GRADING MANUAL

FROZEN Broccoli



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

FOOD SAFETY AND QUALITY SERVICE FRUIT AND VEGETABLE QUALITY DIVISION PROCESSED PRODUCTS BRANCH

This manual is designed for Processed Products Branch personnel of the U.S. Department of Agriculture. Its purpose is to give background information and guidelines to assist in the uniform application and interpretation of U.S. grade standards, other similar specifications and special procedures.

÷.

The citation of any data, criteria, techniques, illustrations, copyrighted material, or pictorial representation accredited to private authorship is used with the permission of the individuals or sources cited. Unless a specific reference is cited, the information in this manual has been compiled or developed from sources available to the public as well as from technical knowledge of personnel in the Department.

Compliance with the suggested guidelines in this manual does not excuse failure to comply with the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act or any other applicable Federal or State laws or regulations.

Except for official USDA inspection aids or devices and color guides (or standards) produced under license of the Department, the mention of any supplier, patented device, product, brandname or equipment does not imply endorsement by the Department over any other similar, or equally effective, material.

This manual is for sale to the public. Address inquiries to:

Chief, Processed Products Branch Fruit and Vegetable Quality Division, FSQS U.S. Department of Agriculture Washington, DC 20250

1

INDEX

L

SUGGESTED ORDER OF GRADING A SAMPLE UNIT UNDER ON-LINE INSPECTION	
Spears	3
Short Spears or Florets	-25
Cut Broccoli	-45
Chopped Broccoli	-53
Broccoli Pieces	-61
SUGGESTED ORDER OF GRADING A SAMPLE UNDER LOT INSPECTION	
Spears	-68
Short Spears or Florets	-82
Cut Broccoli	-95

Chopped Broccoli------106 Broccoli Pieces------116

SPECIAL LOT INSPECTION SITUATIONS

۲

1.	Inspection of Large Cartons (e.g., 2-1/2 1b)124
2.	Inspection of Small Cartons (e.g., 8 oz)124
3.	How to Adjust a Defect Tally124
HOW TO R	EEVALUATE A DEFECT TALLY126

SUGGESTED ORDER OF GRADING A SAMPLE UNIT UNDER ON-LINE INSPECTION

SPEARS

- 1. <u>Select</u> at random 50 spears from the processing line (either before freezing or after freezing). You may use 25 spears as an optional sample unit size. Unless the quality is borderline, 25 spears should be adequate. Also if you're grading several other products at the same time, 25 spears would save some grading time. In either case, 25 spears or 50 spears, you must keep the sample unit size constant during each basic grading period.
- 2. <u>Reassemble</u> any broken spear. Count each reassembled spear as a single unit.
- 3. <u>Arrange</u> all of the spears in the sample unit in a continuous row with the base end of each spear against the bottom rim of the grading tray. If you're using 50 spears, butt each spear against a straight edge on top of the grading table. As the spears are being arranged in a row, place them in order of size from the longest to the shortest, or vice versa.
 - a. <u>Disregard</u> loose leaf material, pieces of leaves, EVM and detached fragments;
 - b. Locate the point in the continuous row where the spears are longer than 15.5 cm, if any. Count the number of spears that are longer than 15.5 cm;
 - c. Locate the point in the continuous row where the spears are shorter than 8.5 cm, if any. Count the number of spears that are shorter than 8.5 cm; and
 - d. <u>Record</u> the number of defective spears in b and c above in the "style" section of the defect tally. Spears that fail style requirements aren't "pieces." If a style failure occurs, certify the grade but show: "Fails style compliance for spears."

SUGGESTED ORDER OF GRADING A SAMPLE UNIT UNDER ON-LINE INSPECTION SPEARS (continuation).

3. Style defectives (continuation).

Example 1:



SUGGESTED ORDER OF GRADING A SAMPLE UNIT UNDER ON-LINE INSPECTION

SPEARS (continuation).

- 4. While the spears are arranged in a continuous row, <u>check</u> for uniformity of size. Uniformity of size is separate from the style defectives done in step 3, previously. Don't be overly critical. If it is apparent the spears are reasonably similar in length, accept them as meeting requirements. If some spears are obviously shorter or longer than others, do the following:
 - a. Locate the point in the row where the spears vary more than 5 cm from the predominant length;
 - b. <u>Determine</u> size variation so that only the least number of defects are tallied against size. This may be only the short spears, only the long spears, or both the long spears and the short spears; and
 - c. <u>Record</u> the number of size defects on the defect tally as major defects.

SUGGESTED ORDER OF GRADING A SAMPLE UNIT UNDER ON-LINE INSPECTION <u>SPEARS</u> (continuation).

4. Uniformity of length (continuation).

Example 2:



SUGGESTED ORDER OF GRADING A SAMPLE UNIT UNDER ON-LINE INSPECTION SPEARS (continuation).

4. Uniformity of length (continuation).

Example 3:



SUGGESTED ORDER OF GRADING A SAMPLE UNIT UNDER ON-LINE INSPECTION <u>SPEARS</u> (continuation).

4. Uniformity of length (continuation).

Example 4:



SUGGESTED ORDER OF GRADING A SAMPLE UNIT UNDER ON-LINE INSPECTION SPEARS (continuation).

- 5. While the spears are arranged in a continuous row, <u>check</u> for uniformity of diameter. Uniformity of diameter is separate from the style defectives done in step 3, and uniformity of length done in step 4, previously. Don't be overly critical. If it is apparent that the spears are reasonably similar in diameter, accept them as meeting requirements. If some spears are obviously larger or smaller than others, do the following:
 - a. Locate the apparent spears that are outside an acceptable 2 cm range in diameter between the large diameters and the small diameters;
 - b. Determine diameter variation so that only the least number of defects are tallied against size. This may be only the small diameter spears, only the large diameter spears, or both the small spears and the large spears; and
 - c. <u>Record</u> the number of diameter defects on the defect tally as minor defects.

SUGGESTED ORDER OF GRADING A SAMPLE UNIT UNDER ON-LINE INSPECTION .

5. Uniformity of diameter (continuation).

Example 5:



SUGGESTED ORDER OF GRADING A SAMPLE UNIT UNDER ON-LINE INSPECTION

SPEARS (continuation).

- 6. Evaluate "brightness" and record the letter grade (A, B or SSTD) in the "prerequisites" section of the defect tally. The overall brightness may be slightly dull in grade B. Count "off-color" (yellowish or brownish discoloration) as Substandard.
- 7. At the same time that brightness is evaluated, <u>record</u> the letter grade (A or SSTD) in the "prerequisites" section of the defect tally for similar varietal characteristics.
- 8. <u>Evaluate</u> the color of the individual broccoli spears. The color classification of each broccoli spear is one of the following:
 - <u>Good color (grade A color</u>) not a defect in any grade;
 - <u>Reasonably good color (grade B color</u>) a defect only in grade A; or
 - c. <u>Poor color (Substandard color</u>) a defect in grade A and grade B.

If a spear is blemished because of orange buds, olive-green leaf stem (petiole), etc., ignore the blemished areas for purposes of color evaluation. In other words, don't count a spear as "reasonably good" color or "poor" color because it is blemished. Record on the defect tally the number of spears that are either "reasonably good" or "poor" color. If the sample unit fails requirements for grade A, adjust the tally by dropping the number of "reasonably good" spears from the grade B defects. Reasonably good color (grade B color) is not a defect in a grade B sample unit. Count only "poor" color spears as defects in a grade B sample unit.

If the frozen broccoli is designated as grade B by the processor, it would be necessary to count only the number of "poor" color spears. Remember, however, the tally could never be reevaluated for grade A.

SUGGESTED ORDER OF GRADING A SAMPLE UNIT UNDER ON-LINE INSPECTION SPEARS (continuation).

8. Color of individual spears (continuation).

Example 6: (Designated grade A production).

	Color	(Poor)					1	
S	Blemis	hed (Seriously)						
E	Fiber	(Woody)						
	HEM (C	lass l)						
R	Т	OTAL SEVERE				0	1	
E		Grade A	0.5	0.5	1.5	0	0.5	
	CUSUM	Grade B	1.5	1.5	3			
	Color	(Reas. Good) In grade A				1	12	
	Size (Length)					2	
	Detach	ed Fragments						
M	Blemis	hed (Materially)	I			1	2	
A	Trim (Poor) In grade A						
J	Develo	pment (Poor)						
R	Fiber	(Nonwoody)				1	2	
	T	OTAL MAJOR		فكالتكريب فتحطنه		4	6.8	
	CUSIM	Grade A	1	4	3	1	3]
		Grade B	1	6	4		B] • ` `
T	Т	OTAL ALL CLASSES					12	
Ϋ́	CUSIM	Grade A	2	12	5	1]
L		Grade B	2	14	7			
	SAMPLE	UNIT GRADE				A	B	I
l						<u> </u>		J

1 ł 1 I 1

Drop the defects that are counted aly against grade A, before checking for compliance with grade B - - - - -

SUGGESTED ORDER OF GRADING A SAMPLE UNIT UNDER ON-LINE INSPECTION <u>SPEARS</u> (continuation).

8. Color of individual spears (continuation).

Example 7: (Designated grade B production).

	Color	(Poor)				1	
S F	Blemis	hed (Seriously)					
V V	Fiber	(Woody)					
E	HEM (C	lass 1)					
R	Т	OTAL SEVERE				2	
E	CUSIM	Grade A	0.5	0.5	1.5		
		Grade B	1.5	1.5	3	2	
1	Color	(Reas. Good) In grade A		4			<u>ار</u>
	Size (Length)				1	I
The local data and the local dat	Detach	ed Fragments				1	I
M	Blemis	hed (Materially)				1	ł
A T	Trim (Poor) In grade A					1
0	Develo	pment (Poor)				2	1
R	Fiber	(Nonwoody)					1
1 3	T	OTAL MAJOR				.5	1
	ana internet	Grade A	1	4	3		1
{	CUSUM	Grade B	1	6	4	0	1
Ŧ	T	OTAL ALL CLASSES				12	1
T A	CUSIM	Grade A	2	12	5		1
L	CUBURI	Grade B	2	14	7	0	1
	SAMPLE	UNIT GRADE				B	i
						[
							;

Don't count defects that are counted only against grade A -

SUGGESTED ORDER OF GRADING A SAMPLE UNIT UNDER ON-LINE INSPECTION SPEARS (continuation).

9. Weigh the detached fragments, if any, in the sample unit. Don't include loose leaves or pieces of leaves in the weight, these are minor defects. Ignore any chaff. Don't be overly critical but count each 28 g increment of detached fragments as 1 major defect. Record on the defect tally the number of detached fragments as follows:

0 - 28 g = 1 defect
29 - 56 g = 2 defects
57 - 84 g = 3 defects, etc.

Example 8:

	Color	(Reas. Good) In grade A						
	Size (Length)						
	Detach	ed Fragments					2	
М	Blemis	hed (Materially)						
Α	Trim (Poor) In grade A					1	
J	Develo	pment (Poor)					1	
R	Fiber	(Nonwoody)					l	
~	T	OTAL MAJOR						
	CUSIM	Grade A		1	4	3		
		Grade B		1	6	4	i	
	Detach	ed fragments = $\frac{35}{28}$ g	_			77 - 1 1224 - 1702		

SUGGESTED ORDER OF GRADING A SAMPLE UNIT UNDER ON-LINE INSPECTION <u>SPEARS</u> (continuation).

10. Weigh the loose leaves and pieces of leaves, if any, in the sample unit. Count each 14 g increment of loose leaf material as 1 minor defect. Record on the defect tally the number of loose leaf defects as follows:

0 - 14 g = 1 defect
15 - 28 g = 2 defects
29 - 42 g = 3 defects, etc.

Example 9:



SUGGESTED ORDER OF GRADING A SAMPLE UNIT UNDER ON-LINE INSPECTION

SPEARS (continuation).

- 11. <u>Count</u> the number of broken spears. These should be the same spears that were reassembled in step 2, if any. Record on the defect tally as minor defects the number of broken spears (each reassembled spear is counted as only 1 defect).
- 12. Count any mechanical damage to the head material of the spears as a defect. Don't be overly critical -- only materially damaged, or worse, are counted. Don't count broken spears (step 11) or trimmed spears (step 14) as damaged, or vice versa. Record on the defect tally as minor defects the number of damaged spears.
- 13. <u>Count</u> the number of spears that are blemished. Blemished broccoli is the most common defect. Usually, blemishes are:
 - Discolored hollow or pithy stalks (Don't count hollow or pithy stalks unless they're associated with discoloration);
 - b. Yellow leaves;
 - c. Orange buds (6 or more orange buds in a single area of the head is the cut-off point between blemished and nonblemished);
 - d. Olive-green leaf stem (petiole); and
 - e. Record on the defect tally the number of blemished spears as follows:
 - i. Minor; or ii. Major; or iii. Severe.
 iii. Severe.

SUGGESTED ORDER OF GRADING A SAMPLE UNIT UNDER ON-LINE INSPECTION

SPEARS (continuation).

- 14. <u>Count</u> the number of spears that are adversely affected by trimming. Use the USDA photoguides, if available. The trim classification of each broccoli spear is one of the following:
 - a. Well trimmed (grade A trim) not a defect in any grade;
 - b. <u>Reasonably well trimmed (grade B trim</u>) a defect only in grade A; or
 - c. <u>Poorly trimmed (Substandard trim</u>) a major defect in grade A and a minor defect in grade B.

Record on the defect tally the number of spears that are either "reasonably well trimmed" or "poorly trimmed." If the sample unit fails requirements for grade A, adjust the defect tally by: (1) dropping all "reasonably well trimmed" spears from the defects counted against grade B; and (2) striking out the number of "poorly trimmed" spears counted as major defects against grade A and moving them to minor defects to be counted against grade B. If the broccoli is designated as grade B by the processor, it would be necessary to count only the "poorly trimmed" spears in each sample unit. Place the number of "poorly trimmed" spears directly in the minor defect category. Remember, however, the tally could never be reevaluated for grade A.

SUGGESTED ORDER OF GRADING A SAMPLE UNIT UNDER ON-LINE INSPECTION

SPEARS (continuation).

14. Trimming (continuation).

Example 10: (Designated grade A production)

	Color	(Reas. Good) In grade A					
	Size (Length)					1
	Detach	ed Fragments				1	
М	Blemis	hed (Materially)				2	2
A	Trim (Poor) In grade A				1	2
J	Develo	pment (Poor)					
R	Fiber	(Nonwoody)					2
	T	OTAL MAJOR				4	57
		Grade A	1	4	3	1	3.
	CUSUM	Grade B	1	6	4	<u> </u>	B
	Size (Diameter)				1	T I
	Loose	Leaves					1
М	Broken					1	
I	Damage	đ					
N	Blemis	hed (Slightly)	1			2	1
0	Trim (Reas. Well) In grade A				1	
ĸ	Trim (Poor) In grade B		•		1	
	Develo	pment (Reas. Well) In A					
	T	OTAL MINOR		ومروور وم	ويتداورون	5	3
T		OTAL ALL CLASSES				12	13
P T	CUIDIR!	Grade A	2	12	5	2	3
A L	CUSUM	Grade B	2	14	7		
	SAMPLE	UNIT GRADE				A	B
<u> </u>							

SUGGESTED ORDER OF GRADING A SAMPLE UNIT UNDER ON-LINE INSPECTION <u>SPEARS</u> (continuation).

14. Trimming (continuation).

Example 11: (Designated grade B production).

	Color (Reas. Good) In grade A					
	Detached Fragments					
м	Blemished (Materially)	1			2	+
A	Trim (Poor) In grade A	1			/ 4	~
J	Development (Poor)				- f	
0 P	Fiber (Nonwoody)			•	$\frac{1}{1}$	1
K	TOTAL MAJOR				14	
	Grade A	1	4	3	1	1
	CUSUM Grade B	1	6	4	0	
	Size (Diameter)				1	
	Loose Leaves					
м	Broken	-1			1	
I	Damaged	-1			1	1
N	Blemished (Slightly)				12	-
0	Trim (Reas. Well) In grade A	1				
K.	Trim (Poor) In grade B				•/	1
	Development (Reas. Well) In A					L
	TOTAL MINOR				5	1
Ţ	TOTAL ALL CLASSES				15	
Ã	Grade A	2	12	5	_	1
L	Grade B	2	14	7	3	
	SAMPLE UNIT GRADE				B	<u> </u>
						i i

Don't tally defects that are counted only against grade A

SUGGESTED ORDER OF GRADING A SAMPLE UNIT UNDER ON-LINE INSPECTION

SPEARS (continuation).

- 15. <u>Count</u> the number of spears that are adversely affected by development (head and bud cluster stages of maturity). Use the USDA photoguides, if available. The development classification of each broccoli spear is one of the following:
 - <u>Well developed (grade A development</u>) not a defect in any grade;
 - b. <u>Reasonably well developed (grade B development) -</u> a defect only in grade A; or
 - c. <u>Poorly developed (Substandard development</u>) a defect in grade A and grade B.

Record on the defect tally the number of spears that are either "reasonably well developed" or "poorly developed." If the sample unit fails requirements for grade A, adjust the tally by dropping all "reasonably well developed" spears from the number of defects counted against grade B. Reasonably well developed (grade B development) isn't a defect in a grade B sample unit. Count only "poorly developed" spears as defects in a grade B sample unit. If the frozen broccoli is designated as grade B by the processor, it would be necessary to count only the number of "poorly developed" spears. Remember, however, the tally could never be reevaluated for grade A.

SUGGESTED ORDER OF GRADING A SAMPLE UNIT UNDER ON-LINE INSPECTION <u>SPEARS</u> (continuation).

15. Development (continuation).

Example 12: (Designated grade A production).



SUGGESTED ORDER OF GRADING A SAMPLE UNIT UNDER ON-LINE INSPECTION

SPEARS (continuation).

15. Development (continuation).

Example 13: (Designated grade B production)

	Color (Reas. Good) In grade A						
	Size (Length)						
	Detached Fragments					1	
М	Blemished (Materially)					1	
A	Trim (Poor) In grade A						
J	Development (Poor)					1	
0 D	Fiber (Nonwoody)						
ĸ	TOTAL MAJOR					3	
	Grade A		1	4	3		<u> </u>
	Grade B		1	6	4	0	
	Size (Diameter)						1
	Loose Leaves					1	T
м	Broken					·i	
I	Damaged						†
N	Blemished (Slightly)					1	
U R	Trim (Reas. Well) In grade A						
I.	Trim (Poor) In grade B						
	Development (Reas. Well) In	A					•
	TOTAL MINOR					3	1
T	TOTAL ALL CLASSES					jO	1
Ă	Grade A		2	12	5		
	Grade B	Î	2	14	7	0	ł
	SAMPLE UNIT GRADE					B	
							1
· محمد المر							!
			_			_	/

Don't tally defects that are counted only against grade A -

SUGGESTED ORDER OF GRADING A SAMPLE UNIT UNDER ON-LINE INSPECTION

SPEARS (continuation).

- 16. <u>Count</u> the number of pieces of harmless extraneous material (HEM). The expected frequency of occurrence for HEM should be low. Count pieces of other similar plants, such as cauliflower or brussels sprouts, as class 1 HEM. Record on the defect tally the number of pieces of HEM as follows:
 - a. Severe; or
 - b. Critical.

If 25 broccoli spears are being used for inspection, rather than 50 spears, 0.4 AQL is the smallest AQL in the 25 plan. This doesn't cover grade A critical defects (class 2 HEM) which has an AQL of 0.25; thus, you're left without S, T and L values for critical defects in grade A if you're using the 25 plan. This shouldn't cause a problem given the small chance of class 2 HEM occurring in the sample unit. However, if you do find class 2 HEM in a sample unit of 25 spears, it fails grade A (S=0; T=0; L=0).

- 17. Cook a representative portion of the spears as outlined in File Code 130-A-38. You may wish to select only "suspect" spears for the cooking test. Also, it isn't necessary to cook each sample unit unless a problem develops, such as fibrous spears.
- •18. Evaluate the cooked broccoli for flavor and odor. Assign the letter grade (A, B or SSTD) for flavor and odor in the section for "prerequisites." Consider any objectionable "off-flavor" ("hay-like," "straw-like," "smoke," "chemical," or "sour"), but edible sample unit, as Substandard. Permit a slight "hay-like" or "straw-like" odor in grade B.

SUGGESTED ORDER OF GRADING A SAMPLE UNIT UNDER ON-LINE INSPECTION

SPEARS (continuation).

- 19. Evaluate the cooked broccoli for grit or silt. Assign the letter grade (A, B or SSTD) for freedom from grit in the section for "prerequisites."
- 20. Evaluate the cooked broccoli for fiber. Be alert for fiber located at the base end of the spear. Any detectable fiber should be classified as follows:
 - a. <u>Major (nonwoody</u> noticeable fiber but can be eaten without difficulty); or
 - b. Severe (woody).
- 21. <u>Total</u> the classes of defects on the defect tally and compute the CUSUM values outlined in File Code 120-A-6.

SUGGESTED ORDER OF GRADING A SAMPLE UNIT UNDER ON-LINE INSPECTION

SHORT SPEARS OR FLORETS

- 1. <u>Select</u> at random 50 short spears from the processing line (either before freezing or after freezing). You may use 25 short spears as an optional sample unit size. Unless the quality is borderline, 25 short spears should be adequate. Also if you're grading several other products at the same time, 25 short spears would save time in grading. In either case, 25 short spears or 50 short spears, you must keep the sample unit size constant during each basic grading period.
- 2. <u>Reassemble</u> any broken short spear. Count each reassembled short spear as a single unit.
- 3. <u>Arrange</u> all of the short spears in the sample unit in a continuous row with the base end of each short spear against the bottom rim of the grading tray. If you're using 50 short spears, butt each unit against a straight edge on top of the grading table. As the short spears are being arranged in a row, place them in order of size from the longest to the shortest, or vice versa.
 - a. <u>Disregard</u> loose leaf material, pieces of leaves, EVM and detached fragments;
 - b. Locate the point in the continuous row where the short spears are longer than 9.5 cm, if any. Count the number of short spears that are longer than 9.5 cm;
 - c. <u>Locate</u> the point in the continuous row where the spears are shorter than 2 cm, if any. Count the number of short spears that are shorter than 2 cm;
 - d. The standards require that each short spear weigh more than 6 g but don't provide acceptance criteria for these small units. Do this: If any floret weighs 6 g or less and is not counted in the less than 2 cm defectives, count it as a "minor" style defective, regardless of the length; and
 - e. <u>Record</u> the number of defective spears in b, c and d above in the style section of the defect tally. Short spears that fail style requirements <u>aren't</u> "pieces." If a style failure occurs, certify the grade but show: "Fails style compliance for short spears."

SUGGESTED ORDER OF GRADING A SAMPLE UNIT UNDER ON-LINE INSPECTION SHORT SPEARS OR FLORETS (continuation).

3. Style defectives (continuation).

Example 14:



Frozen Broccoli July 1980.

SUGGESTED ORDER OF GRADING A SAMPLE UNIT UNDER ON-LINE INSPECTION

SHORT SPEARS OR FLORETS (continuation).

- 4. While the short spears are arranged in a continuous row, <u>check</u> for uniformity of size. Uniformity of size is separate from the style defectives done in step 3, previously. Don't be overly critical. If it is apparent that short spears are reasonably similar in weight, accept them as meeting requirements. If some short spears vary greatly in weight from others, do the following:
 - a. <u>Locate</u> the point in the continuous row where the short spears vary in weight more than 4 times (4X) from the other units;
 - b. <u>Determine</u> size variation so that only the least number of defects are tallied against size. This may be only the small unit, only the large units, or both the large units and the small units; and
 - c. <u>Record</u> the number of size defects on the defect tally as minor defects.

SUGGESTED ORDER OF GRADING A SAMPLE UNIT UNDER ON-LINE INSPECTION SHORT SPEARS OR FLORETS (continuation).

4. Uniformity of size (continuation).

Example 15:



SUGGESTED ORDER OF GRADING A SAMPLE UNIT UNDER ON-LINE INSPECTION SHORT SPEARS OR FLORETS (continuation).

4. Uniformity of size (continuation).

Example 16:



SUGGESTED ORDER OF GRADING A SAMPLE UNIT UNDER ON-LINE INSPECTION SHORT SPEARS OR FLORETS (continuation).

4. Uniformity of size (continuation).

Example 17:



SUGGESTED ORDER OF GRADING A SAMPLE UNIT UNDER ON-LINE INSPECTION SHORT SPEARS OR FLORETS (continuation).

- 5. Evaluate "brightness" and record the letter grade (A, B or SSTD) in the "prerequisites" section of the defect tally. The overall brightness may be slightly dull in grade B. Count "off-color" (yellowish or brownish discoloration) as Substandard.
- 6. At the same time that brightness is evaluated, <u>record</u> the letter grade (A or SSTD) in the "prerequisites" section of the defect tally for similar varietal characteristics.
- 7. <u>Evaluate</u> the color of the individual broccoli short spears. The color classification of each short spear is one of the following:
 - <u>Good color (grade A color</u>) not a defect in any grade;
 - <u>Reasonably good color (grade B color</u>) a defect only in grade A; or
 - c. <u>Poor color (Substandard color</u>) a defect in grade A and grade B.

If a short spear is blemished because of orange buds, olive-green leaf stem (petiole), etc., ignore the blemished areas for purposes of color evaluation. In other words, don't count a short spear as "reasonably good" color or "poor" color because it is blemished. Record on the defect tally the number of short spears that are either "reasonably good" or "poor" color. If the sample unit fails requirements for grade A, adjust the tally by dropping the number of "reasonably good" short spears from the grade B defects. Reasonably good color (grade B color) is not a defect in a grade B sample unit. Count only "poor" color short spears as defects in a grade B sample unit.

If the frozen broccoli is designated as grade B by the processor, it would be necessary to count only the number of "poor" color short spears. Remember, however, the tally could never be reevaluated for grade A.

SUGGESTED ORDER OF GRADING A SAMPLE UNIT UNDER ON-LINE INSPECTION SHORT SPEARS OR FLORETS (continuation).

7. Color of individual short spears (continuation).

Example 18: (Designated grade A production).

lemish iber (EM (C1 TC USUM olor (etache lemish rim (F evelop	Med (Seriously) (Woody) Lass 1) OTAL SEVERE Grade A Grade B (Reas. Good) In grade A ed Fragments Med (Materially) Poor) In grade A Omment (Poor)	0.5	0.5	1.5		1 0.5 2 2 2
iber (EM (Cl TC USUM olor (etache lemish rim (F evelop	(Woody) Lass 1) OTAL SEVERE Grade A Grade B (Reas. Good) In grade A ed Fragments ned (Materially) Poor) In grade A Oment (Poor)	0.5	0.5	1.5	0 0 1 1	1 0.5 2 2 2
EM (C1 TC USUM olor (etache lemish rim (F evelop	Lass 1) OTAL SEVERE Grade A Grade B (Reas. Good) In grade A ed Fragments ned (Materially) Poor) In grade A oment (Poor)	0.5	0.5	1.5	0 0 1 1	1 0.5 X 2 2 2
TC USUM olor (etache lemish rim (F evelop	OTAL SEVERE Grade A Grade B (Reas. Good) In grade A ed Fragments ned (Materially) Poor) In grade A pment (Poor)	0.5	0.5	1.5	0 0 1 1	1 0.5 X 2 2 2
USUM olor (etache lemish rim (P evelop	Grade A Grade B (Reas. Good) In grade A ed Fragments ned (Materially) Poor) In grade A pment (Poor)	0.5	0.5	1.5	0 1 1	0.5 X 2 2 2
olor (etache lemish rim (P evelop	Grade B (Reas. Good) In grade A ed Fragments ned (Materially) Poor) In grade A pment (Poor)	1.5	1.5	3	 	<i>X</i> 2 2
olor (etache lemish rim (F evelop	(Reas. Good) In grade A ed Fragments ned (Materially) Poor) In grade A pment (Poor)				/ 	X 2 2
etache lemish rim (P evelop	ed Fragments ned (Materially) Poor) In grade A Dment (Poor)				1	2 2
lemish rim (F evelop	ned (Materially) Poor) In grade A Doment (Poor)				1	2
rim (F evelop	Poor) In grade A					
evelop	oment (Poor)					
•1						
iber ((Nonwoody)	l			1	2
TC	OTAL MAJOR	L		ويستغمان ويرب	4	68
	Grade A	1	4	3	1	3
0501	Grade B	1	6	4	L	B
TC	OTAL ALL CLASSES	L				12
TICTIM	Grade A	2	12	5	1	1
03 UPI	Grade B	2	14	7		
AMPLE	UNIT GRADE				A	B
	SUM TC SUM MPLE	SUM Grade A Grade B TOTAL ALL CLASSES SUM Grade A Grade B MPLE UNIT GRADE	SUMGrade A1Grade B1TOTAL ALL CLASSESSUMGrade AGrade B2MPLE UNIT GRADE	SUMGrade A14Grade B16TOTAL ALL CLASSESSUMGrade A212Grade B214MPLE UNIT GRADE	SUMGrade A143Grade B164TOTAL ALL CLASSESSUMGrade A2125Grade B2147MPLE UNIT GRADE	SUM Grade A 1 4 3 / Grade B 1 6 4 // // // // //

SUGGESTED ORDER OF GRADING A SAMPLE UNIT UNDER ON-LINE INSPECTION SHORT SPEARS OR FLORETS (continuation).

7. Color of individual short spears (continuation).

Example 19: (Designated grade B production).



SUGGESTED ORDER OF GRADING A SAMPLE UNIT UNDER ON-LINE INSPECTION

SHORT SPEARS OR FLORETS (continuation).

8. Weigh the detached fragments, if any, in the sample unit. Don't include loose leaves or pieces of leaves in the weight, these are minor defects. Ignore any chaff. Don't be overly critical but count each 28g increment of detached fragments as 1 major defect. Record on the defect tally the number of detached fragment defects as follows:

0 - 28 g = 1 defect
29 - 56 g = 2 defects
57 - 84 g = 3 defects, etc.

Example 20:



SUGGESTED ORDER OF GRADING A SAMPLE UNIT UNDER ON-LINE INSPECTION SHORT SPEARS OR FLORETS (continuation).

9. <u>Weigh</u> the loose leaves and pieces of leaves, if any, in the sample unit. Count each 14 g increment of loose leaf material as 1 minor defect. Record on the defect tally the number of loose leaf defects as follows:

0 - 14 g = 1 defect
15 - 28 g = 2 defects
29 - 42 g = 3 defects, etc.

Example 21:


SUGGESTED ORDER OF GRADING A SAMPLE UNIT UNDER ON-LINE INSPECTION

SHORT SPEARS OR FLORETS (continuation).

- 10. <u>Count</u> the number of broken short spears. These should be the same short spears that were reassembled in step 2, if any. Record on the defect tally as minor defects the number of broken spears (each reassembled short spear is counted as only 1 defect).
- 11. <u>Count</u> any mechanical damage to the head material of the short spear as a defect. Don't be overly critical -only materially damaged, or worse, is counted. Don't count broken short spears (step 10) or trimmed short spears (step 13) as damaged, or vice versa. Record on the defect tally as minor defects the number of damaged short spears.
- 12. <u>Count</u> the number of short spears that are blemished. Blemished broccoli is the most common defect. Usually, blemishes are:
 - a. Discolored hollow or pithy stalks (Don't count hollow or pithy stalks unless they're associated with discoloration);
 - b. Yellow leaves;
 - c. Orange buds (6 or more orange buds in a single area of the head is the cut-off point between blemished and nonblemished);
 - d. Olive-green leaf stem (petiole); and
 - Second on the defect tally the number of blemished short spears as follows:

i.	Minor;	or				
ii.	Major;	or	🖌 🖛 — Use	photoguides,	if	available.
iii.	Severe	.)				

SUGGESTED ORDER OF GRADING A SAMPLE UNIT UNDER ON-LINE INSPECTION

SHORT SPEARS OR FLORETS (continuation).

- 13. <u>Count</u> the number of short spears that are adversely affected by trimming. Use the USDA photoguides, if available. The trim classification of each broccoli short spear is one of the following:
 - a. <u>Well trimmed (grade A trim</u>) not a defect in any grade:
 - <u>Reasonably well trimmed (grade B trim</u>) a defect only in grade A; or
 - c. <u>Poorly trimmed (Substandard trim</u>) a major defect in grade A and a minor defect in grade B.

Record on the defect tally the number of short spears that are either "reasonably well trimmed" or "poorly trimmed." If the sample unit fails requirements for grade A, adjust the defect tally by: (1) dropping all "reasonably well trimmed" short spears from the defects counted against grade B; and (2) striking out the number of "poorly trimmed" short spears counted as major defects against grade A and moving them to minor defects to be counted against grade B. If the broccoli is designated as grade B by the processor, it would be necessary to count only the "poorly trimmed" short spears in each sample unit. Place the number of "poorly trimmed" short spears directly in the minor defect category. Remember, however, the tally could never be reevaluated for grade A.

SUGGESTED ORDER OF GRADING A SAMPLE UNIT UNDER ON-LINE INSPECTION

SHORT SPEARS OR FLORETS (continuation).

13. Trimming (continuation).

Example 22: (Designated grade A production).

	<u>Color (Reas. Good) In grade A</u> Detached Fragments				1	/	
м	Blemished (Materially)				2	2	
A	Trim (Poor) In grade A				1	X	
J	Development (Poor)						
0	Fiber (Nonwoody)					2	
R	TOTAL MAJOR				4	57	
	Guerre Grade A	1	4	3	/	3	• -
	Grade B	1	6	4		B	
	Size (More than $4X$)				1	1	- 1
	Loose Leaves					1	
м	Broken			l	1		1
I	Damaged						
N	Blemished (Slightly)				2	1	
0 9	Trim (Reas. Well) In grade A				i	1	
K	Trim (Poor) In grade B						
	Development (Reas. Well) In A						
	TOTAL MINOR				5	3	Ī
T	TOTAL ALL CLASSES				12	13	
Ţ	Grade A	2	12	5	2	3	Ť
L	CUSUM Grade B	2	14	7			
	SAMPLE UNIT GRADE				A	B	4
							Ì
I			······		- ·		<u>.</u>

Í

SUGGESTED ORDER OF GRADING A SAMPLE UNIT UNDER ON-LINE INSPECTION

SHORT SPEARS OR FLORETS (continuation).

13. Trimming (continuation).

Example 23: (Designated grade B production).



Don't tally defects that are counted only against grade A ~

SUGGESTED ORDER OF GRADING A SAMPLE UNIT UNDER ON-LINE INSPECTION

SHORT SPEARS OR FLORETS (continuation).

- 14. <u>Count</u> the number of short spears that are adversely affected by development (head and bud cluster stages of maturity). Use the USDA photoguides, if available. The development classification of each short spear is one of the following:
 - a. <u>Well developed (grade A development</u>) not a defect in any grade;
 - <u>Reasonably well developed (grade B development</u>) a defect only in grade A; or
 - c. <u>Poorly developed (Substandard development</u>) a defect in grade A and grade B.

Record on the defect tally the number of short spears that are either "reasonably well developed" or "poorly developed." If the sample unit fails requirements for grade A, adjust the tally by dropping all "reasonably well developed" spears from the number of defects counted against grade B. Reasonably well developed (grade B development) isn't a defect in a grade B sample unit. Count only "poorly developed" short spears as defects in a grade B sample unit. If the frozen broccoli is designated as grade B by the processor, it would be necessary to count only the number of "poorly developed" short spears. Remember, however, the tally could never be reevaluated for grade A.

See also the next page.

SUGGESTED ORDER OF GRADING A SAMPLE UNIT UNDER ON-LINE INSPECTION SHORT SPEARS OR FLORETS (continuation).

14. Development (continuation).

Example 24: (Designated grade A production).



SUGGESTED ORDER OF GRADING A SAMPLE UNIT UNDER ON-LINE INSPECTION SHORT SPEARS OR FLORETS (continuation).

14. Development (continuation).

Example 25: (Designated grade B production).



Don't tally defects that are counted only against grade A

SUGGESTED ORDER OF GRADING A SAMPLE UNIT UNDER ON-LINE INSPECTION SHORT SPEARS OR FLORETS (continuation).

15. <u>Count</u> the number of pieces of harmless extraneous material (HEM). The expected frequency of occurrence for HEM should be low. Count pieces of other similar plants, such as cauliflower or brussels sprouts, as class 1 HEM. Record on the defect tally the number of pieces of HEM as follows:

a. Severe; or

b. Critical.

If 25 short spears are being used for inspection, rather than 50 short spears, 0.4 AQL is the smallest AQL in the 25 plan. This doesn't cover grade A critical defects (class 2 HEM) which has an AQL of 0.25; thus, you're left without S, T and L values for critical defects in grade A if you're using the 25 plan. This shouldn't cause a problem given the small chance of class 2 HEM occurring in the sample unit. However, if you do find class 2 HEM in a sample unit of 25 spears, it fails grade A (S=0; T=0; L=0).

- 16. Cook a representative portion of the short spears as outlined in File Code 130-A-38. You may wish to select only "suspect" short spears for the cooking test. Also, it isn't necessary to cook each sample unit unless a problem develops, such as fibrous short spears.
- 17. Evaluate the cooked broccoli for flavor and odor. Assign the letter grade (A, B or SSTD) for flavor and odor in the section for "prerequisites." Consider any objectionable "off-flavor" ("hay-like," "straw-like," "smoke," "chemical," or "sour"), but edible sample unit, as Substandard. Permit a slight "hay-like" or "straw-like" odor in grade B.

SUGGESTED ORDER OF GRADING A SAMPLE UNIT UNDER ON-LINE INSPECTION SHORT SPEARS OR FLORETS (continuation).

- 18. Evaluate the cooked broccoli for grit or silt. Assign the letter grade (A, B or SSTD) for freedom from grit in the section for "prerequisites."
- 19. <u>Evaluate</u> the cooked broccoli for fiber. Be alert for fiber located at the base end of the short spear. Any detectable fiber should be classified as follows:
 - a. <u>Major (nonwoody noticeable fiber but can be</u> eaten without difficulty); or
 - b. Severe (woody).
- 20. <u>Total</u> the classes of defects on the defect tally and compute CUSUM values as outlined in File Code 120-A-6.

CUT BROCCOLI

- 1. <u>Select</u> at random a 250 g sample unit from the processing line (either before freezing or after freezing). You may also use the following optional sample unit sizes:
 - a. 500 g (100 5 g increments use the 100 plan §2852.38b); or
 - b. 1000 g (200 5 g increments use the 200 plan §2852.38b).

You should remember that larger sample unit sizes require more time to grade. Regardless of the sample unit size that you chose, keep it constant for each basic grading period. You may vary the sample unit size between style compliance and quality compliance.

Example 26:

Sample Unit Size	Use for Style	Use for Quality
500 g	250 g	500 g
1000 g	250 g	1000 g
1000 g	500 g	1000 g

- 2. <u>Arrange</u> the sample unit of cut broccoli on a grading tray to make each unit visible to you.
- 3. <u>Evaluate</u> "brightness" and record the letter grade (A, B or SSTD) in the "prerequisites" section of the defect tally. The overall brightness may be slightly dull in grade B. Count "off-color" (yellowish or brownish discoloration) as Substandard.
- 4. At the same time that brightness is evaluated, <u>record</u> the letter grade (A or SSTD) in the "prerequisites" section of the defect tally for similar varietal characteristics.
- 5. <u>Evaluate</u> the cut broccoli for development (head and bud cluster stages of maturity). Even though the USDA photoguides which show various stages of development, cover only spears, you may use these photoguides as an indication of the cut-off point between "reasonably well developed" and "poorly developed."

SUGGESTED ORDER OF GRADING A SAMPLE UNIT UNDER ON-LINE INSPECTION

CUT BROCCOLI (continuation).

5. Development (continuation).

The development classifications of cut broccoli are as follows:

- a. <u>Grade A</u> the broccoli may be only "reasonably well developed" (grade B); or
- b. <u>Grade B</u> the broccoli may be only "poorly developed" (SSTD) if the appearance is not materially affected. Poorly developed means the individual buds are in the flowering stage.

Record the letter grade (A, B or SSTD) for development in the "prerequisites" section of the defect tally.

- 6. Separate all of the blemished units into 3 categories:
 - a. <u>Slightly;</u> or
 - b. Materially; or
 - c. Seriously.

Place each category on a suitable scale. Record the weight. Each 5 g increment of this weight is 1 defect.

Blemished broccoli is the most common defect. Usually blemishes are:

- a. Discolored hollow or pithy stalks (Don't count hollow or pithy stalks unless they're associated with discoloration);
- b. Yellow leaves;
- c. Orange buds (3 or more orange buds in a single area is the cut-off point between blemished and nonblemished);
- d. Olive-green leaf stem (petiole); and

SUGGESTED ORDER OF GRADING A SAMPLE UNIT UNDER ON-LINE INSPECTION <u>CUT BROCCOLI</u> (continuation).

6. Blemished (continuation).

e. <u>Record</u> on the defect tally the number of blemished defects (each 5 g increment is 1 defect) as follows:

i. Minor; or
ii. Major; or
iii. Severe.

Example 27:

S E	Blemish Fiber ()	ed (Seriously) Woody)	-						
v	HEM (C1	ass <u>1)</u>	1						
Е	TO	TAL SEVERE				changes and the second			
R	CUSIM	Grade A	0.4	0.8	1.6				
E		Grade B	1	1	2				
M	Blemish	ed (Materially)				2	4 .		
A	Fiber (Nonwoody)					1		
J	TO	TAL MAJOR	L				1		
0	CUSUM	Grade A	1.5	1.5	3				
R		Grade B	1	3	3				
M	Blemish	ed (Slightly)				4			
I N	TO'	TAL MINOR	L			4			
T	TO	TAL ALL CLASSES							
T	CUSIM	Grade A	1	4	3	I			
L		Grade B	1	9	4				
	SAMPLE	UNIT GRADE				1			
		,							
Slig	htly = $\frac{20}{3}$	$\frac{0}{5} g = \frac{10}{5} g$ Materially = $\frac{10}{5} g$							
	Seriously = $\frac{3}{5}$ g								

SUGGESTED ORDER OF GRADING A SAMPLE UNIT UNDER ON-LINE INSPECTION

CUT BROCCOLI (continuation).

- 7. <u>Separate</u> all of the pieces of harmless extraneous material (HEM) into 2 categories as follows:
 - a. Severe (Class 1); or
 - b. Critical (Class 2).

Count pieces of other similar plants, such as cauliflower or brussels sprouts, as class 1 HEM. Place each piece of HEM, by class, end-to-end (use the longest dimension) in a continuous row. Measure each class separately. Record on the defect tally the number of HEM defects (each 2.5 cm increment is 1 defect).

Example 28:

CR	HEM (C	Lass 2)				/ <	1	
Î	T	DTAL CRITICAL						
Ŧ	anara	Grade A	0	0.5	0.5			
¥	CUSUM	Grade B	0.4	0.8	1.6			
S	Blemis	ned (Seriously)						
E	Fiber]						
v	HEM (Class 1)					2	i	
Е	T	OTAL SEVERE				Å		
R	CIICIM	Grade A	0.4	0.8	1.6			
E	COSOM	Grade B	1	1	2	1		
Class	$= 1 = \frac{3}{2 \cdot 1}$	c^{cm}_{5} Class 2 = $\frac{1}{2.5}c^{\text{cm}}_{-}$ -						

SUGGESTED ORDER OF GRADING A SAMPLE UNIT UNDER ON-LINE INSPECTION

CUT BROCCOLI (continuation).

- 8. At a sampling frequency outlined in File Code 120-A-5, check the sample unit for style compliance. (NOTE: It isn't necessary to check the style of each sample unit drawn for quality).
 - a. Disregard leaf material, pieces of leaves and EVM;
 - <u>Consider</u> the product for the style that it is offered for even though you may disagree with the declaration of style;
 - c. <u>Separate</u> all cuts in the sample unit that are longer than 5 cm and place them on a suitable scale. Record the composite weight. Count each 5 g increment of this weight as 1 major style defective;
 - d. <u>Separate</u> all cuts in the sample unit that are shorter than <u>1.5 cm</u> (exclusive of chaff) and place them on a suitable scale. Record the composite weight. Count each 5 g increment of this weight as 1 minor style defective;
 - e. <u>Record</u> the number of minor and major style defectives in the style section of the defect tally. If a style failure occurs, certify the style as "pieces";

Example 29:

S	Major (Longer than 5 cm)				3	
T	CUSUM 250g	1	4	3	A	
Y	Minor (Shorter than 1.5 cm) 0				18	
L	CUSUM 250 9	1	6	4		
E	Head Material 0					
	Leaf Material				1_1	
					11	
	14 9				11	
Longe	er than 5 cm = $\frac{14 \text{ g}}{5 \text{ cm}}$			'	ł	
	J g				1	
			22 0		/	
	Shorter than 1.5	cm =	<u> </u>		-	

See also next page.

* Change

*

SI

C1

SUGGESTED ORDER OF GRADING A SAMPLE UNIT UNDER ON-LINE INSPECTION CUT BROCCOLI (continuation).

8. Style (continuation).

- f. Separate the stalk material and ignore it;
- g. <u>Separate</u> the head material and weigh ("Bald" units completely stripped of flower buds should not be considered as head material);
- h. Separate the leaf material and weigh;
- i. Allow, regardless of sample unit size, the following:

Average: Head material not less than 25% (62.5 g /250 g)

Leaf material not more than 25% (62.5 g /250 g)

Individual sample unit:

Head material not less than 15% (37.5 g /250 g)

Leaf material not more than 35% (87.5 g /250 g)

Example 30:

	Major (Longer than 5 cm)					
S T Y L E	CUSUM	1	4	3		
	Minor (Shorter than 1.5 cm)	C				
	CUSUM					
	Head Material	25	09		30%	
	Leaf Material	. 1	1		20% 509	

SUGGESTED ORDER OF GRADING A SAMPLE UNIT UNDER ON-LINE INSPECTION CUT BROCCOLI (continuation).

- 9. After the sample unit has been evaluated for style, or if the sample unit is skipped for style evaluation, <u>cook</u> a representative portion of the cut broccoli as outlined in File Code 130-A-38. You may wish to select only "suspect" units for the cooking test. Also, it isn't necessary to cook each sample unit unless a problem develops, such as fibrous units or grit.
- 10. Evaluate the cooked broccoli for flavor and odor. Assign the letter grade (A, B or SSTD) for flavor and odor in the section for "prerequisites." It isn't necessary to cook each sample unit unless a problem develops. Consider any objectionable "off-flavor" ("hay-like," "straw-like," "smoke," "chemical," or "sour"), but edible sample unit, as Substandard. Permit a slight "hay-like" or "straw-like" odor in grade B.
- 11. Evaluate the cooked broccoli for grit or silt. Assign the letter grade (A, B or SSTD) for freedom from grit in the section for "prerequisites." It isn't necessary to cook each sample unit for the grit evaluation unless a problem develops.
- 12. Evaluate the cooked broccoli for fiber. Be alert for fiber located in the pieces (cuts) that are made from the base end of the stalk. Fiber is a "fooler." It's usually more tender after cooking than would be expected. It isn't necessary to cook each sample unit unless a problem develops. However, cook enough of the "suspect" cuts to set your sights.

If any detectable fiber is to be counted against the sample unit, cook the entire sample unit. Follow this procedure:

a. <u>Separate</u> all of the units with major fiber (nonwoodynoticeable fiber but can be eaten without difficulty) and place them on a suitable scale. Record the composite weight. Count each 5 g increment of this weight as 1 major defect;

SUGGESTED ORDER OF GRADING A SAMPLE UNIT UNDER ON-LINE INSPECTION

CUT BROCCOLI (continuation).

12. Fiber (continuation).

- b. <u>Separate</u> all of the units with severe fiber (woody) and place them on a suitable scale. Record the composite weight. Count each 5 g increment of this weight as 1 severe defect;
- c. <u>Record</u> on the defect tally the number of minor and major fiber defects.

Example 31:



13. <u>Total</u> the classes of defects on the defect tally and compute the CUSUM values as outlined in File Code 120-A-6.

SUGGESTED ORDER OF GRADING A SAMPLE UNIT UNDER ON-LINE INSPECTION

CHOPPED BROCCOLI

1. <u>Select</u> at random a 250 g sample unit from the processing line (either before freezing or after freezing). You may also use the following optional sample unit size:

500 g (200-2.5 g increments - use the 200 plan in §2852.38b).

You should remember that larger sample units require more time to grade. Regardless of the sample unit size that you chose, keep it constant for each basic grading period. You may vary the sample unit size between style compliance and quality compliance.

Example 32:

Sample Unit Size	Head/Leaf	Material	<u>Other Style</u>	Quality
250 g	50	g	250 g	250 g
250 g	100	g	250 g	250 g
500 g	50	g	250 g	500 g
500 g	100	g	250 g	500 g
500 g	250	g	500 g	500 g

- 2. <u>Arrange</u> the sample unit of chopped broccoli on a grading tray to make each unit visible to you.
- 3. <u>Evaluate</u> "brightness" and record the letter grade (A, B or SSTD) in the "prerequisites" section of the defect tally. The overall brightness may be slightly dull in grade B. Count "off-color" (yellowish or brownish discoloration) as Substandard.
- 4. At the same time that brightness is evaluated, <u>record</u> the letter grade (A or SSTD) in the "prerequisites" section of the defect tally for similar varietal characteristics.
- 5. Evaluate the chopped broccoli for development (head and bud cluster stages of maturity). Even though the USDA photoguides which show various stages of development, cover only spears, you may use these photoguides as an indication of the cut-off point between "reasonably well developed" and "poorly developed."

SUGGESTED ORDER OF GRADING A SAMPLE UNIT UNDER ON-LINE INSPECTION

CHOPPED BROCCOLI (continuation).

5. Development (continuation).

The development classifications of chopped broccoli are as follows:

- a. <u>Grade A</u> the broccoli may be only "reasonably well developed" (grade B); or
- b. <u>Grade B</u> the broccoli may be only "poorly developed" (SSTD) if the appearance is not materially affected. Poorly developed means the individual buds are in the flowering stage.

Record the letter grade (A, B or SSTD) for development in the "prerequisites" section of the defect tally.

- 6. Separate all of the blemished units into 3 categories:
 - a. <u>Slightly;</u> or
 - b. Materially; or

c. Seriously.

Place each category on a suitable scale. Record the weight. Each 2.5 g increment of this weight is 1 defect.

Blemished broccoli is the most common defect. Usually blemishes are:

- a. Discolored hollow or pithy stalks (Don't count hollow or pithy stalks unless they're associated with discoloration);
- b. Yellow leaves;
- c. Orange buds (3 or more orange buds in a single area is the cut-off point between blemished and nonblemished);
- d. Olive-green leaf stem (petiole);

SUGGESTED ORDER OF GRADING A SAMPLE UNIT UNDER ON-LINE INSPECTION

CHOPPED BROCCOLI (continuation).

- 6. Blemished (continuation).
 - e. <u>Record</u> on the defect tally the number of blemished defects (each 2.5 g increment is 1 defect) as follows:

i. Minor; or ii. Major; or iii. Severe.

Example 33:



SUGGESTED ORDER OF GRADING A SAMPLE UNIT UNDER ON-LINE INSPECTION

CHOPPED BROCCOLI (continuation).

- 7. <u>Separate</u> all of the pieces of harmless extraneous material (HEM) into 2 categories as follows:
 - a. Severe (Class 1); or
 - b. Critical (Class 2).

Count pieces of other similar plants, such as cauliflower or brussels sprouts, as class 1 HEM. Place each piece of HEM, by class, end-to-end (use the longest dimension) in a continuous row. Measure each class separately. Record on the defect tally the number of HEM defects (each 2.5 cm increment is 1 defect).

Example 34:



SUGGESTED ORDER OF GRADING A SAMPLE UNIT UNDER ON-LINE INSPECTION

CHOPPED BROCCOLI (continuation).

- At a sampling frequency outlined in File Code 120-A-5, <u>check</u> the sample unit for style compliance. (NOTE: It isn't necessary to check the style of each sample unit drawn for quality).
 - a. Disregard leaf material, pieces of leaves and EVM;
 - b. <u>Consider</u> the product for the style that it is offered for even though you may disagree with the declaration of style;
 - c. <u>Separate</u> all cuts in the sample unit that are longer than 2 cm and place them on a suitable scale. Record the composite weight. Count each 2.5 g increment of this weight as 1 minor style defective;
 - d. <u>Record</u> the number of minor style defectives in the style section of the defect tally. If a style failure occurs, certify the style as "pieces";

Example 35:

S	Minor (Lor	ger than 2 cm)			5	
T	CUSUM	250g	2 10	5	A	
Y	Head Mater	ial /				
Ē	Leaf Mater	ial			l	
					1	
Long	er than 2 c	$m = \frac{12}{2.5}g$			1	

SUGGESTED ORDER OF GRADING A SAMPLE UNIT UNDER ON-LINE INSPECTION CHOPPED BROCCOLI (continuation).

8. Style (continuation).

- e. Separate the stalk material and ignore it;
- f. <u>Separate</u> the head material and weigh ("Bald" units completely stripped of flower buds should not be considered as head material);
- g. Separate the leaf material and weigh;
- h. Allow, regardless of sample unit size, the following:

Average: Head material not less than 25% (12.5 g/50 g)

Leaf material not more than 25% (12.5 g/50 g)

Individual sample unit:

Head material not less than 15% (7.5 g/50 g)

Leaf material not more than 35% (17.5 g/50 g)

Example 36:

S	¹ Minor (Longer than 2 cm)						
T	CUSUM		2	10	5		
T.	Head Material	50	29			30% 159	
Ē	Leaf Material	í l				20% 0	
1	·					1	an a

SUGGESTED ORDER OF GRADING A SAMPLE UNIT UNDER ON-LINE INSPECTION CHOPPED BROCCOLI (continuation).

- 9. After the sample unit has been evaluated for style, or if the sample unit is skipped for style evaluation, <u>cook</u> a representative portion of the chopped broccoli as outlined in File Code 130-A-38. You may wish to select only "suspect" units for the cooking test. Also, it isn't necessary to cook each sample unit unless a problem develops, such as fibrous units or grit.
- 10. Evaluate the cooked broccoli for flavor and odor. Assign the letter grade (A, B or SSTD) for flavor and odor in the section for "prerequisites." It isn't necessary to cook each sample unit unless a problem develops. Consider any objectionable "off-flavor" ("hay-like," "straw-like," "smoke," "chemical," or "sour"), but edible sample unit, as Substandard. Permit a slight "hay-like" or "straw-like" odor in grade B.
- 11. Evaluate the cooked broccoli for grit or silt. Assign the letter grade (A, B or SSTD) for freedom from grit in the section for "prerequisites." It isn't necessary to cook each sample unit for the grit evaluation unless a problem develops.
- 12. Evaluate the cooked broccoli for fiber. Be alert for fiber located in the pieces (chops) that are made from the base end of the stalk. Fiber is a "fooler." It's usually more tender after cooking than would be expected. It isn't necessary to cook each sample unit unless a problem develops. However, cook enough of the "suspect" units to set your sights.

If any detectable fiber is to be counted against the sample unit, cook the entire sample unit. Follow this procedure:

a. <u>Separate</u> all of the units with major fiber (nonwoodynoticeable fiber but can be eaten without difficulty) and place them on a suitable scale. Record the composite weight. Count each 2.5 g increment of this weight as 1 major defect;

SUGGESTED ORDER OF GRADING A SAMPLE UNIT UNDER ON-LINE INSPECTION CHOPPED BROCCOLI (continuation).

12. Fiber (continuation).

- b. <u>Separate</u> all of the units with severe fiber (woody) and place them on a suitable scale. Record the composite weight. Count each 2.5 g increment of this weight as 1 severe defect;
- c. <u>Record</u> on the defect tally the number of major and severe fiber defects.

Example 37:

S E V E R E	Blemis Fiber HEM (C T CUSUM	hed (Seriously) (Woody) 1ass 1) OTAL SEVERE Grade A Grade B	0.5	1.5	2			
M A J	Blemis Fiber	hed (Materially) (Nonwoody) OTAL MAJOR]	 4.	•	
O R	CUSUM	Grade A Grade B	1	3 6	3	 • •	Ì	•
Woody	y fiber	= <u>5</u> g				 1		'

13. <u>Total</u> the classes of defects on the defect tally and compute the CUSUM values as outlined in File Code 120-A-6.

SUGGESTED ORDER OF GRADING A SAMPLE UNIT UNDER ON-LINE INSPECTION

BROCCOLI PIECES

- 1. <u>Select</u> at random a 250 g sample unit from the processing line (either before freezing or after freezing). You may also use the following optional sample unit sizes:
 - a. 500 g (100 5 g increments use the 100 plan §2852.38b); or
 - b. 1000 g (200 5 g increments use the 200 plan §2852.38b).

You should remember that larger sample unit sizes require more time to grade. Regardless of the sample unit size that you chose, keep it constant for each basic grading period.

- 2. <u>Arrange</u> the sample unit of broccoli on a grading tray to make each unit visible to you.
- 3. <u>Evaluate</u> "brightness" and record the letter grade (A, B or SSTD) in the "prerequisites" section of the defect tally. The overall brightness may be slightly dull in grade B. Count "off-color" (yellowish or brownish discoloration) as Substandard.
- 4. At the same time that brightness is evaluated, <u>record</u> the letter grade (A or SSTD) in the "prerequisites" section of the defect tally for similar varietal characteristics.
- 5. Evaluate the broccoli for development (head and bud cluster stages of maturity). Even though the USDA photoguides which show various stages of development, cover only spears, you may use these photoguides as an indication of the cut-off point between "reasonably well developed" and "poorly developed."

SUGGESTED ORDER OF GRADING A SAMPLE UNIT UNDER ON-LINE INSPECTION

BROCCOLI PIECES (continuation).

5. Development (continuation).

The development classifications of broccoli pieces are as follows:

- a. <u>Grade A</u> the broccoli may be only "reasonably well developed" (grade B); or
- b. <u>Grade B</u> the broccoli may be only "poorly developed" (SSTD) if the appearance is not materially affected. Poorly developed means the individual buds are in the flowering stage.

Record the letter grade (A, B or SSTD) for development in the "prerequisites" section of the defect tally.

- 6. Separate all of the blemished units into 3 categories:
 - a. <u>Slightly;</u> or
 - b. Materially; or

c. Seriously.

Place each category on a suitable scale. Record the weight. Each 5 g increment of this weight is 1 defect.

Blemished broccoli is the most common defect. Usually blemishes are:

- a. Discolored hollow or pithy stalks (Don't count hollow or pithy stalks unless they're associated with discoloration);
- b. Yellow leaves;
- c. Orange buds (3 or more orange buds in a single area is the cut-off point between blemished and nonblemished);
- d. Olive-green leaf stem (petiole);

SUGGESTED ORDER OF GRADING A SAMPLE UNIT UNDER ON-LINE INSPECTION BROCCOLI PIECES (continuation).

- 6. Blemished (continuation).
 - e. <u>Record</u> on the defect tally the number of blemished defects (each 5 g increment is 1 defect) as follows:
 - i. Minor; or ii. Major; or iii. Severe.
 - Use photoguides for spears, if available.

Example 38:



SUGGESTED ORDER OF GRADING A SAMPLE UNIT UNDER ON-LINE INSPECTION

BROCCOLI PIECES (continuation).

- 7. <u>Separate</u> all of the pieces of harmless extraneous material (HEM) into 2 categories as follows:
 - a. Severe (Class 1); or
 - b. Critical (Class 2).

Count pieces of other similar plants, such as cauliflower or brussels sprouts, as class 1 HEM. Place each piece of HEM, by class, end-to-end (use the longest dimension) in a continuous row. Measure each class separately. Record on the defect tally the number of HEM defects (each 2.5 cm increment is 1 defect).

Example 39:

C R	R HEM (Class 2)					_/ <	5	
I T	T	OTAL CRITICAL	L					
Ī	CUSIM	Grade A	0	0.5	0.5			
Ă L	00302	Grade B	0.4	0.8	1.6			
S	S Blemished (Seriously) E Fiber (Woody)		Γ					
E	Fiber (Woody)						1	
V	HEM (C	HEM (Class 1)				2		
E	TOTAL SEVERE		L			4		
к Е	CUSIM	Grade A	0.4	0.8	1.6	1		
<u> </u>	COSOM	Grade B	1	1	2			
Class	s 1 = <u>3</u>							
	2.	5						

SUGGESTED ORDER OF GRADING A SAMPLE UNIT UNDER ON-LINE INSPECTION

BROCCOLI PIECES (continuation).

- 8. <u>Cook</u> a representative portion of the broccoli as outlined in File Code 130-A-38. You may wish to select only "suspect" units for the cooking test. Also, it isn't necessary to cook each sample unit unless a problem develops, such as fibrous units or grit.
- 9. Evaluate the cooked broccoli for flavor and odor. Assign the letter grade (A, B or SSTD) for flavor and odor in the section for "prerequisites." It isn't necessary to cook each sample unit unless a problem develops. Consider any objectionable "off-flavor" ("hay-like," "straw-like," "smoke," "chemical," or "sour"), but edible sample unit, as Substandard. Permit a slight "hay-like" or "strawlike" odor in grade B.
- 10. Evaluate the cooked broccoli for grit or silt. Assign the letter grade (A, B or SSTD) for freedom from grit in the section for "prerequisites." It isn't necessary to cook each sample unit for the grit evaluation unless a problem develops.
- 11. Evaluate the cooked broccoli for fiber. Be alert for fiber located in the pieces that are cut from the base end of the stalk. Fiber is a "fooler." It's usually more tender after cooking than would be expected. It isn't necessary to cook each sample unit unless a problem develops. However, cook enough of the "suspect units to set your sights. The expected incidence of fiber may be higher in broccoli "pieces" than in any other style because of the absence of any head material requirement; thus, "pieces" may be composed of all stalk material.

If any detectable fiber is to be counted against the sample unit, cook the entire sample unit. Follow this procedure.

a. <u>Separate</u> all of the units with major fiber (nonwoodynoticeable fiber but can be eaten without difficulty) and place them on a suitable scale. Record the composite weight. Count each 5 g increment of this weight as 1 major defect;

SUGGESTED ORDER OF GRADING A SAMPLE UNIT UNDER ON-LINE INSPECTION

BROCCOLI PIECES (continuation).

11. Fiber (continuation).

- b. <u>Separate</u> all of the units with severe fiber (woody) and place them on a suitable scale. Record the composite weight. Count each 5 g increment of this weight as 1 severe defect;
- c. <u>Record</u> the number of major and severe fiber defects on the defect tally.

Example 40:

Blemis	hed (Seriously)							
Fiber (Woody))		
HEM (Class 1)						4		
TOTAL SEVERE								
CULCUM	Grade A	0.4	0.8	1.6		i		
CUSON	Grade B	1	1	2			L	
Blemis Fiber	hed (Materially) (Nonwoody) OTAL MAJOR					2-		· · · · · · ·
	Grade A	1.5	1.5	3		<u>+</u>		} {
CUSUM	Grade B	1	3	3				ļ
Woody fiber = $\frac{5}{5}$ g								- - -
	Fiber HEM (C T CUSUM Blemis Fiber T CUSUM	Fiber (Woody) HEM (Class 1) TOTAL SEVERE CUSUM Grade A Grade B Blemished (Materially) Fiber (Nonwoody) TOTAL MAJOR CUSUM Grade A Grade B Nonwoody Grade B Y fiber = $\frac{5}{5}$ g Nonwoody fiber	Blemished (Seriodsly)Fiber (Woody)TOTAL SEVERECUSUMGrade A0.4Grade A0.4Grade A0.4Grade A0.4Grade B1Blemished (Materially)Fiber (Nonwoody)TOTAL MAJORCUSUMGrade A1.5SNonwoody fiber = 10S	Bremished (Serrodsry)Fiber (Woody)HEM (Class 1)TOTAL SEVERECUSUMGrade AGrade B1Blemished (Materially)Fiber (Nonwoody)TOTAL MAJORCUSUMGrade AGrade B13y fiber = $\frac{5}{5}$ gNonwoody fiber = $\frac{10}{5}$ g -	Blemished (Serrodsly)Fiber (Woody)HEM (Class 1)TOTAL SEVERECUSUMGrade AGrade B1Blemished (Materially)Fiber (Nonwoody)TOTAL MAJORCUSUMGrade AGrade B1Grade B13y fiber = $\frac{5}{5}$ gNonwoody fiber = $\frac{10}{5}$ g	Blemished (Serrodsly)Fiber (Woody)HEM (Class 1)TOTAL SEVERECUSUMGrade AGrade B1Blemished (Materially)Fiber (Nonwoody)TOTAL MAJORCUSUMGrade AGrade B13y fiber = $\frac{5}{5}$ gNonwoody fiber = $\frac{10}{5}$ g	Blemished (Serrodsly)Fiber (Woody)/HEM (Class 1)/TOTAL SEVERE0.40.81.6CUSUMGrade A0.40.81.6Blemished (Materially)112Fiber (Nonwoody)2.4TOTAL MAJOR1.51.53CUSUMGrade A1.51.53Grade B1333y fiber = $\frac{5}{5}$ g10gNonwoody fiber = $\frac{10}{5}$ g10g	Blemished (Serrodsty)Fiber (Woody)/HEM (Class 1)/TOTAL SEVERE0.40.81.6CUSUMGrade A0.40.81.6Grade B1121Blemished (Materially)//Fiber (Nonwoody)2.4TOTAL MAJOR1.51.5CUSUMGrade A1.51.5Grade B133y fiber = $\frac{5}{5}$ g1.5 $\frac{1}{5}$ gNonwoody fiber = $\frac{10}{5}$ g $\frac{10}{5}$ g

SUGGESTED ORDER OF GRADING A SAMPLE UNIT UNDER ON-LINE INSPECTION

BROCCOLI PIECES (continuation).

- 12. <u>Total</u> the classes of defects on the defect tally and compute the CUSUM values as outlined in File Code 120-A-6.
- 13. The style of "pieces" is a catch all from "cut" and "chopped" broccoli. It doesn't have a requirement for head material; thus, the entire sample unit could be stalk and leaf material. Any sample unit that fails requirements for "cut" or "chopped" is to be classified as "pieces." However, this is not true for "spears" or "short spears."

SUGGESTED ORDER OF GRADING A SAMPLE UNDER LOT INSPECTION

SPEARS

- 1. <u>Follow</u> the procedure outlined in File Code 120-A-7 (Lot Single Sampling Plan [Attributes]).
- 2. <u>Use</u> the same defect tally sheet for lot inspection as you would use for on-line inspection. Ignore the section of the tally devoted to CUSUM values.
- 3. <u>Open</u> each individual container that you have drawn for the sample. Keep the product in its container. On a container-by-container basis, without sorting through the product, tentatively evaluate the following prerequisites:
 - a. <u>Evaluate</u> "brightness" and record the letter grade (A, B or SSTD) in the "prerequisites" section of the defect tally. The overall brightness may be slightly dull in grade B. Count "off-color" (yellowish or brownish discoloration) as Substandard.
 - b. At the same time that brightness is evaluated, record in the "prerequisites" section of the defect tally the letter grade (A or SSTD) for similar varietal characteristics.
 - c. Evaluate the broccoli for odor. Assign the letter grade (A, B or SSTD) for odor in the section for "prerequisites." Consider any objectionable "off-odor" ("hay-like," "straw-like," "smoke," "chemical" or "sour") as Substandard. But the sample must be edible in step 19. Permit a slight "hay-like" or "straw-like" odor in Grade B.
 - d. <u>Assign</u> the letter grade (A, B or SSTD) for freedom from grit in the section for "prerequisites."
 - e. If any container is "suspect" because of "brightness," "odor," or "grit," <u>identify</u> the container and its product for further examination.

SUGGESTED ORDER OF GRADING A SAMPLE UNDER LOT INSPECTION

SPEARS (continuation).

Example 41: (Prerequisites on a container-by-container basis)

Carton No. 1 Carton No. 2 Carton No. 3, etc.

Similar Varietal Char.	A	A	A
Brightness	Α	A	A
Flavor and Odor	A	A	A
Grit and Silt	A	A	A

The grade for a lot of broccoli spears may not be higher than the grade of any "prerequisite" grade for any individual sample unit in the sample. If the sample should fail the anticipated grade because of a prerequisite factor, don't skip the other factors. Continue grading the sample but don't spend a lot of time with problem areas, such as uniformity of size, borderline trim, borderline development, etc.

4. <u>Assemble</u> (physically or mentally) all of the spears from all of the containers into one sample. Adjust the total number of spears in the sample to meet the lot single sampling plan that you're using. If any of the cartons are "suspect" in step 3, you may wish to assemble the spears mentally into one sample. This procedure would enable you to retain the identity of the "suspect" cartons.

See also the next page.

SUGGESTED ORDER OF GRADING A SAMPLE UNDER LOT INSPECTION <u>SPEARS</u> (continuation).

4. Assembling the sample (continuation).

Example 42:

		NUMBER OF CARTONS						
		6	13	21	29			
		(Number of Spears)						
P E	6	36	78	126	174			
R S	13	78	169	273	377			
P E P	25	150	325	525	725			
C	50	300	650	1050	1450			
R T O N	100	600	1300	2100	2900			
ÎN								

- 5. <u>Reassemble</u> any broken spear. Count each reassembled spear as a single unit.
- 6. <u>Evaluate</u> the color of the individual broccoli spears. The color classification of each broccoli spear is one of the following:
 - a. Good color (grade A color) not a defect in any grade;
 - <u>Reasonably good color (grade B color)</u> a defect in grade A only; or
 - c. <u>Poor color (Substandard color</u>) A defect in grade A and grade B.

SUGGESTED ORDER OF GRADING A SAMPLE UNDER LOT INSPECTION

SPEARS (continuation).

6. Color of the individual spears (continuation).

If a spear is blemished because of orange buds, olive-green leaf stem (petiole), etc., ignore the blemished areas for the purpose of color evaluation. Don't count a spear as "reasonably good" color or "poor" color because it is blemished. Record on the defect tally the total number of spears in the sample that are either "reasonably good" or "poor" color (not each container). If the sample fails requirements for grade A, adjust the tally by dropping the number of "reasonably good" spears from the grade B defects. Reasonably good color (grade B color) is not a defect in a grade B sample. Count only "poor" color against a grade B sample.

TAKIN - ITA LAVAN

Example 43:

			<u> 00</u>	X / z	<u> </u>	650	spiano	A
	<u>Color (Reas. Good) In grade A</u> Size (Length)						30 -	rade
	Detached Fragments						5 1	60
M	Blemished (Materially)						10 1	ed.
A	Trim (Poor) In grade A							ail
	Development (Foor)							H I
R	Fiber (Nonwoody)		_				5	
	TOTAL MAJOR		L			30 1	60	
	CUSUM	Grade A	1	4	3			
		Grade B	1	6	4			
Ō	TOTAL ALL CLASSES					110 1	40 1	
Ţ	QUQUBA	Grade A	2	12	5		1	
Ĺ	Grade B		2	14	7			
SAMPLE UNIT GRADE						1		
	FINAL GRADE						B	
							1	
SUGGESTED ORDER OF GRADING A SAMPLE UNDER LOT INSPECTION

SPEARS (continuation).

7. Weigh all of the detached fragments, if any, in the sample (not each container). Don't include loose leaves or pieces of leaves in the weight, these are minor defects. Ignore any chaff. Don't be overly critical but count each 28 g increment of the composite weight (all of the detached fragments in the sample) as 1 major defect. Record on the defect tally the total number of detached fragment defects as follows:

0 - 28 g = 1 defect
29 - 56 g = 2 defects
57 - 84 g = 3 defects, etc.

1.

Example 44:

	Color	(Reas. Good) In grade A			ng aga ng Karing Sa	
	Size (Length)				
	Detach	ed Fragments				
M	Blemis	hed (Materially)				<u>۸</u>
A	Trim (Poor) In grade A				
J	Develo	pment (Poor)				
R	Fiber	(Nonwoody)				
	T	OTAL MAJOR	L			1
	CUSIM	Grade A	1	4	3	l
		Grade B	1	6	4	
Ŧ	T	OTAL ALL CLASSES	Ľ			
T	CUSIM	Grade A	2	12	5	
L		Grade B	2	14	7	
	SAMPLE	UNIT GRADE				
	FINAL					
						1

SUGGESTED ORDER OF GRADING A SAMPLE UNDER LOT INSPECTION

SPEARS (continuation).

8. <u>Weigh</u> the loose leaves and pieces of leaves, if any, in the total sample (not each container). Count each 14 g increment of the composite weight (all of the loose leaf material in the sample) as 1 minor defect. Record on the defect tally the total number of loose leaf defects as follows:

0 - 14 g = 1 defect
15 - 28 g = 2 defects
29 - 42 g = 3 defects, etc.

Example 45:



SUGGESTED ORDER OF GRADING A SAMPLE UNDER LOT INSPECTION

SPEARS (continuation).

- 9. <u>Count</u> the number of broken spears. These should be the same spears that were reassembled in step 5, if any. Record on the defect tally the total number of broken spears in the sample (not each container).
- 10. <u>Count</u> the number of spears with mechanical damage to the head of the spear. Don't be overly critical. Don't count broken spears (step 9) or trimmed spears (step 12) as damaged, or vice versa. Record on the defect tally the total number of damaged spears in the sample (not each container).
- 11. <u>Count</u> the number of spears in the sample that are blemished (not each container). Blemished broccoli is the most common defect. Usually, blemishes are:
 - a. Discolored hollow or pithy stalks (Don't count hollow or pithy stalks unless they're associated with discoloration);
 - b. Yellow leaves;
 - c. Orange buds (6 or more orange buds in a single area of the head is the cut-off point between blemished and nonblemished);
 - d. Olive-green leaf stem (petiole); and
 - e. Record on the defect tally the total number of blemished spears as follows:

i. Minor; ii. Major; or iii. Severe.

1

SUGGESTED ORDER OF GRADING A SAMPLE UNDER LOT INSPECTION

SPEARS (continuation).

- 12. <u>Count</u> the number of spears in the sample that are adversely affected by trimming (not each container). Use the USDA photoguides, if available. The trim classification of each broccoli spear is one of the following:
 - a. <u>Well trimmed (grade A trim</u>) not a defect in any grade;
 - <u>Reasonably well trimmed (grade B trim)</u> a defect only in grade A; or
 - c. <u>Poorly trimmed (Substandard trim</u>) a major defect in grade A and a minor defect in grade B. Record on the defect tally the total number of spears in the sample that are either "reasonably well trimmed" or "poorly trimmed" (not each container).

If the sample fails requirements for grade A, adjust the defect tally by: (1) dropping all "reasonably well trimmed" spears from the defects counted against grade B; and (2) striking out the major defects against grade A and moving them to minor defects to be counted against grade B.

SUGGESTED ORDER OF GRADING A SAMPLE UNDER LOT INSPECTION

SPEARS (continuation).

12. Trim (continuation).

Example 46: (Failed grade A, check against grade B)

					50)×13=650 Spea
M A J O R	Color Size (I Detache Blemish Trim (H Develor Fiber (TC CUSUM	(Reas. Good) In grade A Length) ed Fragments hed (Materially) Poor) In grade A pment (Poor) (Nonwoody) OTAL MAJOR Grade A Grade B	1	4	3	5 20
M I N O R	Size (I Loose I Broken Damaged Blemish Trim (F Trim (F Develop TC	Diameter) Leaves Leaves Lead (Slightly) Leas. Well) In grade A Poor) In grade B ment (Reas. Well) In A DTAL MINOR				1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
T T A L	CUSUM SAMPLE FINAL G	TAL ALL CLASSES Grade A Grade B UNIT GRADE RADE	2 2	12 14	5	85-460 B

1

.

SUGGESTED ORDER OF GRADING A SAMPLE UNDER LOT INSPECTION

SPEARS (continuation).

- 13. <u>Count</u> the total number of spears in the sample that are adversely affected by development (head and bud cluster stages of maturity). Use the USDA photoguides, if available. The development classification of each broccoli spear is one of the following:
 - a. <u>Well developed (grade A development</u>) not a defect in any grade;
 - <u>Reasonably well developed (grade B development</u>) a defect only in grade A; or
 - c. <u>Poorly developed (Substandard development</u>) a defect in grade A and grade B.

Record on the defect tally the total number of spears that are either "reasonably well developed" or "poorly developed." If the sample fails requirements for grade A, adjust the tally by dropping all "reasonably well developed" spears from the number of defects that are to be counted against grade B. Reasonably well developed (grade B development) isn't a defect in a grade B sample. Count only "poorly" developed spears as defects in a grade B sample.

SUGGESTED ORDER OF GRADING A SAMPLE UNDER LOT INSPECTION

SPEARS (continuation).

13. Development (continuation).

Example 47: (Failed grade A, check against grade B).



SUGGESTED ORDER OF GRADING A SAMPLE UNDER LOT INSPECTION

SPEARS (continuation).

- 14. <u>Count</u> the total number of pieces of harmless extranerus material (HEM) in the sample (not each container). Count pieces of other similar plants, such as cauliflower or brussels sprouts, as class 1 HEM. Record on the defect tally the total number of pieces of HEM as follows:
 - a. Severe; or
 - b. Critical.
- 15. <u>Establish</u> by observation the predominant length of the broccoli spears in the sample. Don't be overly critical. If it is apparent the spears vary less than 5 cm from the predominant length, accept them as meeting length requirements. If some spears obviously vary more than 5 cm from the predominant length, do the following:
 - a. <u>Determine</u> size variation so that the least number of defects are tallied against length; and
 - b. <u>Record</u> on the defect tally the number of length defects in the total sample (not each container).

See also example 2, page 6; example 3, page 7; and example 4, page 8.

- 16. Establish by observation the predominant diameter of the broccoli spears in the sample. Don't be overly critical. If it is apparent the spears vary less than 2 cm from the predominant diameter, accept them as meeting requirements. If some spears obviously vary more than 2 cm from the predominant diameter, do the following:
 - a. Determine diameter variation so that the least number of defects are tallied against diameter; and
 - b. <u>Record</u> on the defect tally the number of diameter defects in the sample (not each container).

See also example 5, page 10.

SUGGESTED ORDER OF GRADING A SAMPLE UNDER LOT INSPECTION

SPEARS (continuation).

- 17. <u>Evaluate</u> the sample for compliance with style requirements.
 - a. <u>Disregard</u> loose leaf material, pieces of leaves, EVM and detached fragments;
 - b. <u>Determine</u> the total number of spears in the sample (not each container) that are longer than 15.5 cm;
 - c. <u>Determine</u> the total number of spears in the sample (not each container) that are shorter than 8.5 cm;
 - d. <u>Record</u> the number of defective spears in b and c above in the style section of the defect tally. Spears that fail style requirements aren't "pieces." If a style failure occurs, certify the grade but show: "Fails style compliance for spears."

See also example 1, page 4.

- 18. <u>Cook</u> a representative portion of the spears as outlined in File Code 130-A-38. You may wish to select only "suspect" (step 3) spears for the cooking test. Also, it isn't necessary to cook all of the spears.
- 19. <u>Evaluate</u> the cooked broccoli for flavor to verify the tentative grade given for odor in step 3.
- 20. <u>Evaluate</u> the cooked broccoli by chewing. This should verify the tentative grade given for grit in step 3.
- 21. Evaluate the cooked broccoli for fiber. Only fiber which is detectable in the cooked broccoli should be counted. It is not necessary to cook all of the spears that are suspected of having tough fiber if enough of the spears are cooked to accurately set your sights.

SUGGESTED ORDER OF GRADING A SAMPLE UNDER LOT INSPECTION

SPEARS (continuation).

- 22. <u>Compare</u> the total number of defects that you've found in the sample with the acceptance number for the applicable AQL and sample size in the "Regulations" (File Code 109-A-1, Tables XV-XIX).
- 23. <u>Assign</u> a grade to the sample based on the procedure outlined in File Code 120-A-7 (Lot Single Sampling Plan).

SUGGESTED ORDER OF GRADING A SAMPLE UNDER LOT INSPECTION

SHORT SPEARS OR FLORETS

- 1. <u>Follow</u> the procedure outlined in File Code 120-A-7 (Lot Single Sampling Plan [Attributes]).
- 2. <u>Use</u> the same defect tally sheet for lot inspection as you would use for on-line inspection. Ignore the section of the tally devoted to CUSUM values.
- 3. <u>Open</u> each individual container that you have drawn for the sample. Keep the product in its container. On a container-by-container basis, without sorting through the product, tentatively evaluate the following prerequisites:
 - a. <u>Evaluate</u> "brightness" and record the letter grade (A, B or SSTD) in the "prerequisites" section of the defect tally. The overall brightness may be slightly dull in grade B. Count "off-color" (yellowish or brownish discoloration) as Substandard.
 - b. At the same time that brightness is evaluated, <u>record</u> in the "prerequisites" section of the defect tally the letter grade (A or SSTD) for similar varietal characteristics.
 - c. <u>Evaluate</u> the broccoli for odor. Assign the letter grade (A, B or SSTD) for odor in the section for "prerequisites." Consider any objectionable "off-odor" ("hay-like," "straw-like," "smoke," "chemical" or "sour") as Substandard. But the sample must be edible in step 18. Permit a slight "hay-like" or "straw-like" odor in grade B.
 - d. <u>Assign</u> the letter grade (A, B or SSTD) for freedom from grit in the section for "prerequisites."
 - e. If any container is "suspect" because of "brightness," "odor," or "grit," <u>identify</u> the container and its product for further examination.

SUGGESTED ORDER OF GRADING A SAMPLE UNDER LOT INSPECTION

SHORT SPEARS OR FLORETS (continuation).

Example 48: (Prerequisites on a container-by-container basis)

	Carton No. 1	Carton No. 2	Carton No. 3	, etc
Similar Varietal Char.	А	A	А	
Brightness	А	А	А	
Flavor and Odor	А	A	А	
Grit and Silt	А	А	A	

The grade for a lot of broccoli short spears may not be higher than the grade of any "prerequisite" grade for any individual sample unit in the sample. If the sample should fail the anticipated grade because of a prerequisite factor, don't skip the other factors. Continue grading the sample but don't spend a lot of time with problem areas, such as uniformity of size, borderline trim, borderline development, etc.

4. <u>Assemble</u> (physically or mentally) all of the short spears from all of the containers into one sample. Adjust the total number of short spears in the sample to meet the lot single sampling plan that you're using. If any of the cartons are "suspect" in step 3, you may wish to assemble the short spears mentally into one sample. This procedure would enable you to retain the identity of the "suspect" cartons.

SUGGESTED ORDER OF GRADING A SAMPLE UNDER LOT INSPECTION SHORT SPEARS OR FLORETS (continuation).

4. Assembling the sample (continuation).

Example 49:

			NUMBER	OF CARTONS		
		6	13	21	29	
			(Number of	Short Spears	;)	
P E	6	36	78	126	174	
R S	13	78	169	273	377	
P E P	25	150	325	525	725	
C	50	300	650	1050	1450	
R T O N	100	600	1300	2100	2900	
		1	•			

- 5. <u>Reassemble</u> any broken short spear. Count each reassembled short spear as a single unit.
- 6. <u>Evaluate</u> the color of the individual short spears. The color classification of each short spear is one of the following:
 - a. Good color (grade A color) not a defect in any grade;
 - <u>Reasonably good color (grade B color</u>) a defect in grade A only; or
 - c. <u>Poor color (Substandard color</u>) a defect in grade A and grade B.

SUGGESTED ORDER OF GRADING A SAMPLE UNDER LOT INSPECTION

SHORT SPEARS OR FLORETS (continuation).

6. Color of the individual short spears (continuation).

If a short spear is blemished because of orange buds, olive-green leaf stem (petiole), etc., ignore the blemished areas for the purpose of color evaluation. Don't count a short spear as "reasonably good" color or "poor" color because it is blemished. Record on the defect tally the total number of short spears in the sample that are either "reasonably good" or "poor" color (not each container). If the sample fails requirements for grade A, adjust the tally by dropping the number of "reasonably good" short spears from the grade B defects. Reasonably good color (grade B color) is not a defect in a grade B sample. Count only "poor" color against a grade B sample.

Example 50:



SUGGESTED ORDER OF GRADING A SAMPLE UNDER LOT INSPECTION

SHORT SPEARS OR FLORETS (continuation).

7. Weigh all of the detached fragments, if any, in the sample (not each container). Don't include loose leaves or pieces of leaves in the weight, these are minor defects. Ignore any chaff. Don't be overly critical but count each 28 g increment of the composite weight (all of the detached fragments in the sample) as 1 major defect. Record on the defect tally the total number of detached fragments as follows:

0 - 28 g = 1 defect
29 - 56 g = 2 defects
57 - 84 g = 3 defects, etc.

Example 51:

	Color	(Reas. Good) In grade A				
	Detach	ed Fragments				11
М	Blemis	hed (Materially)				A
A	Trim (Poor) In grade A				
J O R	Develo	pment (Poor)				
	Fiber	(Nonwoody)				
	T	OTAL MAJOR		1990 - State Balling		
	CUSUM	Grade A	1	4	3	
		Grade B	1	6	4	
T	T	OTAL ALL CLASSES				
Ť	CUCIM	Grade A	2	12	5	
Ĺ	CUSUM	Grade B	2	14	7	 I
•	SAMPLE	UNIT GRADE				 I
	FINAL	GRADE				ł
1 A 1.9A					••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	

SUGGESTED ORDER OF GRADING A SAMPLE UNDER LOT INSPECTION

SHORT SPEARS OR FLORETS (continuation).

8. Weigh the loose leaves and pieces of leaves, if any, in the total sample (not each container). Count each 14 g increment of the composite weight (all of the loose leaf material in the sample) as 1 minor defect. Record on the defect tally the total number of loose leaf defects as follows:

0 - 14 g = 1 defect15 - 28 g = 2 defects29 - 42 g = 3 defects, etc.

Example 52:



87

JUGGESTED ORDER OF GRADING A SAMPLE UNDER LOT INSPECTION

SHORT SPEARS OR FLORETS (continuation).

- 9. <u>Count</u> the number of broken short spears. These should be the same short spears that were reassembled in step 5, if any. Record on the defect tally the total number of bracent short spears in the sample (not each container).
- 10. <u>Count</u> the number of short spears with mechanical damage to the head of the unit. Don't be overly critical. Don't count broken short spears (step 9) or trimmed short spears (step 12) as damaged, or vice versa. Record on the defect tally the total number of damaged short spears in the sample (not each container).
- 11. <u>Count</u> the number of short spears in the sample that are blemished (not each container). Blemished broccoli is the most common defect. Usually, blemishes are:
 - a. Discolored hollow or pithy stalks (Don't count hollow or pithy stalks unless they're associated with discoloration);
 - b. Yellow leaves;
 - c. Orange buds (6 or more orange buds in a single area of the head is the cut-off point between blemished and nonblemished);
 - d. Olive-green leaf stem (petiole); and
 - e. Record on the defect tally the total number of blemished short spears as follows:
 - i. Minor;

ii. Major; \rangle -- Use photoguides for spears, if available.

iii. Severe.

SUGGESTED ORDER OF GRADING A SAMPLE UNDER LOT INSPECTION

SHORT SPEARS OR FLORETS (continuation).

- 12. <u>Count</u> the number of short spears in the sample that are adversely affected by trimming (not each container). Use the USDA photoguides, if available. The trim classification of each short spear is one of the following:
 - a. <u>Well trimmed (grade A trim</u>) not a defect in any grade;
 - <u>Reasonably well trimmed (grade B trim</u>) a defect only in grade A; or
 - c. <u>Poorly trimmed (Substandard trim</u>) a major defect in grade A and a minor defect in grade B. Record on the defect tally the total number of short spears in the sample that are either "reasonably well trimmed" or "poorly trimmed" (not each container).

If the sample fails requirements for grade A, adjust the defect tally by: (1) dropping all "reasonably well trimmed" short spears from the defects counted against grade B; and (2) striking out the major defects counted against grade A and moving them to minor defects to be counted against grade B.

SUGGESTED ORDER OF GRADING A SAMPLE UNDER LOT INSPECTION

SHORT SPEARS OR FLORETS (continuation).

12. Trim (continuation).

Example 53: (Failed grade A, check against grade B)

	Color	(Reas. Good) In grade A	Γ			
	Detach	ed Fragments				5
М	Blemis	hed (Materially)				20
A	Trim ()	Poor) In grade A				1 40
J	Develo	pment (Poor)				1
0 P	Fiber	(Nonwoody)				+
r	T	OTAL MAJOR	L			35.65
	CUCIM	Grade A	1	4	3	1
		Grade B	1	6	4	
	Size (More Than 4X)	ſ			1
	Loose Leaves					
М	Broken					1
I	Damaged					
N	Blemis	hed (Slightly)				
R	Trim (Reas. Well) In grade A				75
	Trim (Poor) In grade B				<u>~> 40</u>
	Develo	pment (Reas. Well) In A				
	T	OTAL MINOR	L			40 78
T	T	OTAL ALL CLASSES				85-468
Ť	CIICIDA	Grade A	2	12	5	
Ĺ	CUSUM	Grade B	2	14	7	
	SAMPLE	UNIT GRADE				
	FTNAT.	GRADE				B

SUGGESTED ORDER OF GRADING A SAMPLE UNDER LOT INSPECTION

SHORT SPEARS OR FLORETS (continuation).

- 13. <u>Count</u> the total number of short spears in the sample that are adversely affected by development (head and bud cluster stages of maturity). Use the USDA photoguides, if available. The development classification of each broccoli short spear is one of the following:
 - a. <u>Well developed (grade A development</u>) not a defect in any grade;
 - <u>Reasonably well developed (grade B development</u>) a defect only in grade A; or
 - c. <u>Poorly developed (Substandard development</u>) a defect in grade A and grade B.

Record on the defect tally the total number of short spears that are either "reasonably well developed" or "poorly developed." If the sample fails requirements for grade A, adjust the tally by dropping all "reasonably well developed" short spears from the number of defects that are to be counted against grade B. Reasonably well developed (grade B development) isn't a defect in a grade B sample. Count only "poorly" developed short spears as defects in a grade B sample.

SUGGESTED ORDER OF GRADING A SAMPLE UNDER LOT INSPECTION

SHORT SPEARS OR FLORETS (continuation).

13. Development (continuation).

Example 54: (Failed grade A, check against grade B).

			50X	/3 =	650	, Short Ape	N
	Color	(Reas. Good) In grade A	The second se				
	Detach	ed Fragments	1				
М	Blemis	hed (Materially)	1				
Α	Trim (Poor) In grade A					
J	Develo	pment (Poor)				25	
R	Fiber	(Nonwoody)					
	T	OTAL MAJOR		فكالروحين وببر		25	
	CUSIM	Grade A	1	4	3		
		Grade B	1	6	4		
	Size (More Than 4X)				5	
	Loose Leaves					5	
М	Broken						
I	Damage	d					
N	Blemis	hed (Slightly)				50	
R	Trim (Reas. Well) In grade A					
	Trim (Poor) In grade B					
	Develo	pment (Reas. Well) In A				100	
	T	OTAL MINOR				60 160	
T O	T	OTAL ALL CLASSES				100 200	
T A	CUSIM	Grade A	2	12	5		
L		Grade B	2	14	7		
	SAMPLE	UNIT GRADE		·			
	FINAL	GRADE				B	

SUGGESTED ORDER OF GRADING A SAMPLE UNDER LOT INSPECTION

SHORT SPEARS OR FLORETS (continuation).

- 14. <u>Count</u> the total number of pieces of harmless extraneous material (HEM) in the sample (not each container). Count pieces of other similar plants, such as cauliflower or brussels sprouts, as class 1 HEM. Record on the defect tally the total number of pieces of HEM as follows:
 - a. Severe; or
 - b. Critical.
- 15. Establish by observation the predominant weight of the short spears in the sample. Don't be overly critical. If it is apparent the short spears vary less than 4 times (4X) from the predominant weight, accept them as meeting requirements. If some short spears obviously vary more than 4X from the predominant weight, do the following:
 - a. <u>Determine</u> size variation so that the least number of defects are tallied against size; and
 - b. <u>Record</u> on the defect tally the number of size defects in the sample (not each container).

See also example 15, page 28; example 16, page 29; and example 17, page 30.

- 16. Evaluate the sample for compliance with style:
 - a. <u>Disregard</u> loose leaf material, pieces of leaves, EVM and detached fragments;
 - <u>Determine</u> the total number of short spears in the sample (not each container) that are longer than 9.5 cm;
 - c. <u>Determine</u> the total number of short spears in the sample (not each container) that are shorter than 2 cm; and
 - <u>Record</u> the number of defective short spears in b and c above in the style section of the defect tally. If a style failure occurs, certify the grade but show:
 "Fails style compliance for short spears." See also example 14, page 26.

SUGGESTED ORDER OF GRADING A SAMPLE UNDER LOT INSPECTION

SHORT SPEARS OR FLORETS (continuation).

- 17. Cook a representative portion of the short spears as outlined in File Code 130-A-38. You may wish to select only "suspect" (step 3) short spears for the cooking test. Also, it isn't necessary to cook all of the short spears.
- 18. <u>Evaluate</u> the cooked broccoli for flavor to verify the tentative grade given for odor in step 3.
- 19. Evaluate the cooked broccoli by chewing. This should verify the tentative grade given for grit in step 3.
- 20. Evaluate the cooked broccoli for fiber. Only fiber which is detectable in the cooked broccoli should be counted. It is not necessary to cook all of the short spears that are suspected of having tough fiber if enough of the short spears are cooked to accurately set your sights.
- 21. <u>Compare</u> the total number of defects that you've found in the sample with the acceptance number for the applicable AQL and sample size in the "Regulations" (File Code 109-A-1, Tables XV-XIX).
- 22. <u>Assign</u> a grade to the sample based on the procedure outlined in File Code 120-A-7 (Lot Single Sampling Plan).

8

SUGGESTED ORDER OF GRADING A SAMPLE UNDER LOT INSPECTION

CUT BROCCOLI

- 1. <u>Follow</u> the procedure outlined in File Code 120-A-7 (Lot Single Sampling Plan [Attributes]).
- 2. <u>Use</u> the same defect tally sheet for lot inspection as you would use for on-line inspection. Ignore the section of the tally devoted to CUSUM values.
- 3. <u>Open</u> each individual container that you have drawn for the sample. Keep the product in its container. On a container-by-container basis, without sorting through the product, tentatively evaluate the following prerequisites:
 - <u>Evaluate</u> "brightness" and record the letter grade (A, B or SSTD) in the "prerequisites" section of the defect tally. The overall brightness may be slightly dull in grade B. Count "off-color" (yellowish or brownish discoloration) as Substandard.
 - b. At the same time that brightness is evaluated, <u>record</u> in the "prerequisites" section of the defect tally the letter grade (A or SSTD) for similar varietal characteristics.
 - c. Evaluate the broccoli for odor. Assign the letter grade (A, B or SSTD) for odor in the section for "prerequisites." Consider any objectionable "off-odor" ("hay-like," "straw-like," "smoke," "chemical" or "sour") as Substandard. But the sample must be edible in step 10. Permit a slight "hay-like" or "straw-like" odor in grade B.
 - d. <u>Assign</u> the letter grade (A, B or SSTD) for freedom from grit in the section for "prerequisites."

SUGGESTED ORDER OF GRADING A SAMPLE UNDER LOT INSPECTION

CUT BROCCOLI (continuation).

- 3. Prerequisites, container by container (continuation).
 - e. <u>Evaluate</u> the cut broccoli for development(head and bud cluster stages of maturity). Even though the USDA photoguides which show various stages of development, cover only spears, you may use these photoguides as an indication of the cut-off point between "reasonably well developed" and "poorly developed."

The development classifications of cut broccoli are as follows:

- a. <u>Grade A</u> the broccoli may be only "reasonably well developed" (grade B); or
- b. <u>Grade B</u> the broccoli may be only "poorly developed" (SSTD) if the appearance is not materially affected. Poorly developed means the individual buds are in the flowering stage.

Assign the letter grade (A, B or SSTD) for development in the "prerequisites" section of the defect tally.

f. If any container is "suspect" because of "brightness," "odor," "grit" or "development," <u>identify</u> the container and its product for further examination.

Example 55: (Prerequisites on a container-by-container basis)

Carton No. 1 Carton No. 2 Carton No. 3, etc.

Similar Varietal Char.	Å	A	A
Brightness	A	A	A
Flavor and Odor	Α	A	A
Grit and Silt	A	A	A
Development	A	A	A

SUGGESTED ORDER OF GRADING A SAMPLE UNDER LOT INSPECTION

CUT BROCCOLI (continuation).

3. Prerequisites, container-by-container (continuation).

The grade for a lot of cut broccoli may not be higher than the grade of any "prerequisite" grade for any individual sample unit in the sample. If the sample should fail the anticipated grade because of a prerequisite factor, don't skip the other factors. Continue grading the sample but don't spend a lot of time with style or problem areas, such as blemishes or fiber.

- 4. While the cut broccoli is identified by individual containers, <u>determine</u> compliance with head material and leaf material. It isn't necessary to check each individual carton but enough cartons should be checked to satisfy yourself the requirements are either met or failed. In the cartons that are selected for the check, do the following:
 - a. <u>Separate</u> the head material and weigh ("Bald" units completely stripped of flower buds should not be counted as head material);
 - b. Separate the leaf material and weigh;
 - c. Allow the following:

Average: Head material not less than 25%.

Leaf material not more than 25%.

Individual sample cartons:

Head material not less than 15%.

Leaf material not more than 35%.

SUGGESTED ORDER OF GRADING A SAMPLE UNDER LOT INSPECTION

CUT BROCCOLI (continuation).

5. <u>Assemble</u> (physically or mentally) all of the cut broccoli from all of the cartons that were drawn into one sample. Adjust the entire sample (either by randomly removing cuts or adding cuts) to meet the closest weight possible in the lot single sampling plan. If any of the cartons are "suspect" in step 3, you may wish to assemble the broccoli mentally into one sample. This procedure would enable you to retain the identity of the "suspect" cartons.

-			~ /	•
- iu' - 27 - 7 m	m I	Δ	56	••
L'Adm		~		

			NUMBER O	F CARTONS	
		6	13	21	29
			Total Weight (g	of Brocco rams)	li <u>1</u> /
5 g	6	180	390	630	870
I N C	13	390	845	1365	1885
R E M E	25	750	1625	2625	3625
N T S	50	1500	3250	5250	7250
	100	3000	6500	10500	14500

<u>1</u>/ Sample size x 5 g increments = weight of cut broccoli equivalent to the number of sample units times the standard sample unit size.

SUGGESTED ORDER OF GRADING A SAMPLE UNDER LOT INSPECTION

CUT BROCCOLI (continuation).

- 6. Separate all of the blemished units into 3 categories:
 - a. <u>Slightly;</u> or
 - b. Materially; or

c. Seriously.

Place each category, separately, on to a suitable scale. Record the weight. Each 5 g increment of this weight is 1 defect.

Blemished broccoli is the most common defect. Usually blemishes are:

- a. Discolored hollow or pithy stalks (Don't count hollow or pithy stalks unless they're associated with discoloration);
- b. Yellow leaves;
- c. Orange buds (3 or more orange buds in a single area is the cut-off point between blemished and nonblemished);
- d. Olive-green leaf stem (petiole);
- e. Record on the defect tally the number of blemished defects (each 5 g increment is 1 defect) as follows:

◄-Use photoguides for spears, if available.

i. Minor; orii. Major; oriii. Severe.

3

SUGGESTED ORDER OF GRADING A SAMPLE UNDER LOT INSPECTION

CUT BROCCOLI (continuation).

6. Blemished (continuation).

Example 57:



SUGGESTED ORDER OF GRADING A SAMPLE UNDER LOT INSPECTION

CUT BROCCOLI (continuation).

- 7. <u>Separate</u> all of the pieces of harmless extraneous material (HEM) into 2 categories as follows:
 - a. Severe (Class 1); or
 - b. Critical (Class 2).

Count pieces of other similar plants, such as cauliflower or brussels sprouts, as class 1 HEM. Place each piece of HEM, by class, end-to-end (use the longest dimension) in a continuous row. Measure each class separately. Record on the defect tally the number of HFM defects (each 2.5 cm increment is 1 defect).

Example 58:



Frozen Broccoli Revised November 1980

SUGGESTED ORDER OF GRADING A SAMPLE UNDER LOT INSPECTION

CUT BROCCOLI (continuation).

8. Check the sample for style compliance. It isn't necessary to use the same sample size that you're using for the other factors. You may use a smaller sample size for style. The smaller sample size makes grading faster. This procedure would be the equivalent of drawing a subsample. For example, if you're using 14500 g (29 x 100-5 g increments) for the other factors, you could use 10500 g (21 x 100-5 g increments); or 6500 g (13 x 100-5 g increments); or 3000 g (6 x 100-5 g increments) for style. If you do chose a smaller sample size for style, be sure and note on the tally the size that you've used for style. Otherwise, it's difficult to reevaluate the tally.

To check for style, do the following:

- a. Disregard leaf material, pieces of leaves and EVM;
- Consider the product for the style that it is offered for even though you may disagree with the declaration of style;
- c. <u>Separate</u> all cuts in the sample that are longer than 5 cm and place them on a suitable scale. Record the composite weight. Count each 5 g increment of this weight as 1 major style defective;
- d. <u>Separate</u> all cuts in the sample that are shorter than 1.5 cm (exclusive of chaff) and place them on a suitable scale. Record the composite weight. Count each 5 g increment of this weight as 1 minor style defective;
- e. Record the number of minor and major style defectives in the style section of the defect tally. If a style failure occurs, certify the style as "pieces";

See also the next page.

* Change

SUGGESTED ORDER OF GRADING A SAMPLE UNDER LOT INSPECTION

CUT BROCCOLI (continuation).

8. Style (continuation).

Example 59:

	Major (Longer than 5 cm)				-= 32
S	CUSUM 6500 g	1	4	3	1
T	Minor (Shorter than 1.5 cm)				6/
T.	CUSUM 6500 9	1	6	4	
Ē	Head Material				1
	Leaf Material				
Longe	<pre>er than 5 cm = <u>158</u> g</pre>	n 1.5 evalu f the A-38, s (st ssary	5 cm = nated 2 cut 4 You tep 3 7 to 6	= <u>302</u> for brocu u may) for cook	style, <u>cook</u> coli as wish to the cooking the entire
-	In Further the cooked braces	14 +/		1 f w +	-he

- 10. <u>Evaluate</u> the cooked broccoli to verify the tentative grade given for odor in step 3.
- 11. <u>Evaluate</u> the cooked broccoli by chewing representative portions. This should verify the tentative grade given for grit and silt in step 3.

SUGGESTED ORDER OF GRADING A SAMPLE UNDER LOT INSPECTION

CUT BROCCOLI (continuation).

12. Evaluate the cooked broccoli for fiber. Be alert for fiber located in the pieces (cuts) that are made from the base end of the stalk. Fiber is a "fooler." It's usually more tender after cooking than would be expected. Cook enough of the "suspect" cuts to set your sights.

If any detectable fiber is to be counted against the sample, cook all of the "suspect" units. Follow this procedure:

- a. <u>Separate</u> all of the cuts with major fiber (nonwoodynoticeable fiber but can be eaten without difficulty) and place them on a suitable scale. Record the composite weight. Count each 5 g increment of this weight as 1 major defect;
- b. <u>Separate</u> all of the cuts with severe fiber (woody) and place them on a suitable scale. Record the composite weight. Count each 5 g increment of this weight as 1 severe defect;
- c. <u>Record</u> the number of major and severe fiber defects on the defect tally.



Example 60:

104

SUGGESTED ORDER OF GRADING A SAMPLE UNDER LOT INSPECTION

CUT BROCCOLI (continuation).

- 13. <u>Compare</u> the total number of defects that you've found in the sample with the acceptance number for the applicable AQL and sample size in the "Regulations" (File Code 109-A-1, Tables XV-XIX).
- 14. <u>Assign</u> a grade to the sample based on the procedure outlined in File Code 120-A-7 (Lot Single Sampling Plan).

SUGGESTED ORDER OF GRADING A SAMPLE UNDER LOT INSPECTION

CHOPPED BROCCOLI

- Follow the procedure outlined in File Code 120-A-7 (Lot Single Sampling Plan [Attributes]).
- 2. <u>Use</u> the same defect tally sheet for lot inspection as you would use for on-line inspection. Ignore the section of the tally devoted to CUSUM values.
- 3. <u>Open</u> each individual container that you have drawn for the sample. Keep the product in its container. On a container-by-container basis, without sorting through the product, tentatively evaluate the following prerequisites:
 - a. <u>Evaluate</u> "brightness" and record the letter grade (A, B or SSTD) in the "prerequisites" section of the defect tally. The overall brightness may be slightly dull in grade B. Count "off-color" (yellowish or brownish discoloration) as Substandard).
 - b. At the same time that brightness is evaluated, <u>record</u> in the "prerequisites" section of the defect tally the letter grade (A or SSTD) for similar varietal characteristics.
 - c. Evaluate the broccoli for odor. Assign the letter grade (A, B or SSTD) for odor in the section for "prerequisites." Consider any objectionable "off-odor" ("hay-like," "straw-like," "smoke," "chemical" or "sour") as Substandard. But the sample must be edible in step 10. Permit a slight "hay-like" or "straw-like" odor in grade B.
 - d. <u>Assign</u> the letter grade (A, B or SSTD) for freedom from grit in the section for "prerequisites."

SUGGESTED ORDER OF GRADING A SAMPLE UNDER LOT INSPECTION

CHOPPED BROCCOLI (continuation).

- 3. Prerequisites, container-by-container (continuation).
 - e. <u>Evaluate</u> the chopped broccoli for development (head and bud cluster stages of maturity). Even though the USDA photoguides which show various stages of development, cover only spears, you may use these photoguides as an indication of the cut-off point between "reasonably well developed" and "poorly developed."

The development classifications of chopped broccoli are as follows:

- a. <u>Grade A</u> the broccoli may be only "reasonably well developed" (grade B); or
- b. <u>Grade B</u> the broccoli may be only "poorly developed" (SSTD) if the appearance is not materially affected. Poorly developed means the individual buds are in the flowering stage.

Assign the letter grade (A, B or SSTD) for development in the "prerequisites" section of the defect tally.

f. If any container is "suspect" because of "brightness," "odor," "grit" or "development," <u>identify</u> the container and its product for further examination.

Example 61: (Prerequisites on a container-by-container basis)

Carton No. 1 Carton No. 2 Carton No. 3, etc.

A	A	A
A	А	A
A	А	A
A	A	A
A	А	A
	A A A A	A A A A A A A A A A A A
SUGGESTED ORDER OF GRADING A SAMPLE UNDER LOT INSPECTION

CHOPPED BROCCOLI (continuation).

3. Prerequisites, container-by-container (continuation).

The grade for a lot of chopped broccoli may not be higher than the grade of any "prerequisite" grade for any individual sample unit in the sample. If the sample should fail the anticipated grade because of a prerequisite factor, don't skip the other factors. Continue grading the sample but don't spend a lot of time with style or problem areas, such as blemishes or fiber.

- 4. While the chopped broccoli is identified by individual containers, <u>determine</u> compliance with head material and leaf material. It isn't necessary to check each individual carton but enough cartons should be checked to satisfy yourself the requirement is met or failed. In the cartons that are selected for the check, do the following:
 - a. <u>Separate</u> the head material and weigh ("Bald" units completely stripped of flower buds should not be counted as head material);
 - b. Separate the leaf material and weigh;
 - c. <u>Allow</u> the following:
 - Average: Head material not less than 25%.

Leaf material not more than 25%.

Individual sample cartons:

Head material not less than 15%.

Leaf material not more than 35%.

SUGGESTED ORDER OF GRADING A SAMPLE UNDER LOT INSPECTION

CHOPPED BROCCOLI (continuation).

5. <u>Assemble</u> (physically or mentally) into one sample all of the chopped broccoli from all of the cartons that were drawn. Adjust the entire sample (either by randomly removing units or adding units) to meet the closest weight possible in the lot single sampling plan. If any of the cartons are "suspect" in step 3, you may wish to assemble the broccoli mentally into one sample. This procedure would enable you to retain the identity of the "suspect" cartons.

Example 62:

		6	13	21	29
			Total Weight (gra	of Brocco ms)	li <u>1</u> /
2 5	6	90	195	315	435
g I C R E M	13	195	423	683	943
	25	375	813	1313	1813
E N T S	50	750	1625	2625	3625
	100	1500	3250	5250	7250

<u>1</u>/ Sample size x 2.5 g increments = weight of chopped broccoli equivalent to the number of sample units times the standard sample unit size.

SUGGESTED ORDER OF GRADING A SAMPLE UNDER LOT INSPECTION

CHOPPED BROCCOLI (continuation)

- 6. Separate all of the blemished units into 3 categories:
 - a. <u>Slightly;</u> or
 - b. Materially; or
 - c. <u>Seriously</u>.

Place each category, separately, on to a suitable scale. Record the weight. Each 2.5 g increment of this weight is 1 defect.

Blemished broccoli is the most common defect. Usually blemishes are:

- a. Discolored hollow or pithy stalks (Don't count hollow or pithy stalks unless they're associated with discoloration);
- b. Yellow leaves;
- c. Orange buds (3 or more orange buds in a single area is the cut-off point between blemished and nonblemished);
- d. Olive-green leaf stem (petiole);
- e. Record on the defect tally the number of blemished defects (each 2.5 g increment is 1 defect) as follows:
 - i. Minor; or
 ii. Major; or
 iii. Severe.
 Use photoguides for spears, if available.

SUGGESTED ORDER OF GRADING A SAMPLE UNDER LOT INSPECTION

CHOPPED BROCCOLI (continuation).

6. Blemished (continuation).

Example 63:

7



SUGGESTED ORDER OF GRADING A SAMPLE UNDER LOT INSPECTION

CHOPPED BROCCOLI (continuation).

- 7. <u>Separate</u> all of the pieces of harmless extraneous material (HEM) into 2 categories as follows:
 - a. Severe (Class 1); or
 - b. Critical (Class 2).

Count pieces of other similar plants, such as cauliflower or brussels sprouts, as class 1 HEM. Place each piece of HEM, by class, end-to-end (use the longest dimension) in a continuous row. Measure each class separately. Record on the defect tally the number of HEM defects (each 2.5 cm increment is 1 defect).

Example 64:



SUGGESTED ORDER OF GRADING A SAMPLE UNDER LOT INSPECTION

CHOPPED BROCCOLI (continuation).

8. <u>Check</u> the sample unit for style compliance. It isn't necessary to use the same sample size that you're using for the other factors. You may use a smaller sample size for style. The smaller sample size makes grading faster. This procedure would be the same as drawing a subsample. For example, if you're using 7250 g (29 x 100-2.5 g increments) for the other factors, you could use 5250 g (21 x 100-2.5 g increments); or 3250 g (13 x 100-2.5 g increments); or 1500 g (6 x 100-2.5 g increments) for style. If you do chose a smaller sample size for style, be sure and note on the tally the size that you've used for style. Otherwise, it's difficult to reevaluate the tally.

To check for style, do the following:

- a. Disregard leaf material, pieces of leaves and HEM;
- <u>Consider</u> the product for the style that it is offered for even though you may disagree with the declaration of style;
- c. <u>Separate</u> all cuts in the sample unit that are longer than 2 cm and place them on a suitable scale. Record the composite weight. Count each 2.5 g increment of this weight as 1 minor style defective;
- d. <u>Record</u> the number of minor style defectives in the style section of the defect tally. If a style failure occurs, certify the style as "pieces."

Example 65:

S	Minor (Longer than 2 cm)	90 grams	5		
T Y L E	CUSUM	2 10 5	<u> </u>		
	Head Material				
	Leaf Material		l		
					
Long	er than 2 cm = $\frac{12}{2.5}$ g		/		

SUGGESTED ORDER OF GRADING A SAMPLE UNDER LOT INSPECTION

CHOPPED BROCCOLI (continuation).

- 9. After the sample has been evaluated for style, <u>cook</u> a representative portion of the chopped broccoli as outlined in File Code 130-A-38. You may wish to select only "suspect" units (step 3) for the cooking test. Also, it isn't necessary to cook the entire sample.
- 10. <u>Evaluate</u> the cooked broccoli to verify the tentative grade given for odor in step 3.
- 11. <u>Evaluate</u> the cooked broccoli by chewing representative portions. This should verify the tentative grade given for grit and silt in step 3.
- 12. Evaluate the cooked broccoli for fiber. Be alert for fiber located in the units that are made from the base end of the stalk. Fiber is a "fooler." It's usually more tender after cooking than would be expected. Cook enough of the "suspect" units to set your sights.

If any detectable fiber is to be counted against the sample, cook all of the "suspect" units. Follow this procedure:

- a. <u>Separate</u> all of the units with major fiber (nonwoodynoticeable fiber but can be eaten without difficulty) and place them on a suitable scale. Record the composite weight. Count each 2.5 g increment of this weight as 1 major defect;
- b. <u>Separate</u> all of the units with severe fiber (woody) and place them on a suitable scale. Record the composite weight. Count each 2.5 g increment of this weight as 1 severe defect;
- c. <u>Record</u> the number of major and severe fiber defects on the defect tally.

SUGGESTED ORDER OF GRADING A SAMPLE UNDER LOT INSPECTION

CHOPPED BROCCOLI (continuation).

12. Fiber (continuation).

Example 66:



- 13. <u>Compare</u> the total number of defects that you've found in the sample with the acceptance number for the applicable AQL and sample size in the "Regulations" (File Code 109-A-1, Tables XV-XIX).
- 14. <u>Assign</u> a grade to the sample based on the procedure outlined in File Code 120-A-7 (Lot Single Sampling Plan).

SUGGESTED ORDER OF GRADING A SAMPLE UNDER LOT INSPECTION

BROCCOLI PIECES

- 1. Follow the procedure outlined in File Code 120-A-7 (Lot Single Sampling Plan [Attributes]).
- 2. <u>Use</u> the same defect tally sheet for lot inspection as you would use for on-line inspection. Ignore the section of the tally devoted to CUSUM values.
- 3. <u>Open</u> each individual container that you've drawn for the sample. Keep the product in its container. On a container-by-container basis, without sorting through the product, tentatively evaluate the following prerequisites:
 - a. Evaluate "brightness" and record the letter grade

 (A, B or SSTD) in the "prerequisites" section of the defect tally. The overall brightness may be slightly dull in grade B. Count "off-color" (yellowish or brownish discoloration) as Substandard.
 - b. At the same time that brightness is evaluated, <u>record</u> in the "prerequisites" section of the defect tally the letter grade (A or SSTD) for similar varietal characteristics.
 - c. Evaluate the broccoli for odor. Assign the letter grade (A, B or SSTD) for odor in the section for "prerequisites." Consider any objectionable "off-odor," ("hay-like," "straw-like," "smoke," "chemical" or "sour") as Substandard. But the sample must be edible in step 8. Permit a slight "hay-like" or "straw-like" odor in grade B.
 - d. <u>Assign</u> the letter grade (A, B or SSTD) for freedom from grit in the section for "prerequisites."

SUGGESTED ORDER OF GRADING A SAMPLE UNDER LOT INSPECTION BROCCOLI PIECES (continuation).

- 3. Prerequisites, container-by-container (continuation).
 - e. <u>Evaluate</u> the broccoli for development (head and bud cluster stages of maturity). Even though the USDA photoguides which show various stages of development, cover only spears, you may use these photoguides as an indication of the cut-off point between "reasonably well developed" and "poorly developed."

The development classifications of broccoli pieces are as follows:

- a. <u>Grade A</u> the broccoli may be only "reasonably well developed" (grade B); or
- b. <u>Grade B</u> the broccoli may be only "poorly developed" (SSTD) if the appearance is not materially affected. Poorly developed means the individual buds are in the flowering stage.

Assign the letter grade (A, B or SSTD) for development in the prerequisites section of the defect tally.

f. If any container is "suspect" because of "brightness," "odor," "grit" or "development," <u>identify</u> the container and its product for further examination.

Example 67: (Prerequisites on a container-by-container basis)

Carton No. 1 Carton No. 2 Carton No. 3, etc.

Similar Varietal Char.	Α	A	A
Brightness	A	A	A
Flavor and Odor	A	A	A
Grit and Silt	A	A	A
Development	A	A	A

SUGGESTED ORDER OF GRADING A SAMPLE UNDER LOT INSPECTION

BROCCOLI PIECES (continuation).

3. Prerequisites, container-by-container (continuation).

The grade for a lot of broccoli pieces may not be higher than the grade of any "prerequisite" grade for any individual sample unit in the sample. If the sample should fail the anticipated grade because of a prerequisite factor, don't skip the other factors. Continue grading the sample but don't spend a lot of time on problem areas, such as blemishes or fiber.

4. <u>Assemble</u> (physically or mentally) into one sample all of the broccoli pieces from all of the cartons that were drawn. Adjust the entire sample (either by randomly removing pieces or adding pieces) to meet the closest possible weight in the lot single sampling plan. If any of the cartons are "suspect" in step 3, you may wish to assemble the broccoli mentally into one sample. This procedure would enable you to retain the identity of the "suspect" cartons.

			NUMBER OF	CARTONS		ght mber					
		6	13	21	29	wei e nu dard					
	Total Weight of Broccoli <u>1</u> / (grams)										
5 g	6	180	390	630	870	increm equal es the					
I N	13	390	845	1365	1885	5 g j ieces ts tin					
C R E	25	750	1625	2625	3625	size x coli p Le uni					
M E N	50	1500	3250	5250	7250	ample a f brocc f samp					
T S	100	3000	6500	10500	14500	<u>1</u> / S 0 0					

sample unit size.

Example 68:

SUGGESTED ORDER OF GRADING A SAMPLE UNDER LOT INSPECTION

BROCCOLI PIECES (continuation).

- 5. <u>Separate</u> all of the blemished units into 3 categories:
 - a. <u>Slightly;</u> or
 - b. Materially; or
 - c. <u>Seriously</u>.

Place each category, separately, on to a suitable scale. Record the weight. Each 5 g increment of this weight is 1 defect.

Blemished broccoli is the most common defect. Usually blemishes are:

- a. Discolored hollow or pithy stalks (Don't count hollow or pithy stalks unless they're associated with discoloration);
- b. Yellow leaves;
- c. Orange buds (3 or more orange buds in a single area is the cut-off point between blemished and nonblemished);
- d. Olive-green leaf stem (petiole);
- e. Record on the defect tally the number of blemished defects (each 5 g increment is 1 defect) as follows:
 - i. Minor; or
 - ii. Major; or \rightarrow -Use photoguides for spears, if available.

iii. Severe.

5

SUGGESTED ORDER OF GRADING A SAMPLE UNDER LOT INSPECTION

BROCCOLI PIECES (continuation).

5. Blemished (continuation).

Example 69:



SUGGESTED ORDER OF GRADING A SAMPLE UNDER LOT INSPECTION

2

BROCCOLI PIECES (continuation).

- 6. <u>Separate</u> all of the pieces of harmless extraneous material (HEM) into 2 categories as follows:
 - a. Severe (Class 1); or

b. Critical (Class 2).

Count pieces of other similar plants, such as cauliflower or brussels sprouts, as class 1 HEM. Place each piece of HEM, by class, end-to-end (use the longest dimension) in a continuous row. Measure each class separately. Record on the defect tally the number of HEM defects (each 2.5 cm increment is 1 defect).

Example 70:

3

	HEM (C	lass 2) OTAL CRITICAL	-			2 -,
	CUCIM	Grade A	0	0.5	0.5	
Ă	CUSUM	Grade B	0.4	0.8	1.6	
S	Blemis	hed (Seriously)	-			
E	Fiber	(Woody)				
v	HEM (C	lass 1)				4 1
E	Т	OTAL SEVERE				
K E	CIICIM	Grade A	0.4	0.8	1.6	
-	CUBUM	Grade B	1	1	2	
Clas	s 1 = <u>1</u> 2	0 cm	<u>4</u> cm		, 	

SUGGESTED ORDER OF GRADING A SAMPLE UNDER LOT INSPECTION

BROCCOLI PIECES (continuation).

- 7. <u>Cook</u> a representative portion of the broccoli as outlined in File Code 130-A-38. You may wish to select only "suspect" units (step 3) for the cooking test. Also, it isn't necessary to cook the entire sample.
- 8. <u>Evaluate</u> the cooked broccoli to verify the tentative grade given for odor in step 3.
- Evaluate the cooked broccoli by chewing representative portions. This should verify the tentative grade given for grit and silt in step 3.
- 10. Evaluate the cooked broccoli for fiber. Be alert for fiber located in the pieces that are made from the base end of the stalk. Fiber is a "fooler." It's usually more tender after cooking than would be expected. Cook enough of the "suspect" pieces to set your sights.

If any detectable fiber is to be counted against the sample, cook all of the "suspect" units. Follow this procedure:

- <u>Separate</u> all of the pieces with major fiber (nonwoody-noticeable fiber but can be eaten without difficulty) and place them on a suitable scale. Record the composite weight. Count each 5 g increment of this weight as 1 major defect;
- b. <u>Separate</u> all of the pieces with severe fiber (woody) and place them on a suitable scale. Record the composite weight. Count each 5 g increment of this weight as 1 severe defect; and
- c. Record on the defect tally the number of major and severe defects.

SUGGESTED ORDER OF GRADING A SAMPLE UNDER LOT INSPECTION

BROCCOLI PIECES (continuation).

10. Fiber (continuation).

Example 71:



- 11. <u>Compare</u> the total number of defects that you've found in the sample with the acceptance number for the applicable AQL and sample size in the "Regulations" (File Code 109-A-1, Tables XV-XIX).
- 12. <u>Assign</u> a grade to the sample based on the procedure outlined in File Code 120-A-7 (Lot Single Sampling Plan).

SPECIAL LOT INSPECTION SITUATIONS

1. INSPECTION OF LARGE CARTONS (2-1/2 lb., etc.) OR OTHER LARGE CONTAINERS.

File Code 120-A-6 permits you to drawn a minimum of 3 cartons for inspection of small lots if Inspection Aid No. 42 specifies the 3 sample size. Although the lot single sampling plan is designed for a minimum of 6 sample units, you may use the maximum number of units of broccoli in the 3 cartons and adjust the sample to 36, 78, 126, 150, 169, etc., or more units. However, you must have at least 36 units in the sample to perform a lot inspection. If 3 cartons give fewer than 36 units, draw 4 or more cartons. The same thing would hold true for a sample drawn by weight. For example, for "cut" or "pieces," adjust the sample to 180 g, 390 g, 630 g, 845 g, 870 g or more. Or, for "chopped," adjust the sample to 90 g, 195 g, 315 g, 423 g, 435 g or more.

- CAUTION: REMEMBER THAN INSPECTION AID NO. 42 SPECIFIES ONLY THE MINIMUM SAMPLE SIZE. YOU MAY INCREASE THE SAMPLE SIZE FOR "SUSPECT" OR BORDERLINE LOTS.
- 2. INSPECTION OF SMALL CARTONS (8 oz or 10 oz) OR LARGE UNITS (Spears) PACKED IN SMALL CARTONS.

If lot inspection covers small cartons or large units packed in small cartons, it may be necessary to increase the number of cartons that are to be drawn from the lot. Without the increase in sample size, you would not have enough product to meet the minimum sample size, such as 36 broccoli spears. If the approximate number of broccoli units in each carton is unknown, open one carton at the sampling point to get this information.

3. HOW TO ADJUST A DEFECT TALLY.

If a sample fails to meet the requirements of grade A, adjust the defect tally before comparing the defects against the acceptance numbers for grade B. This must be done because "reasonably good" defects are not counted against grade B. A grade B lot could have all "reasonably good" defects. The preceding examples in this manual have been simple -- the following example is complex.

					- Grad	ie b)	
P	Simila	r Varietal Characteristi	-				
R	Bright	ness	and the second state of the second				
E O	Flavor	/Odor					· · ·
	Grit/S	ilt					19×50 = 650
C	T	OTAL CRITICAL				2	
·R I T		Grade A	0.2	0.2	0.8		
	CUSUM	Grade B	0.5	0.5	1.5		
	Color	(Poor)	[· /	
S	Blemis	hed (Seriously)			1	2	
E V E R	Fiber	(Woody)				<u>_</u>	
	HEM (C	lass 1)					
	T	OTAL SEVERE				5	-
Ε	CUSIM	Grade A	0.5	0.5	1.5		
	COSOM	Grade B	1.5	1.5	3		1
	Color	(Reas. Good) In grade A				,to	•
	Size (Length)				2	
	Detached Fragments						
M	Blemis	ned (Materially)				20	
J	Trim (1	Poor) In grade A				5	
0	Develo	pment (Poor)				10	
R	Fiber	(Nonwoody)					-
	T(OTAL MAJOR				32 47	
	CUSUM	Grade A	1	4	3	T	
		Grade B	1	6	4		
	Size (I	Diameter)					-
	Loose I	Leaves				<u> </u>	A :
M·	Broken						ade
I	Damaged	L					50 20
N	Blemish	ned (Slightly)				50	ed
R	Trim (H	Reas. Well) In grade A	ŀ			25	Ē
	Trim (F	'oor) In grade B					. 82 1
	Development (Reas. Well) In A					90 110	
)		TAL HIL CLASSE	Transferrations			10 110	
O T		TAL ALL CLASSES				129 207	•
A	CUSUM	Grade A	2	12	5		-
				14			
<u> </u>	FTNAT. C	RADE				B	
1	FINAL GRADE						ļ

Example No. 72: (Failed grade A, adjusted for grade B)

5

f

HOW TO REEVALUATE A DEFECT TALLY

It is possible to reevaluate a defect tally for frozen broccoli. You may encounter this situation if past production is compared against a more restrictive specification, such as a buyers' specification, after the original inspection has been completed.

Use the general procedure to reevaluate a defect tally as outlined in File Code 120-A-6 (Appendix). However, with frozen broccoli, it is not possible to reevaluate against all grade levels. This will depend upon the processors designated grade.

If the original grade was against designated grade A, it is possible to reevaluate the tally for grades A and B. This is because all of the "reasonably good" units <u>have been</u> tallied against grade A.

If the original grade was against designated grade B, it is not possible to reevaluate the tally against grade A -- only grade B. This is because the "reasonably good" units <u>have not</u> been tallied against grade A.

If there is any chance the processor would ask that a tally be reevaluated against a grade higher than the designated grade, it would be well to prepare for this situation. You could keep a record of all "reasonably good" units, even though the broccoli is graded against grade B, but figure the CuSum values against grade B only for the original grade. If the tally is to be reevaluated later, figure the CuSum values against grade A by including all "reasonably good" units in the tally.

_	tighter grade A)	x × x	7.00	ds, 7	op A	Labe	l
P	Similar Varietal Characteristi	cs			A	A	A
R	Brightness	A	A	A			
E O	Flavor/Odor				A	A	A
Ŷ	Grit/Silt			ļ	A	Α	А
CR	TOTAL CRITICAL				0	1	0
Ĩ	Grade A	0.2	0.2	0.8	0	0.8	0.6
T	Grade B	0.5	0.5	1.5			
•• •• ••	Color (Poor)	1]			
S	Blemished (Seriously)			ĺ	1		
L V	Fiber (Woody)	1					
Ē	HEM (Class 1)			l			
E R	TOTAL SEVERE				1	0	0
E	CUSIM Grade A	0.5	0.5	1.5	1	0.5	0
	Grade B	1.5	1.5	3			
•	Color (Reas. Good) In grade A				1		a in a first state of the second state of the
Brown V.	Size (Length)						
a good ' as a	Detached Fragments	1					
M	Blemished (Materially)	l	1	2	1		
A T	Trim (Poor) In grade A		1	1			
0	Development (Poor)		-	1	1		
R	Fiber (Nonwoody)						
	TOTAL MAJOR	L			3	4	2
	CUSUM Grade A $AQL = 5.0$	1	43	3	ø I	\$2	øl
;	Grade B	1	6	4			-
	Size (Diameter)	1					
	Loose Leaves	1					1
м	Broken						
I	Damaged						
N	Blemished (Slightly)		4	2	1		
0	Trim (Reas. Well) In grade A		4		1		
R	Trim (Poor) In grade B						
	Development (Reas. Well) In A					2	
m	TOTAL MINOR				8	4	
<u>0</u>	TOTAL ALL CLASSES	L			12	9	5
T A	CUSUM Grade A AQL=15.0	Z1	129	\$4	24	\$4	øo
L	Grade B	2	14	7			
•	SAMPLE UNIT GRADE				A	A	A
	FINAL GRADE		-1	4	A —		>
			meet	د ک			->
	107						

Example 73: (Originally designated grade A, reevaluated against a

.

.

+

ĩ

Examp	le 74: (Originally designated grade B, reevalue tighter grade B) $\times \times \times H_{ords}$	luated Top K	agains 3 L M	t a hel
P	Similar Varietal Characteristics	A	A	A
R	Brightness	A	A	A
E	Flavor/Odor	A	A	A
Ş	Grit/Silt	A	A	A
C	TOTAL CRITICAL	0	1	0
Ĩ	GIISIM Grade A 0.2 0.2 0.8			
T	Grade B 0.5 0.5 1.5	0	0.5	0
	Color (Poor)	1	1	
S	Blemished (Seriously)		1	1
E	Fiber (Woody)			
V E	HEM (Class 1)			
R	TOTAL SEVERE	1	2	1
E	CUSUM Grade A 0.5 0.5 1.5 Grade B $AQL = 1.5$ 1.5 1.5 32	1	1.52	12
	Color (Reas. Good) In grade A	-	u - tomoro	
	Size (Length)	1		
	Detached Fragments	1		
M A	Blemished (Materially)	[3	2
J	Trim (Poor) In grade A	I		
0	Development (Poor)	1	1	2
R	Fiber (Nonwoody)	1		
	TOTAL MAJOR	4	4	5
	CUSUM Grade A 1 4 3			
	Grade B $AQL = 8.5$ 1 85 4	0	0	0
	Size (Diameter)	I		1
	Loose Leaves	1	1	n Provincia da Santa da Santa Santa da Santa
M	Broken			
I	Damaged			
N	Blemished (Slightly)		3	4
R	Trim (Reas. Well) In grade A			
	Trim (Poor) In grade B	2	2	<u> </u>
	TOTAL MINOR	4	5	6
T	TOTAL ALL CLASSES	9	12	12
Ō	Grade A 2 12 5			
Å	CUSUM Grade B $40L = 20.012$ 1Km 75	0	0	0
<u>L</u>	SAMPLE INTT GRADE	B	B	
	FTNAT, GRADE	B		¥
L	neets -		<u>.</u>	>

.4

~_`

128

Example	75:	(Origina]	lly	designated	grade	Β,	reevaluated	against
		bottom g	grad	le A)				

₹ 7

>

7

				a second s				
P	Similar Varietal Characteristi	A	Α	A	I			
R	Brightness	A	Α	Α				
E	Flavor/Odor	Α	Α	Α				
ç	Grit/Silt	A	A	A				
С	TOTAL CRITICAL				0	0	0	
R	Grade A	0.2	0.2	0.8	0	0	0	
I T	Grade B	0.5	0.5	1.5	0	0	0	
	Color (Poor)		a da se de la companya de la company					1
S	Blemished (Seriously)				1	1	1	
E	Fiber (Woody)	1						-
V ਸ	HEM (Class 1)	Ť						
R	TOTAL SEVERE	1			1	1	1	ade
Е	Grade A	0.5	0.5	1.5	1	1.5	(1.5)	5
	Grade B	1.5	1.5	3	1	0.5	0	t.
	Color (Reas. Good) In grade A	Γ			T	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1	a t n
	Size (Length)	ļ				1		30
	Detached Fragments				1	l		-Cd
M A	Blemished (Materially)				2	2	3	19
Ĵ	Trim (Poor) In grade A			,		2.	-2	Ę
0	Development (Poor)			í	11	1,		÷
R	Fiber (Nonwoody)	1		l		11	-	Ĕ
	TOTAL MAJOR	<u> </u>		• •	54	641	53	111t
	CUSUM Grade A		4	3 '		31	3	+
	Grade B	1	1.6	4		; 01	0	ken Ken
	Size (Diameter)		ł	. I	_ 1	•	P	
	Loose Leaves			ł		I I		102
М	Broken			I		ļ !.		4P
I	Damaged			1				-
N	Blemished (Slightly)	ļ		1	4	4	3	œ.'
R	Trim (Reas. Well) In grade A			Ľ,	C)	3		
	Trim (Poor) In grade B	l						
	TOTAL MINOR				8 5	127	95	÷
Т	TOTAL ALL CLASSES	H			1 ,,10	ia 12	159	•
Ō	Grade A	2	12	5	4 4	6	5	
Ă	CUSUM Grade B		1/	7	·····/ 0	0		i
بىل ەر		- 	·	<u> </u>		B	, V R	4
- ar ar ann	FINAL GRADE						: 	-
			Failo	Stra	de A_	i .		
			,		7			

129

*U.S. GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE : 1980 0-310-945/FSQS-164