### UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

+ + + + +

# FRUIT AND VEGETABLE INDUSTRY ADVISORY COMMITTEE

+ + + + +

#### MEETING

WEDNESDAY MAY 10, 2017

+ + + + +

The Fruit and Vegetable Industry
Advisory Committee met in Potomac 1 and Potomac 2
of the Hyatt Regency, 2799 Jefferson Davis
Highway, Arlington, Virginia, at 8:30 a.m., Beth
Knorr, Chair, presiding.

# MEMBERS PRESENT

- BETH KNORR, Chair, Executive Director, Cuyahoga Valley Countryside Conservancy
- ROBERT NOLAN, Vice Chair, Owner/Operator, Deer Run Farms, LLC
- CHRISTIE BALCH, Executive Director, Crossroads
  Community Food Network
- VIRGINIA BARNES, Manager, Food Safety and Public Relations, Barnes Farm, LLP
- CARLOS CASTANEDA, Principal, Castaneda & Sons, Inc.
- HELEN DIETRICH, Owner/Human Resources Manager, Ridgeview Orchards, LLC
- KRISTINE ELLOR, Mycologist/Technical Director,
  Phillips Mushroom Farms
- RICHARD HANAS, Vice President and Chief Operating Officer, A. Duda & Sons, Inc.
- JOHANNA HERRON, Marketing Manager, Alaska State
  Department of Agriculture

- MICHAEL JANIS, Market Manager, San Francisco Wholesale Produce Market
- MARTIN MALDONADO, General Manager/Supply Chain Director, Pure Fresh, LLC
- ROLAND MCREYNOLDS, Executive Director, Carolina Farm Stewardship Association
- MARK NICHOLSON, Co-Owner/Manager of Fresh Sales, Red Jacket Orchards
- PATRICK ROGERS, Owner/Operator, McAlister and Rogers Farms
- DANIEL SUTTON, General Manager, Pismo Oceano Vegetable Exchange
- HARRY TALBOTT, Vice President of Farm
  Operations/Co-Owner, Talbott's Mountain
  Gold, LLP
- JORGE VAZQUEZ, President/CEO, Latin Specialties,
- THOMAS WILLIAMS, Director, Produce and Floral, Coborn's
- DAVID YANDA, President & CEO, Lakeside Foods, Inc.

## OTHERS PRESENT

MARLENE BETTS, Acting Designated Federal Official

ERIN MORRIS, AMS, USDA LEANNE SKELTON, AMS, USDA C-O-N-T-E-N-T-S

Call to Order
General Comments from Other Working Groups 7
New Recommendations from Working Groups to
Full Committee
Other Business and Closing Remarks
Adjourn

#### P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S

8:42 a.m.

MS. KNORR: Good morning, everyone.

If we could start taking our seats. We have rearranged the schedule a little bit. We wanted to make sure that we had ample time to discuss the food safety proposed recommendations, so we actually moved those to first thing this morning.

Hopefully, we can get some recommendations passed out of that group. Then we'll open it up to new working group suggestions. We've already had two suggestions, although I think the Labor Group may already have something for us today, but certainly the Crop Insurance Group was proposed yesterday. We'll open that discussion up after our food safety discussion.

Then we'll have a little bit of time for the working groups to get together to craft some language or determine next steps for conference calls and potential speakers on those calls. Then we'll get back together, in the

1	event that some of those committees may have some
2	proposed recommendations or statements for us.
3	We'll hear from those groups. Then shortly
4	thereafter, we will adjourn. Any questions?
5	MR. MCREYNOLDS: Madam Chair, I would
6	just flag Roland McReynolds that the Grants
7	Infrastructure Working Group definitely will have
8	has already started discussing a
9	recommendation that we may want to bring forward
10	after we've had a chance to discuss as a group.
11	MS. KNORR: Fantastic. Anyone else
12	that we know will have recommendations? I think
13	the Broadband Group has a statement.
14	MR. WILLIAMS: This is Tom Williams.
15	We should have something for the Market News, as
16	well.
17	MS. BALCH: This is Christie Balch.
18	We should have a statement for Produce
19	Consumption, as well.
20	MR. CASTANEDA: Ms. Chairman, or,
21	excuse me, chairwoman. This is Carlos Castaneda.
22	The Labor Group does have a statement. I don't

know if it's refined yet, but we'd like some feedback from the group. Just let us know when you're ready for it.

MS. KNORR: Fantastic. I think I'm going to invite Roland to -- he did a great job yesterday sharing the recommendations in their draft form yesterday. I'm hoping that all of you had a chance to read through them and make comments or suggestions. I'm thinking I want to open this up for a little bit of discussion before we make a motion to approve. I think there are probably some folks who have some questions about that, so I'm going to open it up to the floor to have some discussion on those.

I think we should discuss each one on its own, but I would welcome a motion to pass all of them as a slate, if we get to that point, unless there are some that we feel need to be modified more than others. I would welcome passing all of them in one fell swoop. Roland, do you want to --

MR. MCREYNOLDS: Yes, thank you very

much. First, we flag and perhaps Marlene can
put it up on the screen Johanna did make an
important suggestion, as far as the USDA-FDA
liaison position recommendation, in terms of
depersonalizing it for professional reasons. We
have made tweaks to take out the personal
references to Leanne, personally, but still to
maintain the principles that having a skilled,
experienced, knowledgeable about the produce
industry person serving as a liaison and making
sure that liaison position continues to be a
priority and funded by FDA. Our working group
would offer the version up on the screen as a
revised version of the hard copy we have. Other
than removing the references to individuals, it
is the same substance.

MR. NOLAN: Bob Nolan. I think we're having trouble seeing it, at least I am. I don't know. Dan, do you have 20/20 down there or what do you got, 20/15 vision?

If it's just a matter of taking

Leanne's name out in that section, we can just go

off the hard copy, if that's all the change was, just so the group knows.

MR. MCREYNOLDS: Functionally, that's all the change was. It did require reworking some sentences a little more than just crossing out name. I would particularly -- the sentence in the statement that throughout the process, USDA staffer, Ms. Leanne Skelton, so it now says -- there's two sentences that have kind of been rearranged there, but it is -- yes, it is the same substance.

MR. SUTTON: Dan Sutton. I agree with the changes. I guess I'm looking for -- maybe a little bit of my lack of knowledge and how appointments work and things like that, but I think what's been crucial to this position in the past is the individual that fills that position. If this does get funded, is there an opportunity for the position -- that has been crucial for the person that's filled that.

Is there an opportunity for that not to be filled by Leanne? Does there need to be a

reference? I don't know -- I'm sorry; I don't know how that works, but I think that position is critical mainly because of the person that's filled that spot in the past.

Can I -- I think at the MS. HERRON: end of the day, what it always comes back to is the characteristics and the qualities and ensuring that we get that at each juncture, be it Leanne or anybody else, it's going to be really crucial longevity wise. I wonder if there's some way to add something that speaks to having input from this group or being involved in the process or something where you can validate that any kind of replacement would have the same qualities, that kind of thing. Just that longevity wise, if you ever put an individual, then you're risking anybody being in that position that could replace it. I think the qualities are the things that you're going to get more out of long term.

MS. KNORR: I think it would be appropriate to have some qualities in there, but I don't think it's appropriate to recommend that

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

this committee have involvement in any way.

MR. MCREYNOLDS: Perhaps staff could sort of enlighten us on how you'd likely handle the situation, in the event that the position is continued.

MS. MORRIS: This is Erin Morris. As
Beth said, it wouldn't be appropriate for the
committee to have any role because there are
hiring principles that we have to follow.

I think the best thing to do would be to include some language in there that just comments on how important certain characteristics are in filling the position. Then certainly, as we were going through whatever hiring process we would go through, we would be able to take those qualities into consideration when we're vetting the applicants.

MR. MCREYNOLDS: The language that we've got here says the USDA staff's deep understanding of the fruit and vegetable industry has proved invaluable. Dedicating consistent personnel resources over the full -- ensuring the

continuity of expertise and experience are how we try to address that issue of the qualities of the person in the position. That was the intent of this language to again, depersonalize, but still make very clear what the criteria are that make the work important.

MR. SUTTON: Dan Sutton. Roland, with that explanation, I don't think that -- that encompasses what's been done. I think that addresses the qualities I think we all seek in what this does.

MS. HERRON: This is Johanna. Would it be worth it to look through in more detail, though, and make sure all of the qualities you want to see in there are there, like expertise and confidence, somebody that's able to communicate well on both ends? I completely understand your concern. I want to make sure that we're -- if it's not captured, that everything is -- the way I do it at the state level would be that we hire -- we seek them out, and then our interview questions guide us towards

the right candidate that would be what we're looking for. I certainly wouldn't want anything to have been left out. Obviously, I hope it's clear it's nothing against the person, at all, either.

MS. KNORR: I do think, though, we have to tread a fine line here. We can't write the job description here. That is not our role. Our role is to advise the USDA on the general overarching qualities that we are hoping to continue, if Leanne were to leave that position. I think keeping that in mind, if we can just, in broad brush strokes, capture that information, that's what we're after here.

MR. MCREYNOLDS: This, again, is kind of those two sentences that I was talking about that try to finesse that in the statement.

Throughout the FSMA process, USDA has had a staff position serving as a liaison, allowing USDA to bring extensive regulatory and scientific expertise and produce industry experience to bear in the development of regulations, guidances, and

Those are the qualities that are on 1 policies. 2 the sheet that you're looking at, again, just depersonalized in this statement. 3 4 MR. SUTTON: Dan Sutton again. Again, 5 I want to concur with the Chair that we aren't writing a job description. We're making a 6 7 recommendation for that position that's been 8 critical to the industry in this process. This is Michael Janis. 9 MR. JANIS: Ι 10 would agree with everything said. I'm just 11 wondering if we do want to add just some language 12 about the ability to effectively represent the 13 agency with a sister agency or with FDA, but 14 something about working effectively with another agency. Because that sounds like one of the key 15 16 attributes to success. 17 MS. BETTS: Is there someplace 18

specific you want to add that language, Mike?

I don't. Perhaps we could MR. JANIS: just do it offline, if that would be okay with the Chairs, as opposed to adding it right now.

> MR. MCREYNOLDS: This is our last day

19

20

21

-- this is our last day to make recommendations.

MR. SUTTON: Dan Sutton. Just to speak to that point, I think, again, that's kind of going into the role of that position. That's the main -- I think when that position's filled, that's one of the key characteristics of whoever fills that position as a job description. Again, I think the intent of this is to make sure that this position is continued on and funded. I think what we have up there achieves that.

MR. JANIS: I'll defer to staff. If what is up there is adequate, I will pull back my suggestion.

MS. KNORR: Any other comments or suggestions at this time? Let's go ahead and move on to the training piece. Any further comments, Roland?

MR. MCREYNOLDS: I would just say that we had Bruce in here yesterday talking about AMS's role mediating for our industry with other regulators. The PSA is one of those examples, where USDA has a specific engagement with this

effort. This is why we felt, as a working group, that it was critical for us to be providing this feedback to the PSA through USDA.

MS. ELLOR: In discussions yesterday offline, it was suggested that there were very limited resources for translation. It might be good to get this committee's thoughts on what the couple of languages might be. They can't all be done, but maybe some suggestions as to what the -- if there's only resources to do a couple, what should those languages be?

For us, I think it would be probably Vietnamese and Indonesian, but I'd be interested in going around and getting people's take on what the best next languages would be, after the Spanish launch.

MR. SUTTON: Dan Sutton. I agree with that comment because this is a requirement of the law. For anybody that's going to be covered under this regulation, they have to go through this training. It should be available to anybody in their first language.

I think we need to be a little bit of a realization, though, that they're not going to be able to accomplish that in six months. Ι would gather that I think it's going to be a little far-fetched for them to get Spanish done in that time frame. I think maybe keeping it broad, I think they're going to need to determine where their biggest priorities need to be. I think maybe just keeping a broad statement that alternative languages need to be developed and Then through their census data or offered. whatever, they can determine how they prioritize those.

MS. ELLOR: Yes, specifically, some staff were asking for our input on what the greatest need is because they aren't going to be able to do all of them. This is not for the recommendation. This is just for their information.

MS. HERRON: This is Johanna. I wonder if it would be possible to have states -- at the state level, at the FOA level, the

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

agreements be responding. Because they're going to know who is falling into that category and which -- I would hate that we make a recommendation, and then there's states that aren't represented that would miss out on their top tier and not have gotten that in.

MS. KNORR: Perhaps the recommendation could be to discuss with the states, or whoever they have the cooperative agreement with, to put forth their recommendation for next languages to tackle. I think it would be very difficult for us to get to that level of specificity with the recommendation. We're 25 people. I don't think that we have a broad enough scope, honestly, to be able to recommend next languages. I think taking that suggestion of concrete steps to take to determine what those might be might be helpful.

MR. MCREYNOLDS: So perhaps some language to add to the end of Recommendation 2 might be comma, and should consult with stakeholders to identify the top priority

candidates -- or the top priority languages for 1 2 further development. MS. KNORR: And consult with 3 4 stakeholders, I think. Yes, stakeholders to 5 MR. MCREYNOLDS: determine. 6 MS. KNORR: Any other places on the 7 8 training, issues, comments? Moving on to the 9 water recommendation. 10 MR. MCREYNOLDS: Again, this is an 11 area where Congress has specifically given USDA a 12 As we talked about extensively yesterday, role. 13 and as are talked about at just about every 14 industry meeting you go to today about these 15 water rules, there are major challenges. 16 acknowledged them. Again, the purpose here is to 17 give the secretary our input about what the 18 secretary should be asking for, as the potential 19 revision of the water rules moves forward. 20 I do want to flag, Dave asked a 21 question yesterday, comparison of the microbial 22 criteria in the produce rule compared to

international standards. The World Health
Organization establishes a fecal coliform
concentration of 1,000 CFUs per 100 milliliters
of water as acceptable.

If we think that countries -- if we think that the foreign supplier verification program is going to end up with growers in South America and Africa and Southeast Asia coming down to 128 CFUs, when they're operating at 1,000 right now, that's not likely to happen.

There's definitely a competitive risk, besides a lack of -- and the fact that the World Health Organization has a different level suggests that there is scientific uncertainty about the microbial criteria. Therefore, it's a really important subject for further investigation in the regulatory process.

MS. KNORR: Does anybody have anything else to bring to the table here? Clearly, the group did a lot of homework on this particular recommendation. Any comments or questions, modifications suggested?

MS. BAILEY: Melissa Bailey, with USDA. Just for the purpose of the record, we did do some back and forth with the subcommittee on this recommendation leading into the meeting today and appreciate Roland's help in revising some of that. We do still have concerns about -- there's a sentence in there about saying, essentially, USDA should ask or have FDA withdraw the water quality or water aspects of the rule.

Just so the committee understands our process, I think we still are concerned about us directing another agency, as far as what they should or shouldn't do with their regulations. In general, we don't play that role. Rather, what we often do, and what we have done in the case with the FSMA requirements, is when the rule is developed, we go through an interagency review process, and we get to weigh in up front, as rules go through clearance, and then, of course, certainly participate after the public comment and the final rules are drafted. I just think it's important for the committee to understand

the department has weighed in previously on those requirements. That aspect of the recommendation does put us in a bit of a challenging position.

Thanks.

MS. KNORR: Any reactions to that statement? I can certainly understand that we don't want to put the USDA in an awkward position. However, this does greatly impact fruit and produce industry. We are also treading a fine line on how to make sure that the impacts and the challenges to the industry are stated clearly, with enough impact that the changes that we feel are necessary are communicated well. Thoughts on how we might skirt that line a little bit more effectively?

MR. MCREYNOLDS: Madam Chair, I would also flag that we saw the pictures last week or two weeks ago of Secretary Purdue sitting down with farmers and their new Rural and Agriculture Advisory Committee that has 180-day window to conduct a review of several areas of regulation. They listed FSMA as one of them. This is input

that the secretary will receive and can take into account when he's part of that working group, which is operating outside of -- it's sort of an umbrella over those issues. Again, I recognize that this is a strong recommendation. I recognize the challenges.

At the same time, this is a critical juncture, with both the implementation time frame of the rule and the fact that this review is underway, both at FDA and through the president's Rural and Agriculture Advisory Group. I feel like it's important for us to state, in no uncertain terms, to the secretary, as he goes through that process, what our industry's concerns are.

Obviously, the secretary will do what he wants to do. I think our charter states clearly that we are supposed to be providing the secretary with our insights about what would be important to promote our industry. That is what this material reflects.

MS. KNORR: Is there a way that we can

soften that statement slightly without damaging 1 2 the content thereof? MR. NOLAN: Bob Nolan. Could you, 3 4 say, maybe modify that section of it, and then 5 put some other language in there to just soften 6 it a little bit, rather than withdraw totally, 7 modify that portion of it? I'm trying to be --8 I'm trying to walk the line. 9 MR. MCREYNOLDS: Our information is 10 that the thing needs to be withdrawn. This is what university researchers, this is what state 11 governments, this is what they're saying. 12 13 MS. KNORR: I am not picking bones 14 with the withdrawal portion, but more should urge How do we make that statement without 15 16 making that statement, I guess, is what we're 17 asking? How do we state --MR. MCREYNOLDS: 18 Should urge 19 withdrawal of Subpart E, blah, blah, blah? 20 MS. HERRON: Johanna, would encourage 21 help, should encourage? It's more of a positive I can see the dilemma because at the end 22 word.

of the day, we just recommended to keep that liaison, and we don't want to put USDA in a position that could look like -- I can see the dilemma. I wonder if a positive word would help, or separating the sentence into another section is the only other thing I can think of.

MS. MORRIS: This is Erin Morris. We totally understand your point, Roland, and certainly understand that there are concerns, and that those concerns need to be addressed. As I'm sure you've all read, Secretary Purdue has made statements about making sure that USDA decision making is science based.

It could be that your suggestion to change that particular word might be helpful if it is constructed in a more positive way. I think ultimately, we'll have to see, based on the input that we got from FDA yesterday, what they decide to do, but it seems like, from what we heard yesterday, they do understand that there are a number of aspects of the rule that they need to take a look at.

MR. JANIS: How about something in effect of a full evaluation, and then including urging them to withdraw, but sort of -- as opposed to a sole just withdrawing on the withdrawal portion, urged to withdraw, adding something to the effect of a full evaluation, including withdrawal. As opposed to just a sole action of withdrawing, that there would be a -- it'd be amongst things that are considered.

MR. MCREYNOLDS: If you don't feel like that is reflected in the other language that's already there, in terms of recommending engagement/coordination in this plan to revisit the water requirements --

MR. JANIS: I was just adding that as a suggestion to try to thread the needle a little bit. That's all.

MS. SKELTON: Can I muddy the water a little bit? How about something like should encourage FDA to consider the stated opportunity to revisit the water requirements as an indicator for possible withdrawal?

1	MR. MCREYNOLDS: Say that again.
2	MS. SKELTON: Should encourage FDA to
3	consider the stated opportunity to revisit
4	because they have made that public statement;
5	they're going to revisit the water requirements
6	as an indicator for possible withdrawal of
7	subpart blah, blah.
8	MS. BETTS: One more time, slowly,
9	Leanne, should encourage FDA to
10	MS. SKELTON: Should encourage FDA to
11	consider there. It gets it recognizing that
12	they've said they're going to revisit it. It
13	keeps your withdrawal in there. It encourages
14	them.
15	MS. KNORR: Yes, I think we're all
16	coming to the same place. I was going to suggest
17	revisit and potentially suggest withdrawal.
18	MS. SKELTON: Then what did you just
19	say, Beth?
20	MS. KNORR: I said revisit and
21	potentially withdraw.
22	MR. MCREYNOLDS: For possible review

1	and for review and possible withdrawal?
2	MS. KNORR: Correct, yes, not possible
3	review. I think we definitely know that this
4	needs to be reviewed, but review and possible
5	withdrawal.
6	MR. MCREYNOLDS: I think withdrawal of
7	yes, I believe that this language would
8	continue to reflect what the working group's
9	intent
LO	(Simultaneous speaking.)
L1	MS. KNORR: Intent, okay.
L2	MR. MCREYNOLDS: Madam Chair, I'd like
L3	to make a motion for acceptance of all three of
L <b>4</b>	these Food Safety Working Group recommendations,
L <b>5</b>	as revised here in our meeting this morning.
L6	MR. WILLIAMS: This is Tom Williams,
L7	and I second.
L8	MS. KNORR: Any further discussion?
L9	(No audible response.)
20	MS. KNORR: All in favor, say aye.
21	(Chorus of aye.)
22	MS. KNORR: Any nays?

1	(No audible response.)
2	MS. KNORR: Abstentions?
3	(No audible response.)
4	MS. KNORR: Hearing none, the
5	recommendations are passed. Thank you, Roland
6	and Food Safety Subcommittee members for your
7	clearly demonstrated hard work on all of those
8	issues. Thanks very much.
9	MR. MCREYNOLDS: I want to
10	particularly recognize Dan Sutton and Kathy Burns
11	for their particular work on these
12	recommendations, as well.
13	MS. KNORR: Are any of the existing
14	subcommittees ready with a recommendation or
15	statement at this time?
16	MS. KNORR: Excellent.
17	MS. BETTS: I'm sorry, I can't
18	MS. DIETRICH: Yes, I emailed the
19	Broadband to you, Marlene.
20	MS. BETTS: This morning?
21	MS. DIETRICH: Yes.
22	MS. BETTS: Okay. Let me see if I can

bring that up; working on the fly here. 1 2 MS. DIETRICH: I got a reply that you were out of the office. 3 4 MS. BETTS: There you go. Imagine 5 that. In the meantime, while 6 MS. DIETRICH: she's pulling that up, I just wanted to say that 7 8 Beth and I have had some emails back and forth 9 about it. We're basically the only two on the 10 committee. It seems to us that every state has their own way of dealing with broadband. 11 12 We just wanted to put something 13 together that was very broad -- in broadband --14 that was just very broad and just gave the 15 secretary the idea that it's something that's 16 really important to agriculture, and it needs to 17 be addressed. It's something that is vital to 18 our economy. While we're waiting, do you want me 19 to read it? 20 MS. KNORR: Yes, go ahead and read it, 21 Helen. 22 MS. DIETRICH: It's only two

paragraphs, about four or five sentences. 1 2 to affordable and fast Internet connectivity is vital to the success of rural agriculture and 3 rural education. Broadband connectivity has 4 5 become a necessity, like electricity, and is a 6 fundamental part of agriculture, business, education, healthcare, and public safety. 7 8 The USDA Fruit and Vegetable Industry 9 Advisory Committee would like to emphasize the importance of rural broadband connectivity in our 10 11 rural communities. The infrastructure of rural 12 America should include the development of broadband. This should take into consideration 13 14 the speed, as well as the affordability, of 15 connectivity. 16 MR. MCREYNOLDS: Is it too early to 17 move to accept? 18 MS. KNORR: Not at all. 19 MR. MCREYNOLDS: I move to accept 20 that. Motion to accept it. 21 MR. NOLAN: Bob Nolan. I'll second that. 22

1	MS. KNORR: Any discussion about the
2	matter at hand?
3	(No audible response.)
4	MS. KNORR: No? All in favor?
5	(Chorus of aye.)
6	MS. KNORR: Any nays?
7	(No audible response.)
8	MS. KNORR: Any abstentions?
9	(No audible response.)
LO	MS. KNORR: Motion passed. Thank you,
L1	Helen
L <b>2</b>	MS. DIETRICH: You're welcome.
L3	MS. KNORR: for crafting that.
L <b>4</b>	Carlos, do you have anything ready to share with
L5	the group at this time?
L6	MR. CASTANEDA: Sure. At this point,
L7	it'd be more of a statement than a
L8	recommendation, but I'd love to get the group's
L9	feedback. The first point that our committee
20	came up with, in today's climate, we're hearing a
21	lot about fiscal responsibility. We're concerned
22	that any cuts to the departments that participate

in the processing of H2A could be catastrophic.

As you all know, this program has been skyrocketing in use across the country. Due to it being the only tool that we have available for agriculture, any cuts in it would be nothing less than a catastrophe.

We would recommend that -- we currently commend all the departments that are participating in the processing because unlike other years, we haven't seen any delays in the processing of ETA-790, or any other forms, with the state departments. However, our concern lies in the U.S. consulates in Mexico.

The appointments that we need to receive for processing for the actual interview for the employees, that's where we see the bottleneck occurring. We're finding some issues in getting an appointment, or the timeliness of the appointment. I'll give you an example.

If your date of need is March 1st, you're probably going to be at the consulate two or three days before that, and you need that

appointment two or three days before March 1st to get them to your farm by March 1st. We're finding that when you call the consulate, they don't have openings on the days that you need them, especially for big groups. We've noticed that the closing of processing in Guadalajara and in Mexico City, at those consulates, the consulates are physically open, but they're no longer processing H2A visas. We're concerned of is this the tip of the iceberg?

Are they planning on closing others?
What are the reasons for those closings? Again,
I emphasize the importance of this program
because it is the only tool that we have
available for agriculture. I commend all the
departments that participated in it because
again, they've done a fantastic job.

I think they've really figured out how to ramp up -- because you can go on the federal database; you'll see that the use of this is going through the roof. That'd be the first point that I'd ask for the group's consideration.

The second point is, as you all are aware, the lack of movement on comprehensive immigration reform has given us, again -- it's highlighted the only option that exists once again is H2A. For those domestic skilled employees that live in the U.S., that want to, let's say, come clean and apply for this program have to self-deport into Mexico and apply for the program. However, we have this little issue called the three/ten bar. When that comes up at the U.S. consulate appointment, they're barred.

Any employee that has been living in the U.S. undocumented for less than a year is barred from re-entry for three years. Anyone who's been living here for longer than a year is barred for up to ten years. That is catastrophic. We have some board members here that have felt the pains of this recently.

I have many growers that have suffered from the same. We're talking about skilled employees that, again, want to do things by the book, and they're getting punished. I know it's

an act of Congress to change anything on three/ten bar, but I know a waiver has been considered on previous proposals across the country. I think a waiver for those that want to come clean should be brought to attention here. That's all I have.

MS. KNORR: Thank you, Carlos. I assume that you're going to be taking some time today to craft a final statement to share? Okay, fantastic. Does anyone else -- do any of the other groups have a completed statement they'd like to bring forth at this time? No? Any new members, if you haven't done so already, please connect with the chairs of the groups you'd like to participate in.

I'd also open it up to hear from folks about additional committees you're interested in forming. Crop insurance certainly was one that was brought up. I'd also like to bring up a committee on SNAP technology and the Food and Security Nutrition Incentive Program. I don't anticipate having anything available today, but

we'd like to form a group to discuss SNAP technology.

A little bit of background on that.

What's happening right now is there are funds in the farm bill to make it possible for farmers' markets to begin to accept SNAP at their locations. This has been encouraged over the past five years pretty heavily. What has been happening now is that farmers' market organizations, if they have multiple farmers' markets that they manage, have to get a separate piece of equipment for each, individual market location that they have because the technology does not exist to switch their FNS numbers, which are the numbers that allow them to accept SNAP, between locations.

If you're managing a market on
Thursday evening, from 4:00 to 7:00, you have to
have a SNAP number for that, and if you manage a
market again on Saturday, you have to have a
separate SNAP number, but the equipment that you
have will not allow you to toggle between those

two numbers, so you don't need to have multiple pieces of equipment.

What that means is you have to have multiple pieces of equipment. Many of these farmers' market organizations are managed by volunteers. They don't always have robust funding, but they're often situated in neighborhoods specifically to increase access to healthy foods, produce, in particular.

This is a definite barrier to people being able to purchase produced. This is a group that I'd like to form, to maybe get a little bit more information from FNS as to what they're trying to do to address that issue, so that market organizations don't need to have eight different iPads to swipe customer cards. If anyone is interested, please see me. Crop insurance, please see Mack. Are there any other groups that want to form?

MR. NOLAN: Beth, Bob Nolan. We still have the New Farmer Advocacy and Mentoring
Working Group, so those people who are on that,

maybe we'll get together here and see if we want to continue with that and review what -- I have a few minutes from our last meeting -- and see if we want to go further with that.

Some of us are on multiple groups, so I'm going to say for my group, I'm with the Labor Group, so maybe a half hour from when we break, we'll go to my group, then, after that, those who are interested. Maybe we should put kind of a time limit when we should reconvene. I don't know what that should be, but we probably should do that.

MS. KNORR: I think right now, given we are set to adjourn at 1:00, we have plenty of time for each of those groups to get together, but perhaps we could -- it's 9:30 right now. I'm going to encourage everyone to meet with their group for an hour, until 10:30. If you have a second group, from 10:30 to 11:30. Let's get back here by 11:30 to bring forth any recommendations or statements, or share next steps with the other groups that have newly

formed.

MR. MCREYNOLDS: I don't know how other groups are feeling, but an hour seems like a lot. No? Okay.

MS. KNORR: It's fair. If you don't need an hour, perhaps jumping into another group or having a conversation about next steps with your existing group is all that you need, and that's fine, too.

MR. NOLAN: Bob Nolan. I'll just say that if the chair people are done with your group, come to us, let us know, and we can reconvene the meeting earlier. As long as everybody's complete, then we can start again, so just let us know.

MS. BETTS: This is Marlene. While everybody's on break -- I think we talked about it yesterday, Beth and Bob, about potential next meeting. I know there's a lot of things that are still up in the air, but if you could look at your schedules for September and October, let us know absolutely no good time frames, so we can

kind of narrow it down a little bit and try to 1 2 get our arms around that, to see if we can get on the stick a little bit quicker this next time 3 4 If you could look at that, and then 5 bring that back when you come, that would be 6 awesome, as well. 7 MS. KNORR: Yes, and also, check out 8 time is 11:00, so if you haven't done so already, 9 feel free to -- it's noon? 10 MS. BETTS: Noon, at the latest, yes. 11 MS. KNORR: You can use that time to 12 do so. 13 (Whereupon, the above-entitled matter 14 went off the record at 9:28 a.m. and resumed at 15 11:33 a.m.) 16 MS. KNORR: I think once Marlene gets 17 set up, we're going to go ahead and jump back in. 18 I think we're ready to get going, and I think we 19 have recommendations or statements from four 20 separate groups. Nice work, everyone. First, 21 let's hear from the Produce Consumption Group. Christie, do you want to share some information 22

on that?

MS. BALCH: Sure. Our first recommendation was that -- it started out as a statement in support of certain programs, but then we converted it into a recommendation in support of a bunch of the programs we've learned about over the past few months, on our calls, and then yesterday, in the presentations.

We wanted to call out specific programs under AMS, and also programs under FNS. Then the second recommendation is a little more specific, but it came out of our last conference call. It was in regards to AMS assisting FNS with the promotion of Team Nutrition.

MS. BETTS: Just let me know if you want me to scroll back up to the first one. I don't know how you want to do it, if you want to take one at a time, or -- do you want it read in? Do you want to start with the second one, since it's kind of the simplest, Christie, or do you want to read it in? How do you want to work it?

MS. BALCH: I can read the second one.

The introduction is the USDA Fruit and Vegetable
Industry Advisory Committee recognizes the value
of the Food and Nutrition Service's Team
Nutrition Program, which supports the child
nutrition programs through training and technical
assistance. Team Nutrition provides high
quality, evidence-based nutrition education
resources for free to eligible schools.

However, due to a lack of awareness of the program across the country, only approximately 50 percent of eligible schools participate. Our recommendation is the USDA Fruit and Vegetable Industry Advisory Committee's Produce Consumption Working Group recommends that the Food and Nutrition Service partner with the Agricultural Marketing Service to increase awareness about Team Nutrition resources across the country.

MS. KNORR: I'm happy to accept a motion. Actually, we should probably talk about both of them. Any questions or concerns about this one? No?

I would just say that 1 MR. MCREYNOLDS: 2 structurally, the committee, our whole committee -- I would redact the reference to the specific 3 4 working group because we're speaking as a full 5 committee --(Simultaneous speaking.) 6 7 MS. BETTS: You want me to go ahead and delete that? 8 9 MS. BALCH: Yes. I think that is --10 MS. BETTS: Okay. 11 Roland's correct that the working groups can't 12 actually recommend to the secretary, that it does 13 have to come -- so thank you, Roland. 14 MS. BALCH: Can you just do two more 15 backspaces? Get rid of the apostrophe S, thank 16 you. Any other comments or questions on this 17 one? Okay, we can go to the first one. 18 background is the USDA Fruit and Vegetable 19 Industry Advisory Committee recognizes the 20 well-documented high rates of diet-related 21 disease among Americans.

Increased fruit and vegetable

consumption can combat these public health problems, and healthy habits formed in childhood can last a lifetime. There's a growing body of evidence that farm to school programs increase participant consumption, willingness to try, and access to fruits and vegetables. Furthermore, USDA child nutrition programs increase ability and interest in incorporating healthier foods into family diets, increase success of school meal programs, and reduce food waste. addition to public health benefits, increased produce consumption is beneficial to specialty crop producers and other supporting businesses in our industry. Our recommendation is that the USDA -- can you make the same edit as before? MS. BETTS: Yes.

MS. BALCH: I'll just read it with the edit. The USDA Fruit and Vegetable Industry
Advisor Committee emphasizes our support for USDA programs that increase fruit and vegetable consumption, while supporting our industry.

These programs include Agricultural

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

	Marketing Service's Commodity Procurement
2	Program, the Unprocessed, Fresh Fruit and
3	Vegetable Pilot Program, and the Department of
4	Defense Fresh Produce Program, Food and Nutrition
5	Service's Fresh Fruit and Vegetable Program and
6	Farm to School Program. The committee strongly
7	recommends that USDA continue to offer these
8	programs and consider increased funding to the
9	programs to meet demand, as is possible. Any
10	comments or questions?
11	MS. KNORR: I'd be happy to take a
12	motion.
13	MR. MCREYNOLDS: Motion to adopt the
14	recommendation, Roland.
15	MS. BALCH: Can a member of the
16	working group second it? Okay, Christie Balch, I
17	second.
18	MS. KNORR: All in favor? Oh, any
19	discussion on this?
20	(No audible response.)
21	Ms. KNORR: All in favor?
22	(Chorus of aye.)

1 MS. KNORR: Any nays? 2 (No audible response.) MS. KNORR: Abstentions? 3 4 (No audible response.) Motion passes. 5 MS. KNORR: Thank you, Christie. Next, we have something from the 6 7 Market News Group. 8 This is Tom Williams, MR. WILLIAMS: 9 on behalf of the working group for the Market As we heard yesterday, our group crafted a 10 News. statement for supporting the continued funding of 11 the market news. 12 The background, the mission of 13 the Agricultural Marketing Service is to 14 administer programs that create domestic and international marketing opportunities for U.S. 15 16 producers of food, fiber, and specialty crops. 17 One such product that AMS currently 18 uses to assist the produce industry in the 19 marketing and distribution of fruits, vegetables, 20 and specialty crops is the Market News, which 21 provides unbiased price and sales information,

utilizing wholesale, retail, and shipping point

data that it collects and analyzes.

This information is made available through the Market News website, https://www.ams.usda.gov/market-news. Market news is funded through appropriations in the USDA budget, and the reports generated through the website are efficiently and electronically delivered to the user.

News provides timely, detailed information to farmers, producers, and other agricultural businesses to help them accurately assess the market conditions and, thus, make informed decisions regarding the marketing, purchasing, and distribution of fruits, vegetables, and specialty crops. We believe that the Market News provides a vitally important service to the produce industry, while additionally aligning with the mission of AMS, and we ask the secretary to continue to have USDA fully fund Market News and keep it an unbiased source of key information. Any comments?

1	MS. KNORR: Nicely crafted. I'd
2	accept a motion.
3	MR. HANAS: So moved.
4	MS. ELLOR: I'll second, Tina Ellor.
5	MS. KNORR: Any discussion?
6	(No audible response.)
7	MS. KNORR: All in favor?
8	(Chorus of aye.)
9	MS. KNORR: Any nays?
10	(No audible response.)
11	MS. KNORR: Abstentions?
12	(No audible response.)
13	MS. KNORR: Motion carries. Next, can
14	we hear from the Labor Group, Carlos?
15	MS. BETTS: I think we have three
16	labor. Do you want me to just go down through
17	one at a time here?
18	MR. CASTANEDA: That is correct. We
19	have three recommendations.
20	MS. BETTS: I guess we'll
21	(Simultaneous speaking.)
22	MR. CASTANEDA: You can start it

wherever you wish.

MS. BETTS: We'll start at the bottom and work our way up. How does that sound?

MR. CASTANEDA: Perfect.

MS. BETTS: I think this is No. 1.

MR. CASTANEDA: This is Carlos, with the Labor Committee. What we thought of -- the background on this is we're finding that several of our long-term employees in our industry are volunteering to use the H2A program, but they find that once they go to Mexico and apply for this program, the three/ten bar is not allowing them to re-enter.

So we feel that this needs to be addressed. Our recommendation reads that we recommend the three/ten bar be waived for those employees that would like to voluntarily sign up for the H2A Program. Does the committee have any questions on the background? Is everyone familiar with the three/ten bar?

MS. KNORR: Barring any questions or comments, can we go ahead and move to the next

1	one?
2	MR. CASTANEDA: Absolutely. Would you
3	like to make a motion on this one? There are
4	three separate recommendations.
5	MS. KNORR: Let's try to do all of
6	them in one fell swoop.
7	MR. CASTANEDA: Okay, fair enough.
8	This one's short and sweet, right to the point.
9	This program is the only tool that we have for
10	agriculture. There's a lot of rumors. We need
11	to understand that these are just rumors, but
12	that's where some of these ugly bills begin.
13	There's a lot of rumors that they want
14	to place a cap on this program, and that would be
15	devastating to our industry. That's where this
16	recommendation comes from. The vital nature of
17	the H2A program, we strongly recommend that no
18	cap be imposed. Any questions?
19	MS. KNORR: I'm just having a question
20	about what kind of a cap? Is it total number of

MR. CASTANEDA:

That is correct.

H2As that can be issued?

21

1 little bit of background on that is the sister 2 program, if you will, that's non-agriculture, is the H2B program, currently has a cap of 66,000. 3 4 Those that participate in that can tell you that 5 it's a failure --(Simultaneous speaking.) 6 7 MR. CASTANEDA: -- absolutely. Why 8 duplicate a failure? They're speaking of 9 bringing a cap over to H2A, which currently, H2A does not have a cap. We absolutely cannot live 10 11 with a cap in this program. The growth of this 12 is exponential. The maximum number is yet to be 13 determined. For that reason, we need to leave 14 this open, with no cap. 15 I would suggest, just MR. MCREYNOLDS: 16 to clarify, no cap on the number of workers 17 authorized under the H2A program, just to make that crystal clear, what we're advocating for 18 19 here.

MR. CASTANEDA: Labor Committee okay with that?

(Chorus of aye.)

20

21

MR. CASTANEDA: Fair enough; that 1 2 sounds excellent. 3 MS. BETTS: Does that cover what you 4 were talking about, Roland? 5 (Off microphone comments.) How is that, good? 6 MS. BETTS: MR. CASTANEDA: 7 Yes. I still think 8 that expresses what we were trying to get to, so 9 that's excellent, well received. MS. KNORR: 10 Perfect. 11 MS. BETTS: Okay, last one now? 12 MS. KNORR: The next one, please. What we did on the 13 MR. CASTANEDA: 14 background on this one is we wanted to help 15 everyone understand that agriculture still 16 supports a comprehensive immigration reform. We 17 put that in there, in the background to this 18 recommendation. Our concerns have been that the 19 threats of government shutdowns have -- it becomes a bottleneck if we don't determine the 20 21 staff that participates in processing this visa, 22 if we don't determine them essential.

finding that the current bottleneck we're seeing

-- and we're hoping it's not the tip of the

iceberg -- is where H2A visas are processed in

Mexico at our U.S. consulates, they've ceased to

process in Guadalajara and Mexico City, which are

vital highways that most of our employees are

seen coming through.

We're hoping that's not an action that's going to continue to gain momentum. We'd like to make it very clear that our recommendation is that the H2A program be fully funded, that staffing be adequate to accommodate the growth of this essential program to meet the workforce needs of our industry. Our second recommendation there is we further recommend that personnel assigned to H2A processing be deemed essential, in case of a government shutdown.

The first recommendation would be, once again, because of the exponential growth of this program, we'd like to make it clear that our government officials need to understand that this program is absolutely -- it's growing at an

1	unbelievable pace, and we'd like them to
2	understand that they need to staff to whatever
3	number they see adequate to process the need or
4	the demand. Again, the second one is we can't
5	take the risk of government shutdowns closing
6	these offices because, as you know, our crops are
7	perishable.
8	MS. KNORR: Anyone have any questions
9	or comments for Carlos on this last
LO	recommendation? I am open to receiving a motion.
L1	MS. ELLOR: I would love to make that
L2	motion, Tina Ellor, that we accept these three
L3	recommendations, as edited.
L <b>4</b>	MR. MCREYNOLDS: Roland McReynolds,
L5	second.
L6	MS. KNORR: Any discussion?
L7	(No audible response.)
L8	MS. KNORR: All in favor?
L9	(Chorus of aye.)
20	MS. KNORR: Any nays or abstentions?
21	(No audible response.)
22	MS. KNORR: Motion carries. Thank you

very much, Carlos and working group, nice work. 1 2 Finally, the Grants and Research Committee. 3 MR. MCREYNOLDS: Yes, we have a 4 recommendation, as well, regarding investments in 5 infrastructure for the fruits and vegetable 6 industry. Yes, that's the one. 7 MR. MCREYNOLDS: 8 MS. BETTS: There we go. 9 MR. MCREYNOLDS: Okay, so. 10 MS. BETTS: Want to make sure you understand. 11 12 MR. MCREYNOLDS: Yes, I didn't think 13 about that. Our background statement -- again, 14 this is built upon the presentations that we 15 heard yesterday about the value of these programs 16 that are available, as well as the risk that they 17 face in the current budget environment. 18 Statement reads USDA offers Loan Grant 19 Insurance Technical Assistance Programs in 20 support of infrastructure development through its 21 various agencies, including several agencies

These programs have substantial

22

listed there.

1	benefits for the fruit and vegetable industry,
2	period. Due to the largely perishable nature of
3	fruits and vegetables, comma, delete makes
4	it's good to read these out loud, actually. I'm
5	sorry. Federal loans or grant where are we?
6	MS. BETTS: Yes, where were you?
7	MR. MCREYNOLDS: Due to. The sentence
8	beginning due to. Oh, that's the old one. All
9	right. Well, I'll just keep reading.
LO	MS. BETTS: I guess the new one didn't
L1	
L <b>2</b>	MR. MCREYNOLDS: Didn't save, didn't
L3	write over.
L <b>4</b>	MS. BETTS: Didn't save, right. I
L5	guess your reading will have to yes, we'll
L6	have to just pull it you'll have to email it
L7	to me.
L8	MR. MCREYNOLDS: Okay.
L9	MS. BETTS: Yes, it's the old one.
20	MR. MCREYNOLDS: Okay, sorry about
21	that, technical difficulty. Due to the largely
22	perishable nature of fruits and vegetables,

availability of distribution and infrastructure is essential for producers to maximize the value of their businesses. Federal loans or grants can help bring private sector and philanthropic partners to the table in making infrastructure investments that enhance the competitiveness of fruit and vegetable farmers and businesses.

Federal programs that provide technical assistance or help stakeholders test their ideas can set fruit and vegetable industry participants up for greater success in accessing public or private resources in the future and managing them well.

For example, rural development
programs have been an historic success, both in
terms of business survivability rates and job
creation, and have been in great demand, with
applications exceeding the available funds.
Federal land conservation programs, such as
several programs listed, provide farmers
financing to enhance the critical natural
resource infrastructure that ensures their farms

will continue to be productive.

Successful USDA infrastructure

programs that support the fruit and vegetable
industry include the Rural Business and
Cooperative Service, the Business and Industry
Guaranteed Loan Program, the Value Added Producer
Grants, Rural Cooperative Development Grants,
Community Facilities Grants and Loans, the Local
Food Promotion Program, the Rural Energy for
America Program, farm microloans, farm storage
facility loans, and specialty crop block grants,
i.e. everything we got told about yesterday.

These programs also complement and leverage other infrastructure investments across other branches of state and federal government, such as the Economic Development Administration of the Department of Commerce, which has served as a key financing partner on major local food infrastructure projects around the country; the Community Development Financial Institutions Fund of the Department of the Department of the Treasury, which certifies, finances, and trains

institutions that offer financial services and
build financial capacity in rural communities
that have traditionally faced significant
challenges in accessing mainstream financial
services; the Healthy Food Financing Initiative
of the CDFI, which facilitates financing of fruit
and vegetable access projects, such as farmers'
markets and urban farms in underserved urban and
rural communities; and the new market tax credits
at the Department of Treasury. These partnership
opportunities also increase efficiency and reduce
redundancies across agency missions and
activities. Our recommendation to propose for
your review, we strongly encourage the secretary
to be supportive for continued funding for these
effective USDA infrastructure investment
programs, including the Rural Business and
Cooperative Service, the Business and Industry
Guaranteed Loan Program, Value Added Producer
Grants, Rural Cooperative Development Grants,
Community Facilities Grants and Loans, the Local
Food Promotion Program, the Rural Energy for

America Program, farm microloans, farm storage 1 2 facility loans, specialty crop block grants, and the Agricultural Conservation Easement Program, 3 4 the Conservation Reserve Program, the 5 Conservation Stewardship Program, and the Environmental Quality Incentives Program. 6 7 We also recommend that in order to 8 ensure the producers have access to these 9 programs, that USDA continue to staff a robust 10 network of state and county service centers, and 11 service center staff should be encouraged to work 12 across other federal agencies and state 13 governments to leverage each other's resources 14 for increased investment in fruit and vegetable 15 industry infrastructure. That's our statement 16 and recommendation. 17 MS. BETTS: I'm sorry; I don't have 18 the most up-to-date version. 19 MR. MCREYNOLDS: It's mostly the same 20 stuff. 21 MS. BETTS: It's an IT glitch.

almost locked my computer up.

1	MS. KNORR: Any questions for this
2	committee?
3	MR. VAZQUEZ: I guess, on the
4	aggregate, we're just recommending that the
5	budget for the USDA, in general, not be cut,
6	right? I mean, that's basically what we're
7	saying.
8	MR. MCREYNOLDS: Yes. And I think our
9	it makes sense for us, as an industry, to say
LO	we value USDA programs, and we want them to
L1	continue.
L2	(Off microphone comment.)
L3	We're the infrastructure well, you
L <b>4</b>	know, and we are certainly intentionally using
L5	language around infrastructure because we do
L5 L6	language around infrastructure because we do recognize that's a value in the administration.
L6	recognize that's a value in the administration.
L6 L7	recognize that's a value in the administration.  I'm a lawyer.
L6 L7 L8	recognize that's a value in the administration.  I'm a lawyer.  MS. KNORR: One thing I would mention
L6 L7 L8	recognize that's a value in the administration.  I'm a lawyer.  MS. KNORR: One thing I would mention that's kind of a bit of a pet peeve of mine,

1	MS. KNORR: Well, they are wrong.
2	(Laughter.)
3	MS. KNORR: A bit of a strong opinion
4	on that. Sorry, guys. Starting to get a little
5	punchy. Sorry, everyone. Barring any further
6	questions or comments for Roland, I would accept
7	a motion on this recommendation.
8	MR. WILLIAMS: Tom Williams. I'll
9	make a motion.
10	MS. ELLOR: Tina Ellor. I'll second.
11	MS. KNORR: Any discussion?
12	(No audible response.)
13	MS. KNORR: All in favor?
14	(Chorus of aye.)
15	MS. KNORR: Any nays or abstentions?
16	(No audible response.)
17	MS. KNORR: Motion carries. Wow,
18	fantastic work this morning, folks.
19	MS. BETTS: There is one more, Beth,
20	on the Rural Broadband has a statement, also.
21	MS. KNORR: Correct. We did it this
22	morning, didn't we?

1 MS. BETTS: We approved that one? 2 I just wanted to make sure we got Okay. everybody covered, I think. I think that's it. 3 4 Roland, if you could just --5 Great job. MS. KNORR: -- make sure --6 MS. BETTS: 7 (Simultaneous speaking). 8 Perfect. Awesome. MS. BETTS: 9 MS. KNORR: A couple of things before 10 we adjourn. As was mentioned yesterday, there 11 are a number of people whose current terms are I just want to encourage 12 ending this time. 13 everyone to please consider reapplying. We value 14 your input and participation. We'll wait to hear from Marlene and Melissa regarding the 15 16 reauthorization of the group, which I think you 17 said typically happens in July, or potentially 18 can happen in July. 19 Or before? Okay, great. We'll wait 20 to hear back from you, but I would encourage 21 everyone to please consider reapplying to

participate. Also, Marlene had asked folks to

1	take a look at their calendars in September and
2	October, so if you have not passed that
3	information along to Marlene, either do so today
4	before you depart, or send her a quick email in
5	the next day or two, so that she can start
6	looking at dates that are going to work for a
7	fall meeting.
8	MS. BETTS: We already know that
9	October 19 through 21 is PMA, so we know that
10	one's a no-good date.
11	(Off microphone comment.)
12	MS. BETTS: United has public policy
13	in October, as well? Oh, September.
14	(Off microphone comment.)
15	MS. BETTS: It's usually around
16	September 11th, I've always found.
17	(Off microphone comment.)
18	MS. BETTS: Make sure you guys use the
19	microphone, please.
20	MR. CASTANEDA: Sounds like the week
21	of September 25th might not have any opposition.
22	MS. ELLOR: I can't make that, but I'm

not essential personnel, and I might not be 1 2 reappointed. That's okay. 3 MS. BETTS: We're --4 (Simultaneous speaking.) MS. BETTS: That's the end of our 5 fiscal year, too. 6 7 (Simultaneous speaking.) 8 MS. KNORR: Yes, I was getting ready 9 to say if we could try to stay away from the last week of September or first week of October, they 10 generally shut down our travel systems to let us 11 12 process changing over the year. We would have 13 some potential administrative issues. 14 I think, at this point, if MS. KNORR: we can just share with Marlene dates that we know 15 16 will not work, that gives her a little bit more 17 flexibility with trying to tackle some dates. Go 18 ahead and send those to her or mention them to 19 her before you leave today. 20 MR. NOLAN: Bob Nolan. I'd just like 21 to make a comment. I've talked to several

committee members, even at our last meeting,

1 whether we would consider taking this meeting on 2 the road, if the committee would like to do that? I've spoken to Melissa, and she said it is 3 4 possible. 5 I guess we've got to check the details and see if we have a financial budget we have to 6 I don't know if the committee would 7 stay within. 8 like to entertain taking this on the road. I 9 would offer Long Island as a location. committee's interested in that, that's -- be 10 11 happy to do it. 12 (Off microphone comment.) 13 MR. NOLAN: Depends on my H2A 14 situation. 15 I have some labor camp housing where 16 you guys can stay, so, you know, we'll keep the 17 cost down. 18 (Laughter.)

MR. NOLAN: So I don't know if the committee wants to discuss it, at this point, or we just leave it out as a suggestion and do it by email. You guys can let me know. The flight

19

20

21

from Alaska's not that much longer. It's just up
the road.

I'm just throwing it out there, what
you folks want to do. September, if it makes no

you folks want to do. September, if it makes no difference to the staff, it's probably a little better time, a little bit warmer. The third week in September or second week, somewhere in there, versus October. October's still nice, but

September is perfect, for what it's worth.

MR. CASTANEDA: Bob, do you have the facilities to host something like this, as far as a conference room and --

MR. NOLAN: Yes. Out in Riverhead,
New York, there's several hotels, which have
enough room for us to do that. There's also an
FSA agency out there, as well.

MR. CASTANEDA: Excellent. Should I make a motion?

MS. KNORR: I'm not sure that there's a motion necessary for that. I think that we've shared our interest in doing that with the USDA staff, so I'm confident that they're going to

look into some options there for us. Thank you for offering, Bob.

MR. NOLAN: It's either to -- Southwest flies right into Islip-MacArthur. If you guys have access to Southwest, that's the way to go.

You miss all the city traffic. It's about a half hour ride out to Riverhead from there. If you fly into JFK, on a normal day, it's an hour ride, on a rush-hour ride, it's about three hours.

Traffic is terrible morning and afternoon for rush hour. You think LA's bad. It's

Islip-MacArthur, on Long Island. Southwest has a hub, flies right in.

MS. KNORR: I just want to take a moment to thank everyone for making the time to come out here for this meeting. I think you all should be commended for the tremendous amount of work that you've done over the past two days and the recommendations and statements that we've made and passed. Thank all of you for all of that work. I don't really have anything else to add. I know a couple of people have some

flights. Marlene, do you have any administrative things that need to be discussed?

MS. BETTS: I'm not sure if Melissa or Erin wanted to talk about it, but we did talk during our break, as well. We made some changes because we're all newbies to this, as well. Certainly, again, give us some feedback. Did you like the way the meeting ran? I know it was a little bit different, maybe, than the last time. I don't have anything to compare I don't know. But if you could tell us the positives or the negatives that came out of this, how we can improve and make things easier for you all. Ι know you'll be hearing back from me, especially the chairs of all the various committees. going to reach back out to all of you, make sure we have the right people, when you want to hold the next conference calls, what kind of speakers do you need us to start looking towards?

We'll kind of take a breath because

I've got my other job to do, but I do want to

follow up. I know Melissa talked about the new

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

way of structuring the recommendations and whatnot. You'll be getting a lot of information from us just to kind of bounce things off of you and keep us in the loop and keep things going.

Thank you so much for all of your efforts and all of your help and your patience with us and getting this together. To me, it was a great meeting. So thank you, and I'll let Melissa or Erin have any closing comments.

MS. BAILEY: Yes, thanks, Marlene.

Again, thank you so much for stepping up and
helping us organize this meeting. This sounds
almost like a minor detail, but one piece of
feedback I would have is simply I think the way
we structure, actually, the table could be better
in the future. I find this long table thing to
be a bit difficult because I can't -- you guys
probably can't see each other. I think just
having -- I think a few years ago, we had more of
a U format. I think that is just much more
conducive to a dialogue.

I think we'll definitely pursue that

for the next meeting and moving forward. That sounds minor, but I think it just makes the meeting flow much better. In general, again, just for those that this may be their last meeting, sincerely appreciate all your service on the committee and echo Beth, in terms of considering applying again when we get the nomination notice out. Then for those who will stay with us, hopefully we'll see you in, maybe, New York, in September-October. I don't know if Erin has anything closing to say.

MS. MORRIS: Yes, just real briefly because I know everyone's ready to get out of here. I just wanted to echo Beth's sentiments. We really can't thank you enough for all of the time and thoughtful, thoughtful effort that you have put into this meeting and all the calls that you do with your groups when we're in between meetings. We really do consider this feedback valuable, and we really need it to make sure that we're communicating and understanding what's important to the fruit and vegetable and

specialty crop industries. Again, thank you so much to all of you for your service.

MS. KNORR: I'd just like to say thank you to Marlene, Melissa and Erin for hosting us.

I know that putting these meetings together takes an incredible amount of work and details and all of that kind of stuff. Thank you all very much for helping us to continue to participate in this way. Thank you.

MS. BETTS: And Leanne Skelton, too, behind the scenes help.

MR. CASTANEDA: I do have a question on what is the formal process for suggesting a speaker? Is it recommended via email? For example, Labor Committee would obviously love to have someone from the Department of Labor speak on behalf of H2A, but how would you like for us to approach that, nominating a speaker?

MS. BAILEY: This is Melissa. I think that what worked well this time was for the subcommittees who were meeting on an issue, they just helped -- we reached out to them and said

are there any particular topics you would like to 1 2 hear about, and then we kind of figured out who the best speakers would be. If you don't have an 3 4 active subcommittee, though, and you have a 5 person from Labor, certainly send that to us. The farther ahead of time we have it, the easier 6 it is to pull those people in. 7 I don't know that beyond that, we have too formal of a process. 8 9 (Off microphone comment.) MS. BAILEY: Just to both, for now, I 10 think would be great, thanks. 11 12 MS. KNORR: Does anybody else have 13 anything for the good of the cause? I think on behalf of the 14 MR. JANIS: committee, we'd like to thank the co-chairs for 15 16 your leadership and everything they've done to make this an effective meeting. 17 18 (Off microphone comment.) 19 MS. KNORR: Motion to adjourn. So 20 moved? Okay, thanks, everybody. 21 (Whereupon, the above-entitled matter 22 went off the record at 12:11 p.m.)

A
<b>a.m</b> 1:11 4:2 40:14,15
ability 13:12 44:7
<b>able</b> 10:15 11:16 16:3
16:17 17:15 37:11
above-entitled 40:13
73:21
absolutely 39:22 50:2
51:7,10 53:22
abstentions 28:2 31:8
46:3 48:11 54:20
62:15
accept 30:17,19,20
36:6,15 42:19 48:2
54:12 62:6
acceptable 19:4
acceptance 27:13
access 30:1 37:8 44:6
59:7 60:8 68:5
accessing 57:11 59:4
accommodate 53:12
accomplish 16:3
account 22:2
accurately 47:12
achieves 14:10
acknowledged 18:16
act 35:1
Acting 2:12
action 25:8 53:8
active 73:4
activities 59:13
actual 32:15
add 9:11 13:11,18
17:20 68:22
Added 58:6 59:19
adding 13:21 25:5,15
addition 44:11
additional 35:17
additionally 47:18
address 11:2 37:14
addressed 24:10 29:17
49:15
addresses 11:10
adequate 14:12 53:12
54:3
adjourn 3:20 5:4 38:14
63:10 73:19
administer 46:14
administration 58:16
61:16
administrative 65:13
69:1
adopt 45:13
advise 12:9
Advisor 44:19
AUVIOUI TT. 10
Advicory 1:2 10 21:20
Advisory 1:3,10 21:20
Advisory 1:3,10 21:20 22:11 30:9 42:2,13 43:19

A -l
Advocacy 37:21
advocating 51:18
affordability 30:14
affordable 30:2
Africa 19:8
afternoon 68:10
agencies 55:21,21
60:12
agency 13:13,13,15
20:12 59:12 67:16
aggregate 61:4
<b>ago</b> 21:18 70:19
agree 8:12 13:10 15:17
agreement 17:9
agreements 17:1
agricultural 42:16
44:22 46:13 47:11
60:3
agriculture 1:1,22
21:19 22:11 29:16
30:3,6 32:5 33:15
50:10 52:15
ahead 14:15 29:20
40:17 43:7 49:22
65:18 73:6
air 39:20
Alaska 1:21
<b>Alaska's</b> 67:1
aligning 47:18
allow 36:15,22
allowing 12:19 49:12
alternative 16:10
America 19:8 30:12
58:10 60:1
Americans 43:21
amount 68:17 72:6
ample 4:6
<b>AMS</b> 2:13,14 41:10,13
46:17 47:9,19
AMS's 14:20
analyzes 47:1
anticipate 35:22
anybody 9:9,17 15:19
15:21 19:18 73:12
apostrophe 43:15
61:20
applicants 10:17
applications 57:18
apply 34:7,8 49:11
applying 71:7
appointment 32:18,19
33:1 34:11
appointments 8:15
32:14
appreciate 20:5 71:5
approach 72:18
appropriate 9:21,22
10.7

10:7

appropriations 47:5 approve 6:11 approved 63:1 approximately 42:11 area 18:11 areas 21:21 Arlington 1:11 arms 40:2 **Asia** 19:8 asked 18:20 63:22 asking 16:15 18:18 23:17 aspect 21:2 aspects 20:9 24:21 **assess** 47:12 assigned 53:16 assist 46:18 assistance 42:6 55:19 57:9 assisting 41:13 Association 2:3 **assume** 35:8 attention 35:5 attributes 13:16 audible 27:19 28:1,3 31:3,7,9 45:20 46:2,4 48:6,10,12 54:17,21 62:12,16 authorized 51:17 availability 57:1 available 15:21 32:4 33:15 35:22 47:2 55:16 57:18 **aware** 34:1 awareness 42:9.17 awesome 40:6 63:8 awkward 21:7 aye 27:20,21 31:5 45:22 48:8 51:22 54:19 62:14 В

## back 4:22 9:6 14:12 20:3 29:8 38:20 40:5 40:17 41:16 63:20 69:14,16 background 36:3 43:18 46:12 49:8,19 51:1 52:14,17 55:13 backspaces 43:15 **bad** 68:11 **Bailey** 20:1,1 70:10 72:19 73:10 **Balch** 1:15 5:17,17 41:2 41:22 43:9,14 44:17 45:15,16 bar 34:9 35:2 49:12,16 49:20

**Barnes** 1:16,17 **barred** 34:11,14,16 barrier 37:10 **Barring** 49:21 62:5 based 24:13,17 **basically** 29:9 61:6 bear 12:21 beginning 56:8 behalf 46:9 72:17 73:14 **believe** 27:7 47:16 beneficial 44:12 benefits 44:11 56:1 best 10:10 15:15 73:3 **Beth** 1:11,13 10:7 26:19 29:8 37:20 39:18 62:19 71:6 Beth's 71:14 better 67:6 70:15 71:3 **BETTS** 2:12 13:17 26:8 28:17,20,22 29:4 39:16 40:10 41:15 43:7,10 44:16 48:15 48:20 49:2,5 52:3,6 52:11 55:8,10 56:6,10 56:14,19 60:17,21 62:19 63:1.6.8 64:8 64:12,15,18 65:3,5 69:3 72:10 **beyond** 73:8 **big** 33:5 biggest 16:8 bill 36:5 **bills** 50:12 **bit** 4:5,18 6:10 8:14 16:1 21:3,15 23:6 25:17,19 36:3 37:12 40:1,3 51:1 61:19 62:3 65:16 67:6 69:9 70:17 **blah** 23:19,19,19 26:7,7 26:7 block 58:11 60:2 board 34:17 Bob 7:17 23:3 30:21 37:20 39:10,18 65:20 67:10 68:2 **body** 44:3 **bones** 23:13 book 34:22 bottleneck 32:17 52:20 53:1 **bottom** 49:2 **bounce** 70:3 branches 58:15 break 38:7 39:17 69:5 **breath** 69:20

**briefly** 71:12

bring 5:9 12:20 19:19

conference 4:21 41:12 29:1 35:12,19 38:20 center 60:11 40:5 43:13 68:16 40:5 57:4 centers 60:10 comes 9:6 34:10 50:16 67:12 69:18 bringing 51:9 **CEO** 2:10 coming 19:8 26:16 53:7 confidence 11:16 certain 10:12 41:4 **broad** 12:13 16:7,9 comma 17:21 56:3 confident 67:22 17:14 29:13,14 certainly 4:14 10:13 commend 32:8 33:15 Congress 18:11 35:1 12:2 20:20 21:6 24:9 **broadband** 5:13 28:19 commended 68:17 connect 35:14 comment 15:18 20:20 29:11,13 30:4,10,13 35:18 61:14 69:7 73:5 connectivity 30:2,4,10 62:20 certifies 58:22 61:12,22 64:11,14,17 30:15 brought 35:5,19 **CFUs** 19:3.9 Conservancy 1:14 65:21 66:12 73:9,18 **Bruce** 14:19 Chain 2:2 comments 3:13 6:9 conservation 57:19 10:12 14:14,17 18:8 **brush** 12:13 **chair** 1:12,13,14 5:5 60:3,4,5 budget 47:6 55:17 61:5 consider 25:20 26:3,11 13:5 21:16 27:12 19:21 43:16 45:10 39:11 47:22 49:22 52:5 54:9 45:8 63:13,21 66:1 **build** 59:2 Chairman 5:20 62:6 70:9 71:19 **built** 55:14 **chairs** 13:21 35:14 Commerce 58:17 consideration 10:16 committee 1:3,10 3:16 **bunch** 41:6 69:15 30:13 33:22 **Burns** 28:10 chairwoman 5:21 10:1,8 20:10,22 21:20 **considered** 25:9 35:3 **business** 3:18 30:6 **challenges** 18:15 21:11 29:10 30:9 31:19 considering 71:7 57:16 58:4,5 59:17,18 35:20 42:2 43:2,2,5 consistent 10:21 22:6 59:4 43:19 44:19 45:6 49:7 constructed 24:16 businesses 44:13 challenging 21:3 47:12 57:3,7 **chance** 5:10 6:8 49:18 51:20 55:2 61:2 **consulate** 32:21 33:3 **change** 8:1,4 24:15 65:22 66:2,7,20 71:6 34:10 С 72:15 73:15 consulates 32:13 33:7 35:1 33:8 53:4 **C-O-N-T-E-N-T-S** 3:9 **changes** 8:13 21:12 committee's 15:7 42:13 consult 17:21 18:3 calendars 64:1 69:5 66:10 call 3:11 33:3 41:9,13 changing 65:12 **committees** 5:1 35:17 consumption 5:19 called 34:9 characteristics 9:7 69:15 40:21 42:14 44:1,5,12 calls 4:21,22 41:7 69:18 10:12 14:6 Commodity 45:1 44:21 charter 22:17 communicate 11:17 content 23:2 71:17 camp 66:15 **check** 40:7 66:5 communicated 21:13 continue 12:11 27:8 candidate 12:1 Chief 1:20 communicating 71:21 38:2 45:7 47:20 53:9 candidates 18:1 child 42:4 44:7 communities 30:11 58:1 60:9 61:11 72:8 cap 50:14,18,20 51:3,9 childhood 44:2 59:2,9 **continued** 10:5 14:9 51:10,11,14,16 **Chorus** 27:21 31:5 **Community** 1:16 58:8 46:11 59:15 capacity 59:2 45:22 48:8 51:22 58:20 59:21 continues 7:11 capture 12:13 54:19 62:14 **compare** 69:10 continuity 11:1 captured 11:19 **Christie** 1:15 5:17 compared 18:22 conversation 39:7 comparison 18:21 cards 37:16 40:22 41:20 45:16 converted 41:5 Carlos 1:17 5:21 31:14 46:6 competitive 19:11 cooperative 17:9 58:5,7 35:7 48:14 49:6 54:9 city 33:7 53:5 68:6 competitiveness 57:6 59:18,20 55:1 clarify 51:16 complement 58:13 copy 7:14 8:1 Carolina 2:3 **clean** 34:6 35:5 complete 39:14 **correct** 27:2 43:11 carries 48:13 54:22 clear 11:5 12:4 51:18 completed 35:11 48:18 50:22 62:21 62:17 53:10.20 completely 11:17 cost 66:17 case 20:16 53:17 clearance 20:19 comprehensive 34:2 countries 19:5 **clearly** 19:19 21:12 52:16 country 32:3 35:4 42:10 Castaneda 1:17,17 5:20 22:18 28:7 computer 60:22 5:21 31:16 48:18,22 42:18 58:19 49:4,6 50:2,7,22 51:7 climate 31:20 concentration 19:3 Countryside 1:14 concern 11:18 32:12 51:20 52:1,7,13 64:20 closing 3:18 33:6,11 **county** 60:10 concerned 20:11 31:21 67:10,17 72:12 54:5 70:9 71:11 couple 15:8,10 63:9 closings 33:12 33.9 68:22 catastrophe 32:6 catastrophic 32:1 **co-chairs** 73:15 concerns 20:6 22:15 course 20:19 Co-Owner/Manager 2:4 24:9,10 42:21 52:18 **cover** 52:3 34:17 Coborn's 2:10 concrete 17:16 **covered** 15:19 63:3 category 17:2 coliform 19:2 **concur** 13:5 craft 4:19 35:9 **cause** 73:13 collects 47:1 conditions 47:13 **CDFI** 59:6 crafted 46:10 48:1 ceased 53:4 combat 44:1 conducive 70:21 crafting 31:13 census 16:11 **come** 34:6 35:5 39:12 conduct 21:21 **create** 46:14

creation 57:17 credits 59:9 criteria 11:5 18:22 19:15 critical 9:3 13:8 15:2 22:7 57:21 **crop** 4:14 35:18 37:17 44:13 58:11 60:2 72:1 crops 46:16,20 47:16 54:6 crossing 8:5 Crossroads 1:15 crucial 8:16,19 9:10 crystal 51:18 current 53:1 55:17 63:11 currently 32:8 46:17 51:3,9 customer 37:16 **cut** 61:5 **cuts** 31:22 32:5 Cuyahoga 1:13

## D

damaging 23:1 Dan 7:19 8:12 11:7 13:4 14:2 15:17 28:10 **DANIEL** 2:6 data 16:11 47:1 database 33:20 date 32:20 64:10 dates 64:6 65:15,17 **Dave** 18:20 **DAVID** 2:10 **Davis** 1:11 day 9:6 13:22 14:1 24:1 64:5 68:8 days 32:22 33:1,4 68:18 dealing 29:11 **decide** 24:19 decision 24:12 decisions 47:14 Dedicating 10:21 deemed 53:16 deep 10:19 **Deer** 1:14 Defense 45:4 defer 14:11 definite 37:10 **definitely** 5:7 19:11 27:3 70:22 delays 32:10 delete 43:8 56:3 delivered 47:8 demand 45:9 54:4 57:17 demonstrated 28:7

department 1:1,22 21:1 45:3 58:17,21,21 59:10 72:16 departments 31:22 32:8,12 33:16 **Depends** 66:13 depersonalize 11:4 depersonalized 13:3 depersonalizing 7:5 description 12:8 13:6 14:7 Designated 2:12 detail 11:13 70:13 detailed 47:10 details 66:5 72:6 **determine** 4:20 16:7,12 17:17 18:6 52:20,22 determined 51:13 devastating 50:15 developed 16:10 20:17 development 12:22 18:2 30:12 55:20 57:14 58:7,16,20 59:20 dialogue 70:21 diet-related 43:20 **DIETRICH** 1:18 28:18 28:21 29:2,6,22 31:12 diets 44:9 difference 67:5 different 19:13 37:16 69:9 difficult 17:11 70:17 difficulty 56:21 dilemma 23:22 24:4 directing 20:12 **Director** 1:13,15,19 2:2 2:3,9 discuss 4:6 5:10 6:15 17:8 36:1 66:20 discussed 69:2 discussing 5:8 discussion 4:16,17 6:10.14 27:18 31:1 45:19 48:5 54:16 62:11 discussions 15:4 **disease** 43:21 distribution 46:19 47:15 57:1 doing 67:21 domestic 34:5 46:14 draft 6:7 drafted 20:21 **Duda** 1:21 due 32:3 42:9 56:2,7,8 56:21

duplicate 51:8

Ε E 23:19 earlier 39:13 **early** 30:16 Easement 60:3 easier 69:13 73:6 echo 71:6.14 Economic 58:16 **economy** 29:18 edit 44:15,18 **edited** 54:13 education 30:4,7 42:7 effect 25:2.6 **effective** 59:16 73:17 effectively 13:12,14 21:15 efficiency 59:11 efficiently 47:7 effort 15:1 71:16 efforts 70:6 eight 37:15 either 12:5 64:3 68:3 electricity 30:5 electronically 47:7 eligible 42:8,11 **Ellor** 1:19 15:4 16:14 48:4,4 54:11,12 62:10 62:10 64:22 email 56:16 64:4 66:22 72:14 emailed 28:18 **emails** 29:8 **emphasize** 30:9 33:13 emphasizes 44:19 employee 34:12 **employees** 32:16 34:5 34:21 49:9,17 53:6 encompasses 11:9 **encourage** 23:20,21 25:20 26:2,9,10 38:17 59:14 63:12.20 **encouraged** 36:7 60:11 encourages 26:13 ends 11:17 **Energy** 58:9 59:22 engagement 14:22 engagement/coordin... 25:13 enhance 57:6,21 enlighten 10:3 ensure 60:8 **ensures** 57:22 **ensuring** 9:8 10:22 entertain 66:8 environment 55:17

Erin 2:13 10:6 24:7 69:4 70:9 71:11 72:4 **especially** 33:5 69:14 essential 52:22 53:13 53:17 57:2 65:1 essentially 20:8 establishes 19:2 ETA-790 32:11 evaluation 25:2.6 evening 36:18 event 5:1 10:4 everybody 63:3 73:20 everybody's 39:14,17 everyone's 71:13 evidence 44:4 evidence-based 42:7 **example** 32:19 57:14 72:15 examples 14:21 exceeding 57:18 **excellent** 28:16 52:2,9 67:17 Exchange 2:6 **excuse** 5:21 **Executive** 1:13,15 2:3 exist 36:14 existing 28:13 39:8 exists 34:4 **experience** 11:1 12:21 experienced 7:9 expertise 11:1,15 12:21 explanation 11:8 exponential 51:12 53:19 expresses 52:8 extensive 12:20 extensively 18:12

## F

face 55:17 **faced** 59:3 facilitates 59:6 facilities 58:8 59:21 67:11 facility 58:11 60:2 fact 19:12 22:9 failure 51:5,8 fair 39:5 50:7 52:1 fall 64:7 falling 17:2 familiar 49:20 **family** 44:9 fantastic 5:11 6:4 33:17 35:10 62:18 far 7:3 20:12 67:11 far-fetched 16:5 farm 1:17 2:3,7 33:2 36:5 44:4 45:6 58:10

**Environmental** 60:6

**equipment** 36:12,21

37:2,4

depart 64:4

	1	1	,
58:10 60:1,1	<b>FNS</b> 36:14 37:13 41:10	gather 16:4	<b>HANAS</b> 1:20 48:3
Farmer 37:21	41:13	general 2:2,6 3:13 12:9	hand 31:2
farmers 21:19 47:11	<b>FOA</b> 16:22	20:14 61:5 71:3	handle 10:3
57:7,20 61:21	folks 6:12 35:16 62:18	generally 65:11	happen 19:10 63:18
farmers' 36:5,9,10 37:5	63:22 67:4	generated 47:6	happening 36:4,9
59:7 61:20	follow 10:9 69:22	getting 15:14 32:18	happens 63:17
farms 1:15,20 2:5 57:22	<b>food</b> 1:16,16 4:7,16	34:22 65:8 70:2,7	happy 42:19 45:11
59:8	27:14 28:6 35:20 42:3	give 18:17 32:19 69:7	66:11
farther 73:6	42:15 44:10 45:4	given 18:11 34:3 38:13	hard 7:14 8:1 28:7
fast 30:2	46:16 58:9,18 59:5,22	<b>gives</b> 65:16	HARRY 2:7
favor 27:20 31:4 45:18	foods 2:10 37:9 44:8	glitch 60:21	hate 17:3
45:21 48:7 54:18	foreign 19:6	<b>Gold</b> 2:8	health 19:1,13 44:1,11
62:13	form 6:7 36:1 37:12,19	gotten 17:6	healthcare 30:7
<b>FDA</b> 7:12 13:13 18:15	formal 72:13 73:8	government 52:19	healthier 44:8
20:8 22:10 23:15	format 70:20	53:17,21 54:5 58:15	healthy 37:9 44:2 59:5
24:18 25:20 26:2,9,10	formed 39:1 44:2	governments 23:12	hear 5:3 35:16 40:21
fecal 19:2	forming 35:18	60:13	48:14 63:14,20 73:2
federal 2:12 33:19 56:5	forms 32:11	grant 55:18 56:5	heard 24:20 46:10 55:15
57:3,8,19 58:15 60:12 <b>feedback</b> 6:2 15:3	<b>forth</b> 17:10 20:3 29:8 35:12 38:20	grants 5:6 55:2 57:3	
31:19 69:7 70:14	forward 5:9 18:19 71:1	58:7,7,8,11 59:20,20 59:21 60:2	hearing 28:4 31:20 69:14
71:19	found 64:16	greater 57:11	heavily 36:8
feel 6:18 21:13 22:11	four 30:1 40:19	greatest 16:16	Helen 1:18 29:21 31:11
25:10 40:9 49:14	frame 16:6 22:8	greatly 21:8	help 20:5 23:21 24:4
feeling 39:3	frames 39:22	group 4:10,11,13,15 5:7	47:12 52:14 57:4,9
fell 6:20 50:6	Francisco 2:1	5:10,13,22 6:2 7:12	70:6 72:11
felt 15:1 34:18	free 40:9 42:8	8:2 9:12 15:1 19:20	helped 72:22
fiber 46:16	Fresh 2:2,4 45:2,4,5	22:2,11 27:14 31:15	helpful 17:18 24:15
figured 33:18 73:2	front 20:18	36:1 37:11,22 38:6,7	helping 70:12 72:8
filled 8:20,22 9:4 14:5	fruit 1:3,10 10:20 21:9	38:8,18,19 39:6,8,12	<b>HERRON</b> 1:21 9:5
filling 10:13	30:8 42:1,13 43:18,22	40:21 42:14 43:4	11:12 16:20 23:20
fills 8:17 14:7	44:18,20 45:2,5 56:1	45:16 46:7,9,10 48:14	high 42:6 43:20
final 20:21 35:9	57:7,10 58:3 59:6	55:1 63:16	highlighted 34:3
Finally 55:2	60:14 71:22	group's 27:8 31:18	Highway 1:11
finances 58:22	fruits 44:6 46:19 47:15	33:22	highways 53:6
financial 58:20 59:1,2,4	55:5 56:3,22	<b>groups</b> 3:13,15 4:19 5:3	hire 11:21
66:6	<b>FSA</b> 67:16	33:5 35:11,14 37:19	hiring 10:9,14
financing 57:21 58:18	<b>FSMA</b> 12:18 20:16	38:5,15,22 39:3 40:20	historic 57:15
59:5,6	21:22	43:11 71:18	hold 69:17
find 49:11 70:16	full 3:16 10:22 25:2,6	growers 19:7 34:19	homework 19:20
finding 32:17 33:3 49:8	43:4	growing 44:3 53:22	honestly 17:14
53:1 <b>fine</b> 12:7 21:10 39:9	fully 47:20 53:11	growth 51:11 53:13,19	hope 12:3 hopefully 4:9 71:9
finesse 12:17	Functionally 8:3 fund 47:20 58:20	<b>Guadalajara</b> 33:6 53:5 <b>Guaranteed</b> 58:6 59:19	hoping 6:7 12:10 53:2,8
first 4:8 7:1 15:22 31:19	fundamental 30:6	guess 8:13 23:16 48:20	host 67:11
33:21 40:20 41:2,16	funded 7:12 8:18 14:9	56:10,15 61:3 66:5	hosting 72:4
43:17 53:18 65:10	47:5 53:12	guidances 12:22	hotels 67:14
fiscal 31:21 65:6	funding 37:7 45:8 46:11	guide 11:22	hour 38:7,18 39:3,6
five 30:1 36:8	59:15		68:7,8,11
flag 5:6 7:1 18:20 21:17	funds 36:4 57:18	Н	hours 68:9
flexibility 65:17	further 14:16 18:2	<b>H2A</b> 32:1 33:9 34:4	housing 66:15
flies 68:4,13	19:16 27:18 38:4	49:10,18 50:17 51:9,9	https://www.ams.us
flight 66:22	53:15 62:5	51:17 53:3,11,16	47:4
flights 69:1	Furthermore 44:6	66:13 72:17	<b>hub</b> 68:13
floor 6:14	future 57:12 70:16	<b>H2As</b> 50:21	Hyatt 1:11
Floral 2:9		<b>H2B</b> 51:3	
flow 71:3	G	habits 44:2	<u> </u>
fly 29:1 68:8	<b>gain</b> 53:9	half 38:7 68:6	i.e 58:12
			l

iceberg 33:10 53:3 idea 29:15 **ideas** 57:9 identify 17:22 Imagine 29:4 **immigration** 34:2 52:16 impact 21:8,12 **impacts** 21:10 implementation 22:8 importance 30:10 33:13 **important** 7:3 10:12 11:6 19:16 20:22 22:12,20 29:16 47:17 71:22 **imposed** 50:18 **improve** 69:13 Incentive 35:21 **Incentives** 60:6 include 10:11 30:12 44:22 58:4 including 25:2,7 55:21 59:17 incorporating 44:8 increase 37:8 42:16 44:4.7.9.20 59:11 increased 43:22 44:11 45:8 60:14 incredible 72:6 indicator 25:21 26:6 individual 8:17 9:16 36:12 individuals 7:15 Indonesian 15:13 industries 72:1 **industry** 1:3,10 7:10 10:20 12:21 13:8 14:20 18:14 21:9,11 22:20 30:8 42:2,13 43:19 44:14,18,21 46:18 47:18 49:9 50:15 53:14 55:6 56:1 57:10 58:4,5 59:18 60:15 61:9 industry's 22:14 information 12:13 16:19 23:9 37:13 40:22 46:21 47:2,10 47:22 64:3 70:2 informed 47:13 infrastructure 5:7 30:11 55:5,20 57:1,5 57:22 58:2,14,19 59:16 60:15 61:13,15 Initiative 59:5 input 9:11 16:15 18:17 21:22 24:18 63:14 insights 22:19

institutions 58:20 59:1 insurance 4:15 35:18 37:18 55:19 intent 11:3 14:8 27:9,11 intentionally 61:14 interagency 20:17 interest 44:8 67:21 interested 15:13 35:17 37:17 38:9 66:10 international 19:1 46:15 Internet 30:2 interview 11:22 32:15 introduction 42:1 invaluable 10:21 investigation 19:17 investment 59:16 60:14 investments 55:4 57:6 58:14 invite 6:5 involved 9:12 involvement 10:1 iPads 37:16 **Island** 66:9 68:12 Islip-MacArthur 68:4 68:12 issue 11:2 34:9 37:14 72:21 **issued** 50:21

Jacket 2:4
Janis 2:1 13:9,9,19
14:11 25:1,15 73:14
Jefferson 1:11
JFK 68:8
job 6:5 12:8 13:6 14:7
33:17 57:16 63:5
69:21
Johanna 1:21 7:2 11:12
16:20 23:20
JORGE 2:8
July 63:17,18
jump 40:17

issues 18:8 22:4 28:8

J

32:17 65:13

it'd 25:9 31:17

K
Kathy 28:10
keep 24:1 47:21 56:9
66:16 70:4,4
keeping 12:12 16:6,9
keeps 26:13
key 13:15 14:6 47:21
58:18

jumping 39:6

juncture 9:8 22:8

Knorr 1:12.13 4:3 5:11 6:4 9:20 12:6 14:14 17:7 18:3,7 19:18 21:5 22:22 23:13 26:15,20 27:2,11,18 27:20,22 28:2,4,13,16 29:20 30:18 31:1,4,6 31:8,10,13 35:7 38:13 39:5 40:7,11,16 42:19 45:11,18,21 46:1,3,5 48:1,5,7,9,11,13 49:21 50:5,19 52:10 52:12 54:8,16,18,20 54:22 61:1,18 62:1,3 62:11,13,15,17,21 63:5,9 65:8,14 67:19 68:14 72:3 73:12,19 knowledge 8:14 knowledgeable 7:9 **knows** 8:2

LA's 68:11 labor 4:13 5:22 38:6 48:14,16 49:7 51:20 66:15 72:15,16 73:5 lack 8:14 19:12 34:2 42:9 Lakeside 2:10 land 57:19 language 4:20 10:11,18 11:4 13:11,18 15:22 17:20 23:5 25:11 27:7 61:15 languages 15:8,11,15 16:10 17:10,15 18:1

largely 56:2,21

Laughter 62:2 66:18

latest 40:10

launch 15:16

Latin 2:8

**KRISTINE** 1:19

law 15:19 lawyer 61:17 leadership 73:16 leading 20:4 Leanne 2:14 7:7 8:8,22 9:9 12:11 26:9 72:10 Leanne's 7:22 learned 41:6 leave 12:11 51:13 65:19 66:21 left 12:3 let's 14:15 34:6 38:19 40:21 50:5 level 11:21 16:22,22 17:12 19:13

leverage 58:14 60:13

liaison 7:4,10,11 12:19 24:2 **lies** 32:12 lifetime 44:3 limit 38:10 limited 15:6 line 12:7 21:10,14 23:8 **listed** 21:22 55:22 57:20 little 4:5,18 6:10 8:5,14 16:1,5 21:14 23:6 25:16,19 34:9 36:3 37:12 40:1,3 41:11 51:1 62:4 65:16 67:5 67:6 69:9 live 34:5 51:10 living 34:12,15 **LLC** 1:15,19 2:2,9 **LLP** 1:17 2:8 **Loan** 55:18 58:6 59:19 loans 56:5 57:3 58:8,11 59:21 60:2 local 58:8,18 59:21 location 36:13 66:9 **locations** 36:7.16 locked 60:22 long 9:19 39:13 66:9 68:12 70:16 long-term 49:9 longer 33:9 34:15 67:1 **Iongevity** 9:10,15 look 11:13 24:3,22 39:20 40:4 64:1 68:1 looking 8:13 12:2 13:2 64:6 69:19 loop 70:4 **lot** 19:20 31:21 39:4,19 50:10,13 70:2 loud 56:4 love 31:18 54:11 72:15

М Mack 37:18 Madam 5:5 21:16 27:12 main 14:5 mainstream 59:4 maintain 7:8 major 18:15 58:18 making 7:10 13:6 23:16 24:12,13 57:5 68:15 **MALDONADO** 2:2 manage 36:11,19 managed 37:5 Manager 1:16,18,21 2:1 2:6 Manager/Supply 2:2 managing 36:17 57:12 March 32:20 33:1,2

**MARK** 2:4 market 2:1,1 5:15 36:9 36:12,17,20 37:5,15 46:7,9,12,20 47:3,4,9 47:13,16,20 59:9 marketing 1:21 42:16 45:1 46:13,15,19 47:14 markets 36:6,11 59:8 61:20 Marlene 2:12 7:1 28:19 39:16 40:16 63:15,22 64:3 65:15 69:1 70:10 72:4 MARTIN 2:2 material 22:21 matter 7:21 31:2 40:13 73:21 maximize 57:2 **maximum** 51:12 McAlister 2:5 **McReynolds** 2:3 5:5,6 6:22 8:3 10:2,18 12:15 13:22 14:18 17:19 18:5,10 21:16 23:9,18 25:10 26:1,22 27:6,12 28:9 30:16,19 39:2 43:1 45:13 51:15 54:14,14 55:3,7,9,12 56:7,12,18,20 60:19 61:8 meal 44:10 mean 61:6 means 37:3 mediating 14:20 meet 38:17 45:9 53:13 meeting 1:5 18:14 20:4 27:15 38:3 39:13,19 64:7 65:22 66:1 68:16 69:8 70:8,12 71:1,3,5 71:17 72:21 73:17 meetings 71:19 72:5 Melissa 20:1 63:15 66:3 69:3,22 70:9 72:4,19 member 45:15 members 1:13 28:6 34:17 35:13 65:22 mention 61:18 65:18 mentioned 63:10 Mentoring 37:21 met 1:10 Mexico 32:13 33:7 34:8 49:11 53:4,5 Michael 2:1 13:9 microbial 18:21 19:15 microloans 58:10 60:1 microphone 52:5 61:12 61:22 64:11,14,17,19

66:12 73:9.18 Mike 13:18 milliliters 19:3 mind 12:12 mine 61:19 minor 70:13 71:2 **minutes** 38:3 mission 46:12 47:19 **missions** 59:12 modifications 19:22 modified 6:19 modify 23:4,7 moment 68:15 momentum 53:9 months 16:3 41:7 morning 4:3,8 27:15 28:20 62:18,22 68:10 Morris 2:13 10:6,6 24:7 24:7 71:12 motion 6:11,16 27:13 30:20 31:10 42:20 45:12,13 46:5 48:2,13 50:3 54:10,12,22 62:7 62:9,17 67:18,20 73:19 Mountain 2:7 move 14:16 30:17.19 49:22 moved 4:8 48:3 73:20 movement 34:2 **moves** 18:19 moving 18:8 71:1 **muddy** 25:18 multiple 36:10 37:1,4

name 7:22 8:6 narrow 40:1 natural 57:21 nature 50:16 56:2,22 nays 27:22 31:6 46:1 48:9 54:20 62:15 necessary 21:13 67:20 necessity 30:5 need 6:18 8:22 16:1,7,8 16:10,16 24:10,22 32:14,20,22 33:4 37:1 37:15 39:6,8 50:10 51:13 53:21 54:2,3

38:5

1:19

Mushroom 1:20

Mycologist/Technical

Ν

69:2,19 71:20 needle 25:16 needs 23:10 27:4 29:16 49:14 53:14 negatives 69:12 neighborhoods 37:8 **network** 1:16 60:10 **new** 3:15 4:11 21:19 35:12 37:21 56:10 59:9 67:14 69:22 71:10 newbies 69:6 newly 38:22 news 5:15 46:7,10,12 46:20 47:3,5,10,16,20 nice 40:20 55:1 67:8 **Nicely** 48:1 NICHOLSON 2:4 no-good 64:10 Nolan 1:14 7:17,17 23:3 23:3 30:21,21 37:20 37:20 39:10,10 65:20 65:20 66:13,19 67:13 68:3 nominating 72:18 nomination 71:8 non-agriculture 51:2 noon 40:9,10 **normal** 68:8 **notice** 71:8 noticed 33:5

50:20 51:12,16 54:3 63:11 numbers 36:14,15 37:1 nutrition 35:21 41:14 42:3,4,5,6,7,15,17 44:7 45:4

number 24:21 36:19.21

0

**obviously** 12:3 22:16 72:15 occurring 32:17 Oceano 2:6 October 39:21 64:2,9 64:13 65:10 67:8 October's 67:8 offer 7:13 45:7 59:1 66:9 offered 16:11 offering 68:2 **offers** 55:18 **office** 29:3 Officer 1:21 offices 54:6 Official 2:13 officials 53:21 **offline** 13:20 15:5 old 56:8,19 once 34:4 40:16 49:11 53:19 one's 50:8 64:10 open 4:11,16 6:10,13

33:8 35:16 51:14 54:10 openings 33:4 operating 1:21 19:9 22:3 Operations/Co-Owner 2.7 opinion 62:3 opportunities 46:15 59:11 opportunity 8:18,21 25:20 26:3 opposed 13:21 25:4,7 opposition 64:21 **option** 34:4 options 68:1 **Orchards** 1:19 2:4 order 3:11 60:7 Organization 19:2,13 organizations 36:10 37:5,15 organize 70:12 other's 60:13 outside 22:3 overarching 12:10 Owner/Human 1:18 Owner/Operator 1:14 2:5

Р

P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S 4:1 p.m 73:22 **pace** 54:1 pains 34:18 paragraphs 30:1 part 22:2 30:6 participant 44:5 participants 57:10 participate 20:20 31:22 35:15 42:12 51:4 63:22 72:8 participated 33:16 participates 52:21 participating 32:9 participation 63:14 particular 19:20 24:15 28:11 37:9 73:1 particularly 8:6 28:10 partner 42:15 58:18 partners 57:5 partnership 59:10 pass 6:16 passed 4:10 28:5 31:10 64:2 68:20 **passes** 46:5 passing 6:20 patience 70:6

PATRICK 2:5 PRESENT 1:13 2:12 59:22 real 71:12 **peeve** 61:19 presentations 41:8 proposals 35:3 realization 16:2 55:14 **people** 17:13 37:10,22 **propose** 59:13 reapplying 63:13,21 reappointed 65:2 39:11 63:11 68:22 **President** 1:20 2:7,10 proposed 4:7,15 5:2 69:17 73:7 president's 22:10 **proved** 10:21 rearranged 4:5 8:10 people's 15:14 President/CEO 2:8 reason 51:13 **provide** 57:8,20 percent 42:11 presiding 1:12 reasons 7:5 33:12 provides 42:6 46:21 perfect 49:4 52:10 63:8 pretty 36:8 47:10,17 reauthorization 63:16 providing 15:2 22:18 67:9 previous 35:3 receive 22:1 32:15 period 56:2 **PSA** 14:21 15:3 received 52:9 previously 21:1 public 1:16 20:20 26:4 perishable 54:7 56:2,22 price 46:21 receiving 54:10 person 7:10 8:20 9:3 Principal 1:17 30:7 44:1,11 57:11 recognize 22:4,6 28:10 11:3 12:4 73:5 **principles** 7:8 10:9 64:12 personal 7:6 priorities 16:8 pull 14:12 56:16 73:7 recognizes 42:2 43:19 personally 7:7 pulling 29:7 recognizing 26:11 prioritize 16:12 personnel 10:22 53:16 priority 7:12 17:22 18:1 recommend 9:22 17:15 **punchy** 62:5 private 57:4,12 punished 34:22 32:7 43:12 49:16 pet 61:19 50:17 53:15 60:7 **probably** 6:12 15:12 purchase 37:11 philanthropic 57:4 32:21 38:11 42:20 recommendation 5:9 purchasing 47:14 67:5 70:18 **Purdue** 21:18 24:11 7:4 13:7 16:18 17:4,7 Phillips 1:20 physically 33:8 problems 44:2 **Pure** 2:2 17:10,13,20 18:9 process 8:7 9:12 10:14 purpose 18:16 20:2 19:21 20:4 21:2 22:5 **picking** 23:13 pictures 21:17 12:18 13:8 19:17 **pursue** 70:22 28:14 31:18 41:3,5,11 **piece** 14:16 36:12 70:13 20:11,18 22:14 53:5 put 7:2 9:16 17:9 21:3,7 42:12 44:14 45:14 **pieces** 37:2.4 54:3 65:12 72:13 73:8 23:5 24:2 29:12 38:9 49:15 50:16 52:18 **Pilot** 45:3 processed 53:3 52:17 71:17 53:11.15.18 54:10 **Pismo** 2:6 processing 32:1,9,11 putting 72:5 55:4 59:13 60:16 62:7 place 26:16 50:14 32:15 33:6,9 52:21 recommendations 3:15 Q places 18:7 53:16 4:7,10 5:2,12 6:6 14:1 **plan** 25:13 Procurement 45:1 qualities 9:7.14.18.21 27:14 28:5,12 38:21 planning 33:11 **produce** 2:1,9 5:18 7:9 10:16 11:2,10,14 40:19 48:19 50:4 **play** 20:14 12:21 18:22 21:9 37:9 12:10 13:1 54:13 68:19 70:1 recommended 24:1 please 35:13 37:17,18 40:21 42:14 44:12 quality 20:9 42:7 60:6 52:12 63:13,21 64:19 45:4 46:18 47:18 question 18:21 50:19 72:14 **plenty** 38:14 produced 37:11 72:12 recommending 25:12 **PMA** 64:9 **Producer** 58:6 59:19 **questions** 5:4 6:13 61:4 point 6:17 14:3 24:8 producers 44:13 46:16 11:22 19:21 42:21 recommends 42:14 31:16,19 33:22 34:1 47:11 57:2 60:8 43:16 45:10 49:19,21 45:7 46:22 50:8 65:14 product 46:17 50:18 54:8 61:1 62:6 reconvene 38:10 39:13 66:20 productive 58:1 quick 64:4 record 20:2 40:14 73:22 policies 13:1 professional 7:5 Red 2:4 quicker 40:3 redact 43:3 **policy** 64:12 program 19:7 32:2 R portion 23:7,14 25:5 33:13 34:7,8 35:21 reduce 44:10 59:11 position 7:4,11 8:16,17 redundancies 59:12 42:4,10 45:2,3,4,5,6 ramp 33:19 8:19 9:2,17 10:4,13 49:10,12,18 50:9,14 reference 9:1 43:3 ran 69:8 references 7:7,15 11:3 12:11,19 13:7 50:17 51:2,3,11,17 rates 43:20 57:16 refined 6:1 14:4,7,9 21:3,8 24:3 53:11,13,20,22 58:6,9 **re-enter** 49:13 position's 14:5 58:10 59:19,22 60:1,3 reflect 27:8 re-entry 34:14 reflected 25:11 positive 23:21 24:4,16 60:4,5,6 reach 69:16 reached 72:22 reflects 22:21 positives 69:11 programs 41:4,6,10,10 possible 16:21 25:22 42:5 44:4,7,10,20,22 reform 34:3 52:16 reactions 21:5 26:6,22 27:1,2,4 36:5 45:8,9 46:14 55:15,19 read 6:8 24:11 29:19,20 regarding 47:14 55:4 45:9 66:4 55:22 57:8,15,19,20 41:18,21,22 44:17 63:15 potential 4:21 18:18 58:3,13 59:17 60:9 regards 41:13 56:4 61:10 reading 56:9,15 39:18 65:13 Regency 1:11 potentially 26:17,21 **projects** 58:19 59:7 reads 49:15 55:18 regulation 15:20 21:21 regulations 12:22 63:17 promote 22:20 ready 6:3 28:14 31:14 **Potomac** 1:10,10 promotion 41:14 58:9 20:13 40:18 65:8 71:13

sort 10:3 22:3 25:3 regulators 14:21 roof 33:21 sentiments 71:14 regulatory 12:20 19:17 room 67:12,15 separate 36:11,21 **sound** 49:3 rule 18:22 20:9,16 22:9 Relations 1:17 40:20 50:4 **sounds** 13:15 52:2 Remarks 3:18 separating 24:5 64:20 70:12 71:2 removing 7:15 rules 18:15,19 20:19,21 **September** 39:21 64:1 source 47:21 rumors 50:10,11,13 replace 9:17 64:13,16,21 65:10 **South** 19:7 replacement 9:14 **Run** 1:15 67:4,7,9 Southeast 19:8 rural 21:19 22:11 30:3,4 reply 29:2 September-October **Southwest** 68:3,5,12 reports 47:6 71:10 30:10,11,11 57:14 **Spanish** 15:16 16:5 represent 13:12 58:4,7,9 59:2,9,17,20 **served** 58:17 speak 14:3 72:16 **service** 42:15,16 46:13 represented 17:5 59:22 62:20 **speaker** 72:14,18 require 8:4 rush 68:11 47:17 58:5 59:18 **speakers** 4:21 69:18 requirement 15:18 rush-hour 68:9 60:10,11 71:5 72:2 requirements 20:16 **Service's** 42:3 45:1,5 speaking 27:10 43:4,6 S 21:2 25:14,21 26:5 **services** 59:1,5 48:21 51:6,8 63:7 Research 55:2 **S** 43:15 61:21 **serving** 7:10 12:19 65:4,7 researchers 23:11 safety 1:16 4:7,16 set 38:14 40:17 57:10 speaks 9:11 Reserve 60:4 27:14 28:6 30:7 **share** 31:14 35:9 38:21 Specialties 2:8 resource 57:22 sales 2:4 46:21 specialty 44:12 46:16 40:22 65:15 resources 1:18 10:22 **shared** 67:21 46:20 47:16 58:11 San 2:1 15:6,10 42:8,17 57:12 **Saturday** 36:20 sharing 6:6 60:2 72:1 60:13 **specific** 13:18 14:22 save 56:12.14 **sheet** 13:2 saw 21:17 shipping 46:22 41:9,12 43:3 responding 17:1 response 27:19 28:1,3 saying 20:7 23:12 61:7 **short** 50:8 specifically 16:14 31:3,7,9 45:20 46:2,4 18:11 37:8 **says** 8:8 10:19 shortly 5:3 48:6.10.12 54:17.21 scenes 72:11 shut 65:11 specificity 17:12 62:12.16 schedule 4:5 shutdown 53:17 **speed** 30:14 responsibility 31:21 schedules 39:21 shutdowns 52:19 54:5 **spoken** 66:3 **resumed** 40:14 **school** 44:4.9 45:6 sign 49:17 **spot** 9:4 retail 46:22 schools 42:8.11 significant 59:3 staff 10:2 12:18 14:11 review 20:17 21:21 22:9 science 24:13 simplest 41:20 16:15 52:21 54:2 60:9 26:22 27:1,3,4 38:2 scientific 12:20 19:14 **simply** 70:14 60:11 67:5.22 59:14 scope 17:14 Simultaneous 27:10 staff's 10:19 reviewed 27:4 **screen** 7:2,13 43:6 48:21 51:6 63:7 staffer 8:8 revised 7:14 27:15 **scroll** 41:16 65:4.7 staffing 53:12 revising 20:5 seats 4:4 sincerely 71:5 stakeholders 17:22 sister 13:13 51:1 18:4,5 57:9 revision 18:19 second 27:17 30:21 revisit 25:13,21 26:3,5 34:1 38:19 41:11,19 sitting 21:18 standards 19:1 26:12,17,20 41:22 45:16,17 48:4 situated 37:7 start 4:4 39:14 41:19 reworking 8:4 53:14 54:4,15 62:10 situation 10:4 66:14 48:22 49:2 64:5 69:19 **started** 5:8 41:3 RICHARD 1:20 67:7 six 16:3 rid 43:15 Skelton 2:14 8:8 25:18 Starting 62:4 **secretary** 18:17,18 **ride** 68:7,8,9 21:18 22:1,13,16,19 26:2,10,18 72:10 **state** 1:21 11:20 16:22 24:11 29:15 43:12 skilled 7:8 34:5,20 22:12 23:11,17 29:10 Ridgeview 1:19 risk 19:11 54:5 55:16 47:19 59:14 **skirt** 21:14 32:12 58:15 60:10,12 stated 21:11 25:20 26:3 risking 9:16 section 7:22 23:4 24:5 skyrocketing 32:3 **Riverhead** 67:13 68:7 sector 57:4 **slate** 6:17 statement 5:13,18,22 Security 35:21 slightly 23:1 road 66:2,8 67:2 8:7 12:17 13:3 16:9 ROBERT 1:14 21:6 23:1.15.16 26:4 seeing 7:18 53:1 **slowly** 26:8 **SNAP** 35:20 36:1,6,15 28:15 31:17 35:9,11 robust 37:6 60:9 seek 11:10,21 41:4 46:11 47:9 55:13 seen 32:10 53:7 **Rogers** 2:5,5 36:19,21 **Roland** 2:3 5:6 6:5,20 self-deport 34:7 soften 23:1,5 55:18 60:15 62:20 11:7 14:17 24:8 28:5 sole 25:4,7 **statements** 5:2 24:12 send 64:4 65:18 73:5 43:13 45:14 52:4 somebody 11:16 38:21 40:19 68:19 **sense** 61:9 54:14 62:6 63:4 sentence 8:6 20:7 24:5 someplace 13:17 states 1:1 16:21 17:4,8 Roland's 20:5 43:11 56:7 **Sons** 1:17,21 22:17 **role** 10:8 12:8,9 14:4,20 sentences 8:5,9 12:16 **sorry** 9:1 28:17 56:5,20 stay 65:9 66:7,16 71:9 18:12 20:14 30:1 60:17 62:4,5 stepping 70:11

steps 4:20 17:16 38:22 39:7 Stewardship 2:3 60:5 **stick** 40:3 storage 58:10 60:1 **strokes** 12:13 strong 22:5 62:3 strongly 45:6 50:17 59:14 structurally 43:2 structure 70:15 structuring 70:1 stuff 60:20 72:7 subcommittee 20:3 28:6 73:4 subcommittees 28:14 72:21 **subject** 19:16 subpart 23:19 26:7 **substance** 7:16 8:11 substantial 55:22 success 13:16 30:3 44:9 57:11,15 Successful 58:2 suffered 34:19 suggest 26:16,17 51:15 suggested 15:5 19:22 suggesting 72:13 **suggestion** 7:3 14:13 17:16 24:14 25:16 66:21 suggestions 4:12,12 6:9 14:15 15:9 suggests 19:14 supplier 19:6 **support** 41:4,6 44:19 47:9 55:20 58:3 supporting 44:13,21 46:11 supportive 59:15 **supports** 42:4 52:16 supposed 22:18 survivability 57:16 **Sutton** 2:6 8:12,12 11:7 11:7 13:4,4 14:2,2 15:17,17 28:10 **sweet** 50:8 swipe 37:16 switch 36:14 **swoop** 6:20 50:6 **systems** 65:11 Т

table 19:19 57:5 70:15 70:16 tackle 17:11 65:17 takes 72:5 TALBOTT 2:7

Talbott's 2:7 talk 42:20 69:4,4 talked 18:12,13 39:17 65:21 69:22 talking 12:16 14:19 34:20 52:4 tax 59:9 **Team** 41:14 42:3,6,17 technical 42:5 55:19 56:21 57:8 technology 35:20 36:2 36:13 tell 51:4 69:11 ten 34:16 term 9:19 terms 7:4 22:13 25:12 57:16 63:11 71:6 terrible 68:10 test 57:9 thank 6:22 28:5 31:10 35:7 43:13,15 46:5 54:22 68:1,15,20 70:5 70:8,11 71:15 72:1,3 72:7,9 73:15 thanks 21:4 28:8 70:10 73:11.20 That'd 33:21 thereof 23:2 they'd 35:11 things 8:15 9:18 25:9 34:21 39:19 63:9 69:2 69:13 70:3.4 third 67:6 THOMAS 2:9 thought 49:7 thoughtful 71:16,16 thoughts 15:7 21:14 thread 25:16 threats 52:19 three 27:13 32:22 33:1 34:14 48:15,19 50:4 54:12 68:9 three/ten 34:9 35:2 49:12.16.20 throwing 67:3 Thursday 36:18 tier 17:6 timeliness 32:18 timely 47:10 Tina 48:4 54:12 62:10 tip 33:10 53:2 today 4:14 18:14 20:5 35:9,22 64:3 65:19 today's 31:20 toggle 36:22 **told** 58:12

**Tom** 5:14 27:16 46:8

62:8

tool 32:4 33:14 50:9 top 17:6,22 18:1 **topics** 73:1 total 50:20 totally 23:6 24:8 traditionally 59:3 **traffic** 68:6,10 training 14:16 15:21 18:8 42:5 trains 58:22 translation 15:6 travel 65:11 tread 12:7 treading 21:9 **Treasury** 58:22 59:10 tremendous 68:17 trouble 7:18 try 11:2 12:17 25:16 40:1 44:5 50:5 65:9 trying 23:7,8 37:14 52:8 65:17 tweaks 7:6 **two** 4:12 8:9 12:16 21:18 29:9,22 32:21 33:1 37:1 43:14 64:5 68:18 typically 63:17

**U** 70:20 **U.S** 32:13 34:6,10,13 46:15 53:4 ugly 50:12 ultimately 24:17 umbrella 22:4 unbelievable 54:1 unbiased 46:21 47:21 uncertain 22:13 uncertainty 19:14 underserved 59:8 understand 11:18 20:22 21:6 24:8,9,20 50:11 52:15 53:21 54:2 55:11 understanding 10:20 71:21 understands 20:10 underway 22:10 undocumented 34:13 **United** 1:1 64:12 university 23:11 Unprocessed 45:2 **up-to-date** 60:18 urban 59:8,8 urge 23:14,18 **urged** 25:5 urging 25:3 **USDA** 2:13,14 8:8 10:19

12:9.18.19 14:22 15:3 18:11 20:2,8 21:7 24:2,12 30:8 42:1,12 43:18 44:7,15,18,19 45:7 47:5,20 55:18 58:2 59:16 60:9 61:5 61:10 67:21 USDA-FDA 7:3 use 32:3 33:20 40:11 49:10 64:18 user 47:8 uses 46:18 **usually** 64:15 utilizing 46:22

V validate 9:13 Valley 1:14 valuable 71:20 **value** 42:2 55:15 57:2 58:6 59:19 61:10,16 63:13 various 55:21 69:15 **VAZQUEZ** 2:8 61:3 vegetable 1:3,10 2:6 10:20 30:8 42:1,13 43:18,22 44:18,20 45:3,5 55:5 56:1 57:7 57:10 58:3 59:7 60:14 71:22 vegetables 44:6 46:19

47:15 56:3,22 verification 19:6 version 7:13,14 60:18 **versus** 67:8 vetting 10:16 Vice 1:14,20 2:7 Vietnamese 15:13 Virginia 1:11,16 visa 52:21 visas 33:9 53:3 vision 7:20 vital 29:17 30:3 50:16 53:6 vitally 47:17 voluntarily 49:17

W wait 63:14,19 waiting 29:18 **waived** 49:16 waiver 35:2,4 **walk** 23:8 wanted 4:5 29:7.12 41:9 52:14 63:2 69:4

volunteering 49:10

volunteers 37:6

71:14

wants 22:17 66:20	writing 13:6	<b>66,000</b> 51:3
warmer 67:6	wrong 62:1	7
waste 44:10	X	-
water 18:9,15,19 19:4		7 3:13
20:9,9 25:14,18,21	Υ	<b>7:00</b> 36:18
26:5		_ <b>73</b> 3:18,20
way 9:11 10:1 11:20	YANDA 2:10	8
22:22 24:16 29:11	year 34:13,15 65:6,12	
49:3 68:5 69:8 70:1	years 32:10 34:14,16	<b>8:30</b> 1:11
70:14 72:9	36:8 70:19	<b>8:42</b> 4:2
website 47:3,7	yesterday 4:15 6:6,7	
WEDNESDAY 1:7	14:19 15:4 18:12,21	9
week 21:17 64:20 65:10	24:18,20 39:18 41:8	<b>9:28</b> 40:14
65:10 67:6,7	46:10 55:15 58:12	<b>9:30</b> 38:16
weeks 21:18	63:10	
weigh 20:18	York 67:14 71:10	
weighed 21:1		-
welcome 6:16,19 31:12	<b>Z</b>	-
well-documented		-
43:20	0	-
went 40:14 73:22		_
whatnot 70:2	11	_
wholesale 2:1 46:22	<b>1,000</b> 19:3,9	
<b>Williams</b> 2:9 5:14,14	<b>1:00</b> 38:14	
27:16,16 46:8,8 62:8	<b>10</b> 1:8	
62:8	<b>10:30</b> 38:18,19	
willingness 44:5	<b>100</b> 19:3	
window 21:20	<b>11:00</b> 40:8	
wise 9:10,15	<b>11:30</b> 38:19,20	
wish 49:1	<b>11:33</b> 40:15	
withdraw 20:8 23:6	<b>11th</b> 64:16	
25:3,5 26:21	<b>12:11</b> 73:22	
withdrawal 23:14,19	<b>128</b> 19:9	
25:5,7,22 26:6,13,17	<b>180-day</b> 21:20	
27:1,5,6	<b>19</b> 64:9	
withdrawing 25:4,8	1st 32:20 33:1,2	
withdrawn 23:10	·	_
wonder 9:10 16:21 24:4	2	_
wondering 13:11	<b>2</b> 1:10 17:20	
word 23:22 24:4,15	<b>20/15</b> 7:20	
work 8:15 11:6 28:7,11	<b>20/20</b> 7:19	
40:20 41:21 49:3 55:1	<b>2017</b> 1:8	
60:11 62:18 64:6	<b>21</b> 64:9	
65:16 68:18,21 72:6	<b>25</b> 17:13	
worked 72:20	<b>25th</b> 64:21	
workers 51:16	<b>2799</b> 1:11	
workforce 53:14		_
working 3:13,15 4:11	3	_
4:19 5:7 7:12 13:14		_
15:1 22:2 27:8,14	4	_
29:1 37:22 42:14 43:4	<b>4</b> 3:11	
43:11 45:16 46:9 55:1	<b>4:00</b> 36:18	
works 9:2	<b>40</b> 3:16	
<b>World</b> 19:1,12		_
worth 11:13 67:9	5	_
wouldn't 10:7 12:2	<b>50</b> 42:11	
<b>Wow</b> 62:17		_
write 12:7 56:13	6	_
		Ī

## <u>C E R T I F I C A T E</u>

This is to certify that the foregoing transcript

In the matter of: Fruit and Vegetable Industry

Advisory Committee Meeting

Before: USDA

Date: 05-10-17

Place: Arlington, VA

was duly recorded and accurately transcribed under my direction; further, that said transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings.

Court Reporter

Mac Nous &