

October 18, 2017

Appalachian Milk Marketing Order Harold H. Friedly, Jr. - Market Administrator P. O. Box 91528 Louisville, Kentucky 40291-4467

Southeast Milk Marketing Order Erik F. Rasmussen – Market Administrator 2763 Meadow Church Road Suite 100 Duluth, GA 30097 Southwest Milk Marketing Order Cary Hunter – Market Administrator P.O. Box 110939 Carrollton, TX 75011-0939

Clifford Carman Assistant to the Deputy Administrator USDA – AMS – Dairy Programs Stop 0225, Room 2968-South 1400 Independence Avenue, SW Washington, DC 20250-0225

Dear Market Administrators and Deputy Administrator:

There has been renewed discussion relative to seeking an Order Hearing to modify the milk pricing provisions in the Appalachian and Southeastern Orders to include multiple component pricing terms. The majority of the cooperatives who market milk in the southeast support this effort. Those cooperatives include Cobblestone Milk Cooperative, Dairy Farmers of America, Inc., Erie Cooperative Association Inc., Lanco–Pennland Milk Producers, Land O'Lakes, Inc., Lone Star Milk Producers Association, Maryland and Virginia Milk Producers Association, Michigan Milk Producers Association, Prairie Farms Dairy Inc., Premier Milk Inc., Select Milk Producers, Inc., Southeast Milk Inc. and White Eagle Cooperative Association. (The Cooperatives). Additionally, this proposal is supported by the trade association National All-Jersey Inc.

To facilitate the development of a hearing proposal The Cooperatives make the following data request.

- 1) Provide the "dot density" maps for Orders 1, 5, 7, 30, 32, 33, 126 showing the county of origin of the production pooled on the Orders for the months of May and December of 2014, 2015, 2016 and 2017.
- 2) Monthly for the period January 2014 to date, for the counties defined in the Federal Order 126 statistical records "Production Statistics" as **Area 5N** the milk production volumes and components tests for milk that was pooled on Order 5 and 7 and 126.
- 3) Monthly for the period January 2014 to date, the dollar and per hundredweight gain or / loss to Orders 5 and 7 producers from using skim/ fat pricing versus MCP pricing.
- a. Differentiate the gain / loss computed above arising from the use of component versus skim / fat values and due to somatic cell count (SCC) calculations.
- 4) Monthly for the period January 2014 to date, the component tests and SCC tests for milk produced inside the Order 5 and 7 marketing areas, and pooled on Orders 1, 30, 32, 33 and 126.
- 5) Monthly for the period January 2014 to date, the component tests and SCC tests for milk produced inside the marketing areas of Orders 1, 30, 32, 33 and 126 and pooled on Orders 5 and 7.
- 6) For requests 3, 4 and 5 the data as requested by state.



DFAMILK.com

We understand that some of the data requested may be restricted due to the confidentiality guidelines of the Orders. If that occurs, please provide data in a more aggregated form but at the least level of aggregation possible.

Please let us know if further definition of our request is necessary.

Sincerely,

Elvin Hollon

Vice President Fluid Marketing/Economic Analysis

cc:

Erick Metzger

Jeff Sims Mike John