

United States Department of Agriculture  
Agricultural Marketing Service | National Organic Program  
Document Cover Sheet

<https://www.ams.usda.gov/rules-regulations/organic/national-list/petitioned>

Document Type:

**National List Petition or Petition Update**

A petition is a request to amend the USDA National Organic Program's National List of Allowed and Prohibited Substances (National List).

Any person may submit a petition to have a substance evaluated by the National Organic Standards Board (7 CFR 205.607(a)).

Guidelines for submitting a petition are available in the NOP Handbook as NOP 3011, National List Petition Guidelines.

Petitions are posted for the public on the NOP website for Petitioned Substances.

**Technical Report**

A technical report is developed in response to a petition to amend the National List. Reports are also developed to assist in the review of substances that are already on the National List.

Technical reports are completed by third-party contractors and are available to the public on the NOP website for Petitioned Substances.

Contractor names and dates completed are available in the report.

# Zein

## Handling/Processing

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56

### Identification of Petitioned Substance

<b>Chemical Names:</b>	14	<b>Trade Names:</b>
Zein	15	FloZein™
	16	
	17	
<b>Other Names:</b>	18	<b>CAS Number:</b>
Corn prolamine	19	9010-66-6
Confectioners glaze	20	
Corn protein	21	<b>Other Codes:</b>
Maize protein		ChemIDplus External ID: 0009010666
		INS Number: n/a
		E Number: n/a

### Summary of Petitioned Use

The petitioned use of zein is as an allowed non-organically produced agricultural product, to be permitted as an ingredient in or on processed products labelled as organic through its addition to the National List. The petition identifies uses for zein as an ingredient and as a processing aid, including as a glaze, coating, taste masker, wheat gluten substitute, and for use in micro/nano-encapsulation. The specific petitioned use is as a food coating (Flo Chemical Corporation 2020).

### Characterization of Petitioned Substance

#### **Composition of the Substance:**

Zein is the generic name of a class of proteins found in the corn kernel, where it represents about 50 percent of the total protein in the kernel (Shukla and Cheryan 2001). Zein proteins have low nutritional value because they lack two essential amino acids – tryptophan and lysine – and are low in threonine, valine, and the sulfur amino acids (Wilson 1987). Zein is classified as a prolamin, a group of simple storage proteins found in grains, including corn (zein), wheat (gliadin), and barley (hordein). The proteins found in the prolamin and glutelin fractions of wheat, rye, and barley possess celiac disease toxicity (i.e., they can trigger gluten intolerance) whereas zein, the prolamin protein in corn, does not.

#### **Source or Origin of the Substance:**

Most commercial zein is extracted from corn gluten, also referred to as corn gluten meal (CGM). Corn gluten is produced in the corn steep liquor process (“wet milling”) used to separate corn starch from the corn kernel (Anderson and Lamsal 2011). The products of the wet milling process are corn starch, CGM, and corn steep liquor.

Zein can also be extracted from other corn processing byproducts, such as dry milled corn (DMC), distiller’s dried grains with solubles (DDGS), and ground corn at the beginning of the dry-grind process, but these sources contain less protein than CGM. Some of these processes do not expose the zein to any reducing agent, such as sulfur dioxide, so the extracted zein is chemically unaltered (Cheryan 2002). In all cases, the extraction process employs an aqueous alcohol solution to selectively dissolve the zein protein. Ethyl alcohol or isopropyl alcohol are the most commonly employed aqueous solutions because they are easily recovered from zein (Anderson and Lamsal 2011). See *Evaluation Question #1* for more information.

**57 Properties of the Substance:**

58 Zein is a white to slightly yellow powder (Budavari 1996). Its most remarkable physical properties are that  
59 it is water-insoluble, but soluble in aqueous alcohol solutions (Anderson and Lamsal 2011). Zein is fully  
60 biodegradable. As mentioned above, the plant protein zein has a very poor essential amino acid profile. In  
61 addition, the amino acids in this water-insoluble plant protein are only about 60 percent available in  
62 human feeding studies (Calvez et al. 2020).

**63 Specific Uses of the Substance:**

64 These unique solubility characteristics of zein make it useful in many products as an edible food coating, as  
65 well as a component of paper coatings, plastics, textiles, and adhesives (Budavari 1996). When an aqueous  
66 solution of zein is applied to the surface of a food product, the solvent evaporates, leaving behind a water-  
67 insoluble (and edible) moisture barrier. This barrier hinders moisture loss from the food surface and  
68 hinders moisture pickup by a dry product, such as a food supplement capsule or tablet.

69  
70 The petitioner is requesting that zein be allowed for use as a food coating and processing aid (Flo Chemical  
71 Corporation 2020). The petitioned substance is formulated as a 10 percent solution of zein dissolved in  
72 aqueous 85 percent alcohol and then used for coating by dipping, spraying, or panning (a process that uses  
73 rotating drums to cover items with a coating.) The petitioner mentions other uses for zein including:

- 74 • glaze for confections
- 75 • substitute for wheat gluten in baked goods
- 76 • processing aid for poultry
- 77 • micro/nano-encapsulation, taste masker, and processing aid for nutraceuticals

78  
79  
80 Newer applications taking advantage of zein's biological properties include cell culturing, degradable  
81 sutures, biodegradable plastics, and drug adjuvants (Anderson and Lamsal 2011).

**82 Approved Legal Uses of the Substance:**

83 Zein is a food substance Generally Recognized as Safe (GRAS) by FDA [21 CFR 184.1984] as a direct human  
84 food ingredient, for use as a surface-finishing agent, and for technical effects (i.e., as an anticaking agent or  
85 free-flow agent, a drying agent, and a humectant). Zein also is allowed as an indirect food additive used as  
86 a component of adhesives [21 CFR 175.105]. A major use of zein is for coating foods and pharmaceutical  
87 products. The most common production process for zein uses corn gluten, also known as corn gluten meal,  
88 as the starting material. Corn gluten itself is a GRAS food ingredient [21 CFR 184.1321].

**89 Action of the Substance:**

90 Zein is soluble in aqueous alcohol. When a zein solution is applied to a food or tablet surface, the alcohol  
91 vaporizes leaving behind a hydrophobic (water repellent) coating of zein that hinders moisture loss for a  
92 food and hinders moisture pickup for a pharmaceutical tablet.

**93 Combinations of the Substance:**

94 Zein is used to create a coating. Zein is typically dissolved in an aqueous solution of ethyl alcohol to enable  
95 utilization. The alcohol is volatile and quickly evaporates after application.. Formulations of zein other  
96 than those petitioned may include synthetic components, such propylene glycol.

97  
98  
99  
100  
101

<b>Status</b>
---------------

102  
103

**104 Historic Use:**

105 Zein is an extracted, "value added" subfraction of corn gluten and represents about half of the protein in  
106 corn gluten. Corn gluten itself is widely used in organic production as a crop fertilizer and crop pesticide  
107 for pre-emergence weed control (USDA 2016a). Purified zein is not used in organic production.

**108 Organic Foods Production Act, USDA Final Rule:**

109 Zein is not listed anywhere in the Organic Foods Production Act of 1990 (OFPA) or the USDA organic  
110 regulations, 7 CFR Part 205. However, the agricultural substance corn gluten from which it is extracted is  
111

112 currently acceptable for use in organic crop production as a nonsynthetic substance per NOP Guidance  
113 5034-1, *Materials for Organic Crop Production*. Both ethyl and isopropyl alcohol, which are most commonly  
114 used to extract the zein or enable its use as a food coating, are on the National List of synthetic substances  
115 allowed for crop and livestock production: ethyl alcohol (ethanol) at §205.601(a)(1)(i) and §205.603(a)(1)(i)  
116 and isopropyl alcohol (isopropanol) at §205.601(a)(1)(iii) and §205.603(a)(1)(ii).

#### 117 **International**

118 *Canada, Canadian General Standards Board – CAN/CGSB-32.311-2020, Organic Production Systems Permitted*  
119 *Substances List*

120 [http://publications.gc.ca/collections/collection\\_2020/ongc-cgsb/P29-32-311-2020-eng.pdf](http://publications.gc.ca/collections/collection_2020/ongc-cgsb/P29-32-311-2020-eng.pdf)

121  
122  
123 Zein is not included in the Canadian General Standards Board – CAN/CGSB-32.311-2020, Organic  
124 Production Systems Permitted Substances List.

125  
126 *CODEX Alimentarius Commission – Guidelines for the Production, Processing, Labelling and Marketing of*  
127 *Organically Produced Foods (GL 32-1999)*

128 <http://www.fao.org/docrep/005/Y2772E/Y2772E00.HTM>

129 Zein does not appear in the CODEX Alimentarius Commission – Guidelines for the Production, Processing,  
130 Labelling and Marketing of Organically Produced Foods (GL 32-1999).

131  
132 *European Economic Community (EEC) Council Regulation – EC No. 834/2007 and 889/2008*

133 <http://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/ALL/?uri=CELEX:32008R0889>

134 Zein does not appear in the European Economic Community (EEC) Council Regulation – EC No. 834/2007  
135 and 889/2008.

136  
137 *Japan Agricultural Standard (JAS) for Organic Production*

138 [http://www.maff.go.jp/e/jas/specific/criteria\\_o.html](http://www.maff.go.jp/e/jas/specific/criteria_o.html)

139 Zein is not listed in Table 1 “Additives” of the JAS for Organic Processed Foods Notification No. 1606.

140  
141 *IFOAM – Organics International*

142 <http://www.ifoam.bio/en/ifoam-norms>

143 Zein is not included in the IFOAM Norms.

144  
145

### 146 **Evaluation Questions for Substances to be used in Organic Handling**

147  
148 **Evaluation Question #1: Describe the most prevalent processes used to manufacture or formulate the**  
149 **petitioned substance. Further, describe any chemical change that may occur during manufacture or**  
150 **formulation of the petitioned substance when this substance is extracted from naturally occurring plant,**  
151 **animal, or mineral sources (7 U.S.C. § 6502 (21)).**

152  
153 According to the Merck Index (Budavari 1996), “zein is extracted commercially from corn gluten meal with  
154 diluted isopropyl alcohol.” The process is described in U.S. Patent No. 3,535,305 (Carter and Reck 1970).  
155 According to this patent, an aqueous solution of one of the solvents – isopropyl alcohol, ethyl alcohol,  
156 acetone, other ketones, or ethylene glycol – can be used. The alcohol proportion can range between about  
157 60 percent to about 95 percent. The pH of the extracting liquid may be adjusted to 6.5 to 7 by adding a  
158 small amount of an aqueous alkali metal hydroxide solution such as sodium hydroxide.

159  
160 The GRAS listing at 21 CFR 184.1984 specifies extraction with isopropyl alcohol. FDA provides this  
161 description of the commercial production of zein for food use: “[z]ein (CAS Reg. No. 9010-66-6) is one of  
162 the components of corn gluten.” It “is produced commercially by extraction from corn gluten with alkaline  
163 aqueous isopropyl alcohol containing sodium hydroxide. The extract is then cooled, which causes the zein  
164 to precipitate.” Note that the terms corn gluten and corn gluten meal are used interchangeably in the  
165 technical literature. Any small amount of the sodium hydroxide remaining from pH adjustment would be  
166 allowed under §205.605(b).

167  
168 Isopropyl alcohol and ethyl alcohol are the solvents used most widely to manufacture food grade zein. As  
169 mentioned earlier, isopropyl alcohol is a synthetic substance on the National List allowed for use in organic  
170 crop production, as is ethyl alcohol. Isopropyl alcohol is also an allowed synthetic substance for use in  
171 organic livestock production, as is ethyl alcohol. FDA regulates that isopropyl alcohol is a “secondary  
172 direct food additive permitted in foods for human consumption” in 21 CFR 173.240. According to FDA,  
173 “[a] secondary direct food additive has a technical effect in food during processing but not in the finished  
174 food (e.g., processing aid).” In the case of zein coatings, the secondary food additive is ethyl alcohol, which  
175 evaporates and so is no longer present in the food.

176  
177 Corn gluten itself is a GRAS substance [21 CFR 184.1321]. The FDA provides this description of the  
178 commercial production of corn gluten:

179 *“Corn gluten (CAS Reg. No. 66071-96-3), also known as corn gluten meal, is the principal protein*  
180 *component of corn endosperm. It consists mainly of zein and glutelin. Corn gluten is a byproduct*  
181 *of the wet milling of corn for starch. The gluten fraction is washed to remove residual water-*  
182 *soluble proteins. Corn gluten is also produced as a byproduct during the conversion of the starch*  
183 *in whole or various fractions of dry milled corn to corn syrups.”*

184 An Organic Materials Review Institute (OMRI) publication describes the corn wet milling process as  
185 follows (Organic Materials Review Institute 2011):

186 *“Corn is soaked, or ‘steeped’ in 120°F to 130°F water containing 0.1%-0.2% sulfur dioxide for*  
187 *24-48 hours. The sulfurous acid formed induces chemical and physical changes in the kernel, in*  
188 *effect separating the starch and insoluble protein by cleaving protein disulfide cross-links in the*  
189 *endosperm protein matrix. The sulfurous acid also helps to control undesirable microorganisms*  
190 *and allows dissolved sugars to be converted to lactic acid, which helps to maintain a pH near 4.0.*  
191 *During the steeping process, about 6% of the dry weight is dissolved, which is then evaporated to*  
192 *condense the steepwater into corn steep liquor. The remaining insoluble corn kernel is then further*  
193 *processed to produce many products used in foods, livestock feeds, and fertilizers.”*

194 In the corn wet milling process, sulfur dioxide reacts with disulfide bonds in insoluble proteins, severing  
195 them and reducing the molecular weight of the resulting proteins. When a molecule of sulfur dioxide reacts  
196 with a disulfide bond, it “adds” itself to the new bond, artificially increasing the sulfur content of the  
197 protein. Chemical analyses of the corn protein zein isolated from the CGM byproduct of the corn wet  
198 milling process show two measurable anomalies compared to “zein” isolated from corn gluten derived  
199 from untreated corn. The first anomaly is a lower molecular weight (Parris and Dickey 2001) and the  
200 second anomaly is a sulfur content that is greater than the sum of the contributions of the sulfur-containing  
201 amino acids in zein (Boundy et al. 1967). Both anomalies indicate that sulfur dioxide has chemically  
202 changed the protein in corn gluten meal and consequently in the extracted zein.

203  
204 A method for wet milling corn that does not employ sulfur dioxide uses ozone (O<sub>3</sub>) instead (Ruan et al.  
205 2004). Using ozone to replace sulfur dioxide avoids sulfur dioxide discharge to the environment. Ozone is a  
206 strong oxidant and disinfectant that controls the growth of putrefactive microorganisms in steeping  
207 systems. However, like sulfur dioxide, ozone chemically changes the endosperm protein matrix to achieve  
208 starch release. The protein content of ozone-treated corn is lower than that of untreated corn, indicating  
209 that protein is being destroyed in the ozonation process (Wang 2005).

210  
211 Another alternative method that does not employ sulfur dioxide steeping is enzymatic corn wet milling (E-  
212 milling) (Ramírez et al. 2009). This process uses protease (protein hydrolyzing) enzymes to eliminate the  
213 need for sulfites and decrease the steeping time. During periods of high corn feedstock costs, this process is  
214 cost-competitive with the conventional sulfur dioxide steeping process, but it is not cost-competitive in  
215 normal times.

216  
217 Other potential raw materials are DDG (distillers dried grains) or DDGS (distillers dried grains with  
218 solubles), which are by-products of the dry-grind ethyl alcohol industry (Kwiatkowski et al. 2006). The dry-  
219 grind ethyl alcohol process includes exposure to high temperatures during jet cooking and subsequent

220 drying, potentially reducing the zein yield. The dry-grind ethyl alcohol process also exposes the corn to  
221 enzymes and two chemical reagents for pH control (lime and ammonia), but no sulfites are involved.  
222

223 Dry-milled corn (DMC) is water-tempered corn grits where the corn endosperm has been separated from  
224 germ and pericarp through the milling process (Rausch et al. 2009). It would appear to be a good raw  
225 material for zein extraction because it has not been exposed to high heat, which may affect the zein protein.  
226 However, DMC contains very little protein: only 6.8–8.0 percent of the total protein in the milled corn  
227 (Anderson and Lamsal 2011). Recall that zein accounts for 50 percent of the protein in corn.  
228

229 A relatively recent alternative process to produce zein is based on ethyl alcohol extraction from ground  
230 corn at the beginning of the dry-grind process. This avoids chemical changes due to use of sulfur dioxide.  
231 (Anderson and Lamsal 2011; Cheryan 2002). However, zein produced by this process is not commercially  
232 available (Prairie Gold 2020). Presumably, zein produced by this process is not available due to higher  
233 production cost and the small market for this process.  
234

235 **Evaluation Question #2: Discuss whether the petitioned substance is formulated or manufactured by a**  
236 **chemical process, or created by naturally occurring biological processes (7 U.S.C. § 6502 (21)). Discuss**  
237 **whether the petitioned substance is derived from an agricultural source.**  
238

239 Zein is derived from an agricultural source, either corn or corn gluten meal. As mentioned earlier, the corn  
240 kernel protein zein has unusual and useful solubility characteristics: it is insoluble in water but soluble in  
241 aqueous alcohol. Consequently, every process for the extraction of zein from corn kernels or corn  
242 processing byproducts requires dissolving the zein in aqueous alcohol and separating the zein-containing  
243 solution from the other components of the corn that are not soluble in alcohol. Both ethyl alcohol and  
244 isopropyl alcohol are common solvents for zein extraction. Other substances currently on the National List  
245 that require isopropyl alcohol extraction are xanthan gum at §205.605(b) and gellan gum at §205.605(a). The  
246 petitioner indicates that their extraction process uses ethyl alcohol exclusively to extract zein from corn  
247 gluten.  
248

249 The extraction phase of the zein manufacturing process itself creates no chemical change in the zein as  
250 described in the definition of “synthetic” under 7 U.S.C. § 6502 (21). However, if the zein protein in the  
251 corn gluten has been chemically treated with sulfur dioxide or ozone, the zein will have experienced  
252 chemical change. If the raw material has undergone only enzymatic treatment or other methods of  
253 processing enumerated at 7 CFR 205.2, the zein will not have been chemically modified. The standards for  
254 determining “synthetic” and “non-synthetic” have been established by NOP in *Guidance 5033 Classification*  
255 *of Materials* (NOP 5033) (USDA 2016c).  
256

257 Corn gluten, the most common zein starting material, is produced in traditional wet-milling of corn—“corn  
258 steeping”—and the zein within this starting material reflects the chemical action of sulfur dioxide on corn  
259 protein that is integral to this process (Neumann, Wall and Walker 1984). In 2013, NOP received a  
260 comment responding to the 2013 Guidance 5034-1 proposal [Docket AMS-NOP-12-0060; NOP-12-14],  
261 pointing out the chemical changes noted above.  
262

263 In 2016, NOP rendered the following decision on the synthetic/ nonsynthetic classification of corn gluten  
264 (USDA 2016b):

265 *“Corn Gluten. One commenter requested that corn gluten produced by wet corn milling be*  
266 *classified as synthetic, rather than nonsynthetic, due to chemical changes that occur during*  
267 *manufacturing. Other comments claimed that corn gluten is nonsynthetic. We have retained*  
268 *classification as nonsynthetic due to the historical consideration of corn gluten as nonsynthetic;*  
269 *however, further consideration of this issue may be warranted by the NOSB. Parties interested in*  
270 *further consideration of corn gluten are encouraged to submit a petition to the NOSB according*  
271 *to the National List petition guidelines.”*

272

273 Zein extracted from ground corn kernels at the beginning of the dry-grind process or corn byproducts that  
274 have not been exposed to sulfur dioxide, ozone, or another reducing agent presumptively have not  
275 undergone chemical change.

276

277 **Evaluation Question #3: If the substance is a synthetic substance, provide a list of nonsynthetic or**  
278 **natural source(s) of the petitioned substance (7 CFR 205.600(b)(1)).**

279

280 As stated above, zein extracted from ground corn kernels at the beginning of the dry-grind process or from  
281 corn byproducts not exposed to sulfur dioxide, ozone, or other reducing agents are presumptively  
282 nonsynthetic. At least two such zein materials extracted from these sources were industrialized (Anderson  
283 and Lamsal 2011). For example, zein produced by ethyl alcohol extraction of ground corn taken at the  
284 beginning of the dry-grind process (corn grits) avoided chemical changes (Cheryan 2002). However, zein  
285 produced by these two processes is not commercially available.

286

287

288 **Evaluation Question #4: Specify whether the petitioned substance is categorized as generally**  
289 **recognized as safe (GRAS) when used according to FDA's good manufacturing practices (7 CFR**  
290 **205.600(b)(5)). If not categorized as GRAS, describe the regulatory status.**

291

292 Zein is a food substance generally recognized as safe (GRAS) by FDA [21 CFR 184.1984] The full text of 21  
293 CFR 184.1984 reads as follows:

294

295 PART 184 -- DIRECT FOOD SUBSTANCES AFFIRMED AS GENERALLY RECOGNIZED AS SAFE  
296 Sec. 184.1984 Zein.

297 (a) Zein (CAS Reg. No. 9010-66-6) is one of the components of corn gluten. It is produced  
298 commercially by extraction from corn gluten with alkaline aqueous isopropyl alcohol  
299 containing sodium hydroxide. The extract is then cooled, which causes the zein to  
300 precipitate.

301 (b) The ingredient must be of a purity suitable for its intended use.

302 (c) In accordance with 184.1(b)(1), the ingredient is used in food with no limitation other  
303 than current good manufacturing practice. The affirmation of this ingredient as generally  
304 recognized as safe (GRAS) as a direct human food ingredient is based upon the following  
305 current good manufacturing practice conditions of use:

306 (1) The ingredient is used as a surface-finishing agent as defined in 170.3(o)(30) of  
307 this chapter.

308 (2) The ingredient is used in food at levels not to exceed current good  
309 manufacturing practice.

310 (d) Prior sanctions for this ingredient different from the uses established in this section do  
311 not exist or have been waived.

312 [50 FR 8999, Mar. 6, 1985, as amended at 73 FR 8608, Feb. 14, 2008]

313

314 Zein also is allowed as an indirect food additive used as a component of adhesives [21 CFR 175.105].

315

316 **Evaluation Question #5: Describe whether the primary technical function or purpose of the petitioned**  
317 **substance is a preservative. If so, provide a detailed description of its mechanism as a preservative**  
318 **(7 CFR 205.600(b)(4)).**

319

320 The primary technical function or purpose of zein is not as a chemical preservative, but as a food coating  
321 that can enhance food quality. Because zein is water-insoluble, it helps prevent moisture loss from the food,  
322 thus preserving food quality. Similarly, because zein is water-insoluble, a coating of zein on a nutritional  
323 supplement or other "pharmaceutical dosage form" hinders moisture pickup that reduces potency and  
324 shelf-life.

325

326 **Evaluation Question #6: Describe whether the petitioned substance will be used primarily to recreate**  
327 **or improve flavors, colors, textures, or nutritive values lost in processing (except when required by law)**  
328 **and how the substance recreates or improves any of these food/feed characteristics (7 CFR 205.600(b)(4)).**

329  
330 Zein used as a coating has no direct effect on flavors, colors, textures, or nutritive values. Its effects are akin  
331 to those of packaging that separates a food from detrimental elements in the environment. For zein, the  
332 detrimental element it protects against is moisture (water).

333  
334 **Evaluation Question #7: Describe any effect or potential effect on the nutritional quality of the food or**  
335 **feed when the petitioned substance is used (7 CFR 205.600(b)(3)).**  
336

337 As mentioned above, zein used as a coating has no direct effect on flavors, colors, textures, or nutritive  
338 value. It retards moisture loss or moisture absorption, depending on the application. Its effects are akin to  
339 those of a metal can or a glass jar that separates the contained food from detrimental elements in the  
340 environment that adversely affect nutritional quality. A thin coating of zein contains a small amount of  
341 protein of relatively poor nutritional quality (Calvez et al. 2020).

342  
343 **Evaluation Question #8: List any reported residues of heavy metals or other contaminants in excess of**  
344 **FDA tolerances that are present or have been reported in the petitioned substance (7 CFR 205.600(b)(5)).**  
345

346 The Food Chemicals Codex standard for zein requires heavy metal levels measured as lead of not more  
347 than 20 mg per kg (i.e., ≤20 ppm) and of not more than 5 mg per kg for lead itself (i.e., ≤5 ppm) (Committee  
348 on Food Chemicals Codex 1996). Reports of heavy metal contamination in zein above these standards were  
349 not found in the writing of this report.

350  
351 **Evaluation Question #9: Discuss and summarize findings on whether the manufacture and use of the**  
352 **petitioned substance may be harmful to the environment or biodiversity (7 U.S.C. § 6517 (c) (1) (A) (i)**  
353 **and 7 U.S.C. § 6517 (c) (2) (A) (i)).**  
354

355 Zein is extracted from CGM. In its evaluation of CGM as an herbicide ((Office of Pesticide Programs 2003)),  
356 EPA stated:

357 *“All required toxicology data for this biochemical pesticide are waived. No additional*  
358 *toxicological data are needed. The decision to waive these data are based on: 1) the product is*  
359 *naturally occurring, 2) possesses a non-toxic mode of action, 3) corn gluten meal is considered*  
360 *GRAS (Generally Recognized As Safe) by FDA under 21 CFR §184.1321, and can be used without*  
361 *limitations, other than current Good Manufacturing Practices, and 4) under 40 CFR §180.1164,*  
362 *corn gluten is exempted from the requirements of a tolerance on food when used as a herbicide; and*  
363 *under 40 CFR §180.1001 (d), corn gluten meal is exempted from the requirement of a tolerance when*  
364 *used as an attractant on crops. Further, the registrant’s request for data waivers was appended*  
365 *with abstracts from scientific journals discussing the use of corn gluten meal as a food and/or feed*  
366 *for dairy and beef cattle, cats, minks, foxes, sheep, horses, swine, poultry, trout, salmon, catfish,*  
367 *guinea pigs, hamsters, monkeys, mice, rats, rabbits, and dogs.”*  
368

369 Zein itself has been considered GRAS (Generally Recognized as Safe) since about 1960 and this status was  
370 confirmed in 1981 (Select Committee of GRAS Substances (SCOGS) 1981).

371  
372 Zein is a fully biodegradable, edible protein extracted from corn milling byproducts (primarily corn gluten,  
373 a GRAS substance) with an alcohol and applied to food as an alcoholic solution. The alcohols involved are  
374 isopropyl alcohol (a major ingredient in hand sanitizers) and ethyl alcohol (grain alcohol). Zein  
375 manufacturing processes are designed to recover and reuse the alcohol for both economic and  
376 environmental reasons. An analogous substance is the purified protein gelatin, extracted from animal  
377 processing waste products.

378  
379 **Evaluation Question #10: Describe and summarize any reported effects upon human health from use of**  
380 **the petitioned substance (7 U.S.C. § 6517(c)(1)(A)(i), 7 U.S.C. § 6517(c)(2)(A)(i) and 7 U.S.C. § 6518(m)(4)).**  
381

382 The petitioner describes the customary use of zein as a 10 percent solution in 85 percent aqueous ethyl  
383 alcohol applied by spraying, dipping, or panning to a variety of foods, including confections, nuts, dried  
384 fruits and meats, fresh fruits and vegetables, nutraceuticals, baked goods, poultry, and frozen potatoes, at a



385 rate of 0.5–6.0 percent of the zein solution to the food. The alcohol solvent vaporizes within a few seconds  
386 or minutes. At the highest application rate, the amount of zein in the final product would not exceed  
387 0.6 percent (6 percent of a 10 percent zein solution), or no more than 3 grams per pound of food.  
388

389 Zein should not be a major contributor of protein in the human diet. Zein protein has limited digestibility.  
390 Only about 60 percent of its amino acids are available in human feeding studies (Calvez et al. 2020). More  
391 importantly, its poor essential amino acid pattern also detracts from its nutritional value. Zein itself has  
392 been considered GRAS (Generally Recognized as Safe) since about 1960 and this status was confirmed in  
393 1981 (Select Committee of GRAS Substances (SCOGS) 1981).  
394

395 **Evaluation Question #11: Describe any alternative practices that would make the use of the petitioned**  
396 **substance unnecessary (7 U.S.C. § 6518(m)(6)).**  
397

398 The petitioned substance zein is used primarily for coating certain foods and pharmaceutical dosage forms  
399 with a nonsynthetic edible film that prevents moisture exchange—the food contact packaging itself  
400 becomes a plant-based food. Edible coatings on fresh produce provide an alternative to modified  
401 atmosphere packaging and reduce quality changes and quantity losses through modification and control of  
402 the internal atmosphere of the individual fruit (Smith et al., 1987). Synthetic plastic packaging can be used  
403 to prevent moisture exchange, which then becomes plastic waste that must be disposed of. The advantage  
404 of a moisture-barrier made with zein is that, as a corn protein and food, it is edible and completely  
405 biodegradable.  
406

407 **Evaluation Question #12: Describe all natural (non-synthetic) substances or products which may be**  
408 **used in place of a petitioned substance (7 U.S.C. § 6517(c)(1)(A)(ii)). Provide a list of allowed substances**  
409 **that may be used in place of the petitioned substance (7 U.S.C. § 6518(m)(6)).**  
410

411 An edible coating or film is a thin, continuous layer of material formed or placed, on or between, foods or  
412 food components that provides a barrier to mass transfer, serves as a carrier of food ingredients and  
413 additives, or provides mechanical protection (Gennadios et al., 1993). In general, the terms films and  
414 coatings are used interchangeably, although there is a technical difference in that films are preformed and  
415 freestanding, whereas coatings are formed directly on the food product (Ustunol 2009). The petitioned  
416 substance is used for coatings.  
417

418 Edible (i.e., natural) substances used for coating certain foods and pharmaceutical dosage forms with an  
419 edible film include insect-produced shellac, waxes, gums, alginates, and proteins such as zein. Any  
420 alternative to zein for these food-coating applications must satisfy several critical criteria to ensure  
421 equitable performance when compared with the petitioned substance. The alternative substance must be  
422 insoluble in water but must also be soluble in volatile organic solvents and be biodegradable. Finally, the  
423 alternative substance must also carry the same public perception of zein as a non-animal derived, vegan  
424 food coating.  
425

426 One edible alternative to zein is shellac. Interestingly, zein became popular as the substitute for shellac  
427 when shellac became unavailable due to supply shortages during World War II. “Orange shellac  
428 unbleached” is listed on the National List at §205.606(o) as a nonorganically produced agricultural product  
429 allowed as an ingredient in processed products labeled as organic. Shellac is insoluble in water but only  
430 very slowly soluble in alcohol. It forms films and is approved by FDA for inclusion in food coatings and is  
431 used for coating confections and medicinal tablets (Budavari 1996). Orange shellac (unbleached) was  
432 reviewed in a 2002 Technical Advisory Panel report created for the NOSB (USDA 2002):

433 *“Specific Uses: In food shellac is used as a coating agent, color diluent, surface finishing agent,*  
434 *glazing/polishing agent, and used in confectionery, food supplement tablets, as well as chewing*  
435 *gum. Additional uses are as a component of adhesives for food contact, in packaging, inks,*  
436 *pharmaceutical coatings, cosmetics, lacquers and varnishes for wood, floor polish, manufacture of*  
437 *buttons, stiffening of hats, finishing of leather (Budavari, 1996; Ash 1995; Martin 1982). Action:*  
438 *Shellac is used as an ingredient in edible fruit coatings to limit water loss and prevent desiccation*  
439 *and weight loss, and prevent entry of pathogens. Shellac coatings are fairly impermeable to oxygen*

440 *and water, and form a barrier on the fruit surface that reduces gas exchange. Reduction in oxygen*  
441 *levels will reduce the rate of respiration of fruits and vegetables and prolong shelf life by delaying*  
442 *the oxidative breakdown of the product. This also causes reduced production of ethylene; which*  
443 *normally triggers further maturation and ripening. Shellac waxes are also added to provide high-*  
444 *gloss finishes to fruit for cosmetic purposes (FDA 2001; Hagenmaier 2000; Kaplan, 1986)."*

445  
446 Shellac has certain shortcomings. For example, an experimental polyethylene–candelilla-wax coating  
447 formulation was superior to both a high-gloss shellac and a wood resin citrus coating for storage of  
448 Valencia oranges (Hagenmaier 2000). Another shortcoming is its identity and the public image of this  
449 identity. Unlike zein, shellac is not generally perceived to be a food. It is “the resinous excretion of the  
450 insect *Laccifer lacca*...[which] suck[s] the juice of different resiniferous trees in India and excrete[s] ‘stick lac’  
451 almost continuously” (Budavari 1996) – this also prevents its use in vegetarian diets.

452  
453 The proteins most commonly used as edible films and coatings are collagen, gelatin, caseins, whey  
454 proteins, corn zein, wheat gluten, soy protein, egg white protein, myofibrillar protein, some oilseed or  
455 grain proteins, and keratin (Chiralt et al. 2018). Most of these plant and animal proteins are water-soluble.  
456 As Chen et al. noted, “Films and coatings based on these proteins have excellent gas barrier properties and  
457 satisfactory mechanical properties. However, the hydrophilicity of proteins makes the protein-based films  
458 present poor water barrier characteristics. The application of plasticizers and the corresponding post-  
459 treatments can make the properties of the protein-based films and coatings improved. The addition of  
460 active compounds into protein-based films can effectively inhibit or delay the growth of microorganisms  
461 and the oxidation of lipids” (Chen et al. 2019). In contrast, zein is hydrophobic and thus does not require  
462 additives.

463  
464 Two consumer-sensitive labeling issues that influence the selection of a particular protein for a food  
465 coating are vegetarian compliance and “major food allergens.” The Food Allergen Labeling and Consumer  
466 Protection Act of 2004 (FALCPA) (Public Law 108-282) requires the labeling of foods that contain a “major  
467 food allergen.” Under FALCPA, a “major food allergen” includes foods such as milk, egg, wheat, peanuts,  
468 and soybeans; food groups such as fish, Crustacean shellfish, and tree nuts; and ingredients containing  
469 protein derived from one of the mentioned foods or food groups. Of the vegetable proteins in the above  
470 listing (i.e., zein, wheat gluten, and soy proteins), only zein is not considered a “major allergen.” This is an  
471 advantage for coating a food that will be consumed by the general public.

472  
473 Waxes can be used to form water-insoluble food coatings. The FDA allows three waxes as surface-finishing  
474 agents for food coating: yellow and white beeswax (21 CFR 184.1973), candelilla wax (21 CFR 184.1976),  
475 and carnauba wax (21 CFR 184.1978). Certified organic beeswax and organic carnauba wax are  
476 commercially available, while non-organic carnauba wax is on the National List at §205.606(a). According  
477 to the 2014 Technical Report for carnauba wax (USDA 2014), “Carnauba wax is used in organic food  
478 handling and processing as a component of fruit coatings (Plotto and Narcisco 2006), candy coating  
479 (Weigand 2013) and as a component of an edible coating for nuts (Mehyar, et al. 2012).”

480  
481 Plotto and Narcisco provide the following relevant guidance on the use of waxes, which extends to other  
482 natural substances used in organic food handling:

483 *“A thorough understanding of materials and ingredients used in organic processing is necessary*  
484 *to interpret the National List. For example, even though substances such as carnauba wax and*  
485 *wood rosin are allowed, they actually cannot be applied to a fruit as such unless they are*  
486 *formulated into microemulsions. Microemulsions used for waxes applied to fruits are made with*  
487 *a fatty acid such as oleic, linoleic, palmitic, myristic, and lauric acid, and a balancing counterion*  
488 *such as the hydroxides of ammonium, sodium or potassium, morpholine, or, in the past,*  
489 *triethanolamine (Baldwin 1994).”*

490  
491 There are challenges to formulating microemulsions that satisfy both organic and commercial viability  
492 requirements for waxes. A carnauba wax microemulsion containing the monoglyceride glycerol

493 monolaurate showed markedly stronger inhibition of sweet potato root rot than a traditional carnauba wax  
494 coating. Glycerol monolaurate, however, is a material that is not allowed in or on organic products (Yang,  
495 Li and Lu 2018). A carnauba wax-based fruit coating for organically grown fruits and vegetables has been  
496 verified by OMRI as compliant with USDA National Organic Standards, but no compositional information  
497 is publicly available other than that it is based on carnauba wax.

498  
499 Many gums have been used as components of edible coatings, including xanthan gum (Mei et al. 2002) and  
500 pullulan (Diab et al. 2001). Xanthan gum is listed on the National List at §205.605(b) and the NOSB has  
501 recommended that pullulan be listed at §205.605. In general, however, coatings made with gums are not  
502 moisture resistant.

503  
504 Alginates are on the National List at §205.605(b) and are produced from various genera of brown algae.  
505 Protective coatings for tomatoes can be made with sweet orange essential oil and sodium alginate (Das et  
506 al. 2020). Tomatoes coated with an alginate-based edible coating significantly enhanced firmness up to 33  
507 percent, decreased total mesophilic bacteria including Salmonella and Listeria, and reduced weight loss up  
508 to three-fold less than uncoated ones. Sensory analysis also revealed that the use of the edible coating  
509 increased the total acceptance scores of tomatoes. However, creating a suitable vehicle for depositing a  
510 coating containing alginate generally requires a multiplicity of ingredients, including calcium sources,  
511 antibiotics, plasticizers, surfactants, and more (Senturk Parreidt, Müller and Schmid 2018).

512  
513 **Evaluation Information #13: Provide a list of organic agricultural products that could be alternatives for**  
514 **the petitioned substance (7 CFR 205.600(b)(1)).**

515  
516 Organic beeswax and organic carnauba wax are two commercially available alternatives.

517  
518

#### 519 Focus Areas Requested by the NOSB

##### 520 521 **Manufacturing Process**

522 1. *Provide a detailed explanation of the manufacturing process of this material which covers the extraction,*  
523 *production, and composition of the product as applied (specifically which solvents and the amounts required*  
524 *for application).*

525  
526 The manufacturing process used to produce the petitioned substance does employ an extraction method  
527 which uses ethyl alcohol. The composition of the product as applied includes zein in an alcohol solution.  
528 For further details on this process, including the amount of solvent required for application, see *Evaluation*  
529 *Question #1.*

530  
531

#### 532 Report Authorship

533 The following individuals were involved in research, data collection, writing, editing, and/or final  
534 approval of this report:

- 535  
536
- 537 • Richard C. Theuer, Ph.D., Independent Contractor
  - 538 • Peter Bungum, M.S., Senior Technical Coordinator, The Organic Materials Review Institute (OMRI)
  - 539 • Doug Currier, M.Sc, Technical Director, The Organic Materials Review Institute (OMRI)
  - 540 • Lindsay Kishter, Director, Nexight Group
  - 541 • Rachel Lanspa, Communications Consultant, Nexight Group
- 542

543 All individuals are in compliance with Federal Acquisition Regulations (FAR) Subpart 3.11 – Preventing  
544 Personal Conflicts of Interest for Contractor Employees Performing Acquisition Functions.

545  
546

## References

- 547  
548  
549 Anderson, T. J., and B. P. Lamsal. "Zein Extraction from Corn, Corn Products, and Coproducts and  
550 Modifications for Various Applications: A Review." *Cereal Chemistry* 88.2 (2011). 159-73.  
551 doi:10.1094/CCHEM-06-10-0091
- 552 Baldwin, E. A. "Edible Coatings for Fresh Fruits and Vegetables. Past, Present, and Future." *Edible Coatings*  
553 *and Films to Improve Food Quality*. Eds. Krochta, J.M., E.A.; Baldwin and M.O. Nisperos-Carriedo.  
554 New York: Technomic Publishing Co., 1994. 25-64.
- 555 Boundy, J. A., J. E. Turner, J. S. Wall, and R. J. Dimler. "Influence of Commercial Processing on  
556 Composition and Properties of Corn Zein." *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry* 44.281-287  
557 (1967).
- 558 Budavari, S. *The Merck Index*. Twelfth Edition ed. Whitehouse Station, NJ: Merck & Co., Inc., 1996. Print.
- 559 Calvez, J., S. Benoit, J. Piedcoq, N. Khodorova, D. Azzout-Marniche, D. Tome, R. Benamouzig, G. Airinei,  
560 and C. Gaudichon. "Very Low Ileal Nitrogen and Amino Acid Digestibility of Zein Compared to  
561 Whey Protein Isolate in Healthy Volunteers." *Am J Clin Nutr* (2020). doi 10.1093/ajcn/nqaa274.  
562 <<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/33021640>>
- 563 Carter, R., and D. R. Reck. Low Temperature Solvent Extraction Process for Producing High Purity Zein.  
564 U.S. Patent 3,535,305. 1970.
- 565 Chen, H., J. Wang, Y. Cheng, C. Wang, H. Liu, H. Bian, Y. Pan, J. Sun, and W. Han. "Application of Protein-  
566 Based Films and Coatings for Food Packaging: A Review." *Polymers (Basel)* 11.12 (2019). doi  
567 10.3390/polym11122039.
- 568 Cheryan, M. U.S. Patent No. 6,433,146: Corn Oil and Protein Extraction Method. U.S. 2002.
- 569 Chiralt, A., C. González-Martínez, M. Vargas, and L. Atarés. "18 - Edible Films and Coatings from  
570 Proteins." *Proteins in Food Processing (Second Edition)*. Ed. Yada, Rickey Y.: Woodhead Publishing,  
571 2018. 477-500.
- 572 Committee on Food Chemicals Codex, Food and Nutrition Board, Institute of Medicine, National Academy  
573 of Sciences,. *Food Chemicals Codex, Fourth Edition*. Washington, D.C.: National Academy Press, 1996.  
574 Print.
- 575 Das, S., K. Vishakha, S. Banerjee, S. Mondal, and A. Ganguli. "Sodium Alginate-Based Edible Coating  
576 Containing Nanoemulsion of Citrus Sinensis Essential Oil Eradicates Planktonic and Sessile Cells  
577 of Food-Borne Pathogens and Increased Quality Attributes of Tomatoes." *Int J Biol Macromol* 162  
578 (2020). 1770-79. doi 10.1016/j.ijbiomac.2020.08.086.
- 579 Flo Chemical Corporation. *Petition for the Addition of Zein to the National List*. Ashburnham, MA: Flo  
580 Chemical Corporation, 2020.  
581 <[https://www.ams.usda.gov/sites/default/files/media/Zein\\_Petition\\_2192020.pdf](https://www.ams.usda.gov/sites/default/files/media/Zein_Petition_2192020.pdf).>
- 582 Hagenmaier, R. D. "Evaluation of a Polyethylene-Candelilla Coating for 'Valencia' Oranges." *Postharvest*  
583 *Biology and Technology* 19 (2000). 147-54. doi 10.1.1.838.6196&rep=rep1&type=pdf.  
584 <<http://citeseerx.ist.psu.edu/viewdoc/download?doi=10.1.1.838.6196&rep=rep1&type=pdf>>
- 585 Kwiatkowski, J. R., A. J. McAloon, F. Taylor, and D. B. 2006. Johnston. "Modeling the Process and Costs of  
586 Fuel Ethanol Production by the Corn Dry-Grind Process." *Industrial Crops and Products* 23 (2006).  
587 288-96. doi:10.1016/j.indcrop.2005.08.004
- 588 Neumann, P.E., J.S. Wall, and C.E. Walker. "Chemical and Physical Properties of Proteins in Wet-Milled  
589 Corn Gluten." *Cereal Chemistry* 61.4 (1984). 353-56.  
590 <[http://online.cerealsgrains.org/publications/cc/backissues/1984/Documents/chem61\\_353.pdf](http://online.cerealsgrains.org/publications/cc/backissues/1984/Documents/chem61_353.pdf)>
- 591 Office of Pesticide Programs. "Biopesticides Registration Action Document: Glutens, Corn (Corn Gluten  
592 Meal) (Pc Code 100137) ". Ed. US Environmental Protection Agency: EPA, 2003. 24  
593 <[https://www3.epa.gov/pesticides/chem\\_search/reg\\_actions/registration/decision\\_PC-](https://www3.epa.gov/pesticides/chem_search/reg_actions/registration/decision_PC-100137_4-Mar-03.pdf)  
594 [100137\\_4-Mar-03.pdf](https://www3.epa.gov/pesticides/chem_search/reg_actions/registration/decision_PC-100137_4-Mar-03.pdf)>
- 595 Organic Materials Review Institute. *Omri Position on Corn Steep Liquor*. Eugene, OR: Organic Materials  
596 Review Institute, 2011. <[www.omri.org/news/96333/omri-position-corn-steep-liquor](http://www.omri.org/news/96333/omri-position-corn-steep-liquor).>
- 597 Parris, N., and L. C. Dickey. "Extraction and Solubility Characteristics of Zein Proteins from Dry-Milled  
598 Corn." *J Agric Food Chem* 49.8 (2001). 3757-60. doi jf0011790 [pii].  
599 <[http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/entrez/query.fcgi?cmd=Retrieve&db=PubMed&dopt=Citation&](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/entrez/query.fcgi?cmd=Retrieve&db=PubMed&dopt=Citation&list_uids=11513661)  
600 [list\\_uids=11513661](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/entrez/query.fcgi?cmd=Retrieve&db=PubMed&dopt=Citation&list_uids=11513661)>

- 601 Prairie Gold, Inc. 2020. Personal communication of Richard C Theuer with Prairie Gold, Inc. September 21,  
602 2020. Phone call.
- 603 Ramírez, E. C., D. B. Johnston, A. J. McAloon, and V. Singh. "Enzymatic Corn Wet Milling: Engineering  
604 Process and Cost Model." *Biotechnol Biofuels* 2.1 (2009). 2. doi 10.1186/1754-6834-2-2.
- 605 Rausch, K. D., L. E. Pruiett, P. Wang, L. Xu, R. L. Belyea, and M. E. Tumbleson. "Laboratory Measurement  
606 of Yield and Composition of Dry-Milled Corn Fractions Using a Shortened, Single-Stage  
607 Tempering Procedure." *Cereal Chemistry* 86 (2009). 434-38. doi doi.org/10.1094/CCHEM-86-4-0434.
- 608 Ruan, R., H. Lei, P. Chen, S. Deng, X. Lin, Y. Li, W. Wilcke, and G. Fulcher. "Ozone-Aided Corn Steeping  
609 Process." *Cereal Chemistry* 81.2 (2004). 182-87.
- 610 Select Committee of GRAS Substances (SCOGS). *Evaluation of the Health Aspects of Wheat Gluten, Corn  
611 Gluten, and Zein as Food Ingredients*. Bethesda MD: Federation of American Societies for  
612 Experimental Biology, 1981. Available from  
613 [https://www.faseb.org/Portals/2/PDFs/LSRO\\_Legacy\\_Reports/1981\\_SCOGS%20II-  
614 12%20Wheat%20&%20Corn%20Gluten%20&%20Zein.pdf](https://www.faseb.org/Portals/2/PDFs/LSRO_Legacy_Reports/1981_SCOGS%20II-12%20Wheat%20&%20Corn%20Gluten%20&%20Zein.pdf)
- 615 Senturk Parreidt, T., K. Müller, and M. Schmid. "Alginate-Based Edible Films and Coatings for Food  
616 Packaging Applications." *Foods* 7.10 (2018). doi 10.3390/foods7100170.
- 617 Shukla, R., and M. Cheryan. "Zein: The Industrial Protein from Corn." *Industrial Crops and Products* 13.3  
618 (2001). 171-92. doi [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0926-6690\(00\)00064-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0926-6690(00)00064-9).  
619 <<http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0926669000000649>>
- 620 U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA). 2014. "Carnauba Wax Technical Evaluation Report."  
621 [January 2014] Available from  
622 [https://www.ams.usda.gov/sites/https://www.ams.usda.gov/sites/default/files/media/waxes  
623 %20report%20201\\_4.pdf](https://www.ams.usda.gov/sites/https://www.ams.usda.gov/sites/default/files/media/waxes%20report%20201_4.pdf)
- 624 --. 2002. "Orange Shellac (Unbleached) Technical Report." Available from  
625 [https://www.ams.usda.gov/sites/default/files/media/Or%20Shellac%20Technical%20Advisory  
626 %20Panel%20Report%20%282002%29.pdf](https://www.ams.usda.gov/sites/default/files/media/Or%20Shellac%20Technical%20Advisory%20Panel%20Report%20%282002%29.pdf)
- 627 --. 2016a. Guidance - Materials for Organic Crop Production. Available from  
628 <https://www.ams.usda.gov/sites/default/files/media/NOP-5034-1.pdf>
- 629 --. 2016b. Response to Comments - Materials for Organic Crop Production. Available from  
630 [https://www.ams.usda.gov/sites/default/files/media/NOP%205034-3%20-  
631 %20Response%20to%20Comments.pdf](https://www.ams.usda.gov/sites/default/files/media/NOP%205034-3%20-%20Response%20to%20Comments.pdf)
- 632 --. 2016c. Guidance-Classification of Materials. Available from  
633 <https://www.ams.usda.gov/sites/default/files/media/NOP-5033.pdf>
- 634 Ustunol, Z. "Edible Films and Coatings for Meat and Poultry." *Edible Films and Coatings for Food Applications*.  
635 Eds. Embuscado, M. E. and K. C. Huber. Dordrecht: Springer-Verlag, 2009. 245-68.
- 636 Wang, Yu. "Evaluation of Lutein and Protein in Ozone Treated Corn." Louisiana State University, 2005.  
637 Print.
- 638 Wilson, C. M. "Proteins of the Kernel." *Corn: Chemistry and Technology*. Eds. Watson, S. A. and P. E.  
639 Ramstad. St. Paul, MN: American Association of Cereal Chemists, 1987. 273-310.
- 640 Yang, H., X. Li, and G. Lu. "Effect of Carnauba Wax-Based Coating Containing Glycerol Monolaurate on  
641 Decay and Quality of Sweet Potato Roots During Storage." *J Food Prot* 81.10 (2018). 1643-50. doi  
642 10.4315/0362-028x.Jfp-18-017.