:25 427:12,14,16, 28 448:17 449:8 462:2,3,4,8, 15,21 469:10 474:23

fluid 240:23,27 241:15 242:12,16,22,25 243:1,4,6,9, 20 244:6 245:8,9,12,14,26 246:19.25 247:4.17.20 248:10,26 252:16 259:28 260:17 262:8,12,18,27 263:18,25 264:3,8,15 267:15 268:14,18,22 270:19,25 271:4,25 272:4,9 273:7,16, 25 281:6 287:1 291:4,6,7 296:4 316:18.25 317:6.10. 13,17,22,26 400:27 402:1 408:5,8 413:24 419:19 425:17 426:10,16,19 428:15 436:28 437:5,8 442:5,10 452:28 453:3,6,13 454:3,20 456:24,28 472:17,28 475:10 478:5 481:8 482:1,18,22,23, 27 484:5

fluids 242:5

FMMO 276:7 373:9

FMMOS 474:6

focus 310:14 464:8

focused 309:27

focusing 465:17

folks 379:28

follow 254:19 260:15 300:19 308:18 310:13 320:20 355:14 369:28 391:16,23

follow-up 371:7 420:9

food 241:16 243:2,19 251:16 278:22,24 279:17 280:1 379:20

Foods 369:25 396:28 429:14 469:12

footnote 321:13,14,19,23 322:5,12,16,20,23 323:6,17, 21 324:1 325:9,10,19 327:11 341:1 357:24 358:11 360:15 361:1,17 362:19,24,26 364:21 369:28 370:12 395:23 440:10

footnotes 284:4 321:11,18 323:20 324:10 325:8 344:16, 25 345:5 346:3,6,11,21 349:15 350:14,15,25,27 351:11,13,21 352:4,18,19 358:6,7 360:14 363:21

force 307:20 403:25

forces 263:3

forecasts 402:9

foreseeable 313:23

Forgive 312:1

form 255:8 382:22 383:11

formed 401:13

forms 243:7

formula 258:10,17 288:12 414:17 432:10 445:17 450:23

formulas 251:22 252:26 258:14,21 261:23 262:5 265:18 288:15,21,22 293:2,6 295:17 298:26 299:1 304:9 313:22,24,26 325:8,16 403:9 404:13,15,17,19,20 419:7,25 451:4

fortify 267:10 268:10,11 271:1

fortifying 437:4.6

forward 305:10 315:7

forwarded 383:1

found 276:13 296:10 331:23 333:14,26 334:9,22 362:8 407:27 480:20

foundation 255:15 351:24 352:19

frankly 352:7

free 253:4 268:6

frequently 317:12 417:3 418:17

Fresh 467:1,14,16,18,19,20

Freshes 467:17

front 245:24 324:21 328:12 335:28 343:21 367:12 459:4 464:16

frozen 254:14

fulfill 378:17

fulfilled 378:18

fulfilling 378:22

full 290:9 402:15

full-time 400:18 401:25 402:10 403:20 423:28 428:25 441:25

fully 261:22 276:9 312:14 372:3

function 258:22 372:12

functional 262:1

functions 292:7 372:25

fundamental 275:2 298:24 304:26 313:25

fundamentally 260:12

funding 262:9

future 283:13 305:1 313:23 314:14 407:1 415:12 416:10 417:14 452:2

futures 309:1 310:11

FYI 380:18

G

Gallagher 415:8

gallon 241:9 480:13

gallons 437:7 447:25

game 265:24

gap 455:18,22,24,25

gather 388:5

gave 442:20 460:21 485:21

general 245:19 276:4 323:23 356:24 361:11 365:8 372:5 376:6 379:2 383:2

generally 245:25 254:7 255:25 268:16,17,21 372:17 378:9 382:16 397:9 432:27

generating 462:22

genetic 406:21,23 451:27,28

genetics 406:5

genomics 406:20 417:7 452:3

geographic 296:17 geographical 457:6

geographically 282:24

458:14

geographies 413:24

Georgia 470:3

gettin' 483:17

gist 385:18

give 304:8 313:19 322:2 349:28 351:23 360:6,18 366:3 399:22 400:8 420:13, 16 422:18 425:20 433:16 440:23 441:22 449:12 451:7 458:23 461:23 473:2 474:19, 26 475:5 477:2

giving 476:17 479:9

glad 403:5

good 240:12,13 245:20 265:11 290:26,27 300:1,8 301:5 302:6,12 308:11 319:15 343:26 345:8,22,23 353:14 366:19 387:18 389:27 429:17,18 434:12 440:1 452:24,25 474:26 475:3

goods 266:21,26

gosh 319:5

govern 255:28

government 260:7 425:28 442:1

grace 312:2

Grade 404:8

graduated 399:25 400:9,11, 13,23

graphs 270:5

gray 338:26 340:4,20 341:11 342:13 343:9

great 264:5 270:2,7 356:22 421:6 469:4

greater 253:7 277:20 295:23 412:27 432:20 433:7

greatly 291:27

green 330:10 331:13,14 337:19 342:25

grew 422:7

grocer-owned 468:4

grocers 402:1

gross 309:2

ground 378:28 379:4

group 240:15 241:8 249:9 329:2,21 345:25 394:11 452:23 466:12

Index: finish..group



groups 421:12

growing 248:3 265:17

grows 422:10

growth 245:15 316:25

317:27

guarantee 456:1

guess 246:23,25 249:16 278:26 279:11 293:26 294:8 299:11 305:13 318:12 333:10 351:7 377:6 385:10, 16 390:28 394:1 395:1 429:9 478:28 482:9

Н

H-E-R-B-E-R-T 319:17

half 286:10 290:15 365:13, 14 439:19 476:19 479:27

Hammond 470:20

Hancock 312:27,28 313:2 318:5,15,18 344:27 389:23, 24,26 390:28 398:6,14 399:11,20 402:20,28 403:1 429:2,5 452:27 459:3 463:28 485:5,25

hand 319:8 349:18 394:3 398:8 463:27

handbook 388:4

handed 441:6

handing 350:3

handle 369:13

handler 270:26 271:4 323:26 353:23 360:3 380:5 383:22, 25 384:2,8,12,19,21 391:25, 26 403:28 443:18 455:7,28

handler's 323:26 432:22

handlers 257:10,15 277:19 287:6 322:27 338:16,17 360:20 361:3,4 382:22 383:5,8 390:8,14,18 405:19, 24 415:5 417:13 426:24 437:12 456:12 463:8 474:10 480:17

happen 305:10 351:1

happened 315:8 437:4 456:17

happening 422:4

happy 241:27 485:13

hard 345:27 351:20 381:25 422:8 471:14

Harry 264:18

hate 320:16

he'll 351:6 486:1

head 362:2 460:1

heading 327:25 366:24

headquarters 401:4

heads 486:6

hear 367:24 378:6

heard 240:25 244:8 304:17 314:25 367:23 425:10 428:4 467:11 479:2,4,5 484:13

hearing 240:3 258:2,8 264:20 265:1,2,10 266:6,7 282:2 286:21 299:16 300:21 314:15 319:21 321:9 344:18 349:5 377:5 385:26 401:20 403:26 404:11 415:14 419:22 420:22 421:1,14 442:13 446:5 448:19 453:23 473:16,22,23 482:24 484:22

hearings 378:5 403:16 421:12 484:20

hedge 308:23

hedging 308:28 310:9

held 368:16 401:21 420:23 421:1,5,12 442:13 473:22

helped 408:15

helpful 331:26

helping 453:10

helps 417:20

Herbert 319:2,9,15,17 321:4 324:21 325:28 328:12 329:1, 27 331:24 335:21,28 337:5 343:28 345:14 350:20 351:15 369:15 374:7 379:11 382:10 384:28 385:8 387:5, 17 393:19,25 396:14 398:3

Herbert's 384:25

Herd 400:20 451:11,13

Heritage 471:8

hey 256:6 351:2 472:25 483:18

high 270:18 275:22,27 278:24 286:9,10,15 287:11 313:19 363:7 422:21 423:7, 16 428:5 448:24 453:25 461:28 467:1,4 481:14 483:21

higher 240:27 241:14,18 242:4 244:6 245:20 246:17, 22 247:1 248:5,9,15,16,26 251:21 267:1,4,15,18,20 270:27 271:5 275:28 277:11 278:22,23 280:5,8,12,17 286:2,3 287:12,13 288:7,9 289:3,9,14,21,26 290:5,7 293:9 363:10 366:13 406:22 408:20 410:20 411:12,25 412:21,23,26 413:5 414:3 428:18 432:27 433:4 435:14, 18,21 436:7,13,26 437:24 450:20 462:2 481:13 484:8,

highest 278:25 317:26 361:24 362:6

highlighted 331:4,13 333:23 334:5 341:10

highly 482:19

Hiland 469:24 471:5,7

Hill 362:10,16 363:1,15,17 373:7,25 376:2,14,20 377:10 378:15 380:16 381:21

Hill's 374:5,18 377:7

hinder 482:21,27

hire 285:16

historical 417:16 420:17

history 281:12,13 422:19 442:20

Hold 461:11

holding 368:20 404:10 419:22

hole 365:18.22

holistic 272:18

Holland 465:27

home 317:1,7,13

Homeland 467:7

Homestead 468:27

homework 485:26

honest 434:23

Honor 249:19 250:4 269:10 298:16 300:10 308:11 312:23,28 318:15 319:1 349:27 350:23 363:18 366:18 373:8,28 377:11 384:23 389:20 391:5 392:25 398:6 399:11 402:20,28 429:5 441:13 452:19 459:8 463:25 464:4 485:6,25

hope 455:21

hoping 312:17 371:21

hour 290:15 386:9 387:8

housekeeping 485:17

huge 455:18

hundred 363:9,11

hundreds 271:18

hundredth 414:22

hundredweight 257:11 325:10,12,13,14,21,22,23 389:17 404:27 405:25,28 410:11,15,16,18,22,23 411:9,14,23 412:26 435:21 437:17 445:2 446:3,17,27 450:16

hurricane 282:3

hybrid 281:15

hypothetical 301:3,28 350:5,8,10,12 351:9,28 356:11 359:26 366:5

hypothetically 383:23

hypotheticals 313:16 314:20

1

i.e. 297:8

Idaho 341:20

idea 248:25 270:25 288:26 346:22 378:11 449:12 476:6

identical 418:3

identification 321:2 324:19 326:3,5 328:10 329:1,5,7,10, 15,16,20,25 335:26 336:14, 18,22,23,26,28 337:3 343:20 349:18,20,26 352:6 353:12 399:19 433:15 441:5

identified 316:3 318:21 330:7 331:14 333:13,25 334:7,21 335:6,7,14 337:28 341:17 342:4 360:1 374:22 379:19 383:23 399:12 403:3 441:1 443:26

identifies 360:21 372:7 380:1,3

identify 330:19 373:21 465:22

identifying 356:22 371:19 373:19

identity 243:3 251:3,17 252:2,10,20 268:26

ignorant 244:2

II 254:11,15,17,19 259:3 260:15 321:25 322:2,4,6,10 348:2,19 356:28 357:4 358:18,26,28 359:11,14,17,

Index: groups..ll



20,24 376:23,25,27 377:3 383:10 392:2 405:14,21 407:11,14,19 408:21 413:6, 10,15 419:12 424:13 430:25 431:1,9 433:25 434:1 438:27 443:19 445:27 446:12 447:12,17,20 448:22 450:18 454:1 462:3,8,9,16,18,19 463:3

III 253:17 254:8 256:10,13 267:21 272:11 275:22 277:10 285:5,9,17 286:1,12, 15 287:11,13,16,22,24 288:3,6,11,14,17,21 289:3, 22 292:19 309:1 314:5,8 $321{:}23{,}26{,}27\ 322{:}6{,}7\ 348{:}5,$ 9,21 354:20 357:7,11 358:18,28 359:1,3,5,10,26, 28 376:23,25,27 377:3 392:2 405:14,19 407:11,14,19 408:21 410:9,14,18,21 411:2,5,8,13 412:13,15,20, 22 413:6,10,15 414:25,27 419:12 424:13 427:13,16 428:5 430:25 431:1,11 432:2.5.7.13.18 433:25 434:1 435:20 436:10 437:11, 24,25,26 438:6,17,18,21,27 443:19 444:14 445:10.11.12. 15,21,27 446:12 447:12,17, 20 448:23,28 450:18,21 454:1 455:18 456:4,17 462:3,6,16,18,19 463:3 484:7

III/IV 286:3,23

Illinois 340:13

illustration 352:17

immediately 387:14

immense 264:6

impact 291:23 295:25 297:2, 5,15 299:19,25 300:2,5 417:9 426:23 430:23,28 435:14,18 437:5 462:13

impartiality 377:21

impetus 437:18

implement 306:4 309:28 401:12,17 420:19

implementation 305:9 415:10,11,27 424:7

implemented 255:14 305:12 404:21,23 405:4 408:9 410:26 415:2 416:12,14,20 417:18 420:25 421:7,8 422:5

implementing 255:26

implication 261:3

implications 296:23

implicit 370:24

implies 253:1 260:27 311:25

imply 453:27 456:9

implying 244:2

importance 299:18 316:2 415:9

important 272:21 285:1 304:13 309:22 312:14 316:8 377:20 378:11 417:2 418:16 419:23

importantly 451:26

impossible 355:4

impression 250:25

improper 378:19

improve 308:22 407:4

Improvement 400:20 451:11.13

improvements 406:4

improves 243:17 436:3

improving 406:24,28

inappropriate 447:28

incentive 286:24 287:16,21 289:2,6,12,21 290:8 473:2

incentives 294:24 315:27

include 243:23 285:5 307:12 332:11 335:2 338:4 340:12 341:2,3,20 342:7 343:3 344:15 390:10,14,21,22

included 283:26 301:24 304:11,15 338:2 371:23 380:4 384:7 395:8 421:14

includes 243:5 261:4 297:14 328:25 335:13 380:24 403:14,24 419:2

including 245:8 256:1 272:7, 12 284:2 304:3 305:20 376:26 382:18 383:4 403:21 406:21

inconsistent 440:16

inconvenience 308:20

Incorporated 398:27 400:25 401:5 403:10 418:1 419:20 470:10

incorrectly 324:5

increase 246:19 258:6,9,11 259:11,20,21 261:21 284:7, 12,24 294:7 297:20 302:16 305:11 308:28 309:9 310:1 314:18,19 315:17 406:3,6,26

407:8,16 408:18,20 410:5,28 411:25 412:5 413:5,8,10,12, 13 419:8,10 424:23 425:1,2, 9 426:22,28 432:14 433:24, 27 434:2,4 437:10,19 445:14 447:11,21 450:17 454:9 462:17,20 471:27 472:19 477:20 478:3 482:21,26

increased 245:17 287:4 296:5 308:22,28 311:10 316:26 406:11,13,15 407:11, 12 410:21 411:13 413:17 414:4,10 419:8 436:8 438:27 445:25 447:16 449:18

increases 259:14 284:26 407:1 414:5,8 424:22,24 447:10 472:7,9 478:8

increasing 247:27 258:27 259:3 272:10 277:9 294:23 295:26 296:8 297:24 309:4, 7,8 310:2 406:21 424:26 426:23 427:2 453:5 472:18 473:1 483:12

increasingly 258:21 260:20 274:19 295:19 317:1

independents 291:3

Indiana 340:13 465:27

indication 276:27 474:13

indicator 274:27 275:11 276:21 483:1

indices 278:19

individual 259:11 266:5,6 272:14 277:28 293:2 360:3 370:20 373:22 377:28 401:10 420:23,24 421:12 425:23 460:17 469:22

induce 280:15

industry 258:15,18 260:2 262:6,13 263:10 265:19 274:1 285:1 291:1 299:2 310:19 313:28 373:20 379:9 403:12,18

informal 255:18

information 271:22 304:6,21 306:20 331:20 338:19 343:20 344:6 346:15,22 360:25,28 361:6,14 367:10 368:10 370:9,10 371:23 374:26 376:16 377:1,8,15,23 380:28 381:7,18,19 382:11, 12,21 383:4,5,14,18,20,28 384:21 385:3,7,9,24 392:5 395:12,13 396:21,24 409:24 420:10 426:4,6 440:15 448:7 451:21,24 453:21 474:22 475:4 476:20 477:7 483:17

informed 299:15

ingenuity 263:23

initial 284:7 316:7

initially 242:19 284:18

initials 435:3

injustice 307:21

innovate 262:10,13

innovation 240:15 241:8 249:9 263:14,19 345:25 394:11 452:23

insemination 406:23

inside 340:4

instance 300:25 301:22 347:2 358:4 456:10

instances 315:18 316:3

integrated 298:12,22 301:13

intend 320:13

intended 292:1 302:21 350:9,27 351:10

intensive 271:15

intention 259:25 344:17

interest 264:5 273:27 277:27 285:21 419:18

interested 263:15 293:28 324:10 402:2

interests 276:25

interfering 308:10

interim 402:12.16 403:22

interiect 373:28

International 369:25 396:28 429:14

interpret 272:8 274:4

interpretation 318:3

interrupt 249:17 318:12 385:25.28

interruptions 386:6

Interstate 379:19,28

intervened 282:6

intervention 260:7 441:28

intolerant 480:4

intrigued 365:19

introduced 240:16 277:12 420:15 458:25

Index: III..invalidate

invalidate 314:28



inventory 427:20 462:7

inversions 411:3 413:17

investing 402:2

investment 402:2 469:4

involve 310:15

involved 254:2 293:16 294:2 296:12 320:1 373:19 401:7, 19.20 403:17 423:10 451:18

involvement 422:25

involves 407:26 451:16,17

Island 338:5

issuance 440:12

issue 240:22 250:9,12 253:12,16 254:1 258:1,4,26 259:1 263:18 264:18,21 265:13 279:4,20 284:17,18 292:1 293:27 294:28 304:5, 8,13 305:2 365:17 429:23 444:13 473:27 477:18 483:25 484:26

issued 281:24.26

issues 265:14 266:6 279:20 300:22 403:24

italicized 346:22,28 347:4 348:12 349:7

italics 323:17

item 349:3

items 391:8,25

IV 253:18 254:3,5 256:10 261:10 267:21 272:12 277:10 285:6.9.17 286:1 287:23 288:3,6,11,15,17,21 289:4,22 292:20 314:6,9 321:25 322:2,4,6,10 348:12, 23 357:17 358:18,25,27 376:24,25,27 377:3 392:2 405:14,21 407:11,14,20 408:21 411:22,23 412:2,13, 15,20,22 413:6,10,15 414:28 419:12 424:13 427:13,16 430:25 431:1,10 433:1,3,5, 25 434:1 437:12,25,26 438:6,17,18,21,27 443:19 444:16 445:10.11.12.15.21. 27 446:12 447:12,17,20 448:23 449:1 450:18 454:1 456:11,18 462:3,6,16,18,19 463:3

J

J-O-H-N 319:17

January 321:8 324:16,27 347:2,26 416:13,14 427:26

Jersey 338:5 400:24 401:6 470:20

iobs 279:18

John 319:2,9,17 363:26,28 371:14 387:8

joined 272:4

joint 469:25,27 471:6

Judge 312:1

judging 245:18

jugs 426:2

jump 255:4

June 404:6

justified 301:21

justify 392:22

Κ

Kaiser 264:18

Kansas 343:4

keeping 404:26 409:12 417:16 439:24,25

Ken 407:2

Kentucky 320:24 466:7,14,

--

key 255:15 256:3 310:23

kind 245:3 254:15 261:7 295:5 304:12 382:8 420:10 442:12 455:1

kinds 247:26

Kleinpeter 470:17,19

knew 250:5,6

knowledge 244:4 245:4 254:18 257:20 283:12,13 296:16 363:23 426:15 428:2, 22 449:13 468:26 470:25

Kroger 466:25 468:7 470:4 471:9

L

label 245:24 270:7 338:2 480:28 481:14,17,21

labeled 243:21 325:17,24 327:3 349:17,24 368:1

labeling 426:1

labels 243:24,25 481:2,13,

laboratory 311:26

lack 250:28 293:18

Lactaid 478:18

lactose 242:12 478:14,23 479:16,23,24 480:2,4,19

lactose-free 478:19 479:12 480:4

lag 306:22

laid 299:22 316:7

Lake 420:27 421:5

Lane 471:3

language 288:24 305:9 307:4,6,7,11 375:24 420:2

large 241:13 374:27 417:10 455:22 457:5 458:5,18 479:7

largely 276:2 291:11

largest 426:19 460:12

late 265:23 281:27 473:24

latest 284:1

lawyer 458:28

lead 316:6

lead-off 241:22

leader 279:9

leaders 279:8

leading 316:17

leads 298:7 413:17

learned 244:12 351:7

leave 365:18 369:12 480:5

leaving 270:9 349:4 353:17 403:20 447:6 481:12

led 251:28 316:26

Lee 469:12

left 273:9 313:3 325:4 326:16 428:21

left-hand 334:19 342:20 343:2,16

legally 252:3 271:1

legend 328:24 330:8 331:16, 23 332:22 333:14,27 335:12, 13

legendarily 279:11

legislation 251:19

lengthy 321:10 380:24

lens 241:11

lesser 317:3

letterhead 473:16

level 240:27 241:15 242:4 244:5 250:22 254:1 257:7 261:15 262:22,26 272:15 284:24,26 288:2 293:7 294:1,3,5,22 313:19 315:19 323:26 361:24 362:6 411:25 412:26 417:22,25 418:13,20, 21 419:8 446:12,22 449:23 450:11,23,24 454:9 477:1

levels 242:23 243:23 256:12 257:12 293:4,5 297:8 303:11,18 323:27 324:16,27 325:7,11,15,18,19,20,25,27 370:9,13,14 391:19 397:3,5, 8 406:4,7,22,28 407:1,4,6,24 408:20 410:8,20,26 412:17, 23 413:5,9,12 414:4 417:16 418:24 424:22 427:22 428:2, 18 431:6,14 432:18,19 433:4 435:15.19.22.25 436:1.8.12. 13,27 437:8,11,19 438:5,26 443:20,27 444:4,6,23 445:7, 17 446:14 447:9,10,16,21 448:24,25 449:2,8,11,13,18 452:5

liaison 401:1

light 337:20 339:20 343:9

likewise 427:5

limited 378:5,22 379:6

lines 316:10 331:19 334:7

liquid 316:28

list 276:15 339:7 340:11 342:6 343:3 372:25 373:23 379:15,20,22,23,25,26 380:1,3 464:9,18 465:9,10, 22 467:22 468:21

listed 337:28 339:27 340:10, 27 342:19 343:1 392:17

listen 351:2

lists 339:5 367:28 380:5

litigation 251:28

live 457:4

lived 246:2

LLC 308:12 466:7

local 400:19 427:4

located 330:18 337:26 340:9 372:6 404:3 457:15,27 458:14,22

location 296:17,18,22 327:26 330:14,20 332:21 376:3 388:18,20 389:8,12

locations 330:5,13,15,18



331:14 332:19 333:5 335:7 379:12,13,18 380:4

logical 273:23

London 466:7

long 249:24 265:20 270:23 284:12 422:8 439:25 448:3 465:21 477:4

longer 262:1 292:23 351:13 412:19,28

longtime 403:10 412:9

looked 250:23 255:6,9,10,26 283:23 314:27 449:7 460:6

LORIE 394:4

loss 279:7,9 296:11 465:12

lost 311:22 364:12 482:7,9

lot 240:19 245:11 246:5,23 271:18 281:13 284:4 316:23 346:12 351:12 352:24 365:1 372:25 379:6,7 396:15 400:11 428:13,15 460:14,15 466:10 472:25 483:17

lots 263:18 296:12

Louisiana 470:18.21

Louisville 320:24

low 263:9,28 266:3 267:5,10 270:21,22 439:22 482:28 483:3.25

lower 267:1 276:1 278:28 282:19,24,25 284:12 286:11, 17 288:2 289:25,26 290:2 410:16 413:1 422:23 449:14 483:2 13

lowercase 470:14

lowers 414:10

lowest 439:23.24 440:4.6

lunch 368:28 385:26 387:9, 15

luncheon 386:10

Lynchburg 468:5

M

M&b 469:14

M- 289:12

m-i 470:14

made 242:3,6 244:5,15 245:3 258:25 273:13 305:21 315:11 362:27 378:16 380:1 385:23 397:7 408:20 432:15 442:1 451:28 472:20 473:15, 18.19

magnitude 418:7 419:4

Maid 468:8

mailbox 414:11

mailing 398:19,20

main 284:11 317:5 462:26

Maine 290:28 291:3,6

maintain 250:21 377:21 408:15 417:21 419:17 438:24

maintaining 316:8 338:9

major 400:27

majority 271:25 272:3,8 273:15,22 372:8 405:13 423:12 426:10 462:27

make 251:21 263:7,28 265:3,13 266:22,26 267:11 274:23 283:12 284:12 293:19 304:25 305:27 306:13 308:2 323:19 330:15 332:1 333:15,21 344:23 345:3 346:5 351:16 353:11 360:17 364:1,5 365:2 368:8 370:1 384:24 392:27 400:12 417:3 418:17 426:4 427:5 429:6 430:24 432:16,24 433:3,25 435:7 436:17,28 451:2 458:27 461:3,7 464:13 466:18 472:3 473:1 477:26 480:25 481:2,15 484:15

maker 267:8

makers 267:9 268:10

makes 267:1,3 268:7 274:10,25 312:5 362:25 364:21 378:16 379:5 408:7 433:2 447:15 456:23 480:16 485:22

making 267:13,25 268:2 303:4 305:23 309:24 315:26 351:20 367:22,23 379:2

management 263:17 284:28 306:23 310:3,12,14,16,17 311:11 312:15,18 406:5,26 415:5,8 417:13 451:23

manager 472:16

managing 309:1 441:25

mandate 274:4 282:7 284:23

mandated 281:22

manner 383:8

manufacture 268:22 375:3, 5,9,13,19 380:8 435:27

manufactured 257:22 289:1 408:6 428:16 435:23 447:21

manufacturer 441:22

manufacturing 257:13 266:19 268:5 271:20 275:1, 5,12 294:20 315:20 375:13 376:24 401:10,15 405:14 408:17 412:12,25 413:1 428:21 432:20 440:23 483:28

Maola 467:4

map 330:3,4,7,12,23 331:7 332:8,12,16 333:25 334:24 335:3 338:22 340:16 341:7, 26 373:27 374:18,23 377:1 379:11 380:5

maps 328:20,24 330:16 331:3 334:14 335:1,11,14 336:6,8,10 337:8,12 338:7, 10

March 305:10,12,13,22 415:28 416:20,21 473:24,25

marginally 295:23

Marin 308:11

mark 320:26 324:14 326:2 329:1,6,11,22 336:15,19 349:24,26 399:12

marked 269:14 321:1 324:11,18 326:1,4 328:7,9 329:4,9,14,19,24 335:19,23, 25 336:13,17,21,25 337:2 343:20 349:18,19 352:5 399:17,18 433:11,14

market 247:7 252:24 253:2, 4,8,11 255:1 259:7 268:5 276:8 279:24 291:7 294:10, 13 313:17 319:19 322:23,27 327:3,5,6,25 338:8 360:21 364:8 368:18 370:22 374:24, 28 378:9 379:14 380:2 381:11,12,15,17 382:17,21, 24,27 383:3,12,24,28 384:1, 16 385:11,13,22 389:13 391:25,26 396:19 401:14 404:5 409:2,13 417:3 424:28 440:20 441:21,26 462:13 474:14 475:3 478:18 480:20 483:13

marketed 245:13 246:8 372:9 400:28 401:1

marketer 241:4 478:10

marketing 260:1,3,24 262:19 264:26 265:8 270:25 272:22 274:9,26,27 276:13, 19,27 277:23 278:1,7,12,15 288:19 291:16 292:8 293:28 294:2,4,15,18,25 295:8,13 299:8 311:6,9 315:26 316:4, 9 319:20 331:12 332:10,12, 17 333:5,7,9,11,22,24 334:5, 6,17,20,28 335:4 337:9,18, 20,23,26 338:24,25,27 339:1,4,11,18,20,22,23,26 340:2,4,7,9,18,20,22,23,26 341:9,10,15,24,25,28 342:1, 11,13,15,19,24,26,28 343:7, 8,10,13,14 372:3,7 374:12 401:6,18 402:4,21 403:15 404:1,4,21 405:6,9,11,12,17, 23 407:10,13,15,19,21,22,26 408:3,6,10,13,22,24 409:10, 25 410:1,7,10,22 411:24 412:10,14,16 413:2,16,19, 22,26 414:1,2,4,7,14,18,21 415:19 417:17,21 418:9,10, 17 419:11,15,16,17 420:28 421:3,7,9,22,26 422:2,27 423:1,15,24 424:18,25 427:10 428:12 430:19 431:17 437:15 441:4 445:2, 9,18 446:2,16 448:18 457:28 462:4,9 473:5 474:9 475:1 476:14 483:1,27 484:1

marketplace 259:16 280:11 291:11

markets 314:21 316:13 382:18 384:1,20 395:21,27 412:21 422:16 424:19 442:10 483:19

marking 264:28 329:16 336:23,28 413:18

Marva 468:8

Maryland 340:13

Master 400:1

Master's 400:4.14

matches 394:22

material 309:26 310:9

math 356:6 475:22 476:18

mathematical 362:15

mathematics 460:1

matter 251:1 308:20 309:5,6

maximize 285:15

maximum 253:3

Mayfield 467:27

Mcarthur 469:8

Mcmurtray 319:1,4,6,14 320:18,21,25 321:3 324:8,20 326:6 328:11,27 329:6,11, 16,21,26 332:26 335:18,27 336:9,15,19,23,27 337:4 350:19 351:14 381:28 382:9



384:23,28 392:25,27 393:8, 12,18,23 396:11,13,22 397:18,23

MCP 256:18 257:23 286:1,22 287:10 289:2,21 321:24 347:1,10 353:18 354:3 370:15 430:25 431:7 442:19, 20,25 445:25 446:13 447:9, 28 448:12,25 450:5 457:11 458:9 460:23 461:7,21 463:5

MDIA 291:2,8,21 387:19

meal 317:12

meaning 272:28 370:8

means 250:15 257:10 267:6 275:21 338:14 339:13 352:24 354:27 366:27 441:17 472:9

meant 249:11 292:16 457:26 485:10

measure 451:27 452:11,12,

measured 452:5

mechanics 312:17

mechanism 250:20 261:25 284:21 295:3 314:13 445:6, 24

mechanisms 299:1

media 244:13

meet 374:23 404:17 413:24, 27 414:13 440:24

meet all 442:1

meeting 419:15

meetings 271:17,18

member 271:19 272:26 403:10,24 468:24

members 241:7 249:8 271:28 272:2 277:28 291:15 307:19 404:7 426:16 472:23 473:8

membership 404:6

memory 439:20 471:3

mention 328:19

mentioned 250:12 334:27 421:25 444:4 445:13 462:6 480:28

merits 266:9,13

met 270:23 441:23

methodology 361:18 451:1

methods 263:13

metric 453:8

Mexico 339:8 340:14 341:21

Michelle 319:6

Michigan 338:4 340:13 407:2 421:16

microphone 302:4

mid 421:14,21 442:28 443:8

Mideast 341:24

midwest 263:1 340:18 421:21

MIG 272:27 349:17 468:24

MIG-2 349:25

Mike 407:5

milk 240:15,21,23,27 241:8, 9,15,18,22 242:2,8,10,12,14, 16,22,25 243:1,3,4,7,9,14,20 244:6,18 245:9,12,14,26 246:1,4,5,19,25 247:4,17,20 248:5,10,20,26 249:9 250:16 251:8,12 252:16 253:17,18, 22,24 255:1,2,7,23 256:12, 18,19,26 257:1,3,6,8,12,13, 17,23,24 258:3,8,25 259:2, 11,28 260:1,4,9,10,13,17,24, 28 261:6,9,10,16,26 262:2,8, 12,18,28 263:18,25,26 264:3,8,16 266:2,3,20,24,25 267:5,10,16 268:2,14,18,20, 22.27 269:4 270:19.21.22. 25,26 271:3,4,5,11,14,25 272:1,4,5,9,11,12,24 273:7, 16 275:7 276:11,13,24,26 277:4,9,14,19,20,26 279:2,7, 9,15,21,25,27 280:4,8,11,16, 18,27,28 281:3,6 283:16 284:14,19,21 285:8,11,16,27 286:3,10,11,15,23 287:4,11, 13,26 288:2,22,23,27,28 289:14,25,26 290:2,3,5 291:4,16 292:12,19,20,21,28 293:3,4,8,9,12 294:24 295:8, 11,16,18,25,26 296:3,4,7 297:5 300:15,22,28 301:3, 25,27 302:20,22 304:4,24 305:8 306:11 308:6 309:16, 17,19,20,28 310:24 311:6,27 313:13 314:1,5,7,8,12,17 316:3,4,18,26 317:2,6,10,14, 17,21,22,26 320:27 321:7, 14,19 322:25 323:1,3,4,7,10, 12,14,15 324:26 325:15 326:18,19,20 327:7,17,23 336:5,11,16,20,24,28 337:17,21,24 338:8,17,23,28 339:2,12,14,17,21,24 340:1, 5,8,17,21,24 341:8,12,14,23, 27 342:2,10,15,17,23,28 343:6,11,14 345:25 346:15

349:3,10 353:26 354:8,15,24

355:8,9,20,22 356:1 357:23 360:22 361:8,9,12,19 362:9 363:9,11 366:13 370:28 372:1,13,18 373:3,20 376:23,25 377:2 378:5 379:8,19 380:1 383:9 384:12 389:1,28 390:1,23 391:27 392:7,8,9,14,15 394:11,24 397:9 398:20,25,26,27 399:5,6,13 400:22,28 401:1, 5,14,17,26,28 402:1,3,5,11, 14,21,22 403:7,8,9,10,15,18, 28 404:2,3,5,12,13,15,16,19, 20,21,22,26,28 405:2,3,5,8, 11,12,13,14,17,18,19,20,21, 23,24,26,27 406:1,4,6,9,12, 18,22,24,26,28 407:1,4,6,7, 10,11,13,15,16,17,18,19,20, 21,22,24,26,27 408:1,2,3,4, 5,6,8,9,10,12,13,16,17,18, 20,21,22,23,24 409:1,3,4,9, 10.15,19,25 410:1,5,7,9,10, 13,14,15,18,20,21,22,24,25, 26,27,28 411:4,7,8,11,19,22, 23 412:2,3,6,7,9,11,13,14, 15,16,17,20,22,23,24,26,27, 28 413:2,5,7,8,9,15,16,17, 19,21,22,23,24,25,26,28 414:1,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,11,13,14, 16,17,18,21,24,26,27,28 415:1,3,7,10,13,16,19,20 416:6,13,17,20,25 417:1,11 418:2,5,8,9,10,11,15,24,28 419:6,7,9,11,12,15,19,20,24, 25 420:3,18,28 421:3,6,9,21, 26 422:2,27 423:1,13,24,28 424:3,10,11,13,17,22,24,27 425:13.14.16.17.19.20.22. 24,25 426:2,3,8,10,16,19,23 427:2,4,5,6,7,9,10,13,16,17, 21 428:15,16,19,25,28 429:19.27 430:6.8.14.17.19. 24,28 431:1,5,9,15,17,18 432:1,5,18,21,23,25,26 433:3,6,7,8,24,28 435:22,23, 24,28 436:9,22,27,28 437:5, 7,15 438:7 439:4,10,12 440:12,18,19,20,23 441:4, 22,25,27 442:1,5,10 443:19, 27,28 444:12,17 445:1,7,9, 18.25 446:2.16.20.22 447:23,25 448:18,22,24,25 449:8,24 450:10,15,18,21,28 451:19 452:5,10,23,28 453:3,6,13 454:3,4,10,18,20, 21,23 455:15 456:11,24,27 457:10,14,15,17,20,22,26,28 458:2,4,7,8,18,19 459:11,12, 17,27 460:14,16,23,25,27 461:1,5,6 462:4,8 465:4,5,10 468:11 469:20 470:10 472:17,21,28 474:8,10,16, 17,25 475:1,10 476:6,14,18 477:5,8 478:4,5,7,9,10,14,19 479:21 480:4,8,17,20 481:3,

8,14,18 482:2,3,14,15,18,22, 23,26,27 483:12,20,27,28 484:1,3,5,6,11

milk's 292:3 297:3 298:5,6, 9,14 300:20,28 315:15 325:18 326:10,28 327:28 412:27 424:8,21 426:13 428:8 430:23 452:27

Milkco 466:26

milkings 451:18

million 350:5,6,7 353:22 356:11,13 357:8,17 435:27 436:1,21 460:2,11,13 474:24

Miltner 291:27 300:14,17 302:2

mimic 298:26

mind 275:11 363:4 398:15 403:2 417:17

mine 441:12

minimal 305:4 428:23 474:9

minimum 242:10,18 243:5, 23 250:21 252:13,23,27 253:1,2,3,4,7,8,10,14,17,21, 24,27,28 254:1 257:13 268:27 278:5,10 284:25 289:4 292:15 293:15 294:11, 14,22 425:23 455:11 473:7 474:7,13 479:28

minimums 280:6

Minnesota 338:4 340:13

Minnesota/wisconsin 438:2

minus 321:27 322:7 325:27 358:25,26,27,28

minute 459:28

minutes 270:18 386:2 485:12

mirror 258:23

misalign 408:4

misaligned 258:21 456:22

misalignment 265:17 408:19 410:4 411:4 412:1 419:10 424:11

misalignments 415:12

misheard 251:1

misnomer 457:9

missed 345:27

missing 324:4 344:26

Index: MCP..mistake

mistake 461:27



misunderstood 275:17

mixes 299:10

mixture 484:6

model 282:22

modernization 255:16

modernize 262:4,7,10 271:16

modernizing 407:25

modestly 246:20 297:5

modified 422:1

moment 244:22,28 245:15, 28 317:28 328:28 362:5 447:7 454:14

Monday 353:2

monetize 481:21

money 273:8,18 427:3 436:2 450:6 462:18 480:25

mons 452:14

Montana 341:3,4

month 323:2,3,5 360:23 388:4 409:13 415:2,11 435:27 436:21 451:18 472:23 474:25 476:26

monthly 288:23 305:26 322:23 323:6 361:4 379:15 402:8

months 305:23 306:3 396:1

morning 240:1,12,13 290:26,27 292:11 302:6,12 308:11 319:15 343:26 345:8, 13,22,23 346:4 349:21 396:15 485:14,28

mouth 241:19

move 273:8 296:3 311:19 318:11,13 324:14 328:7 332:27 333:16 335:23 338:20 339:28 352:7,11 353:6 364:24 366:18,22 397:24 428:27 461:1 463:13 475:8 485:22.28

moved 310:6 401:3

mover 288:6,10 410:25,27 411:5,15,17,26 412:2,5 424:24 427:27 454:18,21,23 456:3 463:7

moving 273:18 326:1 331:6 334:1 339:15 340:16 341:6, 22 342:8,21 473:26

MPC 287:21 347:3,7,13,25 350:10 354:1,8 355:15 356:9 358:4 361:13 383:11 460:25

multiple 266:14 285:12 286:8 321:21 323:24 327:15 361:9 390:9 392:4,6 394:24 401:8,12,15,17,21,22 402:22 405:4,7,9,16 407:9 408:22 410:10,23 411:24 412:20 413:3 414:2 419:12 420:14, 19,25 421:1,9,13,20,22,25, 28 422:4,15,17,22,26 423:2, 5,8,13,15,19,25 424:2,6,14 425:2 427:10 429:28 430:7, 15,21,27 431:1,8,28 432:16 438:28 443:7,14 449:22,25 453:27,28 460:27 461:8

multiplied 321:27 322:8 387:25

462:14,20 473:11,15,17,28

multiply 323:28

multiplying 322:13,17 323:10,13

mundane 346:12

Ν

NAJ 387:8 418:3,4,19,27 419:2

names 240:16 328:25 466:10

narrower 438:21

narrowing 411:1 438:25 439:2.8

narrows 413:16

nation 261:15

national 241:22 242:2,14 244:18 246:1 248:20 251:8 255:7,24 256:11 258:3,8 259:2 260:25 265:1,2 269:17 271:14 272:1,5 277:4 280:18 283:25 285:4,10 287:20 292:2,12 297:3 298:5,6,9,13 300:20,22,25,28 301:3,25,27 303:24 304:24 305:8 306:11 308:6 315:14 324:26 325:18 326:10,27 327:7,23,28 371:14 389:1 398:24,27 399:13 400:25 401:4,5 403:7 417:28 420:3 424:7,21 426:13,16 427:22 428:8 430:23,28 452:27 473:16 476:5,8

nationally 257:9

nationwide 296:8 304:9 439:16

natural 278:5,10,14 428:7

nature 258:16 265:18 297:1 312:26 378:1 386:7

nearest 414:22

necessarily 245:23 247:6,22 250:15 259:24 260:19 267:18 281:13 330:19 332:20 363:8 444:2 465:7 483:4.5

needed 356:27 391:1 436:28 440:19 441:27

needing 279:22 461:5

negative 274:18,19,22,25 275:8,15,20,28 276:6,7,8,17 315:18 463:8 482:28 483:2, 3,8,14,19,25 484:12

net 291:17

neutralizing 480:18

Nevada 332:15 338:4

Newport 468:8

News 468:8

newsletter 402:8

nice 368:7

night 331:25

NMPF 403:11,25 418:3,11 419:5

NMPF's 324:15 368:17

NMPF-2 399:16

Nobis 407:2

nobody's 446:5

nods 486:5

nominal 302:27 303:1

non-class 240:19 295:25 321:26 322:6

non-classified 280:5,13

non-cooperative 278:2

non-fluid 276:23

non-multiple 407:14,20

nonetheless 282:19 283:4,6 304:6 368:8 455:12 475:27

nonfat 242:11,19,20,23 243:5,6 245:8 246:24 250:21 266:19,24 267:15 268:27 269:20 285:16 289:3,22 321:20,28 322:1,2,3,8,9,10, 11,20 325:12,21 354:15 355:27 356:1 357:28 358:14, 16,17,18,19,27,28 359:1,3,5, 9 366:12 382:24 384:5 391:18 392:8,18 394:23 404:24 405:2,21 406:12,17 408:11,26,28 409:5,8,20 410:2 411:25,27 414:20,27 415:18,21,22,24 416:16,18 417:16,22,24,26 418:13 425:23 431:10,11 433:6,8 444:12,16 449:3 454:4 479:16,20 483:11

nonmember 475:1,2

North 399:26,28 467:1

northeast 296:2,3 337:18 347:3,26 370:26

Northwest 295:4 342:11 361:23 362:6,21 370:16,21, 23 381:8,11 397:3 421:17

note 284:23 330:15 335:18 337:27 338:7 351:15 405:6 440:10 441:17

noted 368:11

notice 241:27 276:15 345:18 379:28 380:6,12

notified 463:28

noting 241:25

nuisance 284:25

number 241:24 245:4 258:7 260:22 282:15 298:11 300:26.27 312:6 318:23 321:1 324:18 326:4,17 328:9 329:4,9,14,19,24 331:15 333:26 334:8 335:8.13.25 336:13.17.21.25 337:2 345:1 347:3,4,13,27 349:6,19,23 353:22 355:19,24 356:14 357:1,18 358:22,23 359:24 388:2 399:18 401:10 409:12 428:3 430:4 433:11,14 436:27 458:25 459:19 460:22 462:27 464:26,27 465:5,11,12,26 469:5 471:5 472:25 474:20 475:9.15 476:25 479:26 481:7 483:18

numbered 324:5,7

numbering 324:2

numbers 284:3,4,8,9 303:16 305:23 314:23 331:15,20 333:13 334:22 335:8 344:19 347:10,21 348:27 349:9 350:10,13,25 351:9,10 352:4 353:17 355:6 364:1 367:7,8 390:5 394:19 396:16 398:1 435:12 451:2 475:6,27 477:11,12

numerically 410:4

numerous 313:15

nutrition 406:5,25 417:8 480:1,28 481:2,13



nutritional 243:19,22 425:21,25 426:1 452:28 453:4,9,13 478:5,12,14 480:22 481:22

0

oath 240:5 387:6 474:21

object 350:19,22 353:8 363:1,17 364:22 373:7 376:2 393:4

objecting 363:20 382:6

objection 349:22 362:10 363:2,12 364:23 365:6,16 376:14 377:4,7,17 378:1,21 384:24 485:24,28

objections 318:20 365:2 393:3 397:26 402:24 485:16

objective 262:19 378:4

objectives 414:14

obligations 445:26 447:11

observable 437:11

observe 422:4

observed 317:25

obvious 345:26 365:15 447:15 464:23

occasional 253:12

occasions 375:4 402:14

occur 247:7 276:22 284:26 286:16 299:10 418:25 423:20

occurred 265:21 417:19 428:9 438:26

occurring 408:14

occurs 277:27 408:19

October 326:13 328:4 368:16 388:1

off-farm 403:12

Off-the-record 463:18

offer 392:24 402:20

offering 320:10

office 320:23 383:4,12 385:12,14,23

offices 317:2

official 276:14 379:28 380:6, 12

officially 303:15 344:18 367:23

offsetting 298:23

Ohio 340:14 400:1 421:14 457:15,28 458:12,13

Oklahoma 339:8 343:4

oldest 439:27 451:14

on-the-record 367:20

one-page 349:24

one-report 384:15,18

online 326:11 336:7 344:24

open 266:10 268:5 286:21 369:2

opening 330:2 337:15

openly 451:3

opera- 272:3

operate 240:17 252:27 253:2,6 272:2,3 273:6 404:7

operated 271:27,28 282:22

operating 274:5

operation 286:12 469:17,19

operations 285:17 289:4 296:24

operator 469:15

operators 272:28 279:17 471:21

opinion 244:14

opportunities 411:3 428:16

opportunity 266:5 276:12 351:23 471:22 472:11

oppose 377:28

opposed 242:8 244:8 268:5 283:13,19 285:8,17 287:7, 17,21 296:22 311:4 453:20

opposite 247:25 279:13,14

option 282:11,13,16,18,20, 21,25,26 283:8 448:12

options 283:3

orally 453:25

orange 340:19

order 240:2 250:21 251:12 252:15,18,27 253:20 255:7, 14,16,18,26 256:1,19,24 257:8 258:4,10,13,20 259:23,26 260:11,24 261:4, 21,23 262:17,20,26 263:5 268:11 269:18,19,20 270:10 271:16 273:21 274:5 275:2,7 276:4,13 280:6,13,15 281:15,16,20,22 283:26

287:7,20 289:24 291:18,23 292:23 293:1 294:11,23,27 295:1,2,4,5,17 297:9 304:7 305:9 306:2 313:23,24 314:2 316:1,7,11 318:1 320:28 321:8 323:1,3,5,8,9,11,12, 14,16 325:5,6 326:11,17,18, 20 327:21 328:17,21,26 329:3,8,13,18,23 330:7,9,11, 24 331:4,9,10,12,13,18,22 332:2,4,7,13,15,16,17,23 333:9,11,19,21,22 334:3,5,9, 13,17,20,26,27,28 335:1,2,4, 16 337:10,19,20,22,23,25 338:8,18,25,28 339:3,14,19, 20,25 340:2,4,6,8,19,20,22, 23,25 341:13,15,25 342:2, 12,13,16,18,25,26,28 343:8, 11.15 347:3.6.7.25.27 349:10 350:10 353:18 354:1, 3,5 355:15 358:4 359:9 360:12,23 361:3,13,19,21,23 362:6,12 370:4,6,13,14,15, 16,19,21 373:26 374:7,11, 15,19 375:21,24,26 376:12 381:1,5 383:11,13,15,27 387:24 388:4,14 391:24 392:3,4,6,13 396:1 401:18, 19,20,23 402:7,23 403:16, 19,23,25 404:4,5,22 405:4,6, 9,12 407:26 408:3,10 410:7 412:10,14,16,22 414:21 415:19 417:18 420:14,15,20 421:1,7,9,11,14,15,19,20,24, 28 422:1,20,21,22,25 423:1, 11,16,20,23,24 424:26 425:8 426:4 427:18,23,26,28 428:1 429:20,27 430:6,14 431:7,10 432:16 437:22,23,27 438:3,7 439:17 440:11,13,22,23,27 441:2,3,4 443:1,10 446:2 448:14 449:5,7,10,16,17,22, 26 450:5 452:2 453:24 454:25,26 455:10 457:7,15, 19,23,28 458:1,2,12,14,15, 16 459:15,17 460:6,10,12, 17,19,24 461:4,15 462:2,3,9, 21 464:2,3 465:26 471:19,23 474:18,19,20,23 476:7,21,26 481:13,14 484:1,14,19,22

Order's 413:16

orderly 260:1 262:19 292:8 315:26 316:9 417:2,17,21 418:10,16 419:5,17

orders 240:18 257:16,23,24 258:1 263:8,9 264:27 266:5, 11 270:4,10,13 283:16 285:5 286:1,8 287:10,21 289:2,21 292:8,26 295:1,4,24 301:9, 11 303:12,13,18,20,24,26 304:1,3,11,14,19,22 305:3 307:8,15,28 321:15,20,22,24 322:12,16,20,25 323:23,24

324:1 327:16,18 333:3 337:6 347:1,11 349:4 357:23 359:28 360:16 361:2,6,9,25 363:28 370:23 372:20 374:8, 9,13,16 375:22,27 376:8 383:16,17 384:16 389:28 394:23,25 404:1,21 405:6,7, 10,11,13,17,23 407:10,13, 15,19,21 408:13,22,24 409:10,17,25 410:1,10,22 411:24 413:2,19,22,26 414:1,4,7,14,18 418:9 419:11,13,15 421:4,12,13, 15,16,19,22,26,27 422:2,5, 12,14,18,19,27 423:7,9,12 424:6,12,14,18,25,27,28 425:1 426:24,25 427:1,8,10 429:28 430:15,20,25,27 431:1.9.17.18.21.432:1 433:26,28 434:3,5 437:15 439:1 442:19,20,25 443:7, 13,19,25,27 445:2,10,19,25 446:13,17 447:3,10,28 448:8,13,18,23,25 449:9,25 450:9,13,18,22 453:25 454:19 457:11 458:8,9 460:17,19,25,26,27 461:6,7, 8,10,22,28 462:4,14 463:1,6 464:9,19 473:12,13,17 474:9 476:15,27 477:2 483:27 484:2.17

organic 279:25,27 280:1,4,7, 11,16,26,28 281:3,6

organization 277:25 312:13 316:22

organizations 277:25 403:14 451:14

organized 404:14

orient 370:3 434:17

original 315:28 405:7

originally 253:19 283:24 371:6

OS 321:24

out-of-date 408:2

out-of-state 251:28

outdated 293:23,24 303:5 313:27 413:6

outlier 252:8

outline 330:10 333:24 335:6 338:26 339:19 340:3,5,19,21 341:11,12,14,26 342:12,14, 17,25

outlined 271:15 272:6,18 330:10,11,23 331:14,19 333:8,12 334:6,17,20 335:5 338:25 341:25 343:8



outlines 333:24 337:19 339:19 340:3.19

over-order 474:12

overdue 284:12

oversees 370:22

oversight 441:11

oversimplification 356:23

overview 400:8

overwhelming 264:9

owed 446:14

owned 271:26,28 272:28 426:11 465:23 466:15,19 468:19 469:9 471:26

ownership 273:25

ownerships 466:12

owns 466:3 469:3,22

Ρ

p.m. 429:12 463:21 485:13

P.O. 398:21

Pacific 295:4 342:11 361:23 362:5,21 370:15,21,23,26 381:7,11 397:3 421:17

package 261:20 272:6 298:5,10,12,14,20,21,22 299:25 300:20 301:4,10,13,

packaged 482:1

packet 479:7

pages 324:3,4,5,7 346:14 347:20 349:10 454:15 464:10

paid 277:5 280:17 281:2 292:25 405:17,26 427:3 430:24 431:6,13,24 438:28 443:18,28 444:19,22 445:2,3 446:2,3,17 449:22,27 450:6, 10,14,16,22 456:8

pains 259:5

panel 243:22

panels 243:23

paper 250:24 344:14,24

paragraph 256:3 281:14 434:25 435:26 436:7,19

paragraphs 435:11

paraphrase 440:10

Pardon 372:16 379:24

part 251:11 255:13 266:14 267:20 274:4 315:23 317:18 351:11 353:19 370:10 374:27 377:7 401:22 404:22 417:18 421:24 423:4 427:26 428:10 438:3 442:28 446:10 447:8 448:1 452:4 455:10,26 457:16 460:24 463:5 477:22

partially 250:28

482:7

participate 281:5,7 483:28

participates 280:28

participation 271:17

parties 320:14 324:10

partly 242:13

parts 256:6 260:20 418:4 446:26 447:2

party 379:1,3

passed 251:9

past 245:11 315:8,9 316:28 385:27 403:16 417:19 423:22,26 428:9 442:9 467:16,21

pay 248:8 256:13 257:10,15 280:14,22 287:1,3,6 291:8, 18,19,22 297:16 304:2 309:2 405:19,24 414:10 432:17 433:2 447:4 448:24 454:22 455:28 456:1,12 461:1 463:8 471:18 472:21,24 473:7 474:10 480:20 484:9

paying 256:18 431:18 432:22,23 449:16

payment 432:15 444:7 445:26 446:21 447:11

payroll 322:26 360:19

pays 446:11 454:20 455:13 456:6

PD 434:25 435:7

pending 368:26 442:11

Pennsylvania 340:14

people 240:8,20 244:13 246:8 279:10 317:11 345:5 362:4 402:5 422:6,10 423:11 425:19,21 435:4 479:15

perceived 377:28

percent 321:28 322:3,8 323:11,15 354:23,25,26 357:12,14 427:17 460:3 475:14

percentage 254:24,28 311:21 312:6 322:3,14,18

354:4,12 355:17,19,23,24 357:20 359:12,14 366:12 372:2,22 406:11,13,15 415:23 416:2,19 418:14 475:17 481:14

percentage-wise 474:17

percentages 323:28 406:10, 18 477:9

perceptions 280:2

perfectly 369:17 434:23

performance 452:1,4 484:14,17,20

performed 244:19

period 406:11 440:13 448:8 456:18

permit 276:25

permits 269:4,6

persists 365:16

person 352:14,15,26 354:9 356:9

personal 257:20 320:8 428:1

personally 244:12,16 371:2 375:8

perspective 420:17 432:23 442:5 449:21

pertains 294:18

pertinent 369:7 376:11,18 378:24

peruse 464:8

Pet 467:24

Peter 308:16 420:1

petition 266:6 297:14 299:22 474:2 484:23

phenomenon 316:4

phrase 273:1 274:9 282:6

phrased 294:17

physical 332:21

physically 457:27 458:9

pick 433:5 435:4 472:24

picture 434:11

piece 301:24

piecemeal 298:15 393:16

pieces 446:21 463:2

pin 330:18 331:15

pink 333:7,8 334:7,18,19

pinpoint 333:26 334:8 335:7

pinpoints 330:12 331:20 333:13 334:22

place 255:5 301:15 314:3 370:28 372:8 415:4 420:20 422:10 423:1,3 445:6,24 449:19 454:25 461:15 472:26 478:11

places 298:11 409:27

plan 281:26 387:9 420:18 423:2,4,14

planning 344:4

plans 401:12 420:26 423:25

plant 289:22 296:18 328:25 330:5,12,13,15,17,19 331:14,17,21 332:20 333:5 335:7,15 350:5,9,11 351:9 356:12 359:7,27 360:10 372:7,26,27 373:2,4,5,8 375:2,6,9,13,15,16 376:4,5 379:12,18 380:3 388:18 404:4 424:1,2 435:19,26 436:15,20 437:4 457:16 458:15 466:6,8,14,22,25,26, 27 467:1,2,4,5,10,25,28 468:3,4,7,9,12,13,17 469:1, 21 470:8,11,12,16,27,28 471:11 484:5

plants 273:7 285:9 287:11, 22,24 289:12 291:4,5 296:4, 8,15,17 328:17,21 329:3,8, 12.17.23 331:9.19.21 332:19 333:3,13,19,25 334:3,7,8,13, 21,26 335:6 371:19,25,28 372:11,12,13,19,21,23,24 373:6,12,19,20,22 374:6,23 375:3,4,18,21,23,25 376:1,3, 24,25,27 377:1,2 379:12,13, 15,18 380:1,2,3,7 400:28 401:2,10,15 402:1 404:3 420:24 426:11,16 428:5,15, 21 440:12,23 464:1,3,9,18, 24,26,27,28 465:4,6,8,9,12, 19,22 466:2,12 467:19 468:22 469:6,7 471:27,28 472:17,28 476:7,9,10,11,13, 14.21

play 309:17

plenty 362:3

plummeted 439:13

plurality 372:8

pocket 273:9 457:2,4,5 458:5,18

point 249:10 259:5 263:11 264:22 283:20 292:15 294:13 296:28 313:10 346:16 347:13 353:14

Index: outlines..point



362:11 374:5,18 399:11 407:1 416:2 441:21 443:13 460:5 464:17 465:13 467:1,4 475:26 485:22

pointed 266:2 304:2

points 415:23 416:19 418:14 420:13

policy 262:24 311:14 403:24

pool 262:28 280:27 309:6 328:16,20 329:2,7,12,17,22 330:4,13 331:8 333:2,18 334:2,12,25 371:19,25,28 372:11,27 373:8,12 380:7 388:25 389:1 390:19,20 391:13 403:27 404:2,4 425:8 450:19 464:1,2,27,28 468:21 483:27 484:7,16

pooled 322:25 323:1,3,5,7, 11,12,14,15 326:18,20 328:16 337:21,24 338:17,28 339:2,14,21,24 340:6,8,21, 22,24 341:12,15,27 342:2, 15,17,28 343:11,14 360:22 361:3 429:19,20,27,28 430:6,7,14,15,20 457:26 458:1 8

pooling 276:4 278:6,11 356:23 370:10,11 484:2,26

pools 275:7 281:1,2,6,8 287:4 289:15 424:26

popular 279:9

portion 248:6 275:26 291:7 313:21 431:20 437:16 446:1, 17 447:23 458:7

portions 260:21 419:2

Portland 291:5

position 258:3 298:19 301:1 319:18 378:9,13 382:9 482:28

positions 284:28

positive 243:27 245:25 273:20 276:2 297:5 354:4 406:23

positively 251:23 406:3

possibly 251:18

post 338:15 484:19

potential 417:8.14

pound 257:10 339:5 414:23 445:4 446:18,27 450:14

pounds 321:14,19,20,21,24, 26 322:5,6,13,15,16,19,21, 22 323:9,10,13,14 325:11, 12,13,20,21,22 326:18,19 337:27,28 338:3 339:26 340:10,27 341:2,3,4,5,17 342:4,19 343:1,15 347:9,28 348:2,5,10,12,15,17,19,21, 23,25 350:6,7 353:22 354:4, 11 355:1,16 356:11,13 357:1,6,8,12,14,18,20 360:22 361:3 363:9,11 382:23,24 383:10,11 387:26 389:16 391:20,28 392:1,7,9, 13,14 395:22,25,26 404:28 405:1,2,3 408:11,12,28 409:1,3,4,8,9,15 414:19,20, 25,26,28 415:18,19 416:16 431:19 435:24,27 436:2,17, 21 447:22,24 449:27 459:16 474:24 482:1,3

powder 433:6,8 444:17 483:12

Powell 468:2

power 440:23

PPD 274:21 275:15,20 276:6 327:9,12,14,21,22,26 388:24 389:5 483:19

PPD/UNIFORM 326:9 327:19

PPDS 274:18,19,22,25 275:8,10,11,17,28 276:1,7,8, 17,20 277:4,6,11 315:13,15, 17.18 463:8 482:28

practical 408:14

practice 412:9

practices 407:3

Prairie 465:26,27 466:3,14, 15,19 469:25,26 471:7

preceding 416:4

predict 452:2

predominant 422:28

prefer 312:18,20 364:17 382:10

preference 283:6,7

preferred 282:10

preliminary 290:16

premise 298:9

premises 289:8

premium 317:21

premiums 291:10 474:12

preparation 320:2

prepare 319:21,26,28 399:8

prepared 274:6 319:27 320:1 346:3 352:8,15,27

364:19,24 365:10 378:14 409:7,21 456:15 463:13

preparing 319:21 381:6 385:8 403:14

present 301:21 338:8 377:8 440:14

presented 269:26 298:11 378:25 403:7,9,26 476:23

presenting 269:12 378:23 403:15 411:3

president 308:12

pressure 295:10,18

presume 285:20

pretend 265:20

pretty 296:8 344:21 434:12 457:6 466:9,20

prevail 297:9

prevent 415:12

prevented 252:4

previous 261:27 268:9 286:5 331:11 337:8 415:20 441:6 475:19

previously 273:14 288:7 318:21 334:27 399:12

price 246:17 247:1,10,13 248:1.2.6.9 251:22 252:13. 23,24,27 253:1,2,3,5,7,8,9, 10,11,14,27,28 254:1 257:10 258:6,9,23 259:17,25 260:4 261:8,15,24,27 263:24,28 264:2 265:1,18 274:20 279:23 280:12.17 282:8.26 285:25,26 286:2 291:8,18, 19,22,23 292:15 294:1,3,5,8, 9,11,13,14 295:28 296:10,14 297:5,16,20,21 298:27 301:23 309:28 310:10 313:26 317:18.25.26 325:7. 26 327:10.12.14.19.21.23.26 359:25 360:1 376:23 387:26 388:3,6 389:2,3,5,7 402:8 403:9 404:13,15,17,19,20 410:9,15,18,22,25,27 411:2, 3,22,23 412:6,11,27 413:4,8, 14,16,17 414:11,17 419:7, 10,25 424:23,24 425:1,3 426:28 430:15,24,28 431:21, 24 432:1.4.7.8.9.11.12.13 433:24 434:3,4 435:20 437:24,26 438:3,6,28 443:18 444:5,6,9,10,11,14,18,21,27 445:1,9,12,14,21,22 446:7, 20 448:22 449:1 450:14,20 454:4,6,18,21 455:11,13,19, 27 456:3,4,5,8,11 461:16 462:20 463:7 471:25 472:18,

20,22 473:1,8 474:13,14 478:9 480:11,15,16,23 482:26 483:9,14,22,26 484:7,12

priced 246:17 280:4,8 389:28 405:21 431:3 437:16 446:15

prices 246:19,20 247:17 256:11 257:9 258:10,11,13, 24,27 259:3,6,11,12,24 260:8 261:17,21 262:22 264:8,9 275:3,12,13,23,27 276:1,7 280:22 288:11,23 292:25,27 294:20 295:22 297:7,24 298:28 302:20 310:11,22,24,25 311:27 315:20 317:22 324:15,26 325:7,9,17,18,24,27 366:26, 27 367:4,10 404:27 408:3,21 410:5,8 411:5,8,17 412:2,22 413:6.9.15 414:6 420:14 425:13 432:13 435:20 444:24 445:6,11,15,21 450:7 451:4 453:28 462:20 474:9 476:2 483:10,11 484:25,27

pricing 242:28 247:21 252:26 256:14,15,24 257:15, 28 258:21 261:23 262:5 265:2 266:14 273:21 278:5, 10,19 280:5 285:12 286:8 288:15,23 289:4 293:1,6,10, 19 295:9,13,17 298:26 299:6 303:14 304:2,7 313:22,24 316:11 318:2 321:22 323:24 325:16 326:9 327:15 360:12 361:6,9 383:16,17,27 401:8, 12,17,21,22 402:6,22,23 403:18 405:5,7,10,16,24 407:9,15,21 408:19,23 409:26 410:11,23 411:12,24 412:21 413:3,21 414:2,7 419:13 420:18,19,25 421:1, 10,13,20,23,25 422:1,5,15, 17,22,27 423:2,5,6,9,13,16, 19,25 424:3,14 425:2 427:11 429:28 430:21,27 431:2,8,17 432:1.10.16 436:8 437:23 438:28 443:7.14 445:16 449:22,25 453:27 460:28 461:8 462:14 473:11,17 474:1,7 479:28

primarily 283:2 291:4 294:19

primary 291:8,21 298:8 414:14 419:15

principle 304:26

printed 434:13

prior 336:10 339:10 415:6 423:10 477:15

privately 469:9



pro- 292:20

problem 258:5 262:14,21 303:28 311:1 364:20

problems 263:21 265:25

procedure 305:16 309:9,11 383:6 415:14 416:2,4,8,10, 11,22,26

procedures 295:9 310:16 311:26

proceeding 249:10 255:19 265:23 266:9,10,13,15 276:16 291:22 312:2 377:20 486:10

proceeds 272:24 471:18

process 267:11 271:7,14,21 279:28 296:6 298:27 356:23 370:11 383:12,13,19,21 384:16,18 419:5 480:18

processes 261:28 266:19 271:20

processing 248:16 260:1 262:12,18 271:26 272:4,9 273:15,22,25 426:11,16

processor 252:1 268:14,18 426:19 454:20,22

processors 262:9 263:13 268:1 276:23 279:16,22 287:1,3 290:4 478:1,11 481:21

procured 414:1,9

procuring 414:8 427:6

produce 261:8 283:8 298:5 436:1 437:10 447:16,18 462:5

produced 255:1 269:17 280:1 282:23 283:3 370:28 452:6 458:5,9,19 465:14

producer 253:21,24 263:17 274:20 276:23 279:21 280:14.22 283:16 284:21 285:11 291:18 292:21 293:4, 8,19 294:1 295:8,13,28 297:16.20.21.24 298:28 299:6 302:20 309:1,19,20 311:27 313:13 314:5,7,8,12, 17 316:22 320:27 321:7 322:25,26 323:1,2,4,7,10,12, 14,15 326:18,19,20 327:17 336:5,11,16,20,24,28 337:17 338:23 339:17,21,24 340:1, 5,8,17,21,24 341:8,12,14,23, 27 342:2,10,15,17,23 343:6, 11,14 346:15 353:26 354:8, 14,23 355:22 356:1 360:18, 20 363:9 390:22 391:27 392:7,9,14 394:24 395:22,

25,26 404:16,19 405:12 406:9,18 407:27 408:1,12 409:1,4,9,15 413:4,12,14 414:21 415:19 416:17 425:2 427:17 430:8,17,19,28 431:21 443:27,28 444:8 449:8 457:10,14,17,18,20, 22,24,27 458:2,7,8,11,12,13, 16,18 459:11,12,16,17,27 460:14,16 465:4,5 468:28 474:25 483:9,14,26 484:12

producer's 275:27 413:3

producers 242:14 248:21 272:1 274:10,23,25 275:16, 18,21 276:1,28 280:18 291:20 292:25 295:15,19 296:10 300:15 361:10 398:27 399:13 401:2 403:7 405:17,26 426:17 431:16 448:18 449:26 450:13,16 457:25 484:10

producers' 285:16 324:26

produces 267:6

producing 248:14 260:10,13 280:16 426:8,9 436:20,21

product 241:19 242:17 243:9,20,26 245:23,26 246:9,11,12,16,21,28 248:7, 8,14 249:11 251:13,22 258:24 260:9 261:18,23 262:5 264:12,13 265:18 267:2,3,17,19 268:11 270:28 279:9 281:16 282:4 298:25, 27 313:22,26 361:3 424:2 432:9 433:5 437:10,23 444:11,13 447:18,22 478:17, 22 480:21,27 483:11

production 337:21,24 338:28 339:2 405:13,18,27 406:12 413:22 419:9 427:2, 4.5 451:19

products 242:13,18,22 245:8,9,12,14,15,16,21,27 246:7,8,20,25 247:3,17,19, 23,27 249:5 254:4,5,8,11,14, 19 257:22 260:15 261:25 262:22 263:26 264:3 266:18 267:25 268:22 275:5 278:22, 24 280:1 289:1 290:6 294:20 314:22 317:21 375:3,5,10, 13,19 380:1,8 430:25 432:18,20 433:2,3,25 445:13 447:17,21 479:12 484:6

professional 400:9,16

professor 407:5 469:3

program 252:18,28 259:23, 24,25,26 260:11 261:4,7 262:17,20 263:5 274:5 275:2 313:24 406:9,19 410:19

421:7

programs 415:5 452:10,14

progress 406:21 452:1

Progressive 434:28 435:5,8

progressively 253:14,25

projected 407:7

promise 385:26

promote 262:18 292:8 315:26 417:2,17 418:16

promotion 246:23 401:7 418:10

promptly 345:10

prone 260:2 264:27 265:8

proper 439:10

properly 310:19 378:25

proponents 299:19 376:26 377:22

proportion 254:14

proposal 241:22 246:18 247:15 253:17 254:2 257:26 272:7 276:13 284:27 287:15 288:20 290:11 292:1 293:13. 17 294:26 295:26 297:15 298:14 300:26,27 301:10,23 302:15 303:8.23 304:12.15 305:5 307:6.9.12.28 308:1 309:15,21,25 310:1 312:12 313:20,21 314:1,10 315:10, 13 378:1 398:28 403:8 404:17,18 414:12 415:3 416:12 417:18,28 418:2,3,4, 11.19 419:2.24 420:3 424:8. 15,21,22 426:14,18,20 428:8 430:22,23,28 431:23,26 433:24,27 434:2 437:18,21 438:9,14,23 439:8 445:19 448:21 449:4 450:1,3,8,17 455:26 461:14 472:6,7,8,19 473:4 476:1.2 478:7 480:9. 10 481:24 482:25

proposals 258:7 260:23 261:20 280:25 291:23 293:22,25 297:3,4,11,19,23 298:6,10,23,25 299:5,19 300:3,20,21 301:10,16,19 315:15,16,21,24 320:11 403:14,26 419:23 442:11 475:28

propose 285:3 288:14 303:17 307:11 310:1 360:17

proposed 256:6 266:14 281:24 282:10 305:11,16 306:28 307:4,6 324:15,26 325:17,19,25,27 326:10,28 327:2,7,23 328:1 368:17 389:2 414:15,18,24 415:10, 14 419:5 420:2 477:21 478:3

proposes 314:10

proposing 242:18 261:14,17 286:21,27 287:3,19 288:8,13 295:22 301:12,18 302:16 303:19 353:7 418:1 448:28

proprietaries 472:12

proprietary 271:22 272:27 277:25 420:24 471:21,28

prorated 413:3

protect 419:18

protein 240:26 241:15,18 242:4,8,14,20,23 243:23,28 244:5 245:7,13,17,20 246:24 248:25 249:12,21,25 267:22, 23 268:14,23 269:18 270:27 271:5 286:3 309:10 310:20 322:5,6,7,16,19,22,23 323:6, 8,9,11,22 325:11,20 348:17, 19,21,23,25 354:1 356:2 357:27 358:5 359:2,11,14, 26,28 361:25,28 370:9 382:23 383:10 384:5 390:10. 15,21,22 392:6,8,13,18 394:23 397:8 404:24,25 405:1,18,20 406:11,17 407:26,28 408:11,25,28 409:5,8,10,19 410:2,8 414:20,25 415:18,24 416:16 417:25.26 418:7.21 419:3 424:3 426:1 431:11,19 432:2 444:5,6 449:2,23,24,27 450:5,11,23,24 452:12 454:3 476:27 477:1 481:14,16

Proud 434:16

provide 261:24 262:17,22 284:21,24 291:5,6 292:2 303:25 314:14 345:5 372:12 382:2,11,12 390:8,18 407:2 415:9 427:3 451:15,23 463:26 474:21,22 478:5

provided 269:13 277:13 288:25 293:9 322:26,28 361:13 368:10 373:11,12,14 384:4 385:14 392:5 406:18 413:4 416:9 434:8

providing 260:28 261:5 299:20 300:2 383:19 400:27 431:5

provision 251:15,24 258:5 273:17,21 305:5

provisions 256:1 260:8,12 261:5 278:14 285:23,24 307:13 315:3 372:20,22 375:20 442:25 474:8



pry 309:2

publish 338:19 457:19

published 255:27 283:27 346:17 379:14,20 380:4 410:19 438:2

publishing 404:26

Publix 469:5 470:5

pull 409:14 451:19,23

pulls 452:10

purchase 426:3

purchased 413:25

purchasing 240:21

pure 267:17

Purity 471:10

purple 331:18,19 335:5,6 341:10,11,25,26 342:12,14

purple/pink 334:5

purpose 259:23,26 261:22, 24 262:3,16 292:18 298:24 303:8 310:2 312:16 377:25 418:9

purposes 242:28 257:13 260:1 275:2 310:10 370:19 396:10 419:16 424:17

pursuant 477:9

put 253:19 268:21 299:4 303:15 320:3 344:1,22 352:15 362:12 363:27 367:27 369:15 372:1 373:18 377:19,21,23,24,25 378:7,26 379:7 393:6 397:21 409:22 410:20 419:28 421:20,22 423:15 425:28 427:24,26 428:3 440:8 441:21 445:6,24 448:8 449:14 453:15 461:15 472:15 484:3

putting 344:4 351:8 395:7 402:7 472:16

Q

qualification 372:21

qualified 402:26

quality 243:19

quantitative 273:14 276:3

quantitatively 270:20

quantity 460:16

question 241:25 242:26 243:12 244:17,19 248:4 251:7 256:27 259:16 260:3

264:6,17 265:7,11,12 267:8, 12 270:1 271:12 272:15 273:28 274:3 276:9 278:8 286:5 287:19,28 293:26 294:16 297:10 298:8 303:17 305:13 306:26 310:13 337:5 343:27 360:11 362:15,20 363:4,5,13,15 364:28 365:14 366:16 367:5,9 368:15 369:28 371:6,8 373:18 375:14 376:11 377:27 381:15,21,23,24 382:2 383:7 384:17 385:16 387:22 388:26 390:12,28 394:17 395:13,16 429:26 430:9 433:21 446:8 453:19 458:24 461:20 472:2,3,8,10,27 477:3,23

questioning 363:18 378:18 385:21 425:10 428:4

questions 241:25 266:1 274:7 278:16 281:11 290:13 299:14 300:18 302:12,13 303:10 306:5 312:23 313:15 314:25 315:12 316:10 331:25 343:25 346:5,13 349:14 350:13,24 362:12,13 368:25 369:2,19 373:10,11 377:24 378:23 389:27 393:14,20 396:15 397:19 420:9 438:20 452:26 462:17 475:9 484:28 485:9

quick 299:14 337:5 387:22

quickly 306:21 344:20 380:28 466:20

quote 256:23 257:14 275:14 281:15 297:3 435:14 436:3 440:11

quoted 257:19,21,24

R

R-E-B-L-E-N-D 272:23

raise 247:10 261:17 319:8 394:2 398:7

raised 315:4

raises 272:21 480:11

raising 248:2 484:24

ran 388:28 389:1

range 269:18,19,20 439:21

ranges 270:5

rapid 417:7

rapidly 297:6 483:13

rates 314:18

rational 241:12 285:14 286:14 287:10 381:13,14

rationale 378:21

raw 248:5 258:24 261:26 268:2,20 402:21 404:2 413:23

re- 389:5

re-cross 318:9 392:22

re-establish 253:28

re-redirect 397:17

reached 292:14 305:11

react 246:17 280:3 301:9

read 256:2 321:13 327:11 352:20 358:11 360:16 380:13 381:24,27 399:21 419:27 435:10 436:4,14 440:10 451:6,9 470:14

readded 389:5

reading 244:13 364:25 403:2

ready 368:27 387:3 393:18 394:8 464:12

real 311:9 350:9 381:19 453:28 475:3 483:21

realign 262:3

realities 258:14,22,23 313:27

realize 279:19 355:15 356:8 357:23

realizing 483:18

reason 243:11 253:19 267:9 277:21 279:11 304:20 307:17 313:25 370:18 418:11,25 425:21,22 428:14 431:28 433:2 437:14 447:15 451:28 454:6 462:26 465:7 474:27

reasonable 278:4,9 285:14 296:9 417:20

reasons 276:22 295:21 307:22 311:16 314:28 315:2, 23 365:2 456:21 482:24

reassociate 484:16

reblend 272:23 273:3,23 471:18 472:10,11,21 473:2

reblending 471:26

recall 240:5,24 246:8 255:17,22 256:8 257:2,14 282:2,15,21 291:13 368:25 390:6

receipt 391:27

receipts 353:19 382:22 384:22 390:23 392:8,10,14,

receive 268:20 360:24,28 372:1 373:20 376:25 377:2 384:20,21 400:4 435:28

received 285:4,8 297:6 315:12 316:10 318:23 344:18 374:27 382:17 383:9, 14 398:2 399:27,28 440:11 458:1 477:6

receives 373:3 382:27

receiving 278:21 279:5 295:15

recent 283:23 291:11 305:20 314:19

recently 266:7 305:18 403:22 455:5 483:22

recognize 256:27 468:6,28 470:8 471:3

recognizes 289:5 425:28

recognizing 279:4

recollection 249:14

recommend 419:24

recommendations 272:6 301:13

recommended 256:7 276:11 283:8 306:1 442:16 448:7,11

recommending 303:6

reconfirmed 247:19 284:2

reconvening 345:13

record 249:4,17 250:6,15,28 266:10 274:20 282:20 290:21 303:15 312:16 318:22 319:16 324:9 331:26 333:16 335:19 336:10 343:18 344:22 345:4,10,12 346:26 351:1,5 352:18 360:17,18 362:3 365:19,23 367:26 371:22 374:1,6 376:28 377:16,22 381:18 387:2,15 392:24 397:28 403:4 419:28 429:12,22,24 435:11 451:18 453:12,15 463:17,19,23

records 434:19 449:12 451:15

red 338:26

redirect 240:7 312:26 313:1 391:4,6 396:10,12 485:5

redistribution 462:22



reduce 315:16,17 436:27

reducing 298:2 299:6 315:27 316:2

reduction 263:4 295:8

refer 244:20 291:9,26 292:5

reference 307:3,27

referenced 274:16

referencing 335:11 344:11

referendum 301:11 442:18

referred 247:24 251:24 257:15

referring 248:24 256:7 283:14 293:27 335:13 388:9 440:17 455:7

refers 360:15 361:17

reflect 253:20 303:12 314:11 320:7 325:18 332:20 335:20 337:6,9 338:10 344:12 408:4 449:1 458:19,21

reflected 279:22 292:22 325:15 332:5,8 337:12 352:3 445:26 451:4 480:14

Reflecting 326:10

reflects 332:12 439:3

reform 251:12 253:20 255:14,18,27 256:1,24 281:16,20,22 292:23 313:23 314:3 316:1,7 401:23 404:22 405:4 408:10 417:19 420:14 421:11,20,24 422:26 423:11 427:23,27 437:22,23,27 438:4 443:1 449:6,17 453:24 461:4,16 484:19

refuse 362:25

regard 292:5 295:6,7 297:2

region 331:18

regional 255:24 256:25,28 257:2 266:3 270:1

regions 413:26

Register 276:14

regular 248:9 253:11

regularly 407:23

regulate 275:3

regulated 252:13 276:7 332:21,23 372:3,7 376:22 379:15 380:7 420:18 431:16 449:26 457:18,25 471:23 474:10 482:19,23,25

regulating 334:9 373:20

regulation 251:20 262:23

regulations 276:25 374:14, 18 375:21 403:19

regulatory 288:24

rejected 288:26

relate 307:8 395:12

related 248:16 279:3 306:23 307:23 309:27 481:8

relates 309:15,25

relation 294:13

relationship 294:1,10 408:5 438:16

relative 275:22 363:7 407:10 408:5 413:10 414:3 439:3

released 345:2

relevance 384:24,25 385:1

relevant 350:12 369:5 373:10 376:11 378:27 408:21

relied 370:14 374:27 382:17

rely 441:16

remain 240:4 403:17 411:17, 19 415:4 444:24 445:20

remaining 374:9

remains 411:18

remember 241:1 246:11 255:25 274:11 282:1,15 393:7 421:18 434:10,22 448:6 476:24 479:5

remind 240:4 241:21

reminder 318:16 319:4

remove 268:25

removed 242:12 250:19 332:15

removes 480:18

removing 269:5

renumber 346:5

repeat 242:26 265:7 266:23 278:8 298:16 355:28 371:6 381:21 416:2,7 471:14 472:2

repeated 416:23,26

repeatedly 258:12 279:19,20 461:19 477:16

rephrase 304:17 375:14 383:7 442:24

replaced 242:13

replacing 451:5

report 275:10 291:15 344:4 356:22 383:8,24 384:3,6,8, 10,13,21 385:17,23 390:8, 17,18,19,20 391:13,25,26 409:14

reported 321:15,20,21,24 327:16 353:22,27 354:2,4,5, 17 355:16 356:10,12,16,17 357:1,5,6,8,11,13,18,21 358:22 359:25 360:4 361:8, 11 370:8,15 379:14 380:25 383:19 388:2 391:9,15,25,28 392:2,15 395:2,3,5,6,24

reporter 267:27 307:25 308:24 319:5 321:16 381:27 385:26 392:11 398:16 429:21 430:10 433:17 459:2 478:28

reporting 310:22 313:8 383:6 390:9

reports 317:21,23 382:17 390:13,14 409:3

represent 277:18 290:28 302:21 304:4 312:14 330:12 331:21 337:21,24 338:27 339:2,21,24 340:5,7,21,24 341:12,14,26 342:1,17,27 343:10,13 350:9 351:10 371:25 373:21 375:3,4 379:12 479:19

representation 304:9

representations 297:15 458:27

representative 400:26 426:19

represented 313:12 324:28 338:11 347:8

representing 240:15 398:21 403:23

represents 291:2 321:7 322:28 324:25,28 325:5,6 326:12,24 327:9,20,22 330:4 332:16 333:2,18 334:2,12,16 337:17 338:23 339:7 340:1, 12 341:8,19 342:6,10,23,25 343:3,6 350:8 373:23 388:11,18,20 479:16

request 269:17 283:24 344:3,6 367:3,12,13,15,21, 23 368:1,8 373:8,15 376:21 378:19 428:24,26 461:10 473:18

requested 314:26 368:2 377:19 381:26 423:3,4 461:23 462:12,28 requester 367:9

requesting 473:16

requests 320:5,6 378:7,16, 17,23 473:10

require 270:21 473:7

required 425:28 483:28

requirements 484:2,26

requires 250:20

requiring 287:1 441:22

requoting 256:8

research 244:23 245:1 248:19,21 264:5,6 311:14 406:27 453:16,19 481:1

resell 268:4

resemble 299:2

reserve 310:12 393:22

reset 284:18 resist 279:1

resisted 448:13

resources 263:18,20,22 316:23

respect 254:1 259:3 296:18 382:16 423:18 430:24 431:24 443:25,26 445:27 446:13 448:22 450:21 485:13

respected 413:23

respectful 284:27

Respectfully 419:26

respective 321:28 322:9 326:20 360:23 413:15 422:5

respond 294:16 373:16 378:6 406:3

responded 406:2

responding 241:26 292:13 368:2 378:22

response 452:26 475:9

responsibility 261:7 400:27 440:20

responsible 260:28 404:1

responsibly 301:20 responsive 283:24

20010110 200.21

rest 300:28 308:6 348:28 restate 359:13 367:5

restoring 315:19



restricted 338:1,3,13,14 339:7 340:12 341:3,4,19,20 342:6 343:3

restrictions 279:7

result 265:12 271:23 275:28 281:21 291:21 296:11 299:7, 17 305:28 320:4,6 417:26 418:21 424:7 436:16,20 445:5 447:11

resulting 276:8 297:7 301:4 413:18

results 283:26 409:28 411:1 413:17 418:8

resume 240:4

resumed 290:22 387:5

retail 278:21 279:5,17 402:1 480:14,16,19

retailers 279:7

retain 268:22

retired 399:7 400:18 402:10 403:16

retiring 401:26

return 288:9

returning 415:13

returns 425:9

reveal 376:28 396:21

revenue 275:16,21,27,28 277:27 281:2 413:13 425:8 427:2

reverse 483:22

reviewed 250:25

Rhode 338:5

right-hand 330:9 337:28 339:6,27 340:11,28 341:18 342:5 349:25 399:16

risen 253:24 rises 389:23

risk 253:7 284:28 306:23 309:2 310:3,12,14,15,17 311:11 312:15,18 415:5,8 417:13 481:21

role 378:22 379:5 399:4 402:13

room 344:9.15

Rosenbaum 249:2 274:8 369:24,27 371:11 396:27 397:2,11 429:13,16,25 430:12 433:10,13,16,20 441:7,10,13,16,18 452:17 485:19,20 486:4

Rosenbaum's 240:24 283:10

Rouge 470:17

rough 480:12

roughly 292:22 474:24

round 427:15

rounded 414:22

rounds 484:19

routed 428:5

routinely 458:1,12

row 327:13,16 401:16 469:24

rule 256:6 281:24,27 282:6, 10 365:3

ruled 258:1

rulemaking 255:19 281:16

rules 320:20 378:28 379:4

ruling 363:2 379:2

run 367:13

running 368:6 440:18 472:28

Rural 400:2

Ryan 300:14

S

S-M-I 470:15

safe 306:22

Safeway 246:9 249:11

Safeway's 480:26

sales 254:3,8,11 260:16 262:11,28 279:1,2,17 280:7, 9 281:3 291:6 344:3 390:9 425:6 475:10 481:28 482:18, 21.27

Salt 420:27 421:5

salted 344:6

sample 451:19 452:11

satisfactory 382:13

satisfy 364:12

save 308:6

saving 436:2

science 399:25,26 400:1,4 407:5

scientist 241:17

scope 258:2 363:21 376:20

378:18

Scott 259:9

search 434:19

seasonal 270:3

seasonally 270:12

seat 394:7

Secretary 404:9 419:1,21,23

section 306:28 307:28 325:6,17,24 326:23 327:19 330:22 353:25 374:10 388:8 391:27

seek 285:24

seeking 253:28 263:13 285:15 286:4

segment 274:1

select 300:14 442:25

selected 370:19

selection 406:20

self-employed 399:3

sell 246:9 267:22,23 268:14 270:22 279:7 372:2 447:25 454:8,12

selling 317:22 482:1 484:4

semantics 395:1

send 286:14,17 287:12,16, 21 382:24

sending 287:11

sends 373:3

sense 245:2,6,7,20 268:7 272:18 292:24 295:12 309:25 312:5 362:25 364:21 379:5 432:16 433:2 447:15 461:3,7 485:23

sensitive 247:16

sensitivity 264:7

separate 402:14 454:7 484:19.26

separated 462:10

separately 374:7 417:24

series 311:1 349:14 371:18 421:12

serve 442:10

served 400:21 402:11.13

serves 268:3 291:4

service 400:27

services 451:15

serving 403:22,24 426:2

SESSION 240:1 387:1

set 252:24,26 253:8 256:11 259:16 261:8,14,15 282:13 288:27 303:2 327:3,9 336:10 346:12 349:9 350:4 356:7 425:23 440:1 446:13 447:9

setting 288:2

settle 310:11

shade 334:18,19

shaded 330:10,11,23 333:7, 9,12,23 334:6 335:5 337:20, 23 339:11,20,23 340:4,7,20, 24 341:11,26,28 342:13,26, 27 343:9,12

Shamrock 468:24

share 255:1,2 275:7 413:3 453:12

shared 271:21,22

sheet 440:27

shelf 248:16 479:13.14.15

ship 289:3,12,21

shipped 440:12 457:15

Shippers 379:20 380:1

shipping 289:28 372:22 440:28 441:2

ships 458:12,14

short 274:21

short-term 259:4,20

shorten 387:9 471:16

shortly 305:17 344:26

show 247:24 297:4 331:3 337:7 343:20 410:4 455:24, 25 456:10,13,16 458:26 472:23

showed 350:6 476:25

showing 325:3 326:15 330:3 356:2 426:1 465:3

shown 247:19 410:12,16 411:1 434:6

shows 308:21,26 327:13 328:4 332:2 333:1,17 406:9, 14 411:21 438:18 440:28 457:21

shrink 427:20 462:6



shrinking 275:1

sic 370:26

side 279:13,14 286:4,7 330:9,23 334:19 338:1 339:6,27 340:11,28 341:18 342:5,20 343:2,16 426:2

sign 276:18 434:12

signals 276:8 406:3

significant 248:6 254:14 259:10,21 278:26 293:6 295:18,27 316:16 435:19 458:7 460:7 464:24 475:16

significantly 248:8 270:4 293:7,8 296:5 304:27 475:12,13

signs 407:1

similar 278:26 333:4 334:14 336:6,10 337:8 383:21 385:18 411:21 455:16

similarly 340:17 356:28 357:4 358:10,14

simple 365:15,21 395:19 476:17

simplify 307:13,14

simply 273:14 314:10 315:18 356:3 396:1 410:20 417:24 444:27

simultaneously 408:14

single 347:3

sir 240:4 244:27 247:23 248:3 249:13 254:3 255:17 262:7 272:19 308:10 345:19 360:7 369:21,23 371:13 429:18 430:2 431:8 434:18 435:16.17 436:5.23 440:6 442:6 443:2,22 446:8,16,24 447:13 448:27 450:13 452:24,25 453:2,7,11,14 454:2.13 455:10.14.25 456:20 457:1,12 458:10 459:19,21,26 463:10,12 465:24 466:1,4,9,13,16 468:1,23 470:15 474:15 475:11,22 476:4 479:13,18 482:16

sires 406:23 451:28

sit-down 317:7.12

situation 253:13

situations 276:21

sizeable 457:6

skim 250:20 253:17,18,22, 24 256:18,19 257:24 272:11,

12 277:9 284:19,21 285:11, 26 286:23 287:4 288:2.22. 23,27 290:5 292:19,21 293:3,4,8,9,12 295:26 309:16,17,18,20,28 310:23 314:1,5,7,8,12,16,17 321:14, 19 322:14,17 325:12,13,14, 15,21,22,23 347:9,12 349:3, 10 354:23,25,26 355:1,4,8,9, 11,20,24 356:4,19 357:23 360:12 361:19 362:9 363:8, 11 366:6,11,12 367:2 383:11,17 384:7 391:20,24 403:8 404:13,15,17,19,20, 22,28 405:3,17,19,20,21,24, 26,27 406:1,3 407:11,12,16, 17,18,20,22,24 408:2,4,9,12, 16,18,20,21,23 409:1,3,4,9, 19 410:5,9,13,15,18,20,21, 24,25,26,27,28 411:4,5,6,8, 11,13,17,18,20,22,23,26 412:2,3,6,7,13,15,16,17,20, 22,23,24 413:5,7,9,13 414:3, 6,13,17,18,21,24,25,27,28 415:1,3,7,10,12,16,19 416:6, 13,17,19,25 417:1 418:2,5,8, 11,15,28 419:7,11,12,25 424:10,11,13,22,23,26,27,28 425:14,16 426:23 427:2,13, 16,21,27 428:2 431:9,19,27 433:28 434:1,4 437:16,26 438:7 445:2,20,21 446:1,17, 20,22,26 447:23,24 449:8 450:15,18,19 454:5,6,8,9,10, 18,21,23 455:15 456:4,11, 17,18 472:7,9 476:27 478:8 479:22 480:11 482:5,7,8,12,

skim-fat 327:15

skim/butterfat 356:24 405:24 409:25 413:21 414:7 423:6 424:27 433:28 450:13

skim/fat 304:19 322:12,16, 20 347:10 381:5 392:2

skimmed 402:25

skims 438:6

skips 324:4

slash/plant 469:15

slight 250:8 306:11

slightly 306:9

slope 282:24 283:2

slower 477:26

small 259:8 295:25 317:18 418:22 469:1,21

smaller 309:20

SMI 403:17,20,27 404:1,9 417:28 419:1 470:8

SMI's 404:6

Smith 290:25,28 300:9,19 387:18,21 389:19

smooths 417:6

SNF 249:26,27 252:20,21 267:5 270:18,21,22 286:15, 17 287:11

so-called 263:26

Sociology 400:3

sold 241:9 424:2,3 474:16 482:14,15

solely 417:22

solid 243:5 347:28 348:2,5, 9,12 392:9 438:26 480:7

solids 241:18 242:7,11,19, 20,23 243:6,15 244:7 245:8 246:16,24 249:21,23 250:21, 22 267:15 268:27 269:19,20 285:16 286:3,10,11 289:3,9, 14,22 310:20 321:20,23,25, 26,27,28 322:1,2,3,8,9,10, 11,12,15,20,22,24 323:7,8, 13,15,22 325:12,13,21,22 348:15 354:8.15 355:23.27 356:1,2 357:28 358:10,14, 16,17,18,19,25,26,27,28 359:1,2,3,5,9,17 362:7,8 366:9,11,12 382:23 384:5 391:18 392:7,14,18 394:23, 24 404:24,25 405:1,2,18,20, 22 406:12,17 407:27,28 408:11,26,28 409:5,6,8,10, 19,20 410:2,3,8 411:25,27 414:20,26,27 415:18,21,22, 24 416:16,18 417:16,22,24, 25.26.27 418:7.13.14.20 419:4 424:4 425:14.16.20.23 426:23 427:21 428:5 431:10, 11,12,19 432:2,9,12 433:6 437:19 439:1 444:5.7 449:2. 3,27 454:4 478:4 479:16,20, 21

solution 484:13

somatic 322:24 349:4,6 431:22 451:20 452:15

Somerset 466:14

sort 241:20 248:27 307:16 346:18 353:4 368:26 396:23

sorts 244:14

sound 365:3

sounds 251:24

source 352:3 374:20,22 385:3

sources 245:5

South 340:14 342:7 467:24

southeast 261:9 266:2,3,7 295:10,14,23 296:2 307:8,27 319:20 333:8 340:2 347:15 398:20,26 399:5,6 400:26,28 401:26,28 402:8,11,13 403:10,28 404:5 413:20 414:1 419:20 422:20 423:24, 28 426:22,24 427:8 428:1, 20,25 440:18 441:25 448:1, 17 449:9 453:26 455:5,9,20 460:19 462:14,24 464:25 465:15,21 470:10 472:21 473:12,13 474:16 476:6

southeastern 295:24 307:8, 15

Southern 421:16

Southwest 342:24

Spartanburg 467:24

speak 301:6,8,17,27 312:2 315:2 317:4 374:8,17,19 375:20 377:24 379:2,3 396:17,20 479:5

speaking 285:3 402:3 432:27 439:12

speaks 365:26

specialist 415:8

specializing 402:22

specialty 245:16 247:22 469:21 470:16

specific 278:16 291:28 296:16 299:22,26 350:24 433:4 443:24,26 458:23

specifically 245:17 251:20 258:1,11 275:9 288:26

specifics 331:21

speculating 355:6

speculation 350:21,23 362:10.14

speed 406:21

spell 319:16

spelled 249:7

spelling 398:15

spend 316:23

spending 263:17

spent 390:3 401:5,27

spot 352:16

spreadsheets 378:25

Index: shrinking..stab

stab 318:8



stable 254:15,19 260:16

staff 404:10

stage 350:4

stand 240:4 242:1 290:22 369:3 379:8 387:5 393:6,15 398:4,12 456:15 478:6 486:1

standard 242:15,18 243:2,5 249:25,26,27 250:17 251:3 252:10,14,17,19,20 256:2 260:25 268:26 270:23 302:16,18 303:1,22 308:27 309:4 310:2,22,26,27 311:21,23,26,27 312:7,8 313:5

standardize 270:26

standardized 293:3

standardizing 271:2

standards 243:11,26 246:22 250:13,24 251:2,4,17 252:2, 11 253:18 272:11 278:24 284:13 288:2 303:3,7 313:4 314:6 425:23 441:1,3 445:20 449:14 484:15,17,20

standing 345:18

start 240:22 246:23 263:11 313:3 321:17 337:14 345:10 346:12 365:17 371:18 399:24 420:4,12 429:26 437:25 438:4 444:19 454:17 459:13 465:25 481:22 484:6 485:14

started 242:12 282:19 283:10 319:5 400:14,25 401:16,18 422:6

starting 263:9 277:13 325:4 326:16 347:17 353:20 371:20 379:11 399:23

state 256:22 262:15 291:3 319:15 328:25 330:17 331:22 334:9 335:15 337:27 338:3,13,14,15 339:5,26 340:10,27 341:17 342:4,19 343:1,16 380:2 399:26 400:1,20 420:19,20 422:1 459:16

stated 258:12 297:27 298:10 302:26 303:23 310:27 337:11 410:9 418:14 462:16 478:4

statement 242:4,6 244:4,15 245:3 257:17,20,25 265:16 266:23 271:9 273:13,14 275:14,19,25 276:5 296:5 297:25 299:12 307:14,16 311:8 362:27 365:8 399:8 403:3 440:16 442:2,7 444:3

453:1,11 458:17 478:16

statements 276:10 298:3 299:21 307:7

states 251:2,26 252:1,9,12 255:2 271:26 272:4,9 273:16 279:6 316:18 321:14,19 338:2,4,12 339:7 340:12 341:19,20 342:6,7 343:3 420:26 425:23 448:1

static 368:19,23

stating 302:19 398:15 464:22

statistical 313:6,8 388:4,5

statistics 439:24,25,28

statute 260:25,27 261:2,3

stay 270:10 452:9 473:27 486:1

stayed 444:18,19,21

staying 317:20 353:25

steady 411:19

step 398:4

Steve 485:20

Steven 369:24 396:27 429:13

stipulate 461:18

stop 262:11

store 279:12

straight 296:26

Strasburg 468:11

stretch 358:2

stretching 240:5

strike 262:25 431:4 434:7 440:3 444:19 463:4 474:5 476:1

strong 301:14

struck 294:12

structural 299:2

structure 258:15,18 262:6 376:23

structured 263:8 282:16

struggle 346:5 360:13

struggling 260:14,20

stubs 280:14

stuck 302:26

studies 247:18 264:5,6,11, 12 271:15 481:7

study 244:18,28 247:24 248:19,21,23,27 453:12,20 481:1

stuff 363:27 368:6

subject 245:27 250:9 293:21

subjects 249:4 274:6

submission 292:3 298:9 473:14

submit 361:3,5

submitted 299:18 360:20 384:1 419:26 474:2

subsequent 241:23 271:24 274:12 324:6 416:5

subsequently 242:19,20 272:17 283:28 285:1

substance 292:12 473:28

substandard 267:6 293:13

substantial 388:2

subtracting 356:19

subtracts 480:21

sued 364:9

sufficient 261:8 262:27 275:4 433:19 463:3

sufficiently 370:27

suggested 448:11

suggesting 288:1 316:11 318:1

suggestion 380:9

suited 360:11

sum 359:1 417:24

summarize 311:7

summarized 271:23 292:7

summary 292:2 297:1,14,25 298:4,8 299:21 419:6 457:21

summer 281:27

supermarket 248:16 280:7

supermarkets 317:25 469:5

supervision 319:27 320:1

supplemental 413:25,28 414:5,8,9 427:6,9 460:27

supplied 381:18 382:22

supply 259:28 260:9,17,26, 28 261:6 262:1,18 266:1 268:3 291:4 295:8,11,18

328:17,21 329:3,7,12,17,23 330:5,13 331:9 333:3,19 334:2,12,26 371:19,25 372:11,13,18,21,24,25,27 373:2,5,6,12 374:6,23 375:2, 6,16,21,23,25 376:24,27 380:7 407:27 408:1,8 413:23 417:10 419:19 456:24,27 464:1,3 468:13,16,17,22 470:12,27,28

supplying 372:12 404:1

support 246:14,15 259:24, 25 272:13 274:16 299:21 300:28 301:5,14,16,25 304:12,18 377:28 403:7 404:12 426:17,20

supporting 299:27 301:18 398:27 418:27 421:13 439:5

supportive 426:13

surface 282:8,23,26 301:23 307:10

survey 244:16

survey-based 261:27

surveys 280:21

sustain 378:20

sustainability 436:3

swear 394:2 398:7

swim 261:15

swimming 261:10

switch 299:14 436:24

sworn 319:10 394:5 398:10

system 251:20 256:24 260:5,25,27 262:8,9,26 271:16 273:21 276:18 281:3 287:3 288:4,12,14,20 289:4 294:4,23 303:24 305:5 401:18 402:7 407:26 412:10 420:15 421:28 429:20,27 430:6,14 439:17 443:18 447:8,9,19,26 451:5 479:28 482:20

Т

T.G. 469:12

table 277:16 321:9 324:28 335:8,10,12 346:8,9 363:6 366:13,23,25 367:13 380:23 394:14,15,16,19,20,21,22, 27,28 395:2,6,8,11,12,13,20 406:8,9,13,16,19 409:6,7,21, 23,24 410:12,17 411:1,4,21 412:1 416:9,10 454:17 456:10,15,16

Index: stable..table



tables 326:11 327:13 344:10 346:17 368:26 369:1,11 371:20 393:6,10 410:4 454:16

takes 450:15

taking 378:9,13 409:27 465:9

talk 250:14 266:8 275:10 279:21 303:10,18 307:1 313:16 315:14 353:18 368:28 369:16 393:6 455:27 463:6 464:18 480:24

talked 274:8 287:10 306:27 332:3 366:27 473:10 477:16 481:25

talking 244:13 248:11 249:24 250:5 251:12 252:17, 19 258:26 259:2 280:9 289:19 294:5 301:23 310:6 312:5,8 376:5,6 390:4 391:13 446:6 455:2 457:2 465:3 476:11,13 478:12 479:17 481:22

Tallahassee 421:2

Tampa 469:14

tan 333:23

tank 374:14

tanker 435:23

tankers 435:28 436:22,28

tanking 373:3

task 307:19 403:25

taste 243:18

tautology 363:13

Taylor 302:4,6,11 307:26 308:5 331:24,28 332:18,24 343:24,26 344:8,28 345:7,16 363:26 364:4,9 367:15,25 369:9,14,19 373:28 374:2,4 377:17 378:3 485:6,8,11

team 363:27 teasing 420:6

technical 299:1 303:28 304:12 429:23

technically 264:7 302:28 475:1

tedious 342:21 **temper** 245:5

ten 240:16 306:3 324:7 345:2 417:20

ten-minute 290:17 463:20

tend 276:5

tendency 287:12

Tennessee 342:7 467:27 468:2

term 294:17 311:24,25

terminated 332:7 405:8

terminology 253:26

terms 254:24,27,28 259:11 264:2 267:17 268:19,20 273:28 287:1 288:21 295:14 298:4 299:19 391:11,14 432:15 439:12 445:15 447:8 457:7

tes- 274:12 277:8

test 242:5 269:18,19,20 270:19,21 285:11 308:19,22, 27 309:4,8,10,12,17,18,22, 23 310:2 311:10,20,25 313:12 322:24 323:6,7 363:23 367:7,8,14 368:10 394:24 396:18 410:10 451:19

tester 400:22

testified 253:23 260:6 265:9 291:9,10 292:12 319:11 385:1 394:6 398:11 418:23 424:9 442:24,26 443:4 453:24 457:10 474:11

testify 247:16 259:10 264:18,19 271:24 297:17,27 299:25 350:20 378:8 379:23, 26 398:24,26 407:6

testifying 247:15 264:2,21 272:17 274:13 291:13,28 297:2 314:16 316:15 317:19 318:8 426:20

testimony 241:21 242:13 249:9 255:10 256:4,22 258:12 259:6,19 260:21 272:7 274:15 277:1,4,8 278:17 281:10 291:26 292:1, 6 294:18 296:27 303:9 304:3 306:27 308:16 350:28 352:24 363:22 369:8 376:11, 19 377:8,14 381:26 384:25 399:9,21 402:25,27 403:6,9, 15 404:12 407:3 409:21 415:9 420:1 421:25 423:18 427:7,25 437:28 438:18 439:5,6 441:15,16 453:14 454:15 456:7,21 460:24 461:17 463:5,11 465:18 476:5 477:28 478:4 485:23

testing 267:10 287:13 289:25,26 290:3 362:18,19 479:23 **tests** 283:16 304:3 308:27 309:26 322:24 323:9 395:6, 24 409:11 452:13

theme 293:23

theoretical 432:3

theory 252:13,23 253:7

thing 245:20 248:3 250:1 260:10 263:24 284:11 332:19 368:17 424:15 425:27 439:27 453:20 455:15 480:21

things 241:16,17,19 243:17 244:14 262:15 272:20 346:21 350:7 379:7 393:16 455:8 483:10

thinking 351:19

thought 272:21 290:3 304:13 368:5 369:2 388:19 393:4,5 438:11 439:19 461:11

thousand 337:28

three-quarters 427:17

three-year 417:5,12 418:12

threshold 305:12 416:2,5 417:20

THURSDAY 240:1 387:1

tied 306:6 444:26,27

time 240:26 246:15 265:6,22 271:13 282:3,22 292:23 293:18 307:11 313:11 318:6 320:26 328:27 344:1 345:8 352:12 353:6 365:12 368:24 369:4 390:4 392:23 393:1,16 397:21,23 401:4,27 402:15 406:10 408:12 409:1 412:18 422:3,9 423:12 428:19 429:2 430:11,13 437:24,28 438:3 442:3 448:9 451:17 452:2 456:18 465:21 481:20 485:11.13

timeframe 442:22

times 256:3 271:4 283:22 291:12 402:17

tiny 346:6

title 319:18 334:25

titled 320:27 324:14 329:2 406:16 409:7 411:4

today 257:4 283:20 286:5 294:10 296:28 312:14 315:1 319:24 324:11 398:25 399:9 400:10 404:20,23 411:15 412:19 420:16 422:12 423:3, 22,26 425:11 426:10 428:12, 13,23 429:20,28 430:7,15 435:13 445:10 458:25 484:13

today's 408:1,3 417:7

Todd 391:5

told 241:17 243:17,24 423:10 448:2 453:25 461:4

tomorrow 486:9

tools 406:27 417:13

top 283:15 292:9 296:27 349:25 353:25 362:1 366:27 389:6 391:27

topic 312:12

topics 311:18 402:27

total 242:19 249:21,23 250:22 254:24 275:15,20,27 277:13,20 315:22 321:23,26 322:7,15,19 323:1,2,4,9,10, 12,13 326:19 337:27 338:3 339:5,26 340:10,27 341:17 342:4,19 347:9 348:15,25 357:1 358:18,19,25,27 366:10 388:12,13 389:7 391:27 392:1 395:27 402:17 405:9 427:17 444:7 450:20 455:15 459:16,24 483:19

total/weighted 327:16

totality 315:14,16

totally 244:2 260:3

touch 380:28 420:13 473:9

tout 245:17

touts 245:23

track 254:13 291:24 296:1 360:9

tracking 284:1

trade 263:26

tradition 404:26

traditionally 247:20

trails 313:15

transactions 415:6

translate 309:19

transmission 298:27

transmitting 406:23 451:27

Index: tables..travels

transportation 282:22 442:12 447:4 455:3,8 473:25 27

transported 413:25

travels 296:7



tremendous 316:25

trouble 376:9,10

true 256:17 258:28 274:22 280:4 295:15 347:6 375:11, 18 408:4 412:19 430:3 437:13 473:14 482:18

trust 244:14 245:5

truthful 481:16,17

Tuesday 353:2

turn 267:5 270:19 278:16 302:9 332:24 334:11 347:15 429:5 454:14 459:24

turning 302:4 347:24 349:2 367:19 368:14 465:17

turns 420:4

two-decimal-place 284:15

two-part 307:16

two-thirds 401:27

type 328:5 371:2 373:2 452:7 483:18

U

U.S. 258:15,18 262:6 313:27

uh-huh 269:1,2 436:25

Uh-oh 302:6

ultimately 259:21 301:8 357:15 473:20

Ultra 470:8

ultra-filtered 248:14

ultra-filtration 271:7 424:1 470:11

umbrella 327:1

unable 304:21

unanimously 272:5

under- 245:19 293:7

understand 259:27 273:1 274:14 276:9 281:3 283:12 302:15 309:5,27 345:6 350:16 351:11,22,25 352:18 362:23 368:9 370:18 377:4, 27 378:4 379:1,4 383:19 384:17 388:15,26 390:11 416:9 472:8 475:25 483:20, 24

understanding 245:13 248:7 262:16,20 263:3 276:4 278:25 279:26 291:14 298:21 300:7 302:19 303:1

305:25 317:6,17,24,26 344:3,5 347:1 371:21,22 381:28 390:16 393:17 422:16 426:12 440:25 472:3

understate 314:7

understated 293:7

understood 283:3 370:1 423:17 432:4 477:28 478:25

undervalue 314:8

unexpected 417:6 418:24

unexpectedly 417:10

unhappy 274:10,23,26

uniform 327:10,12,14,21,22, 26 359:25 360:1 389:5 425:1 450:20 473:8

unintended 310:4.18

unique 295:1,6

unit 373:3 447:22

United 251:26 255:1 271:26 272:4,9 273:16 316:18 420:26 448:1

units 374:14

university 282:23 399:26,27 400:1 407:6 469:3

unlike 241:7 372:24 466:2

unnecessarily 386:1

unpack 259:13

unrealistic 356:12

unregulated 262:2

unsalted 344:7

unsubstantial 291:7

unworkable 263:9

update 262:4 273:20 274:17

298:25,28 304:27 402:6 403:8 414:16 415:23 416:28 417:23 418:1,28 419:5,24 420:2 424:15 438:14,23 439:9

updated 303:6 304:28 314:11,14,23 407:23 414:24 415:1,25,27 416:6,7,13,19, 22,26 417:1 418:15 424:10

updates 417:19 478:7

updating 303:11 305:16 315:9 324:13 404:12 407:26 415:7,14 417:5 418:5 419:2, 7,14 449:6,19,20 451:1 461:15 477:16 upfront 277:22

upload 344:17

uploaded 349:16,21

upper 263:1 330:9 340:18 399:16 421:21

ups 417:6 418:24

upset 364:22,25

upward 282:26

usage 254:28

USDA 242:21,24,27 252:14, 24,26 253:19 255:6 256:5, 23,28 262:24 266:6 269:13, 26 273:28 274:3 275:8,9 277:12 281:24 282:10 283:3. 27 284:1,22 303:15,19 304:6,18 305:26 306:7 316:7 343:28 344:2,3,7,10 353:8 360:9,24 361:18,21 364:15, 22,25 365:16 367:27 369:10, 13 370:14 373:18 377:18,23 378:16,21 387:11 391:5 409:22 439:26 440:8 441:20 447:27 451:3 453:25 454:27 458:25 459:7 463:14,26 473:11 485:9

USDA's 276:11,18 283:15 353:4 362:24 441:16

USDA-AMS-DAIRY 406:9,19 410:19

Utah 338:5 341:21

utilization 263:9 322:14,18 326:22 356:10,22,24 360:10 382:23 384:10,22 391:19 392:1,18 422:23,24 423:7,16 439:13,15,16,22 440:4 453:26 456:25 462:1 483:13

utilizations 422:21

utilize 376:16

utilized 405:14 477:8

v

valid 364:18

validating 352:16

Valley 421:15 468:11

value-added 246:28

valued 293:5

values 252:16 257:11 275:22,26 323:17 407:20 408:5,16 412:13,15,20 415:25 419:12 424:13 433:28 438:17,20 450:18 456:17,18 462:17

Van 407:5

vari- 270:3

variability 270:3

variation 270:2,6 428:19

varies 270:12 440:26

vary 383:20 481:20

venture 469:20,26,27 471:6

verbally 448:2

verified 356:6

verify 280:23 485:27

version 295:5 331:10 334:4,

versions 241:24 344:19

versus 255:24 264:16 288:3, 28 363:8 391:8 397:4 408:23 411:5 412:2 413:15 417:23 419:12 424:13

vertical 270:5

Vetne 241:3 371:14,17 373:16,18 374:6,21 376:5,7, 22 377:27 379:10,27 380:11, 18,19,22 381:22 382:5,14,15 385:3,11,15 386:2,6 387:4,8

viable 296:23

view 274:17 276:18 466:13

views 320:8 Village 320:24

Virginia 332:11 337:12 338:5 340:14 468:5,8,11,27

virtue 372:12

Vitaliano 240:3,12 290:26 300:18 313:3 318:26 366:28 420:1 471:17 474:11

voir 402:26

volatility 294:6,7

volume 254:24 277:14 431:19 433:8 454:11 457:20 465:14 476:18 480:25

voluntary 401:12 420:25 423:2,19,25 484:1,2

vote 272:8

voted 298:21 426:17

vouch 351:28



Index: wait..zoomed-in

W

wait 265:9 306:2,3 393:21,23

waiting 393:5 485:8

wanted 249:4 262:7 264:23 308:2 309:3 312:12 344:23 377:7 423:3 428:20 456:16 458:22

wanting 302:8

warranted 417:23

Washington 246:2,9

watching 344:9

water 263:25 425:20

ways 261:28 262:13 293:24 401:9 472:19 482:20

weather 370:25,26 417:9

webcast 344:10

website 283:27 321:9 324:12,13 325:1 328:18,23 336:5 344:12,20 345:2 434:15

wedded 284:8

week 353:2

weeks 306:17 345:3

weight 322:26 360:19,20 416:15

weighted 327:17 395:19,21, 24,26 396:2 409:16 414:19 415:17 416:3,15

West 338:5

western 330:23 332:4,7 405:6

Westover 468:5

whack 424:14

whey 432:8,12 444:15

whoa 387:19

widely 406:22

wider 316:26

widespread 263:4

Wilson 391:5,7 392:16,21

Winchester 466:21,24

Winston-salem 467:14

Wirtz 468:27

Wisconsin 338:6 340:15 341:2,4

withdrawn 473:20

witness' 363:22 368:24 369:7 377:14

witness's 392:24

witnesses 267:13 271:24 274:13 308:6 310:13 344:11 369:3 377:19,25 378:21 379:5,6 393:6,15 394:8 397:20 398:3

Wonderful 382:5

wondering 313:18 399:22 420:12

word 368:22

words 267:1 271:1

work 255:15 263:16 276:3 288:4 294:23 316:21 345:27 375:27 384:1 387:11 400:18, 24 401:7,11,28 403:14 425:18

worked 241:8 271:18 301:20 345:3 400:17 401:10 442:8 452:9

working 261:25 276:18 344:7 399:4 400:14,26 401:24 403:13 424:5

works 272:14 281:4 357:15 364:21 374:7 402:7 422:10 486:2

. .

workshops 402:6

world 311:9

worse 346:25

worth 310:27 418:23

write 240:26

writing 447:28

written 276:12 305:9 399:8 434:28

wrong 240:6 351:6 444:3

wrote 394:19 434:18,20 436:23

Wyoming 341:21

Υ

year 270:11 271:4 283:17 285:2 289:28 305:14,17,18, 21,27 306:2 337:7 343:21 373:9 400:4,11 409:16 413:24 414:22 415:15,28 416:3,20,26 417:11 418:22 427:15 470:12 473:19,25 475:13,17,18,19,20,26 481:20 year's 417:9

yearbook 439:28

yearly 395:14,25

years 242:10,11 246:1 253:23 255:16 258:16 265:1, 9 280:19 294:14 297:8 302:23 305:19,20 314:19,26 315:3 335:21 399:7,28 400:13 401:3 403:13 409:13 411:18 415:20 416:4,5,8,17, 23,27 417:5,20 418:12,26 428:9 436:10 439:14 442:9 452:8 462:12 467:16 475:20 481:8

yellow 333:9,10 334:17

yellow-green 334:16

yesterday 240:16 241:21 249:8 250:12,27 253:23 258:20 260:6 269:26 271:15 272:7 274:7 277:12 284:2 288:25 291:9,10,26 297:1 299:15 344:5 345:27,28 349:17,21 368:25 393:7,14 425:10 440:8

yield 266:21,26 267:17 432:10,20,27 433:7

yields 255:7,24 256:25 270:2 435:24

younger 400:12

Z

zip 398:22

zone 327:10,20

zoomed 333:5,21

zoomed-in 331:10 334:4,14

