

Sucrose Octanoate Esters

Crop

Identification of Petitioned Substance

Chemical Names:

sucrose octanoate esters

CAS Numbers:

15 CAS NO. 42922-74-7 (mono-octanoate), 58064-47-4
16 (dioctanoate)

Other Name:

(α -D-glucopyranosyl- β -D-fructofuranosyl-
octanoate), mono-, di-, and triesters of sucrose
17 octanoate

Other Codes:

OPP Chemical Code: 035300

Trade Names:

Avachem Sucrose Octanoate Manufacturing Use
Product
Avachem Sucrose Octanoate [40%]

Characterization of Petitioned Substance

Composition of the Substance:

Sucrose octanoate esters (SOEs) belong to the organic chemical family sucrose fatty acid esters (SFAEs).¹ SFAEs are surfactants (or surface active agents) that lower the surface tension of a liquid, allowing easier spreading and evaporation. Surfactants are usually organic compounds that contain both hydrophobic (fat-soluble) and hydrophilic (water-soluble) groups (Wikipedia, n. d.). SFAEs have sucrose residues as the hydrophilic group and fatty acid residues as the lipophilic group. SOEs are manufactured from sucrose (table sugar) and an octanoic acid ester commonly found in plants and animals. Sucrose esters, as a class of related compounds, vary depending on the number and locations of esters attached to the sucrose molecules. Sucrose has eight potential places where individual esters may attach (Montello Inc., n. d.). The substance under review is a mixture of mono-, di-, and triesters.

Sucrose esters were first isolated when researchers investigated the insecticidal properties of the tobacco leaf hairs. This insecticidal property of sucrose esters acts by dissolving the waxy protective coating (cuticle) of target pests, causing them to dry out and die (U.S. EPA, 2002b). SOEs marketed as biopesticides are intended to mimic the pest control properties of *Nicotiana glauca* (wild tobacco) and other *Nicotiana* species. In addition to the tobacco plant, insecticidal sugar esters have been found in wild tomato and wild potato species and in the petunia plant (Chortyk et al., 1996). According to the petitioner, naturally occurring sugar ester biopesticides are present at low concentrations in their host plants; the highest-yielding plant, *Nicotiana trigonophylla*, has less than three grams of sucrose esters per kilogram of plant material (Barrington, 2004a).

Properties of the Substance:

Product Chemistry (U.S. EPA, 2002a)	
Color	Amber
Physical State	Liquid
Odor	Faint sweet smell
Melting Point	Exists in liquid state

¹ An ester is a product of the reaction of an acid and an alcohol, notable as sweet smelling organic compounds produced by plants and fruits, such as pineapples and oranges. The most common esters found in nature are fats and vegetable oils. [Source: <http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Ester>]

Boiling Point	Decomposes above 221°F/105°C
Solubility	Forms an emulsion with water
Stability	Stable below 104°F/40°C
Oxidizing or Reduction Action	Does not contain an oxidizing or reducing agent
Flammability/Flame Extension	None; decomposes above 221°F/105°C
Explosibility	Not potentially explosive
Miscibility	Not to be diluted using petroleum solvents

45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65
66
67
68
69
70
71
72
73
74
75
76
77
78
79
80
81
82
83
84
85
86
87
88
89
90
91

Specific Uses of the Substance:

SOE is an EPA-registered biopesticide. As a biopesticide, SOEs are currently used as an insecticide to control certain soft-bodied insects (e.g., mites, aphids, thrips, whiteflies and psyllids (Puterka, n. d.)). SOEs are permitted by EPA for use as a biopesticide for foliar spray in field, greenhouse, and nursery use on any type of agricultural commodity (including certain non-food ornamentals), as well as on mushroom-growing media and on adult honey bees (U.S. EPA, 2002a).

The control of *Varroa* mites on honeybees was the initial petitioned use for SOEs. An amendment to the petition extends the request to include the other EPA-approved pesticide uses (foliar spray on greenhouse, nursery, and field crops, and *Sciarid* fly control in mushroom-growing media). This review addresses the petitioned use for crops (i.e., greenhouse, nursery, and field crops; *Sciarid* control on mushrooms). The petitioned use for livestock is addressed in a separate report.

Approved Legal Uses of the Substance:

The primary commercial uses of SOEs are as biopesticide and as an emulsifier, texturizer, or protective coating for certain foods.

In foods, sucrose fatty acid esters may be used as emulsifiers, stabilizers, texturizers, and components of protective coatings applied to fresh fruits to retard ripening and spoiling (21CFR172.859, 2004). (See FDA Status Section, below.)

SOEs are also approved for use as a contact-type biochemical insecticide/miticide (EPA Registration Number 70950-2, OPP No. 035300) to control soft-bodied insects (Puterka, n. d.). In particular, EPA has registered SOEs as a biopesticide for foliar spray on greenhouse, nursery, and field crops; for *Sciarid* fly control in mushroom-growing media; and for *Varroa* mite control on honeybees (Barrington, 2004a). (See EPA Status Section, below.)

Action of the Substance:

Sucrose octanoate esters act as biopesticides by dissolving the waxy protective coating (cuticle) of target pests (e.g., mites), causing them to dry out and die (Puterka and Severson, 1995).

Status

EPA

In 2002, EPA approved SOEs for use as the active ingredient in the end-use product, Avachem Sucrose Octanoate [40.0%] (U.S. EPA, 2002b) (Avachem Sucrose Octanoate Manufacturing Use Product for formulating into biochemical insecticide/miticide end-use products, EPA Registration Number 70950-1; Avachem Sucrose Octanoate [40.0%] for use as a biochemical insecticide/miticide end-use product, EPA Registration Number 70950-2). The approve target pest and use sites include:

- (1) Mites and soft-bodied insects on food and non-food crops, including certain ornamentals;
- (2) Immature forms of certain species of gnats found in media used for growing mushrooms; and
- (3) *Varroa* mites on adult honey bees.

92
93
94
95
96
97
98
99
100
101
102
103
104
105
106
107
108
109
110
111
112
113
114
115
116
117
118
119
120
121
122
123
124
125
126
127
128
129
130
131
132
133
134
135
136
137
138

The approved application method is spray with ground equipment (U.S. EPA, 2002b).

EPA's registration review concluded that no FFDCFA tolerance level is required for SOE residues in or on any food commodity. EPA arrived at this conclusion as a result of data that demonstrated no toxicity, except from ocular exposure (discussed in Evaluation Question #11, below) (U.S. EPA, 2002a).

FDA

Since 1983, the US Food and Drug Administration (FDA) has allowed sucrose fatty acid esters, including SOEs, to be added to certain processed foods (21CFR172.859, 2004). Sucrose fatty acid esters may be used as emulsifiers or as stabilizers in baked goods and baking mixes, in chewing gum, in coffee and tea beverages with added dairy ingredients and/or dairy product analogues, in confections and frostings, in dairy product analogues, in frozen dairy desserts and mixes, and in whipped milk products. They also are allowed to be used as texturizers in biscuit mixes, in chewing gum, in confections and frostings, and in surimi-based fabricated seafood products. They may also be used components of protective coatings applied to fresh apples, avocados, bananas, banana plantains, limes, melons (honeydew and cantaloupe), papaya, peaches, pears, pineapples, and plums to retard ripening and spoiling. Sucrose fatty acid esters must be used in accordance with current good manufacturing practice and in an amount not to exceed that reasonably required to accomplish the intended effect (21CFR172.5, 2003).

International

SOEs are not specifically listed for the petitioned use or other uses in the following international organic standards:

- Canadian General Standards Board
- CODEX Alimentarius Commission
- European Economic Community (EEC) Council Regulation 2092/91
- International Federation of Organic Agriculture Movements
- Japan Agricultural Standard for Organic Production

However, some organic standards do allow the use of some natural *Nicotiana*-derived products that most likely contain small amounts of sucrose esters, including SOEs, for pest control:

- The Canadian General Standards Board allows the introduction of botanical compounds (e.g., menthol, vegetable oils, essential oils, herbal teas) in honey production (Canadian General Standards Board, 1999).
- Codex Alimentarius allows the use of tobacco tea (except pure nicotine) to combat a pest infestation (Codex Alimentarius Commission, 2001).
- The EEC Council Regulation allowed the application of an extract (aqueous solution) from *Nicotiana tabacum* as an insecticide only against aphids in subtropical fruit trees (e.g., oranges, lemons) and tropical crops (e.g., bananas); it can be used only at the start of the vegetation period. This permission expired March 31, 2002 (European Economic Community, 1991).
- The International Federation of Organic Agriculture Movements allows the use of tobacco tea as a crop protectant, but pure nicotine is forbidden (IFOAM, 2002).

139
140
141
142
143
144
145
146
147
148
149
150
151
152
153
154
155
156
157
158
159
160
161
162
163
164
165
166
167
168
169
170
171
172
173
174
175
176
177
178
179
180
181
182
183
184
185
186
187
188
189
190
191
192
193

Evaluation Questions for Substances to be used in Organic Crop or Livestock Production

Evaluation Question #1: Is the petitioned substance formulated or manufactured by a chemical process? (From 7 U.S.C. § 6502 (21))

SOEs are manufactured in an eight-step process that uses as raw materials a sugar, a fatty acid, and an alcohol, and relies on a number of catalysts and solvents. The process is patented because of its unique solvent recovery and reuse properties (Patent #5,756,716) and is described below:

“First, a fatty acid and methyl or ethyl alcohol is reacted in the presence of sulfuric acid catalyst to produce a fatty acid ester and water. The sulfuric acid catalyst is neutralized with a metal carbonate to make a metal sulfate, with the fatty acid ester being separated from the metal sulfate, the alcohol, and the water. The recovered fatty acid ester is reacted in the presence of a metal carbonate catalyst with sugar dissolved in dimethyl sulfoxide to produce the sugar ester product and alcohol. The dimethyl sulfoxide is separated from the reaction mixture by vacuum distillation, and then water is added to emulsify the sugar ester product and unreacted fatty acid ester. The unreacted sugar and the metal carbonate are dissolved in the water. Next, the emulsified sugar ester product and unreacted fatty acid ester is separated from the water containing dissolved unreacted sugar and metal carbonate by breaking the emulsion of the sugar ester product and unreacted fatty acid ester. The sugar ester product is purified by dissolving the unreacted fatty acid ester in ethyl acetate, and substantially all the dimethyl sulfoxide, alcohol, and ethyl acetate is recovered for reuse in the process. Finally, substantially all the unreacted sugar in a concentrated useful form is recovered.” (U.S. Patent and Trade Office, 1998)

According to the commercial manufacturer of SOEs, it is not possible to extract the naturally occurring sugar esters in sufficient quantity to be commercially viable (AVA Chemical Ventures, 2002).

Evaluation Question #2: Is the petitioned substance formulated or manufactured by a process that chemically changes the substance extracted from naturally occurring plant, animal, or mineral sources? (From 7 U.S.C. § 6502 (21).)

As described above, commercially used SOEs are manufactured by a multi-step chemical process that requires a number of catalysts and solvents. The raw materials (sugar, fatty acids, alcohol) for the process can be extracted from naturally occurring sources. However, the petitioner does not specify whether natural sources of raw materials would be used to manufacture SOEs for the petitioned use. The petitioner does not specify whether the various reagents used in the manufacturing process (sulfuric acid, potassium carbonate, dimethyl sulfoxide) would be from natural or synthetic sources, but it is more likely that synthetic reagents would be used. During the process, these raw materials undergo several reactions that substantially change their chemical composition, and produce the material under review, SOEs.

Evaluation Question #3: Is the petitioned substance created by naturally occurring biological processes? (From 7 U.S.C. § 6502 (21).)

SOEs occur naturally in some plants, as a result of normal plant metabolic functions. The exact process that occurs in nature has not been documented, but it is not the same as the commercial manufacturing process, which entails the use of sulfuric acid and metal carbonate catalysis, filtration, transesterification, refluxing, vacuum and fractional distillation, decanting, and centrifugation.

Evaluation Question #4: Is there environmental contamination during the petitioned substance’s manufacture, use, misuse, or disposal? (From 7 U.S.C. § 6518 (m) (3).)

Manufacturing: The petitioner has selected a patented commercial manufacturing process that recovers and reuses much of the solvents used, and has no liquid waste streams and minimal air (U.S. Patent and Trade Office, 1998).

194
195 *Approved Usage:* Using SOEs as a field, greenhouse, or nursery pesticide to control soft-bodied insects on
196 any type of agricultural commodity (including certain non-food ornamentals) and on mushroom-growing
197 media are two approved agricultural/horticultural applications. When applied according to EPA-
198 approved label directions, no direct exposure of birds or aquatic organisms to SOE is expected (U.S. EPA,
199 2002a). EPA concluded that it was not necessary for the petitioner to submit environmental fate and
200 groundwater data, because the risk is expected to be minimal due to the lack of exposure, low toxicity, use
201 pattern, and application methods for all approved uses. However, the label includes the following
202 precautionary statement against applying SOEs directly to:

203
204 “water, or to areas where surface water is present or to intertidal areas below the mean high water
205 mark. Nor should SOEs contaminate water when cleaning equipment or disposing of equipment
206 wash waters. In addition, spray should not be allowed to drift from the application site and
207 contact people, structures people occupy at any time and the associated property, parks and
208 recreational areas, non-target crops, aquatic and wetland areas, woodlands, pastures, rangelands
209 or animals. SOEs should be applied only when wind speed is not more than 10 mph, and for
210 sprays, the largest size droplets possible should be applied.” (Barrington, 2004a [Avachem Sucrose
211 Octanoate label])
212

213 In addition, SOEs biodegrade within approximately five days at approximately 68-80.6°F/20-27°C, in both
214 aerobic and anaerobic conditions, so minimal potential for exposure exists to insects, fish, and other non-
215 target wildlife as a result of SOE use (U.S. EPA, 2002a).
216

217 *Misuse:* The precautionary statement listed above implies a potential for adverse effects resulting from
218 misuse of the product.
219

220 *Disposal:* The EPA-approved label requires disposal of SOEs on site or at an approved waste disposal
221 facility to mitigate any secondary contamination.
222

223 **Evaluation Question #5: Is the petitioned substance harmful to the environment? (From 7 U.S.C. § 6517**
224 **(c) (1) (A) (i) and 7 U.S.C. § 6517 (c) (2) (A) (i).)**
225

226 EPA evaluated potential environmental risks associated with sucrose fatty acid esters in the *Biopesticides*
227 *Registration Action Document* (U.S. EPA, 2002a). EPA concluded that it expected no risks to the environment
228 from the use of SOE as a biopesticide because:
229

- 230 (1) The esters biodegrade rapidly and therefore do not persist in the environment.
- 231
- 232 (2) The esters are not toxic to mammals or other non-target organisms.
- 233
- 234 (3) Organisms are already exposed because these sucrose esters are found in plants.
- 235
- 236 (4) The tiny amounts used in pesticide products are not expected to substantially increase the
237 amount of these esters in the environment (U.S. EPA, 2002b).
238

239 **Evaluation Question #6: Is there potential for the petitioned substance to cause detrimental chemical**
240 **interaction with other substances used in organic crop or livestock production? (From 7 U.S.C. § 6518**
241 **(m) (1).)**
242

243 As stated in Evaluation Question #4, SOEs do not persist in the environment and biodegrade within
244 approximately five days at approximately 68-80.6°F/20-27°C, in both aerobic and anaerobic conditions
245 (U.S. EPA, 2002a). This minimizes the opportunity for SOEs to chemically interact with other agricultural
246 substances within an agricultural environment. Prior to biodegradation, it is possible for SOEs to act as an
247 unwanted surfactant with detrimental effects, but no information sources reviewed for this report
248 described or evaluated potential adverse impacts of this nature.

249
250
251
252
253
254
255
256
257
258
259
260
261
262
263
264
265
266
267
268
269
270
271
272
273
274
275
276
277
278
279
280
281
282
283
284
285
286
287
288
289
290
291
292
293
294
295
296
297
298
299
300
301

Evaluation Question #7: Are there adverse biological or chemical interactions in the agro-ecosystem by using the petitioned substance? (From 7 U.S.C. § 6518 (m) (5).)

The petitioner reports that SOEs have been tested on a range of crops, including almond, apple, pear, citrus, cotton, grape, peach, lettuce, tomato, mint, cabbage, melon, and several ornamental crops, including rose and poinsettia, and no phytotoxicity has been reported (Barrington, 2004a). SOEs have been demonstrated to be practically non-toxic to the following beneficial insects, all of which are important predators of homopteran pests: Lady Beetles (*Harmonia* spp., *Curinus coeruleus* Mulsant, *Cycloneda sanguinea* L., *Olla v-nigrum* Mulsant), Green Lacewing (*Chrysopidae rufilabris*), Red Scale Parasoid (*Aphytis melinus* De Bach), Insidious Flower Bug (*Orius insidiosus* Say) (Michaud and McKenzie, 2004), and honey bees (*Apis mellifera* L) (LD50 > 80 ug/bee) (U.S. EPA, 2002a). In addition, SOEs biodegrade quickly (U.S. EPA, 2002a), which reduces the potential for adverse biochemical or chemical interactions.

Evaluation Question #8: Are there detrimental physiological effects on soil organisms, crops, or livestock by using the petitioned substance? (From 7 U.S.C. § 6518 (m) (5).)

Michaud and McKenzie (2004) found that SOEs are not poisonous to many beneficial insects (See Evaluation Question #7). However, available information sources do not address the potential for SOEs to cause detrimental physiological effects on soil organisms or other insects not studied by Michaud and McKenzie.

EPA concluded, based on published data, that it is unlikely that any toxic effects will occur in birds, freshwater fish, freshwater aquatic invertebrates, and/or non-target plants, when SOEs are used according to label directions (U.S. EPA, 2002a). In addition, as noted by the petitioner, SOEs occur naturally (at low concentrations) in certain crop and non-agricultural plants (Barrington, 2004a) and are a normal part of animal diets (U.S. EPA, 2002a). This suggests that crop and livestock exposure will have no detrimental physiological effects.

Evaluation Question #9: Is there a toxic or other adverse action of the petitioned substance or its breakdown products? (From 7 U.S.C. § 6518 (m) (2).)

Breakdown products of SOEs include sucrose, fatty acids, carbon dioxide, and water, all of which are non-toxic (Wayman, 1971).

Evaluation Question #10: Is there undesirable persistence or concentration of the petitioned substance or its breakdown products in the environment? (From 7 U.S.C. § 6518 (m) (2).)

SOEs are rapidly biodegradable, and do not persist or accumulate in the environment (U.S. EPA, 2002a).

Evaluation Question #11: Is there any harmful effect on human health by using the petitioned substance? (From 7 U.S.C. § 6517 (c) (1) (A) (i), 7 U.S.C. § 6517 (c) (2) (A) (i) and 7 U.S.C. § 6518 (m) (4).)

EPA has not identified any subchronic, chronic, immune, endocrine, dietary, or nondietary exposure issues for SOEs in children or the general U.S. population (U.S. EPA, 2002a). In fact, EPA estimated that the SOE acceptable daily intake (ADI) for humans is equivalent to 2.82 lb of SOEs per day for a 176 lb person (U.S. EPA, 2002a).

However, rabbits subject to ocular and dermal exposure to undiluted manufacturing-use SOEs showed irritation that usually resolved in 14 days and 24 hours, respectively. EPA concluded that these reactions are unlikely when SOEs are used according to label directions, which include precautions regarding ocular exposure risks (U.S. EPA, 2002a).

303 **Evaluation Question #12: Is there a wholly natural product that could be substituted for the petitioned**
 304 **substance? (From 7 U.S.C. § 6517 (c) (1) (A) (ii).)**
 305

306 *Petitioned Use: Biopesticide for Foliar Spray in Field, Greenhouse, and Nursery Use on Any Type of Agricultural*
 307 *Commodity (Including Certain Non-Food Ornamentals)*
 308

309 The breadth of this petitioned use for SOEs use means that there are numerous approved natural products
 310 that serve as alternatives for some or all of the targeted crops. Whether SOEs would be the preferred
 311 choice, based on efficacy, safety, or economic standards, needs to be determined on a case-by-case basis.
 312 However, the petitioner reports some relative effectiveness data that compare SOEs to some natural,
 313 industry-recognized materials (and products) that have soft-bodied insecticidal uses similar to those of
 314 SOE (see Table 1) (Barrington, 2004c). The effectiveness data are derived from one non-peer reviewed
 315 study of the substances’ effectiveness for mealybug control with organic pineapples. The study also
 316 reports some environmental safety limitations, such as threats to wildlife from the use of the alternatives
 317 under study. This study found that no other product performs better than SOEs as an insecticide for
 318 mealybugs (Taniguchi, 2003). However given the extremely limited nature of the reported results from this
 319 study, it would be difficult to declare conclusively that SOEs are more effective than the materials already
 320 recognized for this use by the organic industry.

321 *Petitioned Use: Sciarid Control on Mushroom-Growing Media*
 322

323 The petition and industry resources identify several natural products currently available to control pests on
 324 mushroom-growing media. For example, products formulated with neem extract have been used by
 325 organic mushroom growers to successfully control sciarid flies (fungus gnats), but anecdotally have been
 326 reported by the petitioner to have a detrimental effect on beneficial insects, as well (Barrington, 2004b).
 327 Another industry-recognized product is a formulation of *Bacillus thuringiensis* (*Bt*) that controls the larval
 328 stage of *Sciarid* mushroom flies (Valent BioSciences, n. d.). The safety and efficacy of these products
 329 relative to SOEs is unknown. The petitioner has identified some natural industry-recognized classes of
 330 materials (and products) that have insecticidal uses similar to those of SOE, and their use for *Sciarid* control
 331 is described in Table 1 (Barrington, 2004c). A non-peer reviewed study of the substances’ effectiveness for
 332 mealybug control with organic pineapples found that no other product performed better than SOEs
 333 (Taniguchi, 2003); however, these results are uninformative with regard to their to control fungus gnats on
 334 mushrooms.
 335

336 **Evaluation Question #13: Are there other already allowed substances that could be substituted for the**
 337 **petitioned substance? (From 7 U.S.C. § 6518 (m) (6).)**
 338

339 Soap and petroleum-based oils are two synthetic materials that have industry recognition for use as
 340 insecticides. See Table 1. The safety and efficacy of these products relative to SOEs is unknown.
 341
 342

343 **Table 1. Potential Insecticidal Alternatives**

Material Group	Comments
Neem extracts and derivatives	Nonsynthetic; some products not labeled for mite control; potential bee hazard
Nonsynthetic oils	Nonsynthetic; at least one product labeled for mite control
Petroleum-based oils	Synthetic; toxic to fish
Pyrethrum	Nonsynthetic; products not labeled for mite control; toxic to fish
Soap	Synthetic; potential phytotoxicity

344 Sources: Barrington, 2004c; OMRI, 2004
 345
 346

348 **Evaluation Question #14: Are there alternative practices that would make the use of the petitioned**
349 **substance unnecessary? (From 7 U.S.C. § 6518 (m) (6).)**
350

351 No single agricultural practice will apply to the range of crops and growing conditions under
352 consideration in this petition. However, there are clearly sanitary, pest management, and maintenance
353 practices, such as replacing mushroom-growing medium, that can have substantial effect on an insect
354 infestation (Barrington, 2004c). The safety and efficacy of such these practices relative to SOEs are
355 unknown.

356
357 **References**
358

- 359 AMVAC Chemical Co. 2001. Amazin. Retrieved April 24, 2005 from [http://www.amvac-](http://www.amvac-chemical.com/media/pdf/products/tech_manuals/amazin_3ec.pdf)
360 [chemical.com/media/pdf/products/tech_manuals/amazin_3ec.pdf](http://www.amvac-chemical.com/media/pdf/products/tech_manuals/amazin_3ec.pdf)
- 361 AVA Chemical Ventures. 2002. EPA Registers New Class Of Insecticide: Sucrose Octanoate Esters. Press
362 Release, AVA Chemical Ventures, L.L.C, October 1, 2002. Retrieved May 5, 2005 from
363 <http://www.pestlaw.com/x/press/2002/AVA-20021001A.html>
- 364 Barrington, A. 2004a. Petition to Allow Use of Sucrose Octanoate Esters as a Synthetic Substance in
365 Organic Livestock Production. Submitted by Ava Chemical Ventures, L.L.C. Received January 30,
366 2004.
- 367 Barrington, A. 2004b. Amendment to Petition to Allow Use of Sucrose Octanoate Esters as a Synthetic
368 Substance in Organic Production. Submitted by Ava Chemical Ventures, L.L.C. Received July 29,
369 2004.
- 370 Barrington, A. 2004c. Amendment No. 2 to Petition to Allow Use of Sucrose Octanoate Esters in Organic
371 Production. Submitted by Ava Chemical Ventures, L.L.C. Submitted September 9, 2004.
- 372 Canadian General Standards Board. 1999. National Standard Of Canada Can/Cgsb-32.310-99: Organic
373 Agriculture. Retrieved April 12, 2005 from
374 http://www.pwgsc.gc.ca/cgsb/032_310/32.310epat.pdf
- 375 Chortyk, O. T., J. G. Pomonis, and A. W. Johnson. 1996. Syntheses and Characterizations of Insecticidal
376 Sucrose Esters. *J. Agricultural Food Chemistry* 44:1551-1557.
- 377 Code of Federal Regulations Title 21, Volume 3, Subchapter B - Food For Human Consumption
378 (Continued), Part 172 - Food Additives Permitted for Direct Addition to Food for Human
379 Consumption (21CFR172.859). 2004. Retrieved April 12, 2005 from
380 <http://www.accessdata.fda.gov/scripts/cdrh/cfdocs/cfcfr/CFRSearch.cfm?fr=172.859>
- 381 Code of Federal Regulations Title 21, Volume 3, Subchapter B - Food For Human Consumption
382 (Continued), Part 172 - Food Additives Permitted for Direct Addition to Food for Human
383 Consumption (21CFR172.5). 2003. Retrieved April 12, 2005 from
384 <http://www.cfsan.fda.gov/~lrd/FCF172.html>
- 385 Codex Alimentarius Commission. 2001. Guidelines For The Production, Processing, Labelling and
386 Marketing of Organically Produced Foods. (GI 32 - 1999, Rev. 1 - 2001). Joint FAO/WHO Food
387 Standards Programme. Retrieved April 12, 2005 from
388 <ftp://ftp.fao.org/docrep/fao/005/Y2772e/Y2772e.pdf>
- 389 European Economic Community. 1991. Council Regulation (EEC) No 2092/91 of 24 June 1991 on organic
390 production of agricultural products and indications referring thereto on agricultural products and
391 foodstuffs (OJ L 198, 22.7.1991, p. 1). Retrieved April 12, 2005 from [http://europa.eu.int/eur-](http://europa.eu.int/eur-lex/en/consleg/pdf/1991/en_1991R2092_do_001.pdf)
392 [lex/en/consleg/pdf/1991/en_1991R2092_do_001.pdf](http://europa.eu.int/eur-lex/en/consleg/pdf/1991/en_1991R2092_do_001.pdf)
- 393 Gowan Co. n. d. Aza-Direct. Retrieved April 24, 2005 from [http://www.gowanco.com/labels/sec3/Aza-](http://www.gowanco.com/labels/sec3/Aza-Direct%20_01-R0903_.pdf)
394 [Direct%20_01-R0903_.pdf](http://www.gowanco.com/labels/sec3/Aza-Direct%20_01-R0903_.pdf)
- 395 IFOAM. 2002. Norms: IFOAM Basic Standards for Organic Production and Processing, IFOAM
396 Accreditation Criteria for Bodies certifying Organic Production and Processing including Policies
397 related to IFOAM Norms. Retrieved April 12, 2005 from
398 <http://www.ifoam.org/standard/norms/norms.pdf>
- 399 Michaud, J. P., and C.L. McKenzie. 2004. Safety of a novel insecticide, sucrose octanoate, to beneficial
400 insects in florida citrus. *Florida Entomologist* 87: 6-9.
- 401 Montello Inc. n. d. "DK Ester® Sucrose Esters Properties." Retrieved April 12, 2005 from
402 http://www.montelloinc.com/mon/dk_ester.htm.

- 403 OMRI. 2004. OMRI Brand Name Products List and Generic Materials List, Organic Materials Review
404 Institute. Eugene, Oregon (June).
- 405 Puterka, G. n. d. Dr. Gary Puterka, Research Entomologist, Appalachian Fruit Research Station. Retrieved
406 April 12, 2005 from <http://afrsweb.usda.gov/GPuterka.htm>
- 407 Puterka, G. J., and R. J. Severson. 1995. Activity of sugar esters isolated from leaf trichomes of *Nicotiana*
408 *glauca* to pear *Psylla* (Homoptera: Psyllidae). J. Econ. Entomol. 88:615-619.
- 409 Taniguchi, G. 2003. Search and evaluation of new insecticides for mealybug control and ant control. In.
410 *Amendment to Petition to Allow Use of Sucrose Octanoate Esters as a Synthetic Substance in Organic*
411 *Production*. Submitted by Ava Chemical Ventures, L.L.C. Received July 29, 2004. (A. Barrington,
412 2004)
- 413 U.S. EPA. 2002a. Biopesticides Registration Action Document: Sucrose Octanoate Esters (PC Code 035300).
414 U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Pesticide Programs, Retrieved April 12, 2005 from
415 http://www.epa.gov/pesticides/biopesticides/ingredients/tech_docs/brad_035300.pdf
- 416 U.S. EPA. 2002b. Sucrose Octanoate Esters (035300) Fact Sheet. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency,
417 Office of Pesticide Programs, Retrieved April 12, 2005 from
418 http://www.epa.gov/pesticides/biopesticides/ingredients/factsheets/factsheet_035300.htm
- 419 U.S. Patent and Trade Office. 1998. United States Patent No. 5,756,716: Sugar-ester manufacturing process
420 (W.A. Farone and R. W. Serfass). Retrieved April 12, 2005 from
421 <http://patft.uspto.gov/netacgi/nph-Parser?Sect1=PTO1&Sect2=HITOFF&d=PALL&p=1&u=/netahtml/srchnum.htm&r=1&f=G&l=50&s1=5756716.WKU.&OS=PN/5756716&RS=PN/5756716>
- 422
- 423
- 424 Valent BioSciences. n. d. Biological Insecticides: Gnatrol. Retrieved April 24, 2005 from
425 http://www.valentbiosciences.com//agricultural_products/agricultural_products_11.asp
- 426 Wayman, C. H. 1971. Biodegradation of Synthetic Detergents. Progress in Industrial Microbiology 10:219-
427 271.
- 428 Wikipedia. n. d. Ester. Retrieved April 12, 2005 from <http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Ester>
- 429 Wikipedia. n. d. Surfactant. Retrieved April 19, 2005 from <http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Surfactant>
- 430